

(4) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete, and that each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of March 2011.

**Ivan Strasfeld,**

*Director of Exemption Determinations,  
Employee Benefits Security Administration,  
U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2011-5911 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employee Benefits Security Administration

[Application Number D-11638]

#### Withdrawal of the Notice of Proposed Exemption Involving Owens & Minor, Inc. (the Applicant), Located in Mechanicsville, VA

In the December 16, 2010 issue of the *Federal Register*, at 75 FR 78772, the Department of Labor published a notice of proposed exemption from the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and from certain taxes imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The notice of proposed exemption, if granted, would have permitted the sale of certain shares in a hedge fund by the Owens & Minor, Inc. Pension Plan to the applicant.

By e-mail dated February 8, 2011, the applicant requested that the application for exemption be withdrawn.

Accordingly, the notice of proposed exemption is hereby withdrawn.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of March 2011.

**Ivan L. Strasfeld,**

*Director, Office of Exemption Determinations,  
Employee Benefits Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-5913 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,441A]

#### Quad Tech, Inc., Sussex, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated February 7, 2011, a worker requested administrative

reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Quad Tech, Inc., Sussex, Wisconsin (TA-W-73,441A) (subject firm). The determination was issued on January 4, 2011. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the *Federal Register* on January 26, 2011 (76 FR 4729). The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of magazines and catalogs. Specifically, the workers of the subject firm provide steel stackers and equipment for printers to affiliated locations.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that, with regards to workers covered by TA-W-73,441A, Quad Graphics did not shift to or acquire from a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject workers; that there were no increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm during the relevant period; and that the workers are not adversely-affected secondary workers.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that "work here decreased from work being sent elsewhere (India)" and "shift from our firm to India with silo work."

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of February 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-5932 Filed 3-14-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Proposed Collection of Information for an Evaluation of the Young Parents Demonstration Project (YPDP); Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) [44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)(A)]. The program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of the collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

The proposed information collection is for an evaluation of the YPDP. The YPDP is sponsored by ETA to test innovative strategies that can improve the skills and education of young parents and, ultimately their employment and earnings.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee's section below on or before May 16, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of this proposed information collection request may be obtained by contacting Savi Swick at 202-693-3382 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: [swick.savi@dol.gov](mailto:swick.savi@dol.gov). Comments are to be submitted to Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration, *Attn:* Savi Swick, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., (Room N-5641) Washington, DC 20210). Written comments may be transmitted by facsimile to 202-693-2766 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mailed to [swick.savi@dol.gov](mailto:swick.savi@dol.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The proposed information collection is for an evaluation of the YPDP. The YPDP is sponsored by ETA to test innovative strategies that can improve the skills and education of young parents and, ultimately their employment and earnings.

The YPDP grantees are required to develop a “bump-up” intervention providing an additional level of services above and beyond the existing services currently provided that are specifically intended to increase an individual’s education, job training and employment. A key factor in the bump-up design is having a single, persistent intervention for the treatment group that is substantially different from what the control group receives. Each of the grantees is implementing one of the following two bump-up interventions:

- *Mentoring Models*—Intensive professional staff mentoring specifically for education, employment, and training; and specifically for pregnant and parenting teens and young parents; or
- *Employment/Education/Training Models*—Guided employment, education, training and related supports specifically for pregnant and parenting teens and young parents.<sup>1</sup>

Individuals enrolling in YPDP have a 50/50 chance of receiving this additional level of services. Those individuals not receiving the bump up services receive the existing services offered by the grantee. To evaluate the YPDP bump-up interventions, education, employment, and other outcomes of the two groups will be

compared over time. The evaluation will estimate the success in providing educational and occupational skills training that fosters family economic self-sufficiency to young parents (both mothers and fathers) and expectant parents ages 16–24.

**II. Desired Focus of Comments**

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**III. Current Actions**

This proposed information collection will involve (1) collecting participant data from organizations that received grants under the YPDP; (2) conducting semi-structured interviews with key administrators and staff in the demonstration projects to document the structure and implementation of the demonstration intervention; and (3) conducting a follow-up survey of YPDP participants.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Type of Review:* New Collection.

*Title of Collection:* The Evaluation Of The Young Parents Demonstration.

*OMB Control Number:* 1205–ONEW.

*Affected Public:* Young parents, community-based organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 9,176.

*Frequency:* Once per application during site visit interviews and follow-up surveys, six times during Participant Tracking System data collection.

*Total Estimated Annual Responses:* 6,711.

*Estimated Average Time per Response:* 38 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,854.

*Total Estimated Annual Cost Burden (excluding hour costs):* \$110,195.

Data collection activity	Number of respondents	Total burden hours	Average hourly wage	Total annualized cost
PTS—Data Collection .....	4,102	4,102	\$18.76	\$76,954
Site Visit Interviews .....	144	108	22.21	2,399
12-Month Survey .....	2,465	822	18.76	15,421
30-Month Survey .....	2,465	822	18.76	15,421
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>9,176</b>	<b>5,854</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>110,195</b>

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and may be included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the final information collection request. The comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 9, 2011.

**Jane Oates,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011–6010 Filed 3–14–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA–W–70,123]

**Electrolux Home Products, Inc.,  
Electrolux Major Appliances Division  
Including On-Site Leased Workers  
From Per Mar Security and Nussbaum  
Transportation; Webster City, IA;  
Amended Certification Regarding  
Eligibility To Apply for Worker  
Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor

issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 25, 2009, applicable to workers of Electrolux Home Products, Inc., Electrolux Major Appliances Division, Webster City, Iowa. The workers produce laundry equipment. The notice as published in the **Federal Register** on August 19, 2009 (74 FR 41935). The notice was amended on January 21, 2011 to include on-site leased workers from Per Mar Security. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 2011 (76 FR 5832–5833).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, “Young Parents Demonstration Program (YPDP) SGA/DFA PY 08–08,” **Federal Register**, Vol. 73, No. 193, October 3,

2008 (available over the Internet at: <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2008/pdf/E8–23319.pdf>). This notice also provides additional background on the demonstration effort, grant requirements, and

the structure of the “bump-up” interventions to be offered by YPDP grantees.