hazardous materials into bulk packagings are accurate and in conformance with the performance standards in the HMR.

(3) Each meter prover must successfully complete the test and inspection and must be marked in accordance with § 180.415(b) and in accordance with § 173.5a.

(4) Each owner must retain a record of the most recent visual inspection and pressure test until the meter prover is requalified.

Affected Public: Owners of meter provers used to measure liquid hazardous materials flow into bulk packagings such as cargo tanks and portable tanks.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:

Number of Respondents: 50.

Total Annual Responses: 250.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 175.

Frequency of collection: On occasion.

Title: Requirements for United Nations (UN) Cylinders.

OMB Control Number: 2137–0621.

Summary: This information collection and recordkeeping burden is the result of efforts to amend the HMR to adopt standards for the design, construction, maintenance and use of cylinders and multiple-element gas containers (MEGCs) based on the standards contained in the United Nations (UN) Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods. Aligning the HMR with the UN Recommendations promotes flexibility, permits the use of technological advances for the manufacture of the pressure receptacles, provides for a broader selection of pressure receptacles, reduces the need for special permits, and facilitates international commerce in the transportation of compressed gases. Information collection requirements address domestic and international manufacturers of cylinders that request approval by the approval agency for cylinder design types. The approval process for each cylinder design type includes review, filing, and recordkeeping of the approval application. The approval agency is required to maintain a set of the approved drawings and calculations for each design it reviews and a copy of each initial design type approval certificate approved by the Associate Administrator for not less than 20 years.

Affected Public: Fillers, owners, users, and retesters of UN cylinders.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:

Number of Respondents: 50.

Total Annual Responses: 150.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 900.

Frequency of collection: On occasion.

Issued in Washington, DC on March 24, 2011.

Charles E. Betts,

Director, Standards and Rulemaking Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–7410 Filed 3–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA–2011–0034 (Notice No. 11–1)]

Hazardous Materials: Request for U.S. Competent Authority Approval of International Atomic Energy Agency Special Arrangement CDN/5255/X–96 (Rev. 0) Concerning Transport of Sixteen Radioactively Contaminated Steam Generators From Bruce Power, Tiverton, Ontario to the Studsvik Facility in Sweden via the Great Lakes

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: PHMSA is notifying the public of a request by Bruce Power for U.S. competent authority approval of a Canadian special arrangement transport certificate issued in accordance with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) "Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material" (TS–R–1).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Rick Boyle, Office of Hazardous Materials Engineering and Research, (202) 366–4545, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the document (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, *etc.*). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477) or you may visit *http://www.regulations.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 4, 2011, the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC) issued a transport license and certificate to Bruce Power for the transport to Sweden of 16 radioactively contaminated decommissioned steam generator large components originally installed in the Bruce Power nuclear power plant near Tiverton, Ontario. The stated purpose of the transport is to conduct recycling and volume reduction activities in Sweden. Under the terms of the license and certificate, the transport of the steam generators would be conducted in accordance with the special arrangement provisions of the International Atomic Energy Agency "Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material" (TS–R–1). The initial leg of transport would be by road and entirely within Canada. The steam generators would then be loaded on a vessel in Owen Sound, Ontario for transport to Sweden via Lake Huron, Lake Erie, and Lake Ontario and interconnecting waterways as well as the St. Lawrence River. At various times the vessel would necessarily enter U.S. waters. Therefore, under IAEA special arrangement provisions, the U.S. would need to revalidate the Canadian certificate in order to permit transport. PHMSA is recognized as the IAEA Competent Authority for the U.S. and is responsible for competent authority approval in these cases.

An application requesting the U.S. competent authority approval of the Canadian certificate was received from Bruce Power on Thursday, February 24, 2011. All relevant documents will be made available for public review online in the docket for this notice. PHMSA intends to conduct a fully independent review of the proposed transport including safety, environmental, and fitness assessments, in consultation with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and U.S. Coast Guard. PHMSA must approve, deny, or institute additional controls regarding the transport in the request for competent authority approval.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 23, 2011 under authority delegated in 49 CFR part 106.

Magdy El-Sibaie,

Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2011–7408 Filed 3–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 24, 2011.

The Department of Treasury will submit the following public information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 on or after the date of publication of this notice. A copy of the submission may be obtained by calling the agency contact listed below. Comments regarding this information

collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 11010, Washington, DC 20220.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before April 29, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

Departmental Offices

OMB Number: 1505–0224.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Title: New Issue Bond Program and Temporary Credit and Liquidity Program.

