# **Related Information**

(j) Refer to MCAI EASA Airworthiness Directive 2010–0074, dated April 16, 2010, and the service information listed in Table 1 of this AD, for related information.

# TABLE 1—SERVICE INFORMATION

Airbus service information	Revision level	Date
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A300–28–6064	03	December 15, 2008.
Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A300–28–6064	04	August 24, 2009.
Airbus Service Bulletin A300–28–6068	Original	July 20, 2005.
Airbus Service Bulletin A300–28–6077	01	October 26, 2006.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 23, 2011.

# Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2011–8198 Filed 4–5–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Federal Aviation Administration

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2011-0326; Directorate Identifier 2011-CE-006-AD]

## RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam srl Model P2006T Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

During Landing Gear retraction/extension ground checks performed on the P2006T, a loose Seeger ring was found on the nose landing gear hydraulic actuator cap.

The manufacturer has identified the root cause of this discrepancy in a design deficiency of the hydraulic actuator caps.

This condition, if not corrected, could determine uncommanded and improper extension of the nose or main landing gear.

The proposed AD would require actions that are intended to address the unsafe condition described in the MCAI. **DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 23, 2011. **ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods: • Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.

• *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

• *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Costruzioni Aeronautiche TECNAM Airworthiness Office, Via Maiorise—81043 Capua (CE) Italy; telephone: +39 0823 620134; fax: +39 0823 622899; e-mail:

m.oliva@tecnam.com,

*p.violetti@tecnam.com;* Internet: *http://www.tecnam.com.* You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 816–329–4148.

# **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http:// www.regulations.gov;* or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Albert Mercado, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329– 4119; fax: (816) 329–4090.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA–2011–0326; Directorate Identifier 2011–CE–006–AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http:// www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

## Discussion

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued EASA AD No.: 2011–0042, dated March 11, 2011 (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

During Landing Gear retraction/extension ground checks performed on the P2006T, a loose Seeger ring was found on the nose landing gear hydraulic actuator cap.

The manufacturer has identified the root cause of this discrepancy in a design deficiency of the hydraulic actuator caps.

This condition, if not corrected, could determine uncommanded and improper extension of the nose or main landing gear. To prevent this condition, this AD requires modifying each nose and main landing gear hydraulic actuator by installing security rings.

You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

# **Relevant Service Information**

Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam has issued Service Bulletin No. SB 036–CS, 1st Edition, Rev 1, dated December 15, 2010. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

# FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, they have notified us of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all information and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

# Differences Between This Proposed AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have proposed different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a Note within the proposed AD.

## **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD will affect 1 product of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 2 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about \$80 per product.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$250, or \$250 per product.

# Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

# List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### §39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam srl:** Docket No. FAA–2011–0326; Directorate Identifier 2011–CE–006–AD.

#### **Comments Due Date**

(a) We must receive comments by May 23, 2011.

#### Affected ADs

(b) None.

# Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam srl P2006T airplanes, serial numbers 01 through 046, 047/US, and 049, certificated in any category.

# Subject

(d) Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 32: Landing Gear.

#### Reason

(e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

During Landing Gear retraction/extension ground checks performed on the P2006T, a loose Seeger ring was found on the nose landing gear hydraulic actuator cap.

The manufacturer has identified the root cause of this discrepancy in a design deficiency of the hydraulic actuator caps.

This condition, if not corrected, could determine uncommanded and improper extension of the nose or main landing gear. To prevent this condition, this AD requires modifying each nose and main landing gear hydraulic actuator by installing security rings.

## **Actions and Compliance**

(f) Unless already done, within 50 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, modify each nose and main landing gear hydraulic actuator in accordance with Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam Service Bulletin No. SB 036–CS, 1st Edition, Rev 1, dated December 15, 2010.

### **FAA AD Differences**

**Note:** This AD differs from the MCAI and/ or service information as follows: No differences.

#### **Other FAA AD Provisions**

(g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Albert Mercado, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329–4119; fax: (816) 329– 4090. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAAapproved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120–0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, Åttn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES-200.

