caption to read: Miller Building, Conference Rooms West 1 & 2, 11 Bladen Street, Annapolis, MD.

Dated: January 12, 2011.

Ivan J. Flores,

Paralegal Specialist, Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board. [FR Doc. 2011–1109 Filed 1–19–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6821–15–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213. Extension:

Rule 17a–3; SEC File No. 270–026; OMB Control No. 3235–0033.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the existing collection of information provided for in Rule 17a–3 (17 CFR 240.17a–3), under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 17a–3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 establishes minimum standards with respect to business records that broker-dealers registered with the Commission must make and keep current. These records are maintained by the broker-dealer (in accordance with a separate rule), so they can be used by the broker-dealer and reviewed by Commission examiners, as well as other regulatory authority examiners, during inspections of the broker-dealer.

The collections of information included in Rule 17a–3 is necessary to provide Commission, self-regulatory organization ("SRO") and state examiners to conduct effective and efficient examinations to determine whether broker-dealers are complying with relevant laws, rules, and regulations. If broker-dealers were not required to create these baseline, standardized records, Commission, SRO and state examiners could be unable to determine whether broker-dealers are in compliance with the Commission's antifraud and anti-manipulation rules, financial responsibility program, and other Commission, SRO, and State laws, rules, and regulations.

As of October 1, 2010 there were 5,057 broker-dealers registered with the Commission. The Commission estimates that these broker-dealer respondents incur a total burden of 2,723,970 hours per year to comply with Rule 17a–3. Approximately 1,464,777 of those hours are attributable to paragraph 17a-3(a)(17), and about 1,259,193 hours are attributable to the rest of Rule 17a-3. Paragraph 17a-3(a)(17) contains requirements to provide customers with account information (approximately 683,969 hours) and requirements to update customer account information (approximately 777,436 hours).

In addition, Rule 17a–3 contains ongoing operation and maintenance costs for broker-dealers including the cost of postage to provide customers with account information, and costs for equipment and systems development. The Commission estimates that under Rule 17a-3(a)(17), approximately 35,627,958 customers will need to be provided with information regarding their account on a yearly basis. The Commission estimates that the postage costs associated with providing those customers with copies of their account record information would be approximately \$10,688,387 per year (35,627,958 × \$0.30).¹ The staff estimates that the ongoing equipment and systems development costs relating to Rule 17a–3 for the industry would be about \$23,514,452 per year. Consequently, the total cost burden associated with Rule 17a-3 would be approximately \$34,202,839 per year.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to: Thomas Bayer, Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312 or send an e-mail to: *PRA Mailbox@sec.gov.*

Dated: January 12, 2011. Elizabeth M. Murphy,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–1073 Filed 1–19–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–63707; File No. SR– NYSEArca–2011–02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by NYSE Arca US LLC To Establish a \$5 Strike Price Program

January 12, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that, on January 11, 2011, NYSE Arca US LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to adopt Commentary .10 to NYSE Arca Rule 6.4 to allow the Exchange to list and trade series in intervals of \$5 or greater where the strike price is more than \$200 in up to five (5) option classes on individual stocks. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the principal office of the Exchange, on the Commission's Web site at *http:// www.sec.gov,* at the Commission's Public Reference Room, and *http:// www.nyse.com.*

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below.

¹Estimates of postage costs are derived from past conversations with industry representatives and have been adjusted to account for inflation and increases in postage costs.

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.