Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) and (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports of drill pipe and drill collars from China, provided for in subheadings 7304.22, 7304.23, and 8431.43 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that the U.S. Department of Commerce has determined are subsidized and sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV").23

Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective December 31, 2009, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by VAM Drilling USA Inc., Houston, TX; Rotary Drilling Tools, Beasley, TX; Texas Steel Conversions, Inc., Houston, TX; TMK IPSCO, Downers Grove, IL; and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC, Pittsburgh, PA. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of drill pipe and drill collars from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on September 9, 2010 (75 FR 54912). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 5, 2011, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on February 24, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4213 (February 2011), entitled Drill Pipe and Drill Collars from China: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–474 and 731–TA–1176 (Final).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 24, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–4713 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1071–1072 (Review)]

Magnesium From China and Russia

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines. pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission also determines,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on magnesium from Russia would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.3

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2010 (75 FR 9252) and determined on June 4, 2010 that it would conduct full reviews (75 FR 35086, June 21, 2010). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on August 10, 2010 (75 FR 48360). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on December 7, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on February 24, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4214

(February 2011), entitled *Magnesium* from China and Russia: Investigation Nos.731–TA–1071–1072 (Review).

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 24, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–4729 Filed 3–2–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *In Re Certain Vaginal Ring Birth Control Devices*, DN 2789; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James R. Holbein, Acting Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint filed on behalf of Femina Pharma Incorporated on February 25, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain Vaginal Ring Birth Control Devices. The complaint

² Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun, Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson, and Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff dissenting.

³ Vice Chairman Irving A. Williamson, Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane, and Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert determine that they would not have found material injury but for the suspension of liquidation.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\, {\rm Commissioner}$ Charlotte R. Lane dissenting.

³ Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert did not participate in these reviews.