

members from FMCSA, State governments, and the motor carrier industry. The Board also must recommend initial annual fees to be assessed against carriers, leasing companies, brokers, and freight forwarders under the UCRA, as well as any annual adjustments to those fees. Section 14504a(d)(1)(B) provides that the UCR Plan's Board of Directors must consist of directors from the following groups:

**Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration:** One director must be selected from each of the FMCSA service areas (as defined by FMCSA on January 1, 2005) from among the chief administrative officers of the State agencies responsible for administering the UCRA.

**State Agencies:** The five directors selected to represent State agencies must be from among the professional staffs of State agencies responsible for overseeing the administration of the UCR Agreement.

**Motor Carrier Industry:** Five directors must be from the motor carrier industry. At least one of the five motor carrier industry directors must be from "a national trade association representing the general motor carrier of property industry" and one of them must be from "a motor carrier that falls within the smallest fleet fee bracket."

**U.S. Department of Transportation (the Department):** One individual, either the FMCSA Deputy Administrator or such other Presidential appointee from the Department appointed by the Secretary, represents the Department.

The establishment of the Board was announced in the **Federal Register** on May 12, 2006 (71 FR 27777). In that notice, the Agency recognized the American Trucking Associations, Inc. (ATA) as the national trade association representing the general motor carrier of property industry. ATA is a national affiliation of State trucking organizations representing the national, State and local interests of the 50 affiliated State trucking associations; and the interests of specialized areas of the trucking industry through conferences and councils. The Agency selected the Owner-Operator Independent Drivers Association (OOIDA) as the organization from which to appoint an individual to represent motor carriers comprising the smallest fleet fee bracket. OOIDA is a national trade association representing the interests of small trucking companies and drivers.

Each of the four current directors from the chief administrative officers of the State agencies responsible for overseeing the administration of the

UCR Agreement are serving terms that expire on May 31, 2011. These directors may continue to serve until their replacements are appointed; each of them may be reappointed (49 U.S.C. 14504a(d)(1)(D)(iii) and (iv)). Today's publication serves as a notice requesting nominations for and public comment on possible appointment of the four members of the UCR Plan's Board of Directors to be appointed from the chief administrative officers of the responsible State agencies in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 14504a(d).

#### Board Member Nominations

FMCSA seeks either nominations of, or expressions of interest from, individuals to serve as members of the board of directors for the UCR Plan from the responsible State agencies. Nominations or expressions of interest should indicate that the person nominated or recommended meets the statutory requirements specified in 49 U.S.C. 14504a(d)(1)(B)(i). Nominations or expressions of interest must be transmitted by means of the procedures for comments specified earlier in this notice. FMCSA and the Department will make the appointments for the four members from the responsible State agencies for three-year terms, expiring on May 31, 2014.

Issued on: March 25, 2011.

**Kelly Leone,**

*Associate Administrator, Research and Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2011-7957 Filed 4-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35466 (Sub-No. 1)]

#### BNSF Railway Company—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pacific Railroad Company

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board.

**ACTION:** Partial revocation of exemption.

**SUMMARY:** Under 49 U.S.C. 10502, the Board revokes the class exemption as it pertains to the trackage rights described in Docket No. FD 35466<sup>1</sup> to permit the

<sup>1</sup> On February 8, 2011, the BNSF Railway Company (BNSF) filed a verified notice of exemption under the Board's class exemption procedures at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). The notice covered the agreement by Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) to grant local trackage rights to BNSF over UP's lines extending between: (1) UP milepost 93.2 at Stockton, Cal., on UP's Oakland Subdivision, and UP milepost 219.4 at Elsey, Cal., on UP's Canyon Subdivision, a distance of approximately 126.2 miles; and (2) UP milepost 219.4 at Elsey, Cal., and UP milepost 280.7 at

trackage rights to expire at midnight on December 10, 2011, in accordance with the agreement of the parties, subject to the employee protective conditions set forth in *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

**DATES:** This exemption will be effective on May 5, 2011. Petitions to stay must be filed by April 15, 2011. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by April 25, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35466 (Sub-No. 1), must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on BNSF's representative: Karl Morell, Of Counsel, Ball Janik LLP, Suite 225, 1455 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph H. Dettmar, (202) 245-0395. [Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.]

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additional information is contained in the Board's decision. Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at <http://www.stb.dot.gov>.

Decided: March 30, 2011.

By the Board, Chairman Elliott and Commissioner Mulvey.

**Jeffrey Herzig,**  
*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2011-7998 Filed 4-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Treasury, on behalf of itself and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), will submit the following public information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 on or after the date of publication of this notice. A copy of the submission may be obtained by

Keddie, Cal., on UP's Canyon Subdivision, a distance of 61.3 miles. BNSF states that the trackage rights are only temporary rights, but, because they are "local" rather than "overhead" rights, they do not qualify for the Board's class exemption for temporary trackage rights at 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(8). See *BNSF Ry.—Temporary Trackage Rights Exemption—Union Pac. R.R.*, FD 35466 (STB served Feb. 24, 2011).

calling the agency contact listed below. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 11010, Washington, DC 20220.