### **Related Information**

(h) Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) AD No.: 2011–0042, dated March 11, 2011; and Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam Service Bulletin No. SB 036–CS, 1st Edition, Rev 1, dated December 15, 2010, for related information. For service information related to this AD, contact Costruzioni Aeronautiche TECNAM Airworthiness Office, Via Maiorise—81043 Capua (CE) Italy; telephone: +39 0823 620134; fax: +39 0823 622899; e-mail: *m.oliva@tecnam.com*,

*p.violetti@tecnam.com;* Internet: *http://www.tecnam.com.* You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 816–329–4148.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 29, 2011.

#### Earl Lawrence,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–8070 Filed 4–5–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# 17 CFR Parts 229 and 240

[Release Nos. 33–9199; 34–64149; File No. S7–13–11]

# RIN 3235-AK95

# Listing Standards for Compensation Committees

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are proposing a new rule and rule amendments to implement the provisions of Section 952 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, which adds Section 10C to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Section 10C requires the Commission to

adopt rules directing the national securities exchanges (the "exchanges") and national securities associations to prohibit the listing of any equity security of an issuer that is not in compliance with Section 10C's compensation committee and compensation adviser requirements. In accordance with the statute, the proposed rule would direct the exchanges to establish listing standards that, among other things, require each member of a listed issuer's compensation committee to be a member of the board of directors and to be "independent," as defined in the listing standards of the exchanges adopted in accordance with the proposed rule. In addition, Section 10C(c)(2) of the Exchange Act requires the Commission to adopt new disclosure rules concerning the use of compensation consultants and conflicts of interest.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before April 29, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

## **Electronic Comments**

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed.shtml*);

• Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*; or

• Use the Federal Rulemaking ePortal (*http://www.regulations.gov*). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

#### **Paper Comments**

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number S7–13–11. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/ proposed.shtml). Comments are also available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nandini A. Acharya, Attorney-Adviser,

or N. Sean Harrison, Special Counsel, at (202) 551–3430, in the Office of Rulemaking, Division of Corporation Finance, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–3628.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are proposing to add new Rule 10C–1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.<sup>1</sup> We are also proposing amendments to Item 407 <sup>2</sup> of Regulation S–K.<sup>3</sup>

# **Table of Contents**

I. Background and Summary

- II. Discussion of the Proposals
  - A. Proposed Listing Requirements
  - 1. Applicability of Listing Requirements
- 2. Independence Requirements
- 3. Authority to Engage Compensation Advisers; Responsibilities; and Funding
- 4. Compensation Adviser Independence Factors
- 5. Opportunity to Cure Defects
- B. Implementation of Listing Requirements
- 1. Exchanges Affected
- 2. Securities Affected
- a. Listed Equity Securities
- b. Securities Futures Products and
- Standardized Options
- 3. Exemptions
- a. General Approach to Exemptions
- b. Issuers Not Subject to Independence Requirements
- c. Relationships Exempt from Independence Requirements
- C. Compensation Consultant Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest
- D. Transition and Timing
- III. Paperwork Reduction Act
  - A. Background
  - B. Summary of Proposed Rule and Rule Amendments
  - C. Burden and Cost Estimates Related to Proposed Amendments
  - D. Request for Comment
- IV. Cost-Benefit Analysis
- A. Introduction and Objectives of
- Proposals
- B. Benefits
- C. Costs
- D. Request for Comment
- V. Consideration of Impact on the Economy, Burden on Competition and Promotion of Efficiency, Competition and Capital Formation
- VI. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act
- VII. Initial Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis
  - A. Reasons for, and Objectives of, the Proposed Action
  - B. Legal Basis
  - C. Small Entities Subject to the Proposed Action
  - D. Reporting, Recordkeeping and Other Compliance Requirements
  - E. Duplicative, Overlapping or Conflicting Federal Rules
  - F. Significant Alternatives
  - G. Solicitation of Comments

- 2 17 CFR 229.407.
- <sup>3</sup>17 CFR 229.10 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.