Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) and (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of drill pipe and drill collars from China, provided for in subheadings 7304.22, 7304.23, and 8431.43 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that the U.S. Department of Commerce has determined are subsidized and sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV").²³

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective December 31, 2009, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by VAM Drilling USA Inc., Houston, TX; Rotary Drilling Tools, Beasley, TX; Texas Steel Conversions, Inc., Houston, TX; TMK IPSCO, Downers Grove, IL; and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC, Pittsburgh, PA. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of drill pipe and drill collars from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on September 9, 2010 (75 FR 54912). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 5, 2011, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on February 24, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4213 (February 2011), entitled Drill Pipe and Drill Collars from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–474 and 731–TA–1176 (Final). By order of the Commission. Issued: February 24, 2011. William R. Bishop, Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–4713 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1071–1072 (Review)]

Magnesium From China and Russia

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines. pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission also determines,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on magnesium from Russia would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.³

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2010 (75 FR 9252) and determined on June 4, 2010 that it would conduct full reviews (75 FR 35086, June 21, 2010). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on August 10, 2010 (75 FR 48360). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 7, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on February 24, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4214 (February 2011), entitled Magnesium from China and Russia: Investigation Nos.731–TA–1071–1072 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 24, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–4729 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *In Re Certain Vaginal Ring Birth Control Devices,* DN 2789; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http:// www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint filed on behalf of Femina Pharma Incorporated on February 25, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain Vaginal Ring Birth Control Devices. The complaint

² Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun, Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson, and Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff dissenting.

³ Vice Chairman Irving A. Williamson, Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane, and Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert determine that they would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.

 $^{^1}$ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

²Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane dissenting. ³Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert did not participate in these reviews.

names as respondents Merck & Co., Inc. of Whitehouse, NJ; Schering Plough Corporation of Kenilworth, NJ; Organon USA, Inc. of Roseland, NJ; N.V. Organon of Oss, Netherlands; CVS Caremark Corporation of Woonsocket, RI; CVS Pharmacy, Inc. of Woonsocket, RI; Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. of Betonville, AZ; Walgreens Co. of Deerfield, IL; The Canamerican Drugs Inc. of Winnipeg, Canada; The Canamerican Global Inc. of Winnipeg, Canada; Canadian Med Service of Winnipeg, Canada; Panther Meds Inc. of Winnipeg, Canada; Canada Drugs Online of British Columbia, Canada; Drug World Canada of British Columbia, Canada; CanDrug Health Solutions Inc. of British Columbia, Canada; Big Mountain Drugs of British Columbia, Canada; BestBuyRx.com of British Columbia, Canada; Blue Sky Drugs of British Columbia, Canada; ABC Online Pharmacy of Burnaby, Canada; Canadadrugs.com LP of Winnipeg, Canada; North Drug Store of Winnipeg, Canada; and Canada Pharmacy of Blaine, WA.

The complainant, proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five pages in length, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint. Comments should address whether issuance of an exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order in this investigation would negatively affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the potential orders;

(iii) Indicate the extent to which like or directly competitive articles are produced in the United States or are otherwise available in the United States, with respect to the articles potentially subject to the orders; and

(iv) Indicate whether Complainant, Complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to an exclusion order and a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, five business days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2789") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. The Commission's rules authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/ secretary/fed reg notices/rules/ documents/

handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. *See* 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 25, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–4732 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 17, 2011, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *JELD–WEN*, *Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:10–CV–494–PA, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. In this action the United States sought the reimbursement of past costs incurred at the Circle DE Lumber Site in Klamath Falls, Oregon. Under the proposed consent decree, JELD–WEN has agreed to pay the United States \$700,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to *pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov* or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *JELD–WEN*, *inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:10, DOJ Ref. 90–11–3–09471/1.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ *Consent Decrees.html.* A copy of the decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 2011–4762 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 25, 2011, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *SKF USA Inc., Crane Co., and Osram Sylvania, Inc.,* Civil Action No. 3:09–cv–00174, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

The proposed consent decree resolves claims that the United States filed under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, for reimbursement of costs incurred and to be incurred in connection with