*Dates:* Written comments should be received on or before May 5, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

*OMB Number:* 1505-XXXX.

*Type of Review:* Emergency Clearance Request.

*Title:* Qualitative Testing of Integrated Mortgage Loan Disclosure Forms.

*Description:* The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111-203, Title X, requires CFPB to develop model forms that will integrate separate disclosures concerning residential mortgage loans that are required under the Truth in Lending Act and Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act. The CFPB implementation team will collect data, including through interviews and the internet, to inform its design and development of the mandated integrated disclosure and its implementation. The information collected through the one-on-one cognitive interviews and the internet will inform the disclosure form's design and content, using an iterative process to improve the draft form to make it easier for consumers to use the document to identify the terms of the loan being offered to them and use that information to compare among different loan products.

The data collection will include:

- Consent forms that will be used to obtain the consent of participants in the cognitive interviewing;
- Participant Questionnaires to obtain demographic information about the participants;
- Interview protocols for both consumers and lenders/brokers; and
- Tools that seek input from a larger community through the internet.

The core objective of the data collection is to help refine specific features of the content or design of the form to maximize communication effectiveness while minimizing compliance burden, specifically by:

- Evaluating one or more draft disclosure forms through iterative qualitative testing with consumers and lenders/brokers, including observation of consumers' usage of the disclosure, their understanding of the contents, and the choices they make.
- Collecting supplementary feedback through the internet from consumers, industry, housing counselors, and other interested parties regarding the draft disclosure(s).

The qualitative testing is focused on the purposes of the integrated disclosure to:

- Improve consumer understanding by better disclosing risks and costs so consumers can choose the home loans that best meet their needs;
- Enable "shopping" in terms of comparing loan products and loan offers; and
- Facilitate compliance and ease implementation for industry.

The CFPB implementation team plans to test at six sites in five rounds to allow for changes to the disclosure between rounds. Because consumers are not the only ones who will interact with the loan disclosure, the testing plan includes one-on-one cognitive testing with brokers and lenders to evaluate the usefulness of the form, any potential areas of confusion, and potential implementation and usability challenges.

*Respondents:* Individuals, businesses or other for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Total Reporting Burden: Screening Process:*

*Total number of potential participants to be screened:* 156 individuals.

*Estimated time to complete screening:* 10 minutes.

*Estimated participant screening burden:* 26 hours (156 × 10/60).

*Estimated number of participants:* 54 individuals.

*Time to conduct study:* 90 minutes.

*Estimated travel time to and from site:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated participant burden:* 108 hours (54 × 120/60).

*Estimated number of floaters:* 24.

*Time to conduct study:* 180 minutes.

*Estimated travel time to and from site:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated floater burden:* 84 hours (24 × 210/60).

*Total estimated participation burden:* 192 hours.

Total Burden English interviews (screening and study participation) = 218 hours (26 + 192)

*Spanish cognitive interviews:*

*Total number of potential participants screened:* 74 individuals.

*Estimated time to complete screening:* 10 minutes.

*Estimated participant screening burden:* 12 hours (74 × 10/60).

*Estimated number of participants:* 25 individuals.

*Time to conduct study:* 90 minutes.

*Estimated travel time to and from site:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated participant burden:* 50 hours (25 × 120/60).

*Estimated number of floaters:* 10.

*Time to conduct study:* 180 minutes.

*Estimated travel time to and from site:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated floater burden:* 35 hours (10 × 210/60).

*Total estimated participation burden:* 85 hours.

Total Burden Spanish interviews (screening and study participation) = 97 hours (12 + 85)

### Social Media Outreach

Estimated number of participants at each opportunity to provide input = 5000.

Time to provide input = 5 minutes.

Estimated participation burden: 417 hours (5 × 5,000/60).

Opportunities for structured input = 3.

Total estimated participation burden = 1,251 hours (417 × 3).

*Estimated Maximum Burden:* 1,566 hours (218 + 97 + 1,251)

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques on other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and cost of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. All comments will be a matter of public record.

*Agency Contact:* Pamela Blumenthal, CFPB implementation team, 1801 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036; (202) 435-7167.

*OMB Reviewer:* Shagufta Ahmed, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; (202) 395-7873.

**Dawn D. Wolfgang,**

*Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-8057 Filed 4-4-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-25-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 31, 2011.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following public information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 on or after the date of publication of this notice. A copy of the submission may be obtained by contacting the Treasury Departmental Office Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding these information