

expenditures will be made. The FAA also uses a 7 percent discount rate to calculate the present values of the costs.

The AD does not require any additional inspections after the replacement spar has been installed because the replacement spars are

higher quality than the original equipment.

Thus, the AD will impose two types of compliance costs. The first are the costs from the inspections. The second are the costs to the category 1 Air Tractor operators that will need to

install a center web plate and splice blocks at 4,100 TIS hours.

As seen in Table 2, the cost to comply with the AD requirements for inspections during the ten-year period would be \$55,900, which, using a 7 percent discount rate, has a present value of \$39,260.

TABLE 2—TOTAL AND PRESENT VALUE COMPLIANCE COSTS TO COMPLY WITH THE INSPECTIONS REQUIRED BY THE AD [2011–2020]

Manufacture year	Number of inspections by year										Total
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
2000 (Cat 1)	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
2000 (Cat 2)	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	8
2001	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	20
2002	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	12
2003	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	13	0	0	26
2004	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	14
Total	6	4	10	6	17	17	6	13	7	0	86
Total Cost	\$3,900	\$2,600	\$6,500	\$3,900	\$11,050	\$11,050	\$3,900	\$8,450	\$4,550	\$0	\$55,900
Present Value ...	\$3,645	\$2,271	\$5,306	\$2,975	\$7,878	\$7,363	\$2,429	\$4,918	\$2,475	\$0	\$39,260

Each of the 6 category 1 Air Tractor airplane operators will need to spend \$25,500 to install the center web plate and splice blocks in 2012, which has a present value of \$22,273 using a 7 percent discount rate. The total cost to install this equipment on these 6 airplanes is \$153,000, which has a present value of \$133,638 using a 7 percent discount rate.

Thus, the total cost would be \$208,900, which has a present value of \$172,898 using a 7 percent discount rate.

However, these costs are unequally distributed across the 34 operators. The six category 1 Air Tractor airplane operators will need to spend \$26,150 an airplane while the category 2 Air Tractor airplane operators will need to spend between \$650 and \$1,300 an airplane.

(5) A description of the steps the agency has taken to minimize the significant economic impact of the final rule on small entities.

The FAA is responsible for the safety of U.S.-registered aircraft and U.S. operators. The FAA has not identified any significant alternatives to this final rule that accomplish the stated objectives of applicable statutes, and which minimize any significant economic impact of the final rule SFAR on small entities.

(6) An identification, to the extent practicable, of all relevant Federal rules which may duplicate, overlap, or conflict with the final rule.

The FAA knows of no other Federal rules which duplicate, overlap, or conflict with the final rule.

Determination of Significant Impact

As discussed in the compliance cost section, all of these operators are small businesses. Further, nearly all of them are privately held businesses that do not file reports that the FAA can access to determine annual revenues. However, the FAA can determine that the average value of an Air Tractor Model AT-800A serial number 0091-0101 is about \$650,000. This rule requires the 6 operators of these airplanes to spend about 4 percent (\$25,500) of the value of the airplane on a repair. The FAA believes that this magnitude of an expenditure could place these six operators in some financial difficulty.

Therefore, this final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 11, 2011.

John R. Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011-3653 Filed 2-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0379; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-210-AD; Amendment 39-16609; AD 2011-04-10]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 737-300, -400, and -500 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FAA is superseding an existing airworthiness directive (AD), which applies to all Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. That AD currently requires inspecting to determine if certain carriage spindles are installed, repetitive inspections for corrosion and indications of corrosion on affected carriage spindles, and if necessary, related investigative and corrective actions. That AD also provides an optional terminating action. This new AD mandates the optional terminating action, which eliminates the need for the repetitive inspections. This AD results from reports of corrosion found on carriage spindles that are located on the outboard trailing edge flaps. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct corrosion of the carriage spindle, which could result in fracture.

Fracture of both the inboard and outboard carriage spindles, in the forward ends through the large diameters, on a flap, could adversely affect the airplane's continued safe flight and landing.

DATES: This AD becomes effective March 25, 2011.

On November 24, 2009 (74 FR 57564, November 9, 2009), the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in the AD.

On August 5, 2008 (73 FR 42259, July 21, 2008), the Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in the AD.

ADDRESSES: For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1, fax 206-766-5680; e-mail me.boecom@boeing.com; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (telephone 800-647-5527) is the Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6440; fax (425) 917-6590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

The FAA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that supersedes AD 2009-23-10, Amendment 39-16084 (74 FR 57564, November 9, 2009). The existing AD applies to all Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2010 (75 FR 17882). That NPRM proposed to continue to require inspecting to determine if certain carriage spindles are installed, repetitive

inspections for corrosion and indications of corrosion on affected carriage spindles, and if necessary, related investigative and corrective actions. The existing AD also provides an optional terminating action. That NPRM also proposed to mandate the optional terminating action, which would eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections.

Comments

We provided the public the opportunity to participate in the development of this AD. We have considered the comments that have been received on the NPRM.

