

increasing fish populations, and increasing commercial and recreational catch rates; [c] to understand how such values depend on the current baseline level of fish populations and fish losses, the scope of the change in those measures, and the certainty level of the predictions; and [d] to understand how such values vary with respect to individuals' economic and demographic characteristics.

The key elicitation questions ask respondents whether or not they would vote for policies that would increase their cost of living, in exchange for specified multi-attribute changes in [a] impingement and entrainment losses of fish, [b] commercial fish populations, [c] long-term populations of all fish, and [d] condition of aquatic ecosystems. The respondents' stated preferences with respect to levels of environmental goods and cost to households, when used in conjunction with other information collected in the survey on the use of the affected aquatic resources, household income, and other demographics, can be analyzed statistically (using a mixed logit framework) to estimate total WTP for the quantified environmental benefits of the 316(b) rulemaking. Data analysis and interpretation is grounded in a standard random utility model.

The welfare values that can be derived from this stated preference survey along with those that are estimated apart from the survey effort will offer insight into the composition of the value people place on the 316(b) environmental impacts. WTP estimates derived from the survey may overlap—to a potentially substantial extent—with estimates that can be provided through some other methods. Therefore, particular care will be given to avoid any possible double counting of values that might be derived from alternative valuation methods.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per telephone screening participant and 30 minutes per mail survey respondent including the time necessary to complete and mail back the questionnaire. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with

any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here: **Respondents:** Individuals from U.S. households.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 9,533 for telephone screening and 2,288 for mailed questionnaires.

Frequency of response: One-time response.

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: One-time response.

Estimated total burden hours: 1,938 hours.

Estimated total costs: \$39,583. EPA estimates that there will be no capital and operating and maintenance cost burden to respondents.

Dated: January 14, 2011.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-1257 Filed 1-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8994-8]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-1399 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 01/10/2011 Through 01/14/2011 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9

Notice: In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public. Historically, EPA met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which includes a brief summary of EPA's comment letters, in the **Federal Register**. Since February 2008, EPA has included its comment letters on EISs on its Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the Web site satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA's comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, on

March 31, 2010, EPA discontinued the publication of the notice of availability of EPA comments in the **Federal Register**.

EIS No. 20110011, Final EIS, FHWA, NC, Gaston East-West Connector Project, Construction (from I-85 west Gastonia to I-485/NC 160 near the Charlotte-Douglas International Airport, Gaston and Meckleburg Counties, NC, Wait Period Ends: 02/22/2011, Contact: Jennifer Harris, 919-571-3004.

EIS No. 20110012, Final EIS, NRC, AZ, GENERIC EIS—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Regarding Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Supplement 43, NUREG-1437, Maricopa County, AZ, Wait Period Ends: 02/22/2011, Contact: David Drucker, 301-415-6223.

EIS No. 20110013, Final EIS, NPS, VA, Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Frederick, Shenandoah, Warren Counties, VA, Wait Period Ends: 02/22/2011, Contact: Peter Iris-Williams, 215-597-6479.

EIS No. 20110014, Draft EIS, USFS, ID, Forest Plan Amendments Proposed to Facilitate Implementation of the 2011 Plan-Scale Wildlife Conservation Strategy Phase 1: Forested Biological Community, Payette National Forest, Adam, Idaho, Valley and Washington Counties, ID, Comment Period Ends: 04/20/2011, Contact: Sue Dixon, 208-634-0700.

EIS No. 20110015, Draft EIS, USFWS, WA, Willapa National Wildlife Refuge Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Implementation, Pacific County, WA, Comment Period Ends: 03/07/2011, Contact: Charles Houghten, 503-231-6207.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20110009, Draft EIS, GSA, DC, Nebraska Avenue Complex Master Plan, Propose to Consolidate Over 28,000 DHS Employees, Location 3801 Nebraska Ave., NW., Washington, DC, Comment Period Ends: 03/01/2011, Contact: Suzanne Hill, 202-205-5821. Revision to FR Notice 01/14/2011. Correction to the Status from Final EIS to Draft EIS.

Dated: January 18, 2011.

Cliff Rader,

Environmental Protection Specialist, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2011-1259 Filed 1-20-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P