On March 24, 2011, the Commission held a drawing to determine priority among competing preliminary permit applications with identical filing times. In the event that the Commission concludes that none of the applicants' plans are better adapted than the others to develop, conserve, and utilize in the public interest the water resources of the region at issue, the priority established by this drawing will serve as the tiebreaker. Based on the drawing, the order of priority is as follows:

	Project No.
FFP Missouri 16, LLC Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund	13753–000
XLVII	13743–000 13769–000 13785–000

Dated: March 25, 2011.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-7857 Filed 4-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice Announcing Filing Priority for Preliminary Permit Applications

	Project No.
Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund XXXVII FFP Missouri 6, LLC Solia 1 Hydroelectric, LLC	13738–000 13761-000 13770–000

On March 24, 2011, the Commission held a drawing to determine priority among competing preliminary permit applications with identical filing times. In the event that the Commission concludes that none of the applicants' plans are better adapted than the others to develop, conserve, and utilize in the public interest the water resources of the region at issue, the priority established by this drawing will serve as the tiebreaker. Based on the drawing, the order of priority is as follows:

	Project No.
FFP Missouri 6, LLC Lock+ Hydro Friends Fund	13761-000
XXXVII	13738-000 13770-000
	10110 000

Dated: March 25, 2011.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–7850 Filed 4–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2524-018—Oklahoma]

Grand River Dam Authority, Salina Pumped Storage Project; Notice of Proposed Restricted Service List for a Programmatic Agreement for Managing Properties Included in or Eligible for Inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places

Rule 2010 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.2010, provides that, to eliminate unnecessary expense or improve administrative efficiency, the Secretary may establish a restricted service list for a particular phase or issue in a proceeding. The restricted service list should contain the names of persons on the service list who, in the judgment of the decisional authority establishing the list, are active participants with respect to the phase or issue in the proceeding for which the list is established.

The Commission staff is consulting with the Oklahoma Historical Society (Oklahoma SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Advisory Council) pursuant to the Advisory Council's regulations, 36 CFR part 800, implementing section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 470f), to prepare a Programmatic Agreement for managing properties included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places at the existing Salina Pumped Storage Project, located on the Saline Creek arm of Lake Hudson in Mayes County, Oklahoma.

The Programmatic Agreement, when executed by the Commission, the Oklahoma SHPO, and the Advisory Council, would satisfy the Commission's section 106 responsibilities for all individual undertakings carried out in accordance with the license until the license expires or is terminated (36 CFR 800.13[e]). The Commission's responsibilities pursuant to section 106 for the project would be fulfilled through the Programmatic Agreement, which the Commission staff proposes to draft in consultation with certain parties listed below.

Grand River Dam Authority, as licensee for Project No. 2524–018, is invited to participate in consultations to develop the Programmatic Agreement and to sign as a concurring party to the Programmatic Agreement. For purposes of commenting on the Programmatic Agreement, we propose to restrict the service list for Project No. 2524–018 as follows:

John Fowler, Executive Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, The Old Post Office Building, Suite 803, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004.

Melvena Heisch, Deputy SHPO, Oklahoma Historical Society, 800 Nazih Zuhdi Drive, Oklahoma, OK 73105-7917.

Dr. Robert Brooks, State Archaeologist, Oklahoma Archeological Survey, 111 E. Chesapeake Street, Norman, OK 73019.

Dr. Darrell E. Townsend II, Grand River Dam Authority, P.O. Box 70, Langley, OK 74350–0070.

Charles Atkins, Grand River Dam Authority, P.O. Box 70, Langley, OK 74350–0070.

Dr. Timothy G. Baugh, Historical, Archaeologist, Oklahoma Historical Society, 800 Nazih Zuhdi Drive, Oklahoma, OK 73105–7917. Frank Hecksher, Peoria Tribe of Oklahoma, 118 S. Eight Tribes Trail, Miami, OK 74354.

Ted Isham, Emman Spain, Muscogee (Creek) Nation, P.O. Box 580, Okmulgee, OK 74447.

James Munkres, Osage Nation, 627 Grandview, Pawhuska, OK 74056.

George Strack, THPO, Miami Tribe of Oklahoma, P.O. Box 1326, Miami, OK 74355.

Jodi Hayes, Shawnee Tribe, P.O. Box 189, Miami, OK 74355.

Charles Coleman, Thiopthlocco Tribal Town, P.O. Box 188, Okemah, OK 74859–0188.

Any person on the official service list for the above-captioned proceedings may request inclusion on the restricted service list, or may request that a restricted service list not be established, by filing a motion to that effect within 15 days of this notice date. An original plus seven copies of any such motion must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission (888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426) and must be served on each person whose name appears on the official service list. If no such motions are filed, the restricted service list will be effective at the end of the 15 day period. Otherwise, a further notice will be issued ruling on the motion.

