Options range from recalls, export information, regulations, directives, and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

Done at Washington, DC, on October 12, 2010.

# Alfred V. Almanza,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2010–26021 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### Food Safety and Inspection Service

[Docket No. FSIS-2010-0016]

# Availability of Compliance Guide for the Use of Video or Other Electronic Monitoring or Recording Equipment in Federally Inspected Establishments

**AGENCY:** Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and opportunity for comments.

SUMMARY: The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is announcing the availability of a compliance guide on the use of video or other electronic monitoring or recording equipment in federally inspected establishments. FSIS has posted this compliance guide on its Significant Guidance Documents Web page (http://www.fsis.usda.gov/ Significant Guidance/index.asp). FSIS is publishing this as draft guidance while pursuing OMB approval of information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act related to Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point and Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures video records. FSIS is soliciting comments on this compliance guide. Once FSIS receives OMB approval on the information collection, it will reissue a final guide. At that time, FSIS may also make changes to the guide based on comments received on the draft guide. **DATES:** Submit written comments by December 14, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** FSIS invites interested persons to submit comments on this notice and the compliance guide. Comments may be submitted by either of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: This Web site provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on this Web page or attach a file for lengthier comments. Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Mail, including floppy disks or CD– ROMs, and hand- or courier-delivered items: Send to Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, FSIS, Room 2–2127, George Washington Carver Center, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Mailstop 5272, Beltsville, MD 20705– 5474.

Instructions: All items submitted by mail or electronic mail must include the Agency name and docket number FSIS– 2010–0016. Comments received in response to this docket will be made available for public inspection and posted without change, including any personal information, to http:// www.regulations.gov.

*Docket:* For access to background documents or to comments received, go to the FSIS Docket Room at the address listed above between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Isabel Arrington, U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS), by phone at (402) 344–5000 or by e-mail at Isabel.Arrington@fsis.usda.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

This compliance guide provides information to industry to help it maintain compliance with Federal regulations, including humane treatment of livestock and the use of good commercial practices in poultry.

FSIS is providing this draft guide to advise establishments that video or other electronic monitoring or recording equipment can be used in federally inspected establishments. This guide informs establishments of the Agency's expectations if they decide to use this type of equipment to create records to meet the requirements of the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points regulations, or the regulations governing Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures. In addition, this guide provides information on issues establishments should consider if they use this equipment for any other purpose, such as part of their food defense plans.

FSIS is publishing this draft guide while pursuing OMB approval of information collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act related to Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point and Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures video records. FSIS is requesting comments through a separate **Federal Register** document on this information collection. Until the Agency receives OMB approval for the information collection, the draft guide should not be viewed as authoritative. Once FSIS receives OMB approval, it will issue a final guide. At that time, FSIS may also make changes to the guide based on comments received on the draft guide.

# **Additional Public Notification**

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to ensure that minorities, women, and persons with disabilities are aware of this notice, FSIS will announce it online through the FSIS Web page located at http://www.fsis.usda.gov/regulations\_&\_ policies/2010\_Notices\_Index/index.asp.

FSIS will also make copies of this Federal Register publication available through the FSIS Constituent Update, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, Federal Register notices, FSIS public meetings, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to constituents and stakeholders. The Update is communicated via Listserv, a free electronic mail subscription service for industry, trade groups, consumer interest groups, health professionals, and other individuals who have asked to be included. The Update is also available on the FSIS Web page. Through the Listserv and Web page, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader and more diverse audience. In addition, FSIS offers an electronic mail subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at http://www.fsis. usda.gov/news and events/email subscription/. Options range from recalls to export information to regulations, directives and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

Done at Washington, DC, on September 15, 2010.

