Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 76, No. 44

Monday, March 7, 2011

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committees on Collaborative Governance, Regulation, Rulemaking, Judicial Review, and Adjudication

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of public meetings of five committees of the Assembly of the Administrative Conference of the United States (ACUS). Each committee will consider a research report and will prepare recommendations on the subject of the report for consideration by the full Conference. Complete details regarding each committee's meeting, related research reports, how to attend (including information about remote access and obtaining special accommodations for persons with disabilities), and how to submit comments to the committee can be found in the "Research" section of the ACUS Web site, http://www.acus.gov.

Comments may be submitted by e-mail to *Comments@acus.gov*, with the name of the relevant committee in the subject line, or by postal mail to "[Name of Committee] Comments" at the address given below.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at 1120 20th Street, NW., Suite 706 South, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Designated Federal Officer for the individual committee, ACUS, Suite 706 South, 1120 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036; Telephone 202–480–2080.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Committee on Collaborative Governance

The Committee on Collaborative Governance will meet to consider a report by Professor James T. O'Reilly of the University of Cincinnati College of Law concerning the "Federal Advisory Committee Act in the 21st Century." The objective of this study is to consider possible recommendations for improvements of the Act, particularly in light of technological and social developments since its passage.

Date: Wednesday, March 23, 2011, from 1 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.

Designated Federal Officer: David M. Pritzker.

Committee on Regulation

The Committee on Regulation will consider a report dealing with the timing, availability, confidentiality, and impact of comments submitted during agency rulemakings, as well as agencies' duty to reply to such comments. The consultant for this study is Professor Steven J. Balla of The George Washington University.

Date: Thursday, March 24, 2011, from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Designated Federal Officer: Reeve T. Bull.

Committee on Rulemaking

The Committee on Rulemaking will consider a report on the legal issues agencies face in e-Rulemaking. The report was prepared by ACUS staff member Bridget C.E. Dooling.

Date: Friday, March 25, 2011, from 9 a.m. to 12 noon.

Designated Federal Officer: Emily F. Schleicher.

Committee on Judicial Review

The Committee on Judicial Review will consider a report dealing with possible solutions to the procedural trap posed by 28 U.S.C. 1500, a statute that regulates the Court of Federal Claims' jurisdiction over claims pending in other courts. The report was prepared by ACUS staff members Emily F. Schleicher and Jonathan R. Siegel.

Date: Monday, March 28, 2011, from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Designated Federal Officer: Reeve T. Bull.

Committee on Adjudication

The Committee on Adjudication will consider a report by ACUS staff member Funmi E. Olorunnipa regarding the use of video hearings by Federal agencies, which examines the costs and benefits of video hearings as they are currently being used and the possibilities for expansion of use by Federal agencies.

Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2011, from 9 a.m. to 12 noon.

Designated Federal Officer: Funmi E. Olorunnipa.

Dated: March 2, 2011.

Jonathan R. Siegel,

Director of Research & Policy.

[FR Doc. 2011–5062 Filed 3–4–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6110-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

March 1, 2011.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB),

OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Food and Nutrition Service

Title: In-depth Case Studies of Advanced Modernization Initiatives. OMB Control Number: 0584-0547. Summary of Collection: The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a critical source of support for many low-income families and individuals. In recent years, states have implemented new procedures and policies in order to reduce SNAP administrative costs while maintaining or improving program access. These changes often referred to as modernization-incorporate technology, administrative restructuring, community partnering, and policy simplification. Together, the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, which amended Section 11 of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, 7 U.S.C. 2020, and the Food Nutrition Act of 2008, which amended Section 17 of 7 U.S.C. 2026, authorizes the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) to develop standards for identifying major operational changes, require States to provide any information required by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and authorizes FNS to undertake research that will help improve the administration and effectiveness of SNAP.

Need and Use of the Information: Information for the In-depth Case Studies will build on the findings from a previous data collection effort, Enhancing Food Stamp Certification: Food Stamp Modernization Efforts. To obtain a detailed and comprehensive view of the implementation of SNAP modernization initiatives, data will be collected via in-person interviews, focus group discussions, and through administrative case records, application statistics, performance data, and other relevant materials. The project has seven research objectives: (1) Update the existing state profiles of modernization efforts and identify the geographic and caseload coverage affected by modernization changes; (2) describe how key certification, recertification, and case management functions have changed; (3) describe the current roles and responsibilities of state and local SNAP staff, vendors, and partners and how they have changed; (4) document the relationship between SNAP modernization initiatives and stakeholder satisfaction; (5) describe the current performance of each state's modernization initiatives and the level of outcome variability within each state; (6) compare performance before, during, and after modernization; and (7) document the main takeaway points for use by other states and for future study

consideration. Without the detailed case study, FNS would need to rely on the states' general statements regarding program operations and aggregate statistics on modernization initiatives, resulting in an incomplete understanding of how modernization has affected the implementation of SNAP.

Description of Respondents: Individual or households; not-for-profit institutions; business or other for-profit; State, Local or Tribal Government. Number of Respondents: 1,353.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Other (one time only) Total Burden Hours: 1.802.

Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–5005 Filed 3–4–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Madera County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Madera County Resource Advisory Committee will be meeting in North Fork, California on March 9th and March 16th, 2011. The purpose of these meetings will be to discuss and approve submitted proposals for funding as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 110–343) for expenditure of Payments to States Madera County Title II funds. DATES: The meetings will be held on March 9th, and March 16th, 2011 from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. in North Fork,

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Bass Lake Ranger District, 57003 Road 225, North Fork, California, 93643. Send written comments to Julie Roberts, Madera County Resource Advisory Committee Coordinator, c/o Sierra National Forest, Bass Lake Ranger District, at the above address, or electronically to jaroberts@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Roberts, Madera County Resource Advisory Committee Coordinator, (559) 877–2218 ext. 3159.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meetings are open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring Payments to States Madera

County Title II project matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meetings.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

Dave Martin,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 2011-5084 Filed 3-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The El Dorado County Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Placerville, California. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110– 343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The RAC will deliberate and recommend projects for the Forest Supervisor's approval.

DATES: The meeting will be held on March 21, 2011 beginning at 6 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the El Dorado Center of Folsom Lake College, Community Room, 6699 Campus Drive, Placerville, CA 95667.

Written comments should be sent to Frank Mosbacher; Forest Supervisor's Office; 100 Forni Road; Placerville, CA 95667. Comments may also be sent via email to fmosbacher@fs.fed.us, or via facsimile to 530–621–5297.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received at 100 Forni Road; Placerville, CA 95667. Visitors are encouraged to call ahead to 530–622–5061 to facilitate entry into the building.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frank Mosbacher, Public Affairs Officer, Eldorado National Forest Supervisors Office, (530) 621–5268. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: The RAC will deliberate and recommend projects for the Forest Supervisor's approval. More information will be posted on the