

more of claims 1, 5, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,092; claims 1–4, 8, 11–14, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,671,019; and claims 1, 5–7, 9, 10, 16, 19–21, 23, and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 5,732,241. The notice of investigation named as respondents Sony Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Corporation of America of New York, New York; Sony Electronics Corporation of San Diego, California; and Sony Computer Entertainment America, LLC of Foster City, California.

On December 16, 2010, complainants and respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On December 22, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of the motion. The IA stated that terminating the investigation would not be contrary to the public interest. On January 3, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 6, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 31, 2011.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2011–2454 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under The Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on January 31, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree was filed with the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in *United States v. Orval Kent Food Company, Inc.*, No. 2:11-cv-02057-JAR-JPO (D. Kansas). The proposed Consent Decree entered into by the United States and the company resolves the United States’ claims against the Orval Kent for civil penalties and injunctive relief pursuant to the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1319. Under the terms of the Consent Decree, Orval Kent will pay the United States a civil penalty of \$390,000, for excessive discharges of pollutants to the publicly-owned treatment works operated by the city of Baxter Springs, Kansas. In addition, Orval Kent will increase its monitoring of its discharges, and if necessary,

install additional treatment. Further, Orval Kent will undertake a fish restocking project at a cost of \$32,500.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for an additional period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. Orval Kent Food Company*, DJ Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–09625.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Kansas, 500 State Ave. Suite 360, Kansas City, KS 66101 (913) 551–6730, and at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, 901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101. During the public comment period, the proposed Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–2427 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Industrial Nacromolecular Crystallography Association

Notice is hereby given that, on January 10, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Industrial Nacromolecular Crystallography Association (“INCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney

General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, NJ, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and INCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 23, 1990, INCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 3, 1990 (55 FR 49952).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 16, 2009. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 26, 2009 (74 FR 8811).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–2412 Filed 2–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Society of Mechanical Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on January 10, 2011, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), American Society of Mechanical Engineers (“ASME”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, since October 7, 2010, ASME has published three new standards, initiated three new standards activities, and withdrawn one standard within the general nature and scope of ASME’s standards development activities, as specified in its original notification. More detail regarding these