for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding APO

This notice also serves as a reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act

Dated: September 20, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I-List of Issues

Case Issues:

Comment 1: Whether to Grant Market-Oriented Industry ("MOI") Status to the Coated Paper Industry

Comment 2Å: Whether Simultaneous Application of Countervailing Duties ("CVDs") and Antidumping Duties Calculated Using the NME Methodology is Contrary to Law

Comment 2B: Whether Simultaneous
Application of Countervailing Duties and
Antidumping Duties Calculated Using the
NME Methodology to Imports of the Same
Products Results in the Imposition of
Double Remedies

Comment 3: Whether Targeted Dumping Test Violates the Administrative Procedures Act ("APA") and is Flawed

Comment 4: Whether to Revise the Targeted Dumping Analysis in Light of APP-China's Minor Corrections Filed at Verification

Comment 5: Whether the Department Should Apply Zeroing

Comment 6: Application of Adverse Facts Available ("AFA") to Sun Paper Companies Comment 7: Whether to Apply Market-Oriented Economy ("MOE") Treatment to APP-China

Comment 8: Whether to Apply AFA to All Sales and Expense Information of GPS

Comment 9: Whether to Reclassify Certain APP-China Sales from Export Price ("EP")to "Constructed Export Price ("CEP")

Comment 10: Whether the Department Should Reject APP-China's Minor Correction

Comment 11: Whether the Department Should Deduct Certain Rebates for APP-China

Comment 12: Whether the Department Should Deduct Certain Commission Expenses

Comment 13: Whether the Department Should Correct Certain Ministerial Errors Comment 14: Whether to Deduct Domestic Inland Insurance from U.S. Price Comment 15: Application of Foreign Truck Freight

Comment 16: Whether to Treat All of APP-China's Market Economy ("ME") Pulp Purchases as Market Economy Purchases ("MEPs")

Comment 17: Whether to Accept APP-China's ME Purchases from Thailand and Korea

Comment 18: Whether to Employ the 33 Percent Threshold for GE Group's ME Purchases

Comment 19: Valuation of Calcium Carbonate Ore ("CCORE")

Comment 20: Valuation of Optical Brightener ("OBA/OBAS/OBAL")

Comment 21: Valuation of Masculine Starch Transforming Agent ("MSTA")

Comment 22: Valuation of Tapioca Starch ("TSTARCH")

Comment 23: Valuation of Wet End Starch ("WESTARCH")

Comment 24: Valuation of Dispersing Agent A ("DISPERSANTA")

Comment 25: Valuation of Tackifier Comment 26: Valuation of Hypochlorous Natrium/Sodium Hypochlorite ("BACLO/ NACLO")

Comment 27: Valuation of Coating Binding Agent ("CBA")

Comment 28: Valuation of Coating Starch ("CSTARCH")

Comment 29: Valuation of Surface Sizing Starch ("SSS")

Comment 30: Selection of Labor Rate Comment 31: Valuation of Brokerage & Handling

Comment 32: Whether the Department Should Include Certain Direct Selling Expenses in the Calculation of SG&A

[FR Doc. 2010–24159 Filed 9–24–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-560-823]

Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From Indonesia: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce determines that certain coated paper suitable for high-quality print graphics using sheet-fed presses (certain coated paper) from Indonesia is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less-than-fair-value (LTFV), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Final Determination Margins" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 27, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gemal Brangman or Brian Smith, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3773 and (202) 482–1766, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 6, 2010, the Department of Commerce (Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary determination of sales at LTFV in the antidumping duty investigation of certain coated paper from Indonesia. See Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From Indonesia: Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 75 FR 24885 (May 6, 2010) (Preliminary Determination).

On May 10, 2010, the respondents¹ in this investigation alleged a ministerial error in the Department's preliminary margin calculation.

On May 14, 2010, the Department issued a post-preliminary analysis for PD/TK/IK evaluating whether the use of quarterly cost averaging periods was warranted in this investigation. See Memorandum to Neal Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, entitled "Alternative Cost Averaging Period Analysis Memorandum—PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk., PT Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills, and PT Indah Kiat Pulp Tbk," dated May 14, 2010. Based on the data and methodology described in this memorandum, we found that the change in the total cost of manufacturing recognized by PD/TK/IK during the period of investigation (POI) for its highest-volume products sold in the U.S. and home markets did not meet the Department's standard for significance (i.e., greater than 25 percent