#### Alfred V. Almanza,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2010–26027 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Forest Service**

# Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, Montana; Grizzly Vegetation and Transportation Management Project

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Kootenai National Forest will prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the Grizzly Vegetation and **Transportation Management Project** (Grizzly Project). The Grizzly Project includes vegetation management, fuels reduction, watershed rehabilitation activities, wildlife habitat improvement, and access management changes, including road decommissioning. The project is located in the Grizzly planning subunit on the Three Rivers Ranger District, Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, Montana, and northeast of Troy, Montana. The Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS for this project was published in the Federal Register (72 FR 31821) on June 8, 2007, and the notice of the Final EIS (74 FR 24006) on May 22, 2009. The Record of Decision was issued concurrently with the Final EIS. On June 29, 2010, the United States District Court for the District of Montana issued a sixty-nine page decision granting in part and denying in part cross motions for summary judgment in this case which alleged that the Forest Service's authorization of the Grizzly, Miller, and Little Beaver Projects on the Kootenai National Forest violated NEPA, NFMA, and the ESA. Plaintiffs generally alleged that in authorizing these Projects for vegetation management, fuels reduction, watershed restoration, timber harvest, and other purposes, the Forest Service failed to adequately evaluate their impact on the threatened Cabinet-Yaak grizzly bears which inhabit the area. Regarding the Grizzly Vegetation and Transportation Management Project the court found that (1) the agency's conclusion that the Project was consistent with the Kootenai Forest Plan violated NFMA because there was insufficient information in the record to determine whether the Projects complied with the standard for Management Situation 1 lands which require the agency to "favor the needs of the grizzly bear when grizzly habitat and other land use values compete"; and (2) the agency's failure to explain why it used the bear management unit instead of the Forest as the proper level for analysis of cumulative effects and its failure to disclose and discuss the problems with the "Wakkinen Study" regarding grizzly habitat standards violated NEPA's "hard look" requirement. The Court enjoined all three Projects and remanded them to the agency to address the defects identified in its decision. (09-160, D. Mont.). A

Supplemental EIS is being prepared for the Grizzly Vegetation and Transportation Management Project to address these disclosures in the grizzly bear analysis.

**DATES:** Under 40 CFR 1502.9(c)(4), there is no formal scoping period for this proposed action. The supplemental draft environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review and comment in late November, 2010 and the environmental impact statement is expected in February, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** The line officer responsible for this analysis is Cami Winslow, Acting District Ranger, Three Rivers Ranger District, 12385 U.S. Hwy 2, Troy, MT 59935.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Kathy Mohar, Team Leader, Three Rivers Ranger District, at (406) 295–4693.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Grizzly Project Area is approximately 18 air miles northeast of Troy, Montana, within all or portions of T34N, R32W–R33W, T35N, R32W–R33W, and T36N, R32W–R33W, Lincoln County, Montana.

The Grizzly Project Supplemental EIS will provide additional information and disclosures on the grizzly bear analysis in support of the Record of Decision issued in April 2009. More specifically, the Supplemental EIS will provide clarification and additional information on the following disclosures as requested by the District Court of Montana:

1. Why the Bear Management Unit is the appropriate scale of analysis for cumulative effects.

2. Further discussion on the limitations of Wakkinen and Kasworm (1997) utilized as the Best Available Science in regard to grizzly bear habitat protection in the Cabinet-Yaak Ecosystem Grizzly Bear Recovery Zone.

3. Further explanation on how the Grizzly Project was made compatible with grizzly bear needs, consistent with the Kootenai National Forest 1987 Forest Plan.

#### **Record of Decision—Alternative 2a**

The Grizzly Project Record of Decision issued in April 2009 authorized the following:

Vegetation treatments: Restoration of western white pine and western larch on 340 acres; restoration of low and moderate intensity fire regime vegetation characteristics on 548 acres; enhancement of aspen habitat on 19 acres; ecosystem and wildlife burning on 468 acres; precommercial thinning on 515 acres. These activities will contribute an estimated 8.2 million board feet of forest products to markets.