Request To Revise Paragraph (k) of the NPRM

Boeing, Continental Airlines (CAL), and British Airways Plc requested that paragraph (k) of the NPRM be revised to identify additional replacement parts for the affected high velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF)-coated spindles. (Paragraph (k) of the NPRM proposed to require replacement of HVOF-coated carriage spindles having serial numbers identified in Table 2 or 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, with either a non-HVOF-coated carriage spindle, or with a serviceable HVOF-coated carriage spindle with an 'R' suffix on the serial number. Tables 2 and 3 of that service bulletin identify both part numbers and serial numbers of the affected carriage spindles.)

Boeing requested that we revise paragraph (k) of the NPRM to specify that "new" HVOF-coated carriage spindles with serial numbers not listed in Table 2 or 3 of Appendix A of the referenced Boeing service bulletin are also acceptable replacements.

British Airways Plc requested that we revise paragraph (k) of the NPRM to add "serviceable" carriage spindles not listed in Table 2 or 3 of Appendix A of the referenced Boeing service bulletin as acceptable replacements.

CAL noted that there is no mention in paragraph (k) of the NPRM of "serviceable," non-suspect HVOF-coated carriage spindles that do not have an 'R' suffix. CAL indicated that those particular carriage spindles are not listed in Tables 2 and 3 of Appendix A and, therefore, are not affected by the NPRM. In light of this, CAL requested that paragraph (k) of the NPRM be revised to specify that the repetitive inspections can be terminated by replacing affected HVOF-coated carriage spindles with serviceable, non-suspect HVOF-coated carriage spindles that do not have an 'R' suffix.

We agree with the commenters' requests. We have revised paragraph (j) of the final rule (paragraph (k) of the NPRM) to include the following carriage spindles as acceptable replacements: (1) Non-HVOF-coated carriage spindles; (2) new or serviceable HVOF-coated carriage spindles having serial numbers that are NOT identified in Table 2 or Table 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, without an 'R' suffix on the serial number; and (3) serviceable HVOF-coated carriage spindles with an 'R' suffix on the serial number.

We also have removed paragraph (j), "Parts Installation," of the NPRM. That paragraph was restated from AD 2009-23-10. Since terminating action is now available, the paragraph is no longer necessary.

Conclusion

We have carefully reviewed the available data, including the comments that have been received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD with the changes described previously. We have determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Costs of Compliance

There are about 482 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. This AD affects about 150 airplanes of U.S. registry.

The inspection that is required by AD 2009-23-10 and retained in this AD takes about 2 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$85 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the currently required inspection is \$170 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The replacement of each affected carriage spindle that is required by this AD will take about 17 work hours per spindle (4 spindles per airplane), at an average labor rate of \$85 per work hour. Required parts cost is provided under warranty. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the replacement specified in this AD for U.S. operators is up to \$867,000 or up to \$5,780 per airplane, or \$1,445 per carriage spindle.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in subtitle VII, part A, subpart III, section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- (3) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by removing amendment 39-16084 (74 FR 57564, November 9, 2009) and by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2011-04-10 The Boeing Company:
Amendment 39-16609. Docket No. FAA-2010-0379; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-210-AD.

Effective Date

- (a) This AD becomes effective March 25, 2011.

Affected ADs

- (b) This AD supersedes AD 2009-23-10, Amendment 39-16084.

Applicability

- (c) This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Subject

- (d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 57: Wings.

Unsafe Condition

- (e) This AD results from reports of corrosion found on carriage spindles that are located on the outboard trailing edge flaps. The Federal Aviation Administration is issuing this AD to detect and correct corrosion of the carriage spindle, which could result in fracture. Fracture of both the inboard and outboard carriage spindles, in the forward ends through the large diameters, on a flap, could adversely affect the airplane's continued safe flight and landing.

Compliance

- (f) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

Restatement of Requirements of AD 2008-15-05, Amendment 39-15617

Inspection To Determine Affected Carriage Spindle

- (g) For all airplanes: Within 30 days after August 5, 2008 (the effective date of AD 2008-15-05), inspect the carriage sub-assembly to determine whether an affected carriage spindle with a high velocity oxy-fuel (HVOF) thermal coating is installed, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008. A review of airplane maintenance records is acceptable in lieu of this inspection if the part number and/or serial number of the carriage can be conclusively determined from that review. If no affected carriage spindle is installed, no further action is required by this paragraph.

Repetitive Inspections, Related Investigative Actions, and Corrective Action

- (h) For airplanes on which any affected carriage spindle was determined to be installed in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008, as of August 5, 2008; and the spindle is identified in Table 2 of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009: At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (h)(1) and (h)(2) of this AD, do a detailed inspection (or, as an option for the forward end of the spindle only, a borescope inspection technique may be used) of the spindle for corrosion and potential indications of corrosion of the

carriage spindle, and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Repeat the detailed inspection (or, as an option for the forward end of the spindle only, the borescope inspection) and certain related investigative actions (i.e., the gap-check or optional non-destructive test (NDT) ultrasonic inspection) at the applicable compliance times specified in paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009.

- (1) Within 30 days after August 5, 2008.