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ The respondents are: PT. Pindo Deli Pulp & Paper Mills (PD), PT. Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia, Tbk (TK), PT Indah Kiat Pulp & Paper Tbk (IK) (collectively PD/TK/IK). In the preliminary determination, we determined it appropriate to treat PD, TK, and IK as one entity for margin calculation purposes because they met the regulatory criteria for collapsing. See Memorandum to John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from the Team entitled, "Whether To Treat Respondents as a Single Entity for Margin Calculation Purposes in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From Indonesia," dated April 21, 2010. No party commented on this preliminary determination and we found nothing at verification that would otherwise compel us to reverse this determination. Therefore, we have continued to treat these affiliated companies as one entity in the final determination.

change from the high to the low quarter). See Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 31242 (June 30, 2009) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1. Therefore, we determined that no change to our normal POI-average cost methodology was warranted in this case.²

On May 11, 2010, we issued the cost verification agenda to PD/TK/IK.

On May 19, 2010, we determined that the error alleged by PD/TK/IK in its May 10, 2010, submission was a ministerial error, but not a significant ministerial error as defined by 19 CFR 351.224(g), and stated that we would correct this error for purposes of the final determination. See Memorandum from The Team to James Maeder, Director, AD/CVD Operations Office 2, entitled "Respondent's Allegation of Ministerial Error in the Preliminary Determination," dated May 19, 2010.

On June 1, 2010, we issued a sales supplemental questionnaire to PD/TK/IK and received PD/TK/IK's response to this questionnaire on June 16, 2010.

On June 4, 2010, we issued the sales verification agenda to PD/TK/IK.

During May and June 2010, we verified the sales and cost of production (COP) questionnaire responses of PD/ TK/IK. During June and July 2010, we issued the COP and sales verification reports. See Memorandum to The File entitled "Verification of the Cost Response of PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk., PT Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper Mills, and PT Indah Kiat Pulp and Paper Tbk. in the Antidumping Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses From Indonesia," dated June 29, 2010; Memorandum to The File entitled "Verification of the Sales Response of (Affiliated Company) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses (Coated Paper) From Indonesia," dated July 26, 2010; Memorandum to The File entitled "Verification of the Sales Response of PT Pindo Deli Pulp & Paper Mills and PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia, Tbk in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses (Coated Paper) From Indonesia," dated July 26, 2010;

Memorandum to The File entitled "Verification of the Sales Response of PT Indah Kiat Pulp & Paper Tbk. and (Affiliated Company) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses (Coated Paper) From Indonesia, dated July 30, 2010; and Memorandum to The File entitled "Verification of the Sales Response of (Affiliated Company) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses (Coated Paper) From Indonesia," dated July 30, 2010.

On August 3, 2010, we issued a memorandum addressing certain scope issues in this investigation. *See*Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Susan Kuhbach, Director, Office 1, entitled "Scope" (August 3, 2010 Scope Memorandum).

On August 10 and 16, 2010, respectively, the petitioners³ in this investigation and PD/TK/IK each submitted case and rebuttal briefs on all issues excluding scope. On August 18 and 25, 2010, the Department met with the petitioners' and PD/TK/IK's counsels, respectively, to discuss the issues raised in these case and rebuttal briefs. See the Department's memoranda to the file entitled, "Meeting With Petitioner's Counsel," dated August 18, 2010, and "Meeting With the Respondent Counsel," dated August 25, 2010.

On August 20, 2010, PD/TK/TK filed its case brief on scope issues, and on August 24, 2010, the petitioners filed their rebuttal brief on scope issues.

Period of Investigation

The POI is July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009. This period corresponds to the four most recent fiscal quarters prior to the month of the filing of the petition. See 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1).

Scope of Investigation

The merchandise under investigation includes certain coated paper and paperboard⁴ in sheets suitable for high quality print graphics using sheet-fed presses; coated on one or both sides

with kaolin (China or other clay), calcium carbonate, titanium dioxide, and/or other inorganic substances; with or without a binder; having a GE brightness level of 80 or higher; ⁵ weighing not more than 340 grams per square meter; whether gloss grade, satin grade, matte grade, dull grade, or any other grade of finish; whether or not surface-colored, surface-decorated, printed (except as described below), embossed, or perforated; and irrespective of dimensions ("Certain Coated Paper").

Certain Coated Paper includes (a)
Coated free sheet paper and paperboard
that meets this scope definition; (b)
coated groundwood paper and
paperboard produced from bleached
chemi-thermo-mechanical pulp
("BCTMP") that meets this scope
definition; and (c) any other coated
paper and paperboard that meets this
scope definition.

