

interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹²

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2011-022 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2011-022. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only

information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2011-022 and should be submitted on or before April 8, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Cathy H. Ahn,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-6301 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: 30-day notice of submission of information collection approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process to seek feedback from the public on service delivery, the SSA has submitted a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery" to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Your comments would be most useful if OMB and SSA receive them within 30 days from the date of this publication. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than April 18, 2011. Mail, email, or fax your comments and recommendations to the OMB Desk Officer and SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following addresses or fax numbers.

(OMB), Office of Management and Budget, Attn: Desk Officer for SSA, Fax: 202-395-6974, E-mail address: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

(SSA), Social Security Administration, DCBFBM, Attn: Reports Clearance Officer, 1333 Annex Building, 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21235, Fax: 410-965-6400, E-mail address: OPLM.RCO@ssa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

Abstract: The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient,

timely manner, in accordance with SSA's commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback, we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training, or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative, and actionable communications between the agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.

Feedback we collect under this generic clearance will provide useful information, but it will not yield data that we can generalize to the overall population. We will not use this type of generic clearance (qualitative information) for quantitative information collections designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address the target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms designed to yield quantitative results.

The agency received no comments in response to the 60-day notice published in the **Federal Register** of December 22, 2010 (75 FR 80542).

Below we provide SSA's projected average estimates for the next three years:¹

¹ The 60-day notice included the following estimate of the aggregate burden hours for this generic clearance federal-wide:

Average Expected Annual Number of activities: 25,000.

Average number of Respondents per Activity: 200.

Annual responses: 5,000,000.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

¹² For purposes of calculating the 60-day period within which the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change under Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act, the Commission considers the period to commence on March 9, 2011, the date on which the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1. See 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Current Actions: New collection of information.

Type of Review: New collection.

Affected Public: Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: 125.

Respondents: 1,604,168.

Annual responses: 1,604,168 responses.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Average minutes per response: 5.46545 minutes.

Burden hours: 146,125 hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Dated: March 15, 2011.

Faye Lipsky,

Reports Clearance Officer, Center for Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-6452 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 7371]

Office of the Chief of Protocol; Gifts to Federal Employees From Foreign Government Sources Reported by Employing Agencies in Calendar Year 2009; Correction

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice; Correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of State published a document in the **Federal Register** of January 18, 2011 concerning Gifts to Federal Employees from Foreign Government Sources Reported to Employing Agencies in Calendar Year 2009. The document contained the incorrect title of a foreign dignitary.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Solomon, Office of the Chief of Protocol (202) 647-1333/Solomonda@State.gov.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of January 18, 2011 in FR Vol. 76, No. 11, page 2983, in the third entry in the third column under "Identity of foreign donor and government", the title of the President of the Constitutional Court of Korea is incorrect and should be changed from "President Kang-Kook Lee, Constitutional Court of Korea,

Democratic People's Republic of Korea" to read: "President Kang-Kook Lee, Constitutional Court of Korea, Republic of Korea".

Dated: March 14, 2011.

Patrick F. Kennedy,

Under Secretary for Management, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011-6457 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-10-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice: 7324]

Advisory Committee on International Postal and Delivery Services

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice; FACA Committee meeting announcement.

SUMMARY: As required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, the Department of State gives notice of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on International Postal and Delivery Services. This Committee has been formed in fulfillment of the provisions of the 2006 Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (Pub. L. 109-435) and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATES: April 7, 2011 from 2 p.m. to about 5 p.m. (open to the public).

Location: The American Institute of Architects (Boardroom), 1735 New York Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20006.

Meeting agenda: The agenda of the meeting will include a review of the results of the October 2010 UPU Council of Administration, the major issues to arise at the April 2011 UPU Postal Operations Council and other subjects related to international postal and delivery services of interest to Advisory Committee members and the public.

Public input: Any member of the public interested in providing public input to the meeting should contact Mr. Mohammed Nauage, whose contact information is listed below. Each individual providing oral input is requested to limit his or her comments to five minutes. Requests to be added to the speaker list must be received in writing (letter, e-mail or fax) prior to the close of business on March 31, 2011; written comments from members of the public for distribution at this meeting must reach Mr. Nauage by letter, e-mail or fax by this same date. A member of the public requesting reasonable accommodation should make the request to Mr. Nauage by that same date.

For further information, please contact Mohammed Nauage, Office of

Global Systems (IO/GS), Bureau of International Organization Affairs, U.S. Department of State, at (202) 647-1044, NauageM@state.gov.

Dated: February 18, 2011.

Dennis M. Delehanty,

Foreign Affairs Officer, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011-6454 Filed 3-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-19-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0831]

Airport Improvement Program (AIP): Interim Policy Regarding Access to Airports From Residential Property

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

ACTION: Interim policy; amendment to sponsor grant assurance 5.

SUMMARY: This action adopts an interim policy amending and clarifying FAA policy concerning through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport from an adjacent or nearby property, when that property is used as a residence, and permits continuation of existing access subject to certain standards. This action also modifies sponsor grant assurance 5, Preserving Rights and Powers, to prohibit new residential through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport. Prior FAA policy discouraged through-the-fence access to a federally-obligated airport from an off-airport residence. Owners of properties used both as a residence and for the storage of personal aircraft, sometimes called "hangar homes," had urged the agency to permit an exception to the through-the-fence policy for residents who own aircraft.

At this time, the FAA is adopting an interim policy. The policy review conducted in 2010 highlighted a number of differences among the airports identified as having residential through-the-fence arrangements. As a result, the FAA believes it will take more time and more detailed information to better understand these arrangements and how they impact each airport sponsor's ability to comply with its grant assurances. However, the agency also acknowledges that interested stakeholders have a more immediate need for resolution. The goal of the interim policy is to strike a careful balance by accommodating residential through-the-fence access where it already exists.

To date, the FAA has not been able to clearly define the specific criteria or

Average minutes per response: 30.

Burden hours: 2,500,000.