Advisory Committee Act (FACA), Public Law 92-436, 86 Stat. 470 (5 U.S.C. App.2). The AMSCs shall assist the Captain of the Port in the development, review, update, and exercising of the AMS Plan for their area of responsibility. Such matters may include, but are not limited to: Identifying critical port infrastructure and operations; Identifying risks (threats, vulnerabilities, and consequences); Determining mitigation strategies and implementation methods; Developing strategies to facilitate the recovery of the MTS after a Transportation Security Incident; Developing and describing the process to continually evaluate overall port security by considering consequences and vulnerabilities, how they may change over time, and what additional mitigation strategies can be applied; and Providing advice to, and assisting the Captain of the Port in developing and maintaining the Area Maritime Security

# **AMS Committee Membership**

Members of the AMSC should have at least 5 years of experience related to maritime or port security operations. The Detroit AMSC has 19 members. We are seeking to fill 15 vacancies with this solicitation. Applicants may be required to pass an appropriate security background check prior to appointment to the committee.

Members' terms of office will be for 5 years; however, a member is eligible to serve an additional term of office.

Members will not receive any salary or other compensation for their service on the AMSC. In support of the policy of the USCG on gender and ethnic diversity, we encourage qualified women and members of minority groups to apply.

# **Request for Applications**

Those seeking membership are not required to submit formal applications to the local Captain of the Port.
However, because we do have an obligation to ensure that a specific number of members have the prerequisite maritime security experience, we encourage the submission of resumes highlighting experience in the maritime and security industries.

Dated: September 14, 2010.

## E.J. Marohn,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port Detroit.

[FR Doc. 2010–24365 Filed 9–28–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

# U.S. Customs and Border Protection

[USCBP-2007-0083]

Withdrawal of Notice of Proposed Interpretation of the Expression "Sold For Exportation to the United States" as Used in the Transaction Value Method of Valuation in a Series of Sales Importation Scenario

**AGENCY:** Customs and Border Protection; Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of proposed interpretation.

**SUMMARY:** This document withdraws a notice published in the **Federal Register** on January 24, 2008, which proposed a new interpretation of the phrase "sold for exportation to the United States" for purposes of applying the transaction value method of valuation in a series of sales importation scenario.

**DATES:** The proposed interpretation is withdrawn on September 29, 2010.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Monika Brenner, Chief, Valuation & Special Programs Branch, Regulations

Special Programs Branch, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade, (202) 325–0038.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On January 24, 2008, Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published in the Federal Register (73 FR 4254) a notice informing interested parties that CBP was proposing a new interpretation of the expression "sold for exportation to the United States" for purposes of applying the transaction value method of valuation in a series of sales importation scenario. Under this proposed interpretation, in a transaction involving a series of sales, the price actually paid or payable for the imported goods when sold for exportation to the United States would be the price paid in the last sale occurring prior to the introduction of the goods into the United States, instead of the first (or earlier) sale. Accordingly, the transaction value would typically be determined on the basis of the price paid by the buyer in the United States.

# Intervening Legislation and Implementing Regulations

After CBP published its proposed interpretation document, Congress enacted the Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110–246, 122 Stat. 1651 (June 18, 2008)) ("the Act"), in which section 15422 required the Commissioner of CBP to collect

information from importers for a oneyear period as to whether the declared value was based on a "first sale" in a series of sales transactions. CBP was required to report the data to the International Trade Commission (ITC) on a monthly basis and, in turn, the ITC was required to submit a report to Congress within 90 days of receiving CBP's final report.

Congress also stated in the Act that, prior to January 1, 2011, CBP should not implement any change to its existing interpretation of the expression "sold for exportation to the United States" for purposes of applying the transaction value method of valuation in a series of sales importation scenario and, then, only in accordance with the prescribed terms set forth in the Act.

An interim rule implementing the Act's first sale declaration requirement was published in the Federal Register (73 FR 49939) on August 25, 2008 setting forth in § 141.61(g) of title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations (19 CFR 141.61(g)) that for a specified time period importers were required to declare, at the time of entry, whether the transaction value of the imported merchandise was determined on the basis of the price paid by the buyer in the first or earlier sale occurring prior to introduction of the merchandise into the United States. Per the statute and the interim regulations, this requirement set forth in § 141.61(g) expired on August 19, 2009.

