program for grading poultry and rabbit products.

Need and Use of the Information:
This is a voluntary program on a fee for service basis. Respondents need to request or apply for the specific service they want and in doing so they provide information. The information is needed to administer the program, assess the cost of providing service, and to assure graded poultry and rabbits are properly labeled. Without this information the agency could not ensure properly labeled poultry and rabbit products and the integrity of the USDA grade mark if each new label was not submitted for approval.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for profit; Farms.

Number of Respondents: 372. Frequency of Responses: Reporting: Daily; Monthly; Semi-annually; Annually; Other: On occasion. Total Burden Hours: 1,861.

# **Agricultural Marketing Service**

Title: Export Fruit Regulations. OMB Control Number: 0581-0143. Summary of Collection: Fresh apples and grapes grown in the United States shipped to any foreign destination must meet minimum quality and other requirements established by regulations issued under the Export Apple Act (7 CFR Part 33) and the Export Grape and Plum Act (7 CFR Part 35). These Acts were designed to promote the foreign trade of the United States in apples and grapes; to protect the reputation of these American-grown commodities; and to prevent deception or misrepresentation of the quality of such products moving in foreign commerce. Plum provisions in the marketing order were terminated in 1991. The regulation issued under the Export Grape and Plum Act (7 CFR Part 35) cover fresh grapes grown in the United States and shipped to foreign destinations, except Canada. Apples and grapes exported to Canada are exempt from the Acts' regulations due to Canada's import requirements.

Need and Use of the Information: Persons who ship fresh apples and grapes grown in the U.S. to foreign destinations must have such shipment inspected and certified by Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service (FSIS) inspectors. Agriculture Marketing Service administers the FSIS. Official FSIS inspection certificates and phytosanitary certificates issued by USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service provide the needed information for USDA. Export carriers are required to keep on file for three years copies of inspection certificates for apples and grapes.

Description of Respondents: Business or other for-profit; Farms.

Number of Respondents: 100.

Frequency of Responses: Recordkeeping; Reporting; On occasion, Monthly, Annually.

Total Burden Hours: 25.

### **Agricultural Marketing Service**

*Title:* Customer Service Survey for USDA—Donated Food Products.

OMB Control Number: 0581-0182.

Summary of Collection: Each year the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) procures about \$700 million dollars of poultry, livestock, fruit, and vegetable products for the school lunch and other domestic feeding programs under authority of 7 CFR 250, Regulations for the Donation of Food for Use in the United States, its Territories and possessions and areas under its jurisdiction. To maintain and improve the quality of these products, AMS has sought to make this process more customer-driven and therefore is seeking opinions from the users of these products. Customers that use USDAprocured commodities to prepare and serve meals retrieve the AMS-11 cards from the boxes and use them to rate their perception of product flavor, texture, and appearance as well as overall satisfaction.

Need and Use of the Information: AMS will use three different versions of the AMS-11, "Customer Opinion Postcard," AMS-11A for Poultry Programs; AMS-11B for Livestock and Seed Programs and AMS-11C for Fruit and Vegetable Programs to collect information on the product type, production lot, and identify the location and type of facility in which the product was served. USDA program managers will use survey responses to maintain and improve product quality through the revision of USDA commodity specifications and follow-up action with producers of designated production lots.

Description of Respondents: State, Local or Tribal Government; Not-forprofit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 8,400.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 700.

## Charlene Parker,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-24931 Filed 10-4-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

### **Forest Service**

Information Collection; Federal Excess Personal Property (FEPP) and Firefighter Property (FFP) Program Cooperative Agreements

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Forest Service is seeking comments from all interested individuals and organizations on the new information collection, Federal Excess Personal Property (FEPP) and Firefighter Property (FFP) program Cooperative Agreements. **DATES:** Comments must be received in writing on or before December 6, 2010 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable. **ADDRESSES:** Comments concerning this notice should be addressed to: USDA, Forest Service, Attn: Melissa Frey, Fire and Aviation Management (F&AM), 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Mailstop 1107, Washington, DC 20250. Comments also may be submitted via email to: mfrey@fs.fed.us.

The public may inspect comments received at USDA Forest Service, F&AM, Room 2SO, 201 14th St., SW., Washington, DC, during normal business hours. Visitors are encouraged to call ahead to 202–206–1483 to facilitate entry to the building.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Frey, Fire and Aviation Management, 202–205–1090. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800– 877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title:* Federal Excess Personal Property (FEPP) and Firefighter Property (FFP) Cooperative Agreements.

OMB Number: 0596–NEW. Type of Request: NEW.

