

proposes three additional magnet sites (Sites 6–8);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 51752–51753, 8/23/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 72 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 2–3, 6–8 and 12–13 if not activated by March 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 9–11 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by March 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of March, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–5389 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1746]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 46 Under Alternative Site Framework; Cincinnati, OH

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Greater Cincinnati Foreign-Trade Zone Inc., grantee of

Foreign-Trade Zone 46, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 41–2010, filed 5/21/2010) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Hamilton, Butler, Warren, Brown and Clermont Counties, Ohio, in and adjacent to the Cincinnati Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 46's existing Sites 3, 4 and 5 would be categorized as magnet sites, and the grantee proposes an initial usage-driven site (Site 6);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 30774–30775, 6/2/2010) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 46 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 3 and 5 if not activated by March 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Site 6 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a *bona fide* customs purpose by March 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of March 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: _____

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–5391 Filed 3–8–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 9, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Toni Page, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–0197 or (202) 482–1398, respectively.

Background

On December 21, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the preliminary results of the new shipper review under the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India for SRF Limited (SRF) covering the period July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 81570 (December 28, 2010) (*Preliminary Results*). The final results of review are currently due March 21, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1), provide that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated, and the final results of review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, the Department may extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and the 90-day period to 150 days. *See* 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated because of questions that arose after the *Preliminary Results* concerning the *bona fides* of the new