confine your comments to issues for which we seek comments in this notice, and explain the basis for your comments. Include sufficient information with your comments to allow us to authenticate any scientific or commercial data you include.

The comments and recommendations that will be most useful and likely to influence agency decisions are: (1) Those supported by quantitative information or studies; and (2) Those that include citations to, and analyses of, the applicable laws and regulations. We will not consider or include in our administrative record comments we receive after the close of the comment period (*see* **DATES**) or comments delivered to an address other than those listed above (*see* **ADDRESSES**).

B. May I review comments submitted by others?

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the address listed under ADDRESSES. The public may review documents and other information applicants have sent in support of the application unless our allowing viewing would violate the Privacy Act or Freedom of Information Act. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

II. Background

To help us carry out our conservation responsibilities for affected species, the Endangered Species Act of 1973, section 10(a)(1)(A), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), require that we invite public comment before final action on these permit applications.

III. Permit Applications

A. Endangered Species

Applicant: Tom Stehn, Whooping Crane Recovery Plan Coordinator, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 2, Austwell, TX, PRT–013808

The applicant requests renewal of a permit to import captive-bred/captivehatched and wild live specimens, captive-bred/wild-collected viable eggs, biological samples from captive-bred/ wild specimens, and salvaged materials from captive-bred/wild specimens of whooping cranes (*Grus americana*) from Canada, for completion of identified tasks and objectives mandated under the Whooping Crane Recovery Plan. Salvage materials may include, but are not limited to, whole or partial specimens, feathers, eggs and egg shell fragments. This notification covers activities to be conducted by the applicant over a 5year period.

Applicant: Rosamond Gifford Zoo at Burnet Park, Syracuse, NY; PRT– 28295A

The applicant requests a permit to reimport two captive born female Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) and one captive born male Asian elephant from African Lion Safari and Game Farm, Ontario, Canada for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

Âpplicant: Ronald Grubbs, Cuthbert, GA; PRT–37429A

The applicant requests a permit to import a sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus pygargus*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

Dated: March 11, 2011.

Brenda Tapia, Program Analyst/Data Administrator, Branch of Permits, Division of Management Authority.

[FR Doc. 2011–6377 Filed 3–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[WY-923-1310-FI; WYW160429]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease WYW160429, Wyoming

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) received a petition for reinstatement from Rock Well Petroleum (US) Inc. for oil and gas lease WYW160429 for land in Natrona County, Wyoming. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management, Julie L. Weaver, Chief, Fluid Minerals Adjudication, at (307) 775–6176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The lessee has agreed to the amended lease terms

for rentals and royalties at rates of \$10 per acre or fraction thereof, per year and 16-²/₃ percent, respectively. The lessee has paid the required \$500 administrative fee and \$163 to reimburse the Department for the cost of this Federal Register notice. The lessee has met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Sections 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Lands Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), and the BLM is proposing to reinstate lease WYW160429 effective June 1, 2010, under the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above. The BLM has not issued a valid lease to any other interest affecting the lands.

Julie L. Weaver,

Chief, Fluid Minerals Adjudication. [FR Doc. 2011–6211 Filed 3–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLNVL01000

L51010000.ER0000.LVRWF09F1640 241A; N–82076; 11–08807; MO#4500019905; TAS: 14X5017]

Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the One Nevada Transmission Line (ON Line) Project, Nevada

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) to authorize the granting of Rights-of-Way (ROW) for the construction of the One Nevada Transmission Line (ON Line) Project. Lands described in the ROW authorizations are located within the BLM Elv District Office's management area in White Pine, Nye, and Lincoln counties, Nevada; and the BLM Southern Nevada District in Clark County, Nevada. The Ely District Office was designated the project lead by the BLM Nevada State Director. The Elv District Manager has signed the ROD, which constitutes the final decision of the BLM. The appeal period for this decision will end 30 days after publication of this notice in accordance with 43 CFR 4.411(a).

ADDRESSES: Printed copies of the ROD or electronic files on compact disk are available upon request from the Field Manager, Egan Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, HC 33 Box 33500, Ely, Nevada 89301. The ROD is also available at the following Web site: http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/ ely_field_office. Printed copies of the ROD are available for public inspection at several locations listed in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice.