Abstract: Federal Excess Personal
Property (FEPP) and Firefighter Property
(FFP) program Cooperative Agreements
programs are available to state forestry
agencies. The program provides
participating state agencies with surplus
Department of Defense and other federal
agencies equipment and supplies to be
used in firefighting and emergency
services. The FEPP program loans
property to the state who in turn subloans the equipment and supplies to fire
departments. The FFP program transfers
ownership of the equipment to either

the state agency or the individual fire department.

A cooperative agreement collects information from the participating state agency and outlines the requirements and rules for the cooperation. Each state forestry agency shall provide an Accountable Officer who will be responsible for the integrity of the program within their respective state. For this reason, FEPP and FFP collect the state forestry agency contact information, the information of the Accountable Officer, and the requirements of participation in the FEPP and FFP programs.

A cooperative agreement will be prepared by each state forestry agency that desires to participate in one or both of the programs. Participating state agencies must submit separate agreements if they desire to be participants in both programs. Agreements will be processed and maintained at the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Fire and Aviation Management, Partnerships, Cooperative Programs branch in each Forest Service Regional Office

The authority to provide surplus supplies to state agencies comes from Federal Property and Administration Services Act of 1949, 40 U.S.C., Sec 202. Authority to loan excess supplies comes from 10 U.S.C., Subtitle A, Part IV, Chapter 153, 2576b grants the authority for the FFP program.

Estimate of Annual Burden: 1 hour. Type of Respondents: State Foresters. Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 10.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 2. Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 20 hours.

Comment is Invited: Comment is invited on: (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and

addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission request toward Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: September 28, 2010.

### John Phipps,

Associate Deputy Chief, State and Private Forestry.

[FR Doc. 2010–24879 Filed 10–4–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

# Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS-2008-0098]

Notice of Availability of Biotechnology Quality Management System Audit Standard and Evaluation of Comments

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** We are advising the public that the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has developed an audit standard for its biotechnology compliance assistance program. The audit standard, which was made available in draft form for comment in an earlier notice, will be used by participating regulated entities to develop and implement sound management practices, thus enhancing compliance with the regulatory requirements for field trials and movement of genetically engineered organisms in 7 CFR part 340. We are also making available a document containing our evaluation of the comments we received on the draft audit standard.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Edward Jhee, Chief, Compliance Assistance Branch, Biotechnology Regulatory Services, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 91, Riverdale, MD 20737—1236; (301) 734—6356, e-mail: edward.m.jhee@aphis.usda.gov. To obtain copies of the audit standard or our evaluation of comments submitted on the draft audit standard, contact Ms. Cindy Eck at (301) 734—0667, e-mail: cynthia.a.eck@aphis.usda.gov. Those documents may also be viewed on the APHIS Web site at the address provided at the end of this document.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) regulates the introduction—the importation, interstate movement, and environmental release—of genetically engineered (GE) organisms that are, or may be, plant pests. In September 2007, APHIS' Biotechnology Regulatory Services announced it was developing a voluntary, audit-based compliance assistance program known as the Biotechnology Quality Management System Program (BQMS Program) to assist regulated entities in achieving and maintaining compliance with the regulatory requirements for field trials and movements of GE organisms in 7 CFR part 340.

Under the BQMS Program, APHIS provides support for an entity's voluntary adoption of a customized biotechnology quality management system (BQMS) to improve their management of domestic research and development of regulated GE organisms. The BQMS audit standard provides criteria for the development, implementation, and objective evaluation of the entity's BQMS.

On June 4, 2009, APHIS published a notice 1 in the Federal Register (74 FR 26831-26832, Docket No. APHIS-2008-0098) announcing the availability of the BQMS draft audit standard. Comments on the BOMS draft audit standard were to have been received on or before August 3, 2009. APHIS subsequently published a notice in the Federal Register on August 24, 2009 (74 FR 42644, Docket No. APHIS-2008-0098), reopening the comment period on the draft audit standard for an additional 60 days ending October 23, 2009. APHIS solicited comments on the draft audit standard in general and sought specific input on the following four questions:

1. Do the critical control points in Requirement 7 of the draft audit standard identify all areas and elements that organizations should focus on in order to maintain compliance with the regulatory requirements under 7 CFR part 340?

2. Is the draft audit standard consistent with current best practices used by the regulated community?

3. Can the public identify incentives USDA might employ to encourage participation in the voluntary program by commercial industry as well as academic institutions?

4. The BQMS is designed to be flexible according to the size of the participating organization. Is this flexibility apparent in the draft audit standard?

APHIS also received input on the draft audit standard from organizations

¹ All notices mentioned in this docket, as well as comments received and supporting and related materials, can be viewed at http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS-2008-0098.