

Adding and Removing Liquidity in Section I of the Exchange's Fee Schedule. The Exchange is also proposing to make conforming amendments within Section I of the Fee Schedule to change "QQQQ" to "QQQ" in the remainder of that Section.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>5</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>6</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that updating the Exchange's Fee Schedule to amend the "QQQQ" symbol to "QQQ" will provide its members clarity as to which symbols are subject to the Fees and Rebates for Adding and Removing Liquidity in Section I of the Exchange's Fee Schedule.

### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were either solicited or received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>7</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(1)<sup>8</sup> thereunder, the Exchange has designated this proposal as one that constitutes a stated policy, practice or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration, or enforcement of an existing rule of the SRO, and therefore has become effective.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of

the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2011-39 on the subject line.

### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2011-39. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2011-39 and should be submitted on or before April 28, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Cathy H. Ahn,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-8231 Filed 4-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64167; File No. SR-OCC-2011-03]

### **Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change to Allow for an Expansion of OCC's Internal Cross-Margining Program to Include the Ability of a Pair of Affiliated Clearing Members to Establish an Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account**

April 1, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on March 17, 2011, The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The proposed rule change would expand OCC's internal cross-margining program to permit a pair of affiliated clearing members to establish a cross-margining account ("Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account") in which securities and security futures that are cleared by OCC in its capacity as a securities clearing agency may be cross-margined with commodity futures and options on such futures that are cleared by OCC in its capacity as a derivatives clearing organization ("DCO") registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA").

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>8</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(1).

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.<sup>3</sup>

### (A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In 2004, the CFTC and the Commission<sup>4</sup> approved OCC's proposal to create an "internal cross-margining" program under which an OCC clearing member could elect to cross-margin a non-proprietary futures account of a "market professional" (as defined in OCC's By-Laws)<sup>5</sup> with a non-proprietary securities account containing positions of the same market professional. At OCC, the securities and futures positions of all market professionals with cross-margined accounts at the clearing member are combined in a single Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account of the clearing member at OCC. The existing program, which has operated successfully since 2004, requires that the same clearing member clear the securities and futures positions. In contrast, the existing cross-margining programs between OCC and other DCOs such as the clearing division of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME") and ICE Clear U.S. permit cross-margining where the member of the futures clearing organization is a different entity from its affiliate that is an OCC clearing member. The purpose of this proposed rule change is to expand the existing internal cross-margining program in an analogous way so that it would permit an Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account to

be maintained at OCC jointly by a pair of affiliated clearing members that clear transactions in securities options and in futures products through two different entities. In order to participate, both OCC clearing members would have to be affiliates of one another and would have to be registered as both a futures commission merchant under the CEA and as a broker-dealer under the Act.

OCC's current internal cross-margining program does not provide for internal cross-margining accounts to be carried jointly by a pair of affiliated clearing members because OCC did not believe in 2004 that there was any clearing member demand for such a service. Recently, however, OCC has learned that there is demand for such a service. Under OCC's current proposal, two affiliated clearing members could jointly maintain an Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account. The clearing member that normally clears transactions in securities options would submit transactions in eligible securities options to the account for clearance, and the clearing member that normally clears transactions in futures products would submit transactions in eligible futures products to the account for clearance.

OCC proposes to amend its current By-Laws and Rules governing internal cross-margining to create rules similar to the rules of the long-standing cross-margining program for affiliated clearing members between OCC and CME, for example. In the case of the cross-margining programs between OCC and other DCOs, there are two accounts at the clearing level—one at each of the participating clearing organizations. In the internal cross-margining program, there is no need for two separate accounts, which would in any event be margined together and for which the affiliated clearing members would in any event be jointly and severally liable as they are for the two accounts in the case of the OCC-CME program.

Article VI, Section 25(b) of OCC's By-Laws currently requires clearing members to obtain a "Market Professional's Agreement for Internal Cross-Margining" from each market professional whose positions are included in an Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account. OCC proposes to use a modified form of this agreement for an account held jointly by a pair of affiliated clearing members. The proposed form of the agreement, titled "Market Professional's Agreement for Internal Cross-Margining (Affiliated Clearing Members)" is attached as Exhibit 5A to this proposed rule change filing. The existing "Market Professional's Agreement for Internal

Cross-Margining" applicable to the internal cross-margining program for single clearing members has been retitled "Market Professional's Agreement for Internal Cross-Margining (Single Clearing Member)" and is attached as Exhibit 5B to this proposed rule change filing. In addition to modifying the title to the form of the agreement applicable to single clearing members, a sentence has been added at the end of paragraph seven of that agreement to conform it to the corresponding provision in the form of the agreement for affiliated clearing members. OCC does not intend to require current participants in the internal cross-margining program to obtain reexecuted agreements in updated form because the modifications are clarifications only and not substantive changes.

