the design certification (DC) and combined license (COL) applications. The NRC staff issues DC/COL–ISGs to facilitate timely implementation of current staff guidance and to facilitate activities associated with NRC review of applications for DCs and COLs. The NRC staff intends to incorporate the final approved DC/COL–ISG–018 into the next revisions of NUREG–0800, SRP Section 17.4 and Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)," June 2007.

Disposition: On October 30, 2009, the NRC staff issued proposed DC/COL-ISG–018 on "Reliability Assurance Program," ADAMS Accession No. ML092290791. The staff received only editorial comments which were incorporated. However, the ISG was further discussed at public meetings held at the NRC during 2010. These public meetings primarily focused on the Tier 1 inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria for the COL RAP during the design stage, which is specified in the ISG. This final issuance incorporates clarifications that resulted from these public meetings. A document comparing the version of the ISG that was issued for public comments and the final version of the ISG can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML103010361.

ADDRESSES: The NRC maintains ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at *http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html*. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC Public Document Room reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301– 415–4737, or by e-mail at *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Todd A. Hilsmeier, Project Manager, PRA and Severe Accidents Branch, Division of Safety Systems & Risk Assessment, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555– 0001; telephone: 301–415–0525 or email: *Todd.Hilsmeier@nrc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agency posts its issued staff guidance in the agency external Web page (*http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/isg/*).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of March 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, **William F. Burton**,

Chief, Rulemaking and Guidance Development Branch, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactor. [FR Doc. 2011–7204 Filed 3–25–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0033; DC/COL-ISG-021]

Office of New Reactors; Final Interim Staff Guidance on the Review of Nuclear Power Plant Designs Using a Gas Turbine Driven Standby Emergency Alternating Current Power System

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The NRC staff is issuing its Final Interim Staff Guidance (ISG) DC/ COL-ISG-021 titled "Interim Staff Guidance on the Review of Nuclear Power Plant Designs Using a Gas Turbine Driven Standby Emergency Alternating Current Power System," Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML102510119 for DC/ COL-ISG-021 and ADAMS Accession No. ML102510164 for Attachment 1 to DC/COL-ISG-021. This ISG provides new guidance for applicants submitting a combined license (COL) or design certification (DC) application for new nuclear power reactors under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 52. In addition, it supplements the guidance provided to the NRC staff in NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," March 2007, Standard Review Plan (SRP), Section 8.3.1 and Sections 9.5.4 through 9.5.8. The NRC staff issues DC/COL–ISGs to facilitate activities associated with NRC review of applications for DCs and COLs. The NRC staff intends to incorporate DC/COL-ISG-021 into the next revision of SRP Section 8.3.1 and Sections 9.5.4 through 9.5.8 and Regulatory Guide 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)," June 2007.

Disposition: On February 3, 2010, the NRC staff issued proposed DC/COL– ISG–021 on "Review of Nuclear Power Plant Designs Using a Gas Turbine Driven Standby Emergency Alternating Current Power System," ADAMS Accession No. ML092640035. The NRC staff received comments on the proposed guidance. This final issuance resolves the majority of the comments. The NRC staff responses to these comments can be found in ADAMS Accession No. ML102510176.

ADDRESSES: The NRC maintains ADAMS, which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at *http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html*. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC Public Document Room reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301– 415–4737, or by e-mail at *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Samuel S. Lee, Chief, Balance of Plant Branch 2, Division of Safety Systems & Risk Assessment, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone at 301–415–0155 or e-mail at *samuel.lee@nrc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agency posts its issued staff guidance in the agency external Web page (*http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/isg/*).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of March 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, William F. Burton,

William F. Buiton,

Chief, Rulemaking and Guidance Development Branch, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactor. [FR Doc. 2011–7206 Filed 3–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-391; NRC-2008-0369]

Notice of Finding of No Significant Antitrust Changes and Time for Filing Requests for Reevaluation for Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, Spring City, TN

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 2; Notice of No Significant Antitrust Changes and Time for Filing Requests for Reevaluation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aaron Szabo, Financial Analyst, Financial Analysis and International Projects Branch, Division of Policy and Rulemaking, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001. Telephone: 301–415–1985; fax number: 301–415–2102; e-mail: *Aaron.Szabo@nrc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) has made a finding in accordance with Section 105c(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended, that no significant (antitrust) changes in the applicant's activities or proposed activities have occurred subsequent to the antitrust construction permit review of Unit 2 of the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN) by the U.S. Attorney General and the Commission.

Section 105c(2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, provides for an antitrust review of an operating license application, filed before August 8, 2005, if the Commission determines that significant changes in the applicant's activities or proposed activities have occurred subsequent to the previous construction permit review. The Commission has delegated the authority to make the "significant change" determination to the Director, NRR.

Tennessee Valley Authority's (TVA) application for construction permits and operating licenses for the WBN Units 1 and 2 have been the subject of 4 previous antitrust reviews. In connection with the construction permit review in 1972, the Acting Assistant Attorney General for Antitrust of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) found no antitrust problems that would require a hearing. In subsequent operating license reviews in 1979, 1983 and 1991, the NRC staff found "no significant changes" that would warrant an operating license antitrust review.

Based upon an examination of the events since the previous operating license review of TVA's activities conducted in 1991, the NRC staff has concluded, after consulting with the Department of Justice, that the changes that have occurred since the construction permit review are not of the nature to require a formal antitrust review at the operating license stage of the application.