- (2) Within 90 days after the installation of a new HVOF-coated spindle.

Note 1: Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008; and Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009; reference Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1277, Revision 1, dated November 25, 2003; for further guidance on accomplishing the related investigative actions.

Restatement of Requirements of AD 2009-23-10, Amendment 39-16084

Repetitive Inspections, Related Investigative Actions, and Corrective Action for Certain Airplanes

- (i) For airplanes on which a carriage spindle having a serial number identified in Table 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, is installed: At the latest of the times specified in paragraphs (i)(1), (i)(2), and (i)(3) of this AD, as applicable, do a detailed inspection (or, as an option for the forward end of the spindle only, a borescope inspection technique may be used) of the spindle for corrosion and potential indications of corrosion of the carriage spindle, and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. Repeat the detailed inspection (or, as an option for the forward end of the spindle only, the borescope inspection) and related investigative actions (i.e., the gap-check or optional NDT ultrasonic inspection) at the applicable compliance times specified in paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009.

- (1) Within 30 days after November 24, 2009 (the effective date of AD 2009-23-10).

- (2) Within 90 days after the installation of a new HVOF-coated spindle identified in Table 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009.

- (3) Within 90 days after doing an inspection in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008.

New Requirements of This AD**Terminating Action**

(j) Within 48 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace any HVOF-coated carriage spindle having a serial number identified in Table 2 or Table 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, with a non-HVOF-coated carriage spindle; or a serviceable HVOF-coated carriage spindle with an 'R' suffix on the serial number; or a new or serviceable HVOF-coated carriage spindle having a serial number not identified in Table 2 or Table 3 of Appendix A of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, without an 'R' suffix on the serial number; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008; or Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009. Replacing all affected carriage spindles terminates the repetitive inspections required by this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(k)(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Nancy Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle ACO, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 917-6440; fax (425) 917-6590. Or, e-mail information to 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Delegation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

Material Incorporated by Reference

(l) You must use Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008; and Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009; as applicable; to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(1) The Director of the Federal Register previously approved the incorporation by reference of Boeing Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, Revision 1, dated August 11, 2009, on November 24, 2009 (74 FR 57564, November 9, 2009).

(2) The Director of the Federal Register previously approved the incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-57A1304, dated June 2, 2008, on August 5, 2008 (73 FR 42259, July 21, 2008).

(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65,

Seattle, Washington 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1, fax 206-766-5680; e-mail me.boecom@boeing.com; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>.

(4) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221.

(5) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington on February 10, 2011.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011-3651 Filed 2-17-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 73**

[Docket No. FAA-2010-0077 Airspace Docket No. 10-AWA-4]

RIN 2120-AA66

Amendment of Prohibited Area P-56; District of Columbia

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: This action amends Special Use Airspace Prohibited Area P-56 in the District of Columbia to correct inaccuracies in the description of Area A (P-56A). This amendment does not change the actual geographic position of the prohibited area. The boundary description of Area B (P-56B) is not affected by this action.

DATES: Effective date 0901 UTC, May 5, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Gallant, Airspace, Regulations and ATC Procedures Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The present day prohibited area P-56 evolved from several Presidential Executive Orders (E.O.) dating back to the late 1930s. The E.O. established an

airspace reservation over a portion of the District of Columbia for national defense, public safety and other governmental purposes.

In October 1966, the airspace reservation then in place (E.O. No. 10126, May 9, 1950) was amended and codified into Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, part 73, and designated as prohibited area P-56 (31 FR 13422). Currently, P-56 consists of two subareas: A and B. In general, Area A (P-56A) includes the airspace between a point west of the Lincoln Memorial and an area east of the U.S. Capitol Building, and between K Street (on the north side) and a combination of Independence Avenue, 6th Street SW., and the Southwest Freeway (on the south side). Area B (P-56B) consists of that airspace within a one-half mile radius from the center of the U.S. Naval Observatory, located in northwest Washington, DC.

During a recent review of the P-56 legal description, the FAA found that the wording in one part of the description does not match the current District of Columbia street alignment. The area in question is at the western end of P-56A. The current legal description begins at the southwest corner of the Lincoln Memorial, then proceeds northwest to a point at latitude 38°53'45" N., longitude. 77°03'2" W. The existing legal description of P-56A states that this point marks the intersection of New Hampshire Avenue and the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway NW. However, New Hampshire Avenue no longer intersects the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway. Due to the construction of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, New Hampshire Avenue NW was terminated at F Street, NW. in the 1967 time frame. However, the "New Hampshire Avenue/Rock Creek Parkway intersection" wording has remained in the P-56A description to this day. In spite of this error, the FAA's Aeronautical Products office has the correct location and charting for P-56. This is because the current boundary line that runs from the stated latitude/longitude point on the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway still extends toward the point where New Hampshire Avenue NW. terminates at F Street, NW. and then proceeds along New Hampshire Avenue to Washington Circle as stated in the current P-56A description.

The FAA is rewording the description of P-56A to replace the reference to the street segment that no longer exists, as described below. This is an administrative change and does not affect the boundaries, designated altitudes, or operating requirements of