Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted- average margin (percent)
South Africa:	
Columbus Stainless	41.63
All-Others Rate	41.63
South Korea:	
Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	16.26
All-Others Rate	16.26
Yieh United Steel Corporation	8.02
YUSCO/Ta Chen	10.20
All-Others Rate	7.39

*AMS Belgium is the successor-in-interest to ALZ N.V.

** Thyssen Krupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A is the successor-in-interest to Acciai Speciali Terni SpA.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–25216 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-405-803, A-421-811, A-401-808]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated first sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from, *inter alia*, Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden antidumping duty orders pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).¹ As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dena Crossland or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–3362 or (202) 482– 3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland, the Netherlands, Mexico, and Sweden, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year* ("Sunset") Review, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010) (Notice of Initiation).

The Department received a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested party Aqualon Company (Aqualon)² within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Aqualon claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as the sole manufacturer of a domestic-like product in the United States.

The Department received adequate substantive responses to the *Notice of Initiation* from Aqualon within the 30day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland and Sweden.

On July 2, 2010, respondent Akzo Nobel filed a response concerning the sunset review of CMC from the Netherlands. Using the data provided by Aqualon in its July 1, 2010, substantive response, and data provided by Akzo Nobel in its July, 2, 2010, response, the Department found that Akzo Nobel accounted for less than 50 percent of exports of subject merchandise from the Netherlands. On July 22, 2010, the Department determined that Akzo Nobel's response was not adequate because it did not account for more than 50 percent of the total exports of subject merchandise to the United States over the relevant five-year period as required by 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). See Memorandum to Richard O. Weible, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, "Adequacy Determination in the First Five-Year 'Sunset Review' (2005 through 2009) of the Antidumping Duty Order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands," dated July 22, 2010.

As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department determined that it would conduct expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden and notified the U.S. International Trade Commission. See Letter to Ms. Catherine DeFilippo, Director, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, from James Maeder, Director, Office 2, AD/ CVD Operations, entitled "Expedited and Full Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders Initiated in June 2010," dated July 22, 2010.

On September 15, 2010, the Department contacted Aqualon regarding its reference to Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) number 3913.31.00.10 at page

¹ With respect to the antidumping duty order on CMC from Mexico, the Department is conducting a full sunset review, the preliminary results of which were signed on September 20, 2010. See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Mexico: Preliminary Results of the First Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of Antidumping Duty Order, 75 FR 60084 (September 29, 2010).

² Aqualon Company is a division of Hercules Incorporated.

12 of the Appendix of its substantive response, dated July 1, 2010. Aqualon stated on September 15, 2010, that it had mistakenly referenced the wrong HTSUS number in its substantive response and intended to reference HTSUS number 3912.31.00.10. See Memorandum to the File from Dena Crossland, Regarding Preliminary Results of First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden; Correction to Domestic Interested Party's July 1, 2010, Substantive Response, dated September 23, 2010.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by the orders is all purified CMC, sometimes also referred to as purified sodium CMC, polyanionic cellulose, or cellulose gum, which is a white to off-white, non-toxic, odorless, biodegradable powder, comprising sodium CMC that has been refined and purified to a minimum assay of 90 percent. Purified CMC does not include unpurified or crude CMC, CMC Fluidized Polymer Suspensions, and CMC that is cross-linked through heat treatment. Purified CMC is CMC that has undergone one or more purification operations, which, at a minimum, reduce the remaining salt and other by-product portion of the product to less than ten percent. The merchandise subject to the orders is currently classified in the HTSUS at subheading 3912.31.00.³ This tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden" from Susan H. Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by, and issued concurrently with, this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted- average margin (percent)
Finland:	
CP Kelco Oy	6.65
All Others Rate	6.65
The Netherlands:	
Akzo Nobel Surface Chemistry B.V. ⁴	13.39
CP Kelco B.V.	14.88
All Others Rate	14.57
Sweden:	
CP Kelco AB	25.29
All Others Rate	25.29

⁴ The Department preliminarily determined that Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals B.V. is the successor-in-interest to Akzo Nobel Surface

³ Although HTSUS number 3912.31.00.10 may be more specific to subject merchandise, it was not created until 2005. As such, we are relying on HTSUS number 3912.31.00 for purposes of these sunset reviews because in determining whether revocation of an order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, the Department considers the margins established in

the investigation and/or reviews conducted during the sunset review period as well as the volume of imports for the periods before and after the issuance of the order. See section 752(c)(1) of the Act.

Chemistry B.V. See Purified

Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 48310 (August 10, 2010). The Department intends to issue the final results on December 8, 2010 (the deadline may be extended).

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 2010–25210 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN: 0648-XZ42

Fisheries of the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico; Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR); South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC) Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC); Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (GMFMC) Scientific and Statistical Committee; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of SEDAR spiny lobster update assessment review.

SUMMARY: SEDAR will hold a meeting of the spiny lobster update assessment review panel. The meeting will be held in Key West, FL. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

DATES: The meeting will be held November 18–19, 2010. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. **ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Key West Marriott, 3841 N. Roosevelt Blvd., Key West, FL 33040; telephone: (800) 546–0885.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kim Iverson, Public Information Officer, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, North Charleston, SC 29405; telephone: (843) 571–4366; e-mail: *Kim.Iverson@safmc.net*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, and **Caribbean Fishery Management** Councils, in conjunction with NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf **States Marine Fisheries Commissions** have implemented the Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR) process, a multi-step method for determining the status of fish stocks in the Southeast Region. SEDAR update assessments add additional years of information to benchmark assessment models developed and approved previously. SEDAR Update assessments are developed through a workshop and webinar process including representatives from State and Federal Agencies, Council SSCs and Advisory Panels, NGO's, and fishery constituents. Update assessments are reviewed by Council SSCs.

Representatives of the GMFMC and SAFMC SSCs are conducting this review of the updated spiny lobster assessment. They will develop stock status and fishing level recommendations that will be provided to each Council's SSC for consideration.

Spiny Lobster Update Review Schedule:

November 18, 2010: 9 a.m. - 6 p.m.

November 19, 2010: 8 a.m. - 12 p.m.

The established daily times may be adjusted as necessary to accommodate the timely completion of discussion relevant to the assessment process. Such adjustments may result in the meeting being extended from, or completed prior to, the time established by this notice.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities.

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to the Council office (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT) at least 10 business days prior to each workshop.

Dated: September 30, 2010.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 2010–25056 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-822]

Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: A Foods 1991 Co., Limited (A Foods) has requested a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Thailand pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216(b). The Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating this changed circumstances review and issuing this notice of preliminary results pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). We have preliminarily determined that A Foods is the successor-in-interest to May Ao Company Limited (May Ao). DATES: Effective Date: October 6, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3874.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** an antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Thailand. See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand, 70 FR 5145 (Feb. 1, 2005).

On September 1, 2010, A Foods informed the Department that it changed its name from May Ao and

⁴ The Department preliminarily determined that Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals B.V. is the successor-in-interest to Akzo Nobel Surface Chemistry B.V. *See Purified*

Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 48310 (August 10, 2010). The Department intends to issue the final results on December 8, 2010 (the deadline may be extended).