II. Summary of Staff Analysis and Recommendation

In reaching this conclusion, the NRC staff considered the structure of the utility industry in the Tennessee Valley and adjacent areas, the events relevant to the construction permit review and the previous operating license reviews for WBN. Due to construction delays at the WBN facility, the staff reviewed TVA's activities in 1979, 1983, 1990, and again in 2010 to determine whether there have been changes in TVA's activities since the completion on the construction permit antitrust review in 1972 that would create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws. Several types of changes were identified in each of the earlier post construction permit reviews; however, it was determined that none of the changes resulted from abuse of TVA's market power.

In its review of TVA's activities in the 2010 operating license review, the staff again found no evidence of changed activity associated with abuse of its market power. In relation to TVA's customers, TVA projected a capacity shortfall based on previous long-term agreements, with or without the operation of WBN Unit 2, which would be inconsistent with a utility using anticompetitive behavior. In relation to building transmission, rate schedules and capacity additions other than from operation of WBN Unit 2, all of the developments were not atypical of a large utility and did not raise significant antitrust issues.

Further, in 1997, TVA reached a settlement agreement that defined the counterparties and arrangements under which TVA can only make exchange power arrangements with other power generating companies consistent with the provisions of the Tennessee Valley Authority Act of 1933, as amended (TVA Act). The region that TVA is allowed to supply and sell power is still dictated by Section 15d(a) of the TVA Act. But, TVA may now only engage in construction of generating capacity or purchase of generating capacity as it needs to supply power demands in its own service area and may not knowingly exchange power if the purchaser is procuring power for the purpose of reselling such power at wholesale to any third party not authorized to exchange power with the TVA.

As a result, the NRC staff does not believe that any changed activity attributed to TVA since the 1979 operating license review is "significant" in terms of the Commission's V.C. Summer decision (see Commission Memorandum and Order CLI–80–28, dated June 30, 1980, at 11 NRC 817). The staff recommended that the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation find that "no significant changes" have occurred in TVA's activities since the previous antitrust operating license review completed in 1991.

III. Finding of No Significant Changes

On the basis of the staff's analysis and recommendation, the Director of NRR has concluded in his decision dated March 21, 2011, that there have been no "significant changes" in the applicant's activities or proposed activities since the completion of the previous antitrust review.

IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for an operating license and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html*. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are:

Accession No.	Document description
	TVA letter of July 29, 2010, Response to request for additional information. DOJ letter dated December 16, 2010, with no antitrust problems.

If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) 4 Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301– p

415–4737 or by e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.*

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Any person whose interest may be affected by this finding, may file, with full particulars, a request for reevaluation with the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 within 30 days of the initial publication of this notice for the Federal Register. Request for reevaluation of the no significant change determination shall be accepted after the date when the Director's finding becomes final, but before the issuance of the operating license only if they contain new information, such as information about facts or events of antitrust significance that have occurred since that date or information that could not reasonably have been admitted prior to that date.

A copy of the director's decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review. The director's decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 30 days after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the director's decision in that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of March 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Stephen J. Campbell,

Chief, Watts Bar Special Projects Branch, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–7213 Filed 3–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-271; NRC-2011-0067]

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.; Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station; Notice of Issuance of Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–28 for an Additional 20-Year Period; Record of Decision

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC of the Commission) has issued Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–28 to Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC (Entergy VY), and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (ENO), (licensee), the operator of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (VYNPS). Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–28 authorizes operation of VYNPS by the licensee at reactor core power levels not in excess of 1912 megawatts thermal (650 megawatts electric), in accordance with the provisions of the VYNPS renewed license and its technical specifications.

The notice also serves as the record of decision for the renewal of Facility Operating License No. DPR–28, consistent with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Section 51.103 (10 CFR 51.103). As discussed in the final supplemental environmental impact statement for VYNPS, dated August 2007, the Commission has considered a range of reasonable alternatives that included the no-action alternative. The factors considered in the record of decision can be found in the supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS) for VYNPS.

VYNPS is a boiling water reactor located five miles south of Brattleboro, Vermont. The application for the renewed license complied with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. As required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR chapter 1, the Commission has made appropriate findings, which are set forth in the renewed license. Prior public notice of the Commission considering the license renewal application (LRA) and of an opportunity for a hearing regarding the LRA was published in the Federal Register on March 27, 2006 (71 FR 15220).

For further details with respect to this action, see: (1) Entergy VY and ENO, LRA for VYNPS dated January 25, 2006, as supplemented by letters dated through February 21, 2008; (2) the Commission's safety evaluation report (SER) (NUREG-1907), published in May 2008; (3) Supplements 1 and 2 to the SER, published in September 2009 and March 2011; (4) the licensee's updated safety analysis report; and (5) the Commission's final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 30), for VYNPS, published on August 1, 2007. These documents are available at the NRC's Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, and can be viewed from the NRC Public Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html.

Copies of the Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–28, may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Director, Division of License Renewal. Copies of

the VYNPS SER (NUREG-1907), supplemental SER, and the final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 30) may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161 (http://www.ntis.gov), 703–605–6000, or Attention: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15250–7954 (http:// www.gpoaccess.gov), 202-512-1800. All orders should clearly identify the NRC publication number and the requestor's Government Printing Office deposit account number or VISA or MasterCard number and expiration date.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of March, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bo M. Pham,

Chief, Projects Branch 1, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–7218 Filed 3–25–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Submission of OMB Review; Comments Request

AGENCY: Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC)

ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to publish a Notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the Agency has prepared an information collection request for OMB review and approval and has requested public review and comment on the submission. Comments are being solicited on the need for the information; the accuracy of the Agency's burden estimate; the quality, practical utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the reporting burden, including automated collection techniques and uses of other forms of technology. The proposed form under review, OPIC form 115, is summarized below, OMB-3240-1115.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 27, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer. Comments on the form should be submitted to the Agency Submitting Officer.