

in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-31807 Filed 12-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 14, 2010, a proposed Harvey & Knott Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement (“Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement”) in the bankruptcy matter, *Motors Liquidation Company, et al., f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.*, Jointly Administered Case No. 09-50026 (REG), was lodged with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Parties to the Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement are debtors Motors Liquidation Corporation, formerly known as General Motors Corporation, Remediation and Liability Management Company, Inc., and Environmental Corporate Remediation Company, Inc. (collectively, “Old GM”); and the United States of America. The Settlement Agreement resolves claims and causes of action of the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) against Old GM under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675 with respect to the Harvey & Knott Drum Superfund Site in New Castle County, Delaware (the “Harvey & Knott Site”).

Under the Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement, Old GM will make a cash payment of \$2,484,816 to EPA for remediation at the Harvey & Knott Site. EPA will also receive an allowed general unsecured claim \$377,063 for estimated future oversight costs at the Harvey & Knott Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *In re Motors Liquidation Corp., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-09754.

Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor, New York, New York 10007, and at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. During the public comment period, the Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. Copies of the Harvey & Knott Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, please forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-31803 Filed 12-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 14, 2010, a proposed Garland Road Consent Decree and Settlement Agreement (“Garland Road Settlement Agreement”) in the bankruptcy matter, *Motors Liquidation Company, et al., f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.*, Jointly Administered Case No. 09-50026 (REG), was lodged with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Parties to the Garland Road Settlement Agreement are debtors Motors Liquidation Corporation, formerly known as General Motors Corporation, Remediation and Liability Management Company, Inc., and Environmental Corporate Remediation Company, Inc. (collectively, “Old GM”); the United

States of America; and the State of Ohio. The Settlement Agreement resolves claims and causes of action of the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (“Ohio EPA”) against Old GM under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675 with respect to the Garland Road Landfill Superfund Site in Miami County, Ohio (the “Garland Road Site”).

Under the Garland Road Settlement Agreement, Old GM will make a cash payment of \$6,732,895 to Ohio EPA for remediation at the Garland Road Site. EPA will receive an allowed general unsecured claim of \$2,505,547 for estimated future oversight costs and for unreimbursed past response costs at the Delphi Harrison Site. Ohio EPA will receive an allowed general unsecured claim for \$134,326 for unreimbursed past response costs at the Delphi Harrison Site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the Garland Road Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *In re Motors Liquidation Corp., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-09754. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Garland Road Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor, New York, New York 10007, and at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. During the public comment period, the Garland Road Settlement Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. Copies of the Garland Road Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of

\$5.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, please forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010-31808 Filed 12-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. L.B. Foster Company and Portec Rail Products, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States v. L.B. Foster Company and Portec Rail Products, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-02115. On December 14, 2010, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition by L.B. Foster Company ("Foster") of Portec Rail Products, Inc. ("Portec") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires Foster to divest Portec's entire rail joint operations (excluding some assets in the United Kingdom), including Portec's manufacturing facility located in Huntington, West Virginia and tangible and intangible assets associated with Portec's rail joints, as well as assets used to manufacture and sell certain other related and complementary products currently manufactured at the Huntington facility. The proposed Final Judgment requires that these assets be sold to Koppers Inc. Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514-2481), on the Department of Justice's Web site at <http://www.usdoj.gov/atr>, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by

Department of Justice regulations. Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Maribeth Petrizzi, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 8700, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307-0924).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

United States District Court for the District Of Columbia

United States of America, United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 8700, Washington, DC 20530, Plaintiff

v.

L.B. Foster Company, 415 Holiday Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15220, and Portec Rail Products, Inc., 900 Old Freeport Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15238, Defendants.

Case: 1:10-cv-02115.

Assigned To: Urbina, Ricardo M.

Assign. Date: 12/14/2010.

Description: Antitrust.

Complaint

The United States of America ("United States"), acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil antitrust action against Defendants L.B. Foster Company ("Foster") and Portec Rail Products, Inc. ("Portec") to enjoin Foster's proposed acquisition of Portec and to obtain other equitable relief. The United States complains and alleges as follows:

I. Nature of the Action

1. On February 16, 2010, Foster and Portec entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger ("Merger Agreement"). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, on February 26, 2010, Foster made a cash tender offer to acquire all the outstanding shares of common stock of Portec for \$11.71 per share. On August 30, 2010, Foster increased its offer to \$11.80 per share. The transaction is valued at approximately \$114 million.

2. In the United States, Foster's proposed acquisition of Portec likely would substantially lessen competition in two separate product markets—bonded insulated rail joints ("bonded joints") and polyurethane-coated insulated rail joints ("poly joints"). Foster and Portec are virtually the only manufacturers of bonded joints in the United States and currently supply approximately 95 percent of the market. For many customers, Foster and Portec

are the only approved suppliers of these joints. In addition, Foster and Portec are two of only three suppliers of poly joints in the United States and currently supply approximately 54 percent of the market.

3. Elimination of the competition between Foster and Portec likely will result in Foster's ability to unilaterally raise prices of bonded joints and poly joints to most customers. The proposed acquisition also likely would reduce Foster's incentive to invest in innovation in bonded joints. In addition, by eliminating Portec as a supplier, the acquisition increases the likelihood of coordinated interaction between Foster and the other supplier of poly joints.

4. As a result, the proposed acquisition likely would substantially lessen competition in the development, manufacture, and sale of bonded joints and in the development, manufacture, and sale of poly joints in the United States, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18.

II. The Defendants

5. Foster is incorporated in Pennsylvania and has its headquarters in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. It manufactures and distributes numerous products and services for the rail, construction, energy, and utility industries and has approximately 30 locations throughout the United States. For the rail industry, Foster manufactures, among other products, bonded joints, poly joints, tie plates, and rails. Foster had total revenues of approximately \$512 million in 2008 and approximately \$382 million in 2009.

6. Portec is incorporated in West Virginia and has its headquarters in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Portec also manufactures and distributes numerous products and services for the rail industry and other industries. For the rail industry, Portec manufactures, among other things, bonded joints, poly joints, rail lubricators, end posts, and curv blocks. Portec has several locations in the United States and abroad. Portec had total revenues of approximately \$109 million in 2008 and approximately \$92.2 million in 2009.

III. Jurisdiction and Venue

7. The United States brings this action under Section 15 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 4 and 25, as amended, to prevent and restrain Defendants from violating Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18.

8. Defendants develop, manufacture, and sell bonded joints, poly joints, and other products in the flow of interstate commerce. Defendants' activities in the