Dated: March 28, 2011. Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–7778 Filed 4–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9289-4]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Final Agency Action on Three Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) in Louisiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces final agency action on three TMDLs prepared

by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in Louisiana's Mississippi River Basin, under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Documents from the administrative record file for the three TMDLs, including TMDL calculations and responses to comments, may be viewed at http://www.epa.gov/region6/water/npdes/tmdl/index.htm. The administrative record file may be examined by calling or writing Ms. Diane Smith at the address below. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane Smith, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202–2733, (214) 665–2145. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the EPA, styled Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford et al., No. 96–0527, (E.D. La.). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. EPA established three of these TMDLs pursuant to a consent decree entered in this lawsuit.

EPA Takes Final Agency Action on Three TMDLs

By this notice EPA is taking final agency action on the following three TMDLs on waters located within the Louisiana Mississippi River Basin:

Subsegment	Waterbody name	Pollutant
070502	Mississippi River Passes (estuarine)	Fecal Coliform. Fecal Coliform. Fecal Coliform.

EPA requested the public provide to EPA any significant water quality related data or information that might impact the three TMDLs in the **Federal Register** Notice: volume 75, number 37, page 8698 (February 25, 2010). The comments which were received, EPA's response to comments, as well as the TMDLs may be found at https://www.epa.gov/region6/water/npdes/tmdl/index.htm.

Dated: March 28, 2011.

Miguel I. Flores,

Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2011–7906 Filed 4–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9289-5]

North Carolina Waters Along the Entire Length of Brunswick and Pender Counties and the Lower Portion of the Cape Fear River in Brunswick and New Hanover Counties; No Discharge Zone Determination

On June 21, 2010, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 4, published a proposal in concurrence with the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), Division of Water Quality (DWQ), that adequate and reasonably available pumpout facilities exist for the

designation of Brunswick and Pender Counties Coastal Waters and a portion of the Cape Fear River, as a No Discharge Zone (NDZ). Specifically, these waters include all the tidal salt waters extending 3 nautical miles (nm) into the Atlantic Ocean along the entire length of Brunswick and Pender Counties, and the saline waters of the Cape Fear River in Brunswick and New Hanover Counties. The other saline waters of New Hanover County have already been designated as a NDZ.

The originally proposed geographic description including latitudes and longitudes were as follows:

Northern Border of Pender County with Onslow County (34° 27'23.9" N 77° 32.4'.859" W), southwest along the mainland coast, to include all named and unnamed creeks, the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Cape Fear River (up to Toomers Creek 34° 15'36.61" N 77° 58′56.03" W), Brunswick River, and Northeast Cape Fear River (up to Ness Creek 34° 17′7.10″ N 77° 57′17.70″ W), to the intersection of the Western tip of Brunswick County and South Carolina, 3 nm into the Atlantic Ocean (33° 48'32.903" N 78° 30'33.675" W) to include all the U.S. Territorial Sea extending 3 nm from South Carolina to a point 3 nm into the Atlantic Ocean (34° 24′30.972″ N 78° 28′18.903″ W) to the Pender/Onslow County Line.

Three comment letters opposing this designation were received. These letters were from Cruise Lines International Association, Moran of Wilmington

(Division of Moran Towing Corporation), and McAllister Towing and Transportation Company. The reason for the opposition is that there are not adequate and reasonably available pumpout facilities available for these commercial vessels which have deeper drafts than most recreational vessels. These are valid concerns. The State explored the comments and options, and confirmed that pumpout facilities are not available in the upper Cape Fear River specifically for the tugboats that operate in those waters and hereby amend the proposal to remove the Cape Fear River, above the waterway known as Snow's Cut, from the NDZ area. The lower Cape Fear River is still proposed for NDZ. The inland limit of the NDZ in the Cape Fear River will be the waterway known as Snow's Cut (A line drawn across the Cape Fear River at Snows Cut on the Cape Fear River at Snows Cut on the Cape Fear River from 34°3′5.8962″ N; 77°55'4.8966" W to 34°3'4.5216" N 77°56′37.086″ W).

This petition was filed pursuant to the Clean Water Act, Section 312(f)(3), Public Law 92–500 as amended by Public Law 95–217 and Public Law 100–4. A NDZ is defined as a body of water in which the discharge of vessel sewage, both treated and untreated, is prohibited. Section 312(f)(3) states: After the effective date of the initial standards and regulations promulgated under this section, if any State determines that the protection and