Description: Authorized under section 304(g) of the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act (12 U.S.C. 1719(g)) and Section 306(l) of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Act (12 U.S.C. 1455(l), as amended by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008 (Pub. L. 110–289; approved July 30, 2008) the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) is implementing two programs under the HFA (Housing Finance Agency) Initiative. The statute provides the Secretary authority to purchase securities and obligations of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the GSEs) as he determines necessary to stabilize the financial markets, prevent disruptions in the availability of mortgage finance, and to protect the taxpayer. On December 4, 2009, the Secretary made the appropriate determination to authorize the two programs of the HFA Initiative: the New Issue Bond Program (NIBP) and the Temporary Credit and Liquidity Program (TCLP). Under the NIBP, Treasury has purchased securities from the GSEs backed by mortgage revenue bonds issued by participating state and local HFAs. Under the TCLP, Treasury has purchased a participation interest from the GSEs in temporary credit and liquidity facilities provided to participating HFAs as a liquidity backstop on their variable-rate debt. In order to properly manage the two programs of the initiative, continue to protect the taxpayer, and assure compliance with the Programs' provisions, Treasury is instituting a series of data collection requirements to be completed by participating HFAs and furnished to Treasury through the GSEs.

Respondents: Businesses or other for-profit institutions, and not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 26,170 hours.

Agency Contact: Theo Polan, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Room 2054MT, Washington, DC 20220; (202) 622–8085.

OMB Reviewer: Shagufta Ahmed, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; (202) 395–7873.

Dawn D. Wolfgang,

Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–7374 Filed 3–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–25–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center (SFVAMC) Institutional Master Plan

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 4331 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Requirements of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), VA's Implementing Regulations (38 CFR part 26), as well as the settlement agreement resulting from Planning Association for *Richmond, et al* v. *U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs,* C–06–02321–SBA (filed 6 June 2008), VA intends to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the proposed implementation of the SFVAMC Institutional Master Plan (IMP) in San Francisco, California. The SFVAMC IMP involves development and construction of patient care buildings, research buildings, business occupancy buildings, and parking structures, as well as retrofitting seismically deficient buildings. The EIS will address environmental issues associated with 945,000 square feet of new construction and approximately 500,000 square feet of retrofitted development to upgrade the SFVAMC for purposes of meeting the needs of Veterans of the North Coast and San Francisco Bay Area over the next 20 years.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit comments on or before April 29, 2011 to ensure full consideration during the scoping process.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to John Pechman, Facility Planner, San Francisco VA Medical Center (001), 4150 Clement Street, San Francisco, California 94121, or sent electronically to *John.Pechman@va.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Pechman, Facility Planner, SFVAMC at the address above or by telephone, (415) 221–4810. The SFVAMC IMP is available for viewing on the SFVAMC Web site: *http://www.sanfrancisco.va.gov/visitors/noi.asp.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: VA operates the SFVAMC, located at Fort Miley in San Francisco, California. It is the only VA medical center in the City and County of San Francisco and is considered an aging facility with need for retrofitting and expansion. The SFVAMC has identified a need for retrofitting existing buildings to the most recent seismic safety requirements and for an additional 945,000 square feet of medical facility space (in addition to the existing 1.02 million square feet of medical facility space) to meet the needs of San Francisco Bay Area and northern California coast Veterans over the next 20 years.

VA has identified four reasonable alternatives for evaluation in the EIS:

Alternative 1 involves the existing SFVAMC site, which is a 29-acre site located at Fort Miley in the northwestern portion of the City of San Francisco. The site is bounded by Clement Street on the south, Lincoln Park on the north and east, and the National Park Service on the west. Implementation of the SFVAMC Institutional Master Plan Alternative 1 at this site would include approximately 939,200 square feet of new and/or retrofitted development. This alternative would involve development or retrofitting of buildings for patient care, research, business occupancy, residential and parking structures.

Alternative 2 involves a combination of new development and renovation of existing buildings within the existing SFVAMC campus, and relocation of some aspects of the medical center to an alternate site within the City of San Francisco. This alternative may involve retrofit and development of clinical, research, and administrative buildings at the existing SFVAMC site and the construction of a new clinical ambulatory care center, medical research buildings, and parking structures at the new alternate site.

Alternative 3 involves construction and relocation of the entire medical center campus to an alternate site within the City of San Francisco. This alternative would include construction of approximately 1.9 million square feet of new health care, clinical, research, and administrative facilities, including a new ambulatory care center, inpatient and outpatient care, research, business