A limited number of copies of the document will be available at the following BLM offices:

- —Las Vegas District Office, 4701 North Torrey Pines, Las Vegas, Nevada;
- -Ely District Office, 702 North
- Industrial Way, Ely, Nevada; and —Nevada State Office, 1340 Financial Boulevard, Reno, Nevada.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Metcalf, telephone: (775) 289– 1852, or e-mail: *doris_metcalf@blm.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Printed copies of the ROD are available for public inspection at the following locations:

- —University of Nevada-Reno, Getchell Library, Government Publication Dept., Reno, Nevada;
- —Washoe County Library, 301 South Center Street, Reno, Nevada;
- —White Pine County Library, 950 Campton Street, Ely, Nevada;
- —Nye County Library, 167 S. Central Street, Tonopah, Nevada;
- —Lincoln County Library, 63 Main Street, Pioche, Nevada; and
- —Clark County Library, 1401 E. Flamingo Rd., Las Vegas, Nevada.

The main issues addressed in the Final EIS were visual resources, biological resources, lands with wilderness characteristics, and socioeconomic effects. Three alternatives were analyzed in the Final EIS: (1) The Proposed Action, in which the power line would be located largely within West-Wide Energy Corridors; (2) an alternative alignment within the corridors; and (3) no Action, which would be to not authorize the ROW.

After careful consideration of many factors, including the need to provide electricity to the Western United States and strengthening and stabilizing the economies of White Pine, Nye, Lincoln, and Clark Counties, and balancing those factors with the need to protect air, visual and biological resources, the BLM has selected the agency preferred alternative. The BLM's preferred alternative will approve all of the facilities described in the Proposed Action, including the transmission-line route, except the R-SS-Site B subalternative, including the access road and Falcon-Gondor loop-ins will replace the Robinson Summit Substation components. Various site-specific

applicant-committed mitigation measures will be implemented at the development stage to protect other resources and uses.

Comments on the ON Line Draft Supplemental EIS received from the public, cooperating agencies and internal BLM reviewers were incorporated into the Final EIS. The comments resulted in corrections, clarifying text, and the addition of new text used in the analysis of impacts. The ON Line Final EIS addresses impacts of the power line on private lands and those administered by the BLM.

This decision is subject to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA) as provided in 43 CFR part 4, within 30 days from the date of publication of this Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**. The ROD contains instructions for filing an appeal with the IBLA.

The ROD for this project addresses only BLM's decisions for public lands and resources administered by BLM.

Rosemary Thomas,

District Manager, Ely District Office. [FR Doc. 2011–6461 Filed 3–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Graftech International Ltd. and Seadrift Coke, L.P.; Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby publishes below the comment received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States* v. *GrafTech International Ltd. and Seadrift Coke, L.P.,* Civil Action No. 1:10–CV–02039, which was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on March 3, 2011, together with the response of the United States to the comment.

Copies of the comments and the response are available for inspection at the Department of Justice Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (*telephone:* 202–514–2481), on the Department of Justice's Web site at *http://www.usdoj.gov/atr*, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

GRAFTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD. and

SEADRIFT COKE L.P. Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:10-cv-02039 JUDGE: Collyer, Rosemary M. DECK TYPE: Antitrust DATE STAMP: March 3, 2011

Response of Plaintiff United States to Public Comment on the Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h) ("APPA" or "Tunney Act"), the United States hereby responds to the public comment received regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case. After careful consideration of the comment submitted, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this response have been published in the Federal Register, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d).

The United States filed a civil antitrust complaint on November 29, 2010, seeking to enjoin GrafTech International Ltd.'s ("GrafTech") proposed acquisition of Seadrift Coke L.P. ("Seadrift"). The Complaint alleged that the acquisition likely would substantially lessen competition in the worldwide sale of petroleum needle coke used to manufacture graphite electrodes, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. That loss of competition likely would result in higher prices, reduced output and less favorable terms of sale in the global petroleum needle coke market.

Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment, which is designed to remedy the expected anticompetitive effects of the acquisition, and a Stipulation signed by the plaintiffs and the defendants, consenting to the entry of the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the requirements of the Tunney Act, 15 U.S.C. 16. Pursuant to those