Transportation actions: Placing 15.5 miles of road in intermittent stored service status to improve grizzly bear habitat; active decommissioning on 15.4 miles of unneeded road, and storage work on 9.7 miles of road to reduce sediment delivery prior to placing in grizzly bear core habitat; best management practices on 36 miles of road; passive decommissioning of 27 miles of road; designate 65.5 miles of currently open roads as open to motorized use; designate 39 miles of existing trails within grizzly bear habitat for non-motorized use. The proposed action and alternatives were originally described and analyzed in the FEIS, located at http://www.fs.fed.us/r1/ kootenai/projects/projects/Grizzly/ index.shtml. At this time no new alternatives are expected.

### Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

A Draft SEIS is expected to be available for public review and comment in late November 2010; and a Final SEIS in February 2011. The comment period for the Draft SEIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final supplemental environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the Draft SEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

*Responsible Official:* Paul Bradford, Forest Supervisor of the Kootenai National Forest, 31374 US Hwy 2, Libby, MT 59923 is the Responsible Official for the Grizzly Project.

Dated: October 8, 2010.

Paul Bradford,

Forest Supervisor, Kootenai National Forest. [FR Doc. 2010–25969 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODF 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Forest Service**

#### West Virginia Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The West Virginia Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Elkins, West Virginia. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose is for the committee to consider new project proposals.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on October 29, 2010, and will begin at 10 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Monongahela National Forest Supervisor's Office, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, WV 26241. Written comments should be sent to Kate Goodrich-Arling at the same address. Comments may also be sent via e-mail to *kgoodricharling@fs.fed.us*, or via facsimile to 304–637–0582.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received at Monongahela National Forest, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, WV 26241.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Goodrich-Arling, RAC coordinator, USDA, Monongahela National Forest, 200 Sycamore Street, Elkins, WV 26241; (304) 636–1800; e-mail *kgoodricharling@fs.fed.us.* Individuals who use

telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relav Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. The following business will be conducted: (1) Review and approval or amendment of notes from previous meeting; (2) consider new project proposals; and (3) public Comment. Persons who wish to bring related matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting.

Dated: September 29, 2010.

#### Clyde N. Thompson,

Designated Federal Officer. [FR Doc. 2010–25940 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

# **Forest Service**

### Nevada and Placer Counties Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Nevada and Placer Counties Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Auburn, California. The committee is meeting as authorized under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act (Pub. L. 110–343) and in compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss projects submitted for funding and the expenditure of Title II funds benefiting National Forest System lands in Nevada and Placer Counties.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Placer County Water Agency office, 144 Ferguson, Rd., Auburn, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Westling, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Tahoe National Forest, 631 Coyote St., Nevada City, CA 95959, (530) 478–6205, e-mail: *awestling@fs.fed.us.* 

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Welcome and Introductions; (2) Review of RAC Operating Guidelines; (3)

Discussion of Proposed Projects; (4) Vote on Proposed Projects; and (5) Comments from the Public. The meeting is open to the public and the public will have an opportunity to comment at the meeting.

Dated: October 5, 2010.

Tom Quinn,

Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 2010–25995 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Forest Service**

# Glenn/Colusa County Resource Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Glenn/Colusa County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Willows, California. Agenda items covered include: (1) Introductions, (2) Approve Minutes, (3) RAC Admin Updates, (4) Public Comment, (5) Project Updates FY 08, 09, 10, (6) General Discussion, (9) Meeting Schedule, (8) Adjourn. DATES: The meeting will be held on October 18, 2010, from 1:30 p.m. and end at approximately 4:30 p.m. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District Office, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988. Individuals who wish to speak or propose agenda items send their names and proposals to Eduardo Olmedo, DFO, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988 or Laurie Tromblev, Glenn/ Colusa RAC Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, P.O. Box 160, Stonyford, CA 95979.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurie Trombley, Glenn/Colusa RAC Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, P.O. Box 160, Stonyford, CA 95979, (530) 963–3128 E-Mail: *ltrombley@fs.fed.us.* Eduardo Olmedo, District Ranger, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, 825 N. Humboldt St., Willows, CA 95988, (530) 934–3316 E-mail: *eolmedo@fs.fed.us.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee will file written statements with the Committee staff before or after