Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Docket Numbers: ER11–2688–000. Applicants: PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC.

Description: PSEG Energy Resources & Trade LLC submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii: Proposed Revision to Section III to Extend Term to be effective 3/11/2011.

Filed Date: 01/14/2011.

Accession Number: 20110114–5144. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Docket Numbers: ER11–2689–000. Applicants: PacifiCorp.

Description: PacifiCorp submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii: PAC Energy NITSA Rev 7 to be effective 12/30/2010. Filed Date: 01/14/2011.

Accession Number: 20110114–5145. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Docket Numbers: ER11–2690–000.
Applicants: Tampa Electric Company.
Description: Tampa Electric Company submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii: Rate Schedule No. 6 With Florida Power Corporation to be effective 1/1/2011.

Filed Date: 01/14/2011.

Accession Number: 20110114–5168. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Docket Numbers: ER11–2694–000. Applicants: Southern California Edison Company.

Description: Request for Waiver of Southern California Edison Company under ER11–2694.

Filed Date: 01/14/2011. Accession Number: 20110114–5252. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric securities filings:

Docket Numbers: ES09–28–002. Applicants: Entergy Mississippi, Inc. Description: Application of Entergy Mississippi, Inc., to Amend Existing FPA? 204 Authorization.

Filed Date: 01/14/2011.

Accession Number: 20110114–5253. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, February 04, 2011.

Take notice that the Commission received the following foreign utility company status filings:

Docket Numbers: FC11–4–000. Applicants: Grande Prairie Generation, Inc.

Description: Self-Certification of FUCO Status of Grande Prairie Generation, Inc.

Filed Date: 01/13/2011. Accession Number: 20110113–5103. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, February 03, 2011. Take notice that the Commission received the following PURPA 210(m)(3) filings:

Docket Numbers: QM10–6–000. Applicants: Commonwealth Edison Company.

Description: Commonwealth Edison Compliance Filing—Revised Appendix 1 under QM10–6.

Filed Date: 01/13/2011.

Accession Number: 20110113–5140. Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, February 10, 2011.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

As it relates to any qualifying facility filings, the notices of self-certification [or self-recertification] listed above, do not institute a proceeding regarding qualifying facility status. A notice of self-certification [or self-recertification] simply provides notification that the entity making the filing has determined the facility named in the notice meets the applicable criteria to be a qualifying facility. Intervention and/or protest do not lie in dockets that are qualifying facility self-certifications or selfrecertifications. Any person seeking to challenge such qualifying facility status may do so by filing a motion pursuant to 18 CFR 292.207(d)(iii). Intervention and protests may be filed in response to notices of qualifying facility dockets other than self-certifications and selfrecertifications.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling

link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–1492 Filed 1–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9256-8]

Adequacy Status of the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, Texas Reasonable Further Progress and Attainment Demonstration 8-Hour Ozone Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy determination.

SUMMARY: EPA is notifying the public that it has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, Texas (HGB) Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) and Attainment Demonstration State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions, submitted on April 1st and April 6th, 2010 respectively, by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the HGB area must use these budgets for future conformity determinations for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard.

DATES: These budgets are effective February 9, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The essential information in this notice will be available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/

stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm. You may also contact Mr. Jeffrey Riley, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-8542, E-mail address: Riley.Jeffrey@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refers to EPA. The word

"budget(s)" refers to the mobile source emissions budget for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and the mobile source emissions budget for nitrogen oxides (NO_X) .

On April 1st and April 6th, 2010, we received State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). These revisions consisted of a Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) SIP and an Attainment Demonstration SIP

for the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) ozone nonattainment area. These submissions established motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEB) for the HGB area for the years 2008, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2018. The MVEB is the amount of emissions allowed in the state implementation plan for on-road motor vehicles; it establishes an emissions ceiling for the regional transportation network. The MVEBs are provided in Tables 1 and 2:

Table 1—Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) $NO_{\rm X}$ and VOC MVEBS [Summer season tons per day]

	2008	2011	2014	2017	2018
NO _X VOC	193.39	135.74	95.26	67.95	60.92
	94.75	75.17	61.84	53.23	51.35

BRAZORIA ATTAINMENT DEMONSTRA-TION NOX AND VOC MVEB

[Summer season tons per day]

	2018	
NO _X	49.22 45.97	

On June 24, 2010, EPA posted the availability of the HGB area budgets on EPA's Web site, as part of the adequacy process, for the purpose of soliciting public comments. The comment period closed on July 26, 2010, and we received no comments.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region 6 sent a letter to TCEQ on October 8, 2010, finding that the MVEBs in the HGB RFP and Attainment Demonstration SIPs, submitted on April 1st and April 6th, 2010 respectively, are adequate and must be used for transportation conformity determinations in the HGB area. This finding has also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/ stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule, 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 93, requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do so. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP's MVEB is adequate for

TABLE 2—HOUSTON-GALVESTON- transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have also described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, final rulemaking entitled, "Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for the New 8-hour Ozone and PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Miscellaneous Revisions for Existing Areas; Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Response to Court Decision and Additional Rule Changes" (69 FR 40004). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the HGB RFP SIP and Attainment Demonstration SIP revision submittals. Even if EPA finds the budgets adequate, these submittals could later be disapproved.

Within 24 months from the effective date of this notice, the transportation partners will need to demonstrate conformity to the new MVEBs if the demonstration has not already been made, pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e). See, 73 FR 4419 (January 24, 2008).

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: January 13, 2011.

Al Armendariz,

Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 2011-1470 Filed 1-24-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OARM-2010-0989; FRL-9256-4; EPA ICR No. 1550.07; OMB Control No. 2030-023]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection: Comment Request: Contractor Conflicts of Interest

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request to renew an existing approved Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This ICR is scheduled to expire on May 31, 2011. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before March 28, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OARM-2010-0989 by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
 - E-mail: ramrakha.staci@epa.gov.
 - Fax: (202) 566–1753.
- Mail: EPA-HQ-OARM-2010-0989, OEI Docket, Environmental Protection Agency, 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of three (3) copies.
- Hand Delivery: EPA Docket Center-Attention OEI Docket, EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW.,