as recited in the current registration statements and reports filed by each under the Act. Finally, the Section 17 Applicants submit that the proposed substitutions are consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

25. To the extent that the in-kind purchases by the Insurance Company of the Replacement Funds' shares are deemed to involve principal transactions among affiliated persons, the procedures described below should be sufficient to assure that the terms of the proposed transactions are reasonable and fair to all participants. The Section 17 Applicants maintain that the terms of the proposed in-kind purchase transactions, including the consideration to be paid and received by each fund involved, are reasonable, fair and do not involve overreaching principally because the transactions will conform with all but one of the conditions enumerated in Rule 17a-7. The proposed transactions will take place at relative net asset value in conformity with the requirements of Section 22(c) of the Act and Rule 22c-1 thereunder with no change in the amount of any Contract owner's contract value or death benefit or in the dollar value of his or her investment in any of the Separate Accounts. Contract owners will not suffer any adverse tax consequences as a result of the substitutions. The fees and charges under the Contracts will not increase because of the substitutions. Even though the Separate Accounts, the Insurance Companies, MIST and Met Series Fund may not rely on Rule 17a-7, the Section 17 Applicants believe that the Rule's conditions outline the type of safeguards that result in transactions that are fair and reasonable to registered investment company participants and preclude overreaching in connection with an investment company by its affiliated persons. In addition, as stated above, the in-kind redemptions will only be made in accordance with the conditions set out in the Signature *Financial Group* no-action letter (December 29, 1999).

26. The boards of MIST and Met Series Fund have adopted procedures, as required by paragraph (e)(1) of Rule 17a–7, pursuant to which the series of each may purchase and sell securities to and from their affiliates. The Section 17 Applicants will carry out the proposed Insurance Company in-kind purchases in conformity with all of the conditions of Rule 17a–7 and each series' procedures thereunder, except that the consideration paid for the securities being purchased or sold may not be entirely cash. Nevertheless, the circumstances surrounding the

proposed substitutions will be such as to offer the same degree of protection to each Replacement Fund from overreaching that Rule 17a-7 provides to them generally in connection with their purchase and sale of securities under that Rule in the ordinary course of their business. In particular, the Insurance Companies (or any of their affiliates) cannot effect the proposed transactions at a price that is disadvantageous to any of the Replacement Funds. Although the transactions may not be entirely for cash, each will be effected based upon (1) the independent market price of the portfolio securities valued as specified in paragraph (b) of Rule 17a–7, and (2) the net asset value per share of each fund involved valued in accordance with the procedures disclosed in its respective investment company registration statement and as required by Rule 22c–1 under the Act. No brokerage commission, fee, or other remuneration will be paid to any party in connection with the proposed in kind purchase transactions.

27. The sale of shares of Replacement Funds for investment securities, as contemplated by the proposed Insurance Company in-kind purchases, is consistent with the investment policies and restrictions of the Investment Companies and the Replacement Funds because (a) the shares are sold at their net asset value, and (b) the portfolio securities are of the type and quality that the Replacement Funds would each have acquired with the proceeds from share sales had the shares been sold for cash. To assure that the second of these conditions is met, MetLife Advisers, LLC and the subadviser, as applicable, will examine the portfolio securities being offered to each Replacement Fund and accept only those securities as consideration for shares that it would have acquired for each such fund in a cash transaction.

28. The Section 17 Applicants submit that the proposed Insurance Company in-kind purchases are consistent with the general purposes of the Act as stated in the Findings and Declaration of Policy in Section 1 of the Act and that the proposed transactions do not present any of the conditions or abuses that the Act was designed to prevent.

29. The Section 17 Applicants request that the Commission issue an order pursuant to Section 17(b) of the Act exempting the Separate Accounts, the Insurance Companies, MIST, Met Series Fund and each Replacement Fund from the provisions of Section 17(a) of the Act to the extent necessary to permit the Insurance Companies on behalf of the Separate Accounts to carry out, as part of the substitutions, the in-kind purchase of shares of the Replacement Funds which may be deemed to be prohibited by Section 17(a) of the Act.

Conclusion

Applicants assert that for the reasons summarized above that the proposed substitutions and related transactions meet the standards of Section 26(c) of the Act and are consistent with the standards of Section 17(b) of the Act and that the requested orders should be granted.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management pursuant to delegated authority.

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 2010–33117 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, January 6, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (7), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), 9(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Aguilar, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the Closed Meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, January 6, 2011 will be:

Formal order of investigation; Institution and settlement of

injunctive actions; Institution and settlement of

administrative proceedings;

An adjudicatory matter; and Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been

added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: December 29, 2010.

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-33261 Filed 12-30-10; 11:15 am] BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-63611; File No. SR-FICC-2010-08]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Eliminate Certain Cash Adjustments Currently Processed by the MBSD

December 28, 2010.

I. Introduction

On October 28, 2010, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change SR–FICC–2010– 08 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act").¹ The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on November 17, 2010.² No comment letters were received on the proposal. This order approves the proposal.

II. Description

FICC is eliminating the cash adjustments that are currently processed by the Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") of FICC because they have low monetary impact and the clearance event ("significant variance") they were originally designed to address no longer applies.³ Variance was originally established when mortgagebacked securities were physically settled and it was difficult to organize physical pools into \$1 million par amounts for delivery.

As a result of the netting of To Be Announced ("TBA") transactions, a participant may have a settlement obligation to another participant with which it did not trade ("SBON Obligations"). SBON Obligations are created in multiples of \$1 million par amounts and are assigned a uniform delivery price. Since the delivery price will differ from the participant's original trade price, an adjustment is calculated for the difference between the delivery price and the trade price. This adjustment is referred to as the Settlement Balance Order Market Differential ("SBOMD").

Participants notify the MBSD when they have settled their SBON Obligations with their assigned counterparties through the Notification of Settlement ("NOS") process. From the information supplied by both the delivering and receiving participants in their respective NOS, the MBSD determines whether the securities delivered were in \$1 million par amounts or in a par amount within acceptable variance (plus or minus \$100 per million). In instances where the delivery was completed in \$1 million par amounts, the MBSD takes no additional steps.

Currently, if the delivery was cleared for a par amount within acceptable variance, the MBSD will calculate a cash adjustment to reconcile the difference between the original SBOMD (based on a \$1 million par amount) and what the SBOMD should have been (based on the par amount delivered). As mortgage-backed securities migrated from physical to electronic settlement, acceptable variance has been reduced from an initial \$50,000 per million to the current amount of \$100 per million. MBSD is eliminating this cash adjustment process.

III. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act⁴ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to FICC.⁵ In particular, the Commission believes that by deleting a rule that covers a process that is no longer needed, FICC is providing its members with certainty and clarity of the clearance process to its members. The proposal is therefore consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F),⁶ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency are designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a national system for the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is

⁵ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f). ⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F). consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act⁷ and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It Is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁸ that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–FICC–2010–08) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–33163 Filed 1–3–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Small Business Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), Agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

DATES: Submit comments on or before February 3, 2011. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Review and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

Copies: Request for clearance (OMB 83–1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Jacqueline White, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline White. Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205–7044.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Lender Advantage. *Frequency:* On Occasion.

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63301 (November 17, 2010), 75 FR 70328.

³ The specific language of the proposed provision can be found at http://www.dtcc.com/downloads/ legal/rule_filings/2010/ficc/2010–08.pdf.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78q-1.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁹¹⁷ CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).