Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to e-mail them to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax them to 202–395–7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please contact Sherry L. Kuneff at the address or fax number listed in the paragraph above or the DOJ Desk Officer at 202–395–3176.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's/component's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of the information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information

(1) Type of Information Collection: Approval of an Existing Collection.

(2) Title of the Forms: The National Instant Criminal Background Check system (NICS) Point of contact (POC) State Final Determination Electronic Submission.

(3) Agency Form Number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection:

Form Number: 1110–0035.

Sponsor: Criminal Justice Information

Services (CJIS) Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Justice (DOJ).

(4) Affected Public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Full Point of Contact (POC) States, Partial POC States, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)-qualified Alternate Permit States.

Brief Abstract: This collection is requested of Full Point of Contact (POC) States, Partial POC States, and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF)-qualified Alternate Permit States. Per 28 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 25.6(h), POC States are required to transmit electronic determination messages to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Criminal Justice Information Services Division's National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Section of the status of a firearm background check in those instances in which a transaction is "open" (transactions unresolved before the end of the operational day on which the transaction was initiated); "denied" transactions; transactions reported to the NICS as open and subsequently changed to proceed; and overturned denials. The State POC must communicate this response to the NICS immediately upon communicating their determination to the Federal Firearms Licensee or in those cases in which a response has not been communicated, no later than the end of the operational day in which the transaction was initiated. For those responses that are not received, the NICS will assume the transaction resulted in a "proceed."

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:

There are 21 POC States who are required to submit electronic notifications to the FBI CJIS Division's NICS Section and 18 ATF-qualified Alternate Permit States voluntarily submit electronic notifications to the FBI CIIS Division's NICS Section. Both POC States and ATF-qualified Permit States conduct an average of 5,313,445 transactions per year. It is estimated that 26 percent would be affected by this collection and would require electronic messages sent to the NICS. This translates to 1,381,496 transactions, which would be the total number of annual responses. The other 74 percent would not be reported in this collection. It is estimated it will require one minute (60 seconds) for each POC State to transmit the information per transaction to the NICS. Thus, it is estimated that collectively all respondents will spend 23,024 hours yearly submitting determinations to the NICS. If the number of transactions were distributed evenly among the POC States, then 590 hours would be the estimated time for each of the 39 states to respond. Record keeping time is part of the routine business process and is not part of this calculation.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The average yearly hour burden for submitting final determinations combined is: $(5,313,445 \text{ total checks} \times 26 \text{ percent})/60 \text{ seconds} = 23,024 \text{ hours}.$

If additional information is required, contact: Ms. Lynn Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street, NE., Suite 2E–502, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 23, 2011.

Lvnn Murray,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2011-4451 Filed 3-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Comment Request for information Collection for Employment and Training (ET) Handbook 361, Unemployment Insurance (UI) Data Validation (DV) (OMB Control No. 1205–0431): Extension Without Change

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the Department of Labor (Department) conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that the requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the **Employment and Training** Administration is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data about the Unemployment Insurance Data Validation program, for which collection authority expires on July 31, 2011.

A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before May 2, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Burman Skrable, Office of Unemployment Insurance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-4220, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone: 202-693-3197 (this is not a toll-free number), fax: 202-693-3975, e-mail: skrable.burman@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: Section 303(a)(6) of the Social Security Act specifies that the Secretary of Labor will not certify State UI programs to receive administrative grants unless the State's law includes provisions for—

making of such reports * * * as the Secretary of Labor may from time to time require, and compliance with such provisions as the Secretary may from time to time find necessary to assure the correctness and verification of such reports.

The Department considers data validation one of those "provisions * * * necessary to assure the correctness and verification" of the

reports it requires.

The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA) requires Federal agencies to develop annual and strategic performance plans that establish performance goals, have concrete indicators of the extent that goals are achieved, and set performance targets. Each year, the agency is to issue a report that "evaluate[s] the performance plan for the current fiscal year relative to the performance achieved toward the performance goals in the fiscal year covered by the report." Section 1116(d)(2) of OMB Circular A-11, which implements the GPRA process, cites the Reports Consolidation Act of 2000 to emphasize the need for data validation by requiring that the agency's annual performance report "contain an assessment of the completeness and reliability of the performance data included in it [that] * * describes any material inadequacies in the completeness and reliability of the data." (OMB Circular A-11, Section 230.2(f)). The Administrations' agenda has also emphasized the importance of complete information for program monitoring and improving program results to enhance

Federal government.

The UI DV system is an extension of the Workload Validation (WV) program that all State Workforce Agencies were required to operate between the mid-1970s and 2000. The WV program checked the validity of 29 report elements on four required UI reports, because they are combined into the

the management and performance of the

"workload items" used to apportion each State's share of funds appropriated for the administration of the UI program. The UI DV program employs a refined and automated version of WV's basic validation approach to review 322 elements reported on 13 benefits reports and one tax report. The Department uses many of these elements for key performance measures as well as for the original workload items. The validation process assesses the validity (accuracy) of the counts of transactions or measurements of status as follows. In the validation process, guided by a detailed handbook, the state first constructs extract files containing all pertinent individual transactions for the desired report period to be validated. These transactions are grouped into 15 benefits and five tax populations. Each transaction record contains the necessary characteristics or dimensions that enable it to be summed into an independent recount of what the state has already reported. The Department provides state agencies with software that edits the extract file (to identify and remove duplicate transactions and improperly built records, for example), then aggregates the transactions to produce an independent reconstruction or "validation count" of the reported figure. The reported count is considered valid by this "quantity" validation test if it is within ±2% of the validation count (±1% for a GPRA-related element).

The software also draws samples of most transaction types from the extract files. Guided by a state-specific handbook, the validators review these sample records against documentation in the state's management information system to determine whether the transactions in the extract file are supported by system documentation. This qualitative check determines whether the validation count can be trusted as accurate. The benefits extract files are considered to pass this "quality" review if random samples indicate that no more than 5% of the records contain errors; tax files are subjected to different but related tests. A reported count is considered valid only if it differs from a reconstructed (validation) count by no more than the appropriate criterion of ±2% or ±1%, and that validation count comes from an extract file that has satisfied all quality

During FY 2011 and beyond, all states will be required to conduct a complete validation every three years. In three cases the three-year rule does not apply, and a revalidation must occur within one year: (1) Groups of reported counts that are summed for purposes of making a Pass/Fail determination and do not

pass validation by being within ±2% of the reconstructed counts or the extract file does not pass all quality tests; (2) the validation applies to the two benefits populations and one tax population used for GPRA measures; and (3) reports are produced by new reporting software.

II. Review Focus: The Department of Labor is particularly interested in

comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

III. Current Actions:

Title: Unemployment Insurance Data Validation Program.

OMB Number: 1205–0431. Affected Public: State Workforce Agencies (SWAs).

Form: ET Handbook 361: Unemployment Insurance Data Validation Benefits and Tax (Issued as separate handbooks).

Total Annual Respondents: 53. Annual Frequency: At least three validation items per state (two benefits populations and one tax population).

Total Annual Responses: Depends on number of validation items due; at least $53 \times 3 = 159$ per year.

Average Time per Response: 550

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 29.150 hours.

Total Annual Burden Cost for

Respondents: \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter public record.

Dated: February 25, 2011.

Jane Oates,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–4648 Filed 3–1–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P