In the interim rule document published on August 25, 2008, CBP informed the public that the agency intended to withdraw the proposed interpretation.

#### Withdrawal of Proposal

In accordance with its intent as stated in the interim rule, CBP withdraws the notice of proposed interpretation published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 4254) on January 24, 2008.

Dated: September 24, 2010.

#### Alan Bersin,

Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

[FR Doc. 2010–24464 Filed 9–28–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# U.S. Geological Survey

[USGS-8327CPDM2]

Notice of a Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection (1028–0091)

**AGENCY:** United States Geological Survey (USGS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of a revision of a currently approved information collection (1028–0091).

**SUMMARY:** We (the U.S. Geological Survey) have sent an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The ICR, which is summarized below, describes the nature of the collection and the estimated burden on the public.

**DATES:** You must submit comments on or before *December 28, 2010.* 

ADDRESSES: Please send your comments and suggestions on this ICR to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at OMB-OIRA at (202) 395–5806 (fax) or

OIRA\_DOCKET@OMB.eop.gov (e-mail). Please also send a copy of your comments on the ICR to Phadrea Ponds, Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Geological Survey, 2150–C Centre Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80526 (mail); pondsp@usgs.gov (e-mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, please contact USGS, Earlene Swann by mail at U.S. Geological Survey, 2150–C Center Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80525 or by telephone at (970) 226–9346.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Abstract

This study was approved by OMB (on September 30, 2009). We are requesting a revision of this collection. The U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) Land Remote Sensing (LRS) Program has been briefed on the results associated with this ICR and has requested additional information to be collected concerning the users of Landsat Imagery. After careful consideration and review of the results, the LRS Program determined that they would like to know more about users of Landsat imagery. Specifically, in order to meet legal and programmatic responsibilities to effectively manage the Landsat system, the LRS has requested additional information about the uses and the values of a defined population of users who obtain imagery from the Earth Resources Observation and Science Center (EROS). EROS is responsible for collecting, processing, archiving, and distributing Landsat imagery. Between 2008 and 2009, there was a five-fold increase in users when imagery became available at no cost beginning in late 2008. The LRS Program is very interested in knowing more about this population of users. The initial information collection provided information about a diverse contingent of Landsat and other moderateresolution imagery users, but was not

generalizable to the entire population of users, and did not include new users who may have begun using Landsat after it became available at no cost. This collection has been revised to provide USGS with information about a population of users for which they currently have no existing data. Additionally, this information could help guide efforts to provide suitable replacement imagery in the event of a break in Landsat continuity by providing a better understanding of likely user responses to this scenario. This information collection will be conducted by scientists and staff in the Policy Analysis and Science Assistance Branch (PASA) of the USGS. The information collection will be conducted online.

### II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1028–0091. Title: Users, Uses, and Value of Landsat Satellite Imagery.

Type of Request: This is a revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondent Obligation: Voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: One-time.

Estimated Annual Number of and

Description of Respondents: 14,773.

State and Local Government, private individuals, state and local land management officials, scientists, and geographic researchers.

	Annual num- ber of re- sponses	Estimated completion time per respondent	Estimated annual bur- den
Survey		30 minutes	6,526 87 6,613

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost": There are no "non-hour cost" burdens associated with this collection of information.

#### **III. Request for Comments**

We are inviting comments concerning this ICR on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of

public record. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 22, 2010.

#### D. Bryant Cramer,

Associate Director for Geography. [FR Doc. 2010–24374 Filed 9–28–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4311-AM-P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R9-LE-2010-N213] [99011-1224-0000-9B]

Information Collection Sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Approval; 1018-0092; Federal Fish and Wildlife Permit Applications and Reports—Law Enforcement

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (Fish and Wildlife Service) have sent an Information Collection Request (ICR) to OMB for review and approval. We summarize the ICR below and describe the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost. This ICR is scheduled to expire on