As in the case of the existing internal cross-margining program, the Internal Non-Proprietary Cross-Margining Account would be treated as a segregated futures account under Section 4d of the CEA and, in accordance with Appendix B to Part 190 of the CFTC's regulations, would be separately segregated from the regular segregated futures account that an OCC clearing member may maintain under Article VI, Section 3(f) of OCC's By-Laws. In order to expand the internal cross-margining program to include accounts carried by pairs of affiliated clearing members, OCC is requesting that the CFTC either issue a new or amended order under Section 4d of the CEA.

Since it granted approval of the first cross-margining program in 1988,<sup>6</sup> the Commission has found that cross-margining programs are consistent with clearing agency responsibilities under Section 17A of the Act<sup>7</sup> and highly beneficial to the clearing organization, its clearing members and the public. OCC believes that cross-margining programs enhance clearing member and systemic liquidity, resulting in lower initial margin deposits. They reduce the risk that a clearing member will become insolvent in a distressed market and the corresponding risk that one insolvency could lead to multiple insolvencies in a ripple effect, and they enhance the security of the clearing system.<sup>8</sup>

OCC would not implement the internal cross-margining program for affiliated clearing members until such time after the CFTC has issued an order

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by OCC.

<sup>4</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-50509 (October 8, 2004), 69 FR 61289 (October 15, 2004).

<sup>5</sup> A market professional could be a market-maker, specialist or person acting in a similar capacity on a securities exchange, or a member of a futures exchange trading for its own account. A non-proprietary market professional is any market professional that is required to be treated as a "customer" under the CEA, and therefore excludes any market professional that is affiliated with the carrying clearing member in a way that would cause its account to be treated as a "proprietary account" under Section 1.3(y) of the CFTC's regulations.

<sup>6</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-26153 (October 3, 1988), 53 FR 39567 (October 7, 1988).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

<sup>8</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-32708 (August 2, 1993), 58 FR 42586 (August 10, 1993).

or amended order under Section 4d of the CEA as discussed above.

*(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition.

*(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others*

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received. OCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by OCC.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will: (A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change or (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commissions Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>) or send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-OCC-2011-03 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2011-03. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/>

[rules/sro.shtml](#)). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's Web site at [http://www.optionsclearing.com/components/docs/legal/rules\\_and\\_bylaws/sr\\_occ\\_11\\_03.pdf](http://www.optionsclearing.com/components/docs/legal/rules_and_bylaws/sr_occ_11_03.pdf).

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2011-03 and should be submitted on or before April 28, 2011.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Cathy H. Ahn,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-8235 Filed 4-6-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[File No. 500-1]

**In the Matter of: Sabratek Corp., SAN Holdings, Inc., SBD International, Inc. (n/k/a Solargy Systems, Inc.), Scantek Medical, Inc., SciLabs Holdings, Inc., The SCO Group, Inc., Secure Technologies Group, Inc., Secured Digital Applications, Inc., Senco Sensors, Inc., Sentex Sensing Technology, Inc., Serefex Corp., SinoFresh HealthCare, Inc., Sonoma College, Inc., and Source Petroleum Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading**

April 5, 2011.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Sabratek Corp. because it has not filed any

periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 1999.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of SAN Holdings, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended June 30, 2007.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of SBD International, Inc. (n/k/a Solargy Systems, Inc.) because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2006.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Scantek Medical, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 2003.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of SciLabs Holdings, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended March 31, 2002.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of The SCO Group, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended January 31, 2009.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Secure Technologies Group, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended December 31, 2004.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Secured Digital Applications, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended September 30, 2008.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Senco Sensors, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended November 30, 1999.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Sentex Sensing Technology, Inc. because it has not filed any periodic reports since the period ended August 31, 2007.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information

<sup>9</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).