

	Period to be reviewed
Vinh Hoan Corp.	
None.	
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
None.	
<b>Suspension Agreements</b>	
None.	
<b>Deferral of Initiation of Administrative Review</b>	
Japan: Stainless Steel Bar, <sup>12</sup> A-588-833 ..... Misumi Corporation	2/1/10-1/31/11

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or

<sup>3</sup> The company name listed above was misspelled in the initiation notice that published on February 24, 2011 (76 FR 10329). The correct spelling of the company name is listed in this notice.

<sup>4</sup> The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

<sup>5</sup> The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

<sup>6</sup> The initiation of the administrative review for the above referenced case will be published in a separate initiation notice.

<sup>7</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>8</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>9</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>10</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Uncovered Innerspring Units from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>11</sup> If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

<sup>12</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(c) the Department received a request to defer the administrative review with respect to Misumi Corporation for one year. The Department did not receive any objections to the deferral within 15 days after the end of the anniversary month. As such, we will initiate the administrative review with respect to Misumi Corporation in the month immediately following the next anniversary month.

suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed. Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty/countervailing duty ("AD/CVD") proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all

segments of any AD/CVD proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) ("*Interim Final Rule*"), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments initiated on or after March 14, 2011 if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: March 28, 2011.

**Christian Marsh**,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-7623 Filed 3-30-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-937]

#### **Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 31, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Krishna Hill or Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-4037 or (202) 482-6412, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

On June 30, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (“citric acid”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010). On January 25, 2011, the Department published the extension of time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order. See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 4288 (January 25, 2011). This review covers the periods November 20, 2008, through May 19, 2009, and May 29, 2009, through April 30, 2010. The preliminary results of review are currently due no later than April 1, 2011.

### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of the administrative review of citric acid from the PRC within this time limit. Specifically, additional time is needed to examine the respondents’ production process, factors of production (FOPs), and determine surrogate values with which to value FOPs. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review, which is currently due on April 1, 2011, by 60 days. Therefore, the preliminary results are now due no later than May 31, 2011.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

March 25, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011-7626 Filed 3-30-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

#### Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating an antidumping duty NSR of Zhangzhou Long Mountain Foods Co., Ltd. (Long Mountain). The period of review (POR) of this NSR is February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 31, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker, Scott Hoefke, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-2924, (202) 482-4947, or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On February 19, 1999, the Department published the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC. See *Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People’s Republic of China*, 64 FR 8308 (February 19, 1999). Thus, the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC has a February anniversary month. On February 28, 2011, the Department received a request for an NSR from Long Mountain. In its request for review, Long Mountain identified itself as both exporter and producer of the subject merchandise. The Department determined that Long Mountain’s request contained certain deficiencies

and requested that it correct its submission. See March 15, 2011 letter from Robert James, Program Manager, to Long Mountain. In accordance with the Department’s requests, Long Mountain corrected the problems in its initial submission in a revised submission dated March 18, 2011. For the purpose of initiating this NSR, the Department determines that Long Mountain’s request for an NSR was timely filed.

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Long Mountain certified that (1) It did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i)); (2) since the initiation of the investigation it has never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A)); and (3) its export activities were not controlled by the central government of the PRC (see 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B)). Additionally, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Long Mountain submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(B), Long Mountain also provided the volume of its one subsequent shipment, dated January 30, 2011, which the company indicated was in transit to the United States.

##### Initiation of Review

Based on information on the record, and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we find the request Long Mountain submitted meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation of an NSR. See Memorandum to the File through Richard Weible, “Initiation of AD New Shipper Review: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China (A-570-851),” dated March 31, 2011. Accordingly, we are initiating an NSR of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC produced and exported by Long Mountain. This review covers the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the date on which this review is initiated, and the