Certain Coated Paper is typically (but not exclusively) used for printing multicolored graphics for catalogues, books, magazines, envelopes, labels and wraps, greeting cards, and other commercial printing applications requiring high quality print graphics.

Specifically excluded from the scope are imports of paper and paperboard printed with final content printed text

or graphics.

As of 2009, imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under the following categories of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"): 4810.14.11, 4810.14.1900, 4810.14.2010, 4810.14.2090, 4810.14.5000, 4810.14.6000, 4810.14.70, 4810.19.1100, 4810.19.1900, 4810.19.2010, 4810.19.2090, 4810.22.1000, 4810.22.50, 4810.22.6000, 4810.22.70, 4810.29.1000, 4810.29.5000, 4810.29.6000, 4810.29.70, 4810.32, 4810.39 and 4810.92. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

Scope Comments

Following the *Preliminary*Determination, on August 3, 2010, the
Department issued a decision
memorandum addressing three scope
issues in this and the concurrent
antidumping and countervailing duty
investigations on certain coated paper

² No party commented on the Department's postpreliminary quarterly cost analysis and we found nothing at verification that warrants the reversal of this determination. Therefore, we have continued to apply our normal POI-average cost methodology in the final determination.

³ The petitioners include the following companies: Appleton Coated LLC, NewPage Corporation, S.D. Warren Company d/b/a/Sappi Fine Paper North America, and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union.

⁴ "'Paperboard' refers to Certain Coated Paper that is heavier, thicker and more rigid than coated paper which otherwise meets the product description. In the context of Certain Coated Paper, paperboard typically is referred to as 'cover,' to distinguish it from 'text.'"

⁵One of the key measurements of any grade of paper is brightness. Generally speaking, the brighter the paper the better the contrast between the paper and the ink. Brightness is measured using a GE Reflectance Scale, which measures the reflection of light off of a grade of paper. One is the lowest reflection, or what would be given to a totally black grade, and 100 is the brightest measured grade.

from Indonesia and the People's Republic of China: (1) Whether to clarify the scope of these investigations to exclude multi-ply coated paper and paperboard; (2) whether to modify the scope language by striking the phrase "suitable for high-quality print graphics;" and (3) whether to add three HTSUS numbers which may include inscope merchandise (i.e., HTSUS 4810.32, 4810.39 and 4810.92). See August 3, 2010 Scope Memorandum. For the reasons explained in the August 3, 2010, Scope Memorandum, the Department determined that: (1) Multiply products that otherwise meet the description of the scope of the investigations are not excluded from the scope; (2) the "suitable for high-quality print graphics" language should not be deleted from the scope; and (3) the three HTSUS numbers at issue should be added to the scope.

The Department subsequently provided the interested parties an opportunity to comment on its postpreliminary scope determination. In response, the respondents in these investigations filed a case brief on August 20, 2010, and the petitioners filed a rebuttal brief on August 24, 2010. Based on the Department's analysis of these comments and the factual records of these investigations, the Department continues to find that multi-ply coated paper and paperboard are not excluded from the scope of the investigations, that the "suitable for high-quality print graphics" language should be maintained, and that the three HTSUS numbers listed above should be added to the scope. For a complete discussion of the parties' comments and the Department's position, see "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with this notice and incorporated herein by reference.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues (except scope issues) raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by the parties to this investigation are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses from Indonesia" from Susan H. Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Decision Memo), dated

concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues that parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this investigation and the corresponding recommendations in the Decision Memo, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room 1117 of the Commerce Department. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the sales and COP information submitted by PD/TK/IK for use in our final determination. We used standard verification procedures including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondent. Our sales and cost verification results are outlined in separate verification reports. See "Background" section above for a list of verification reports the Department has issued in this investigation. The verification reports are on file and available in the Central Records Unit, Room 1117 of the Commerce Department.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we have made certain changes to the margin calculations for PD/TK/IK. For a discussion of these changes, see the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memo.

Targeted Dumping

The statute allows the Department to employ the average-to-transaction margin calculation methodology under the following circumstances: (1) There is a pattern of export prices that differ significantly among purchasers, regions or periods of time; and (2) the Department explains why such differences cannot be taken into account using the average-to-average or transaction-to-transaction methodology. See section 777A(d)(1)(B) of the Act.

In the *Preliminary Determination*, we conducted customer, regional, and timeperiod targeted dumping analyses based on timely allegations of targeted dumping filed by the petitioners, using the methodology adopted in *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab*

Emirates: Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Not Less Than Fair Value, 73 FR 33985 (June 16, 2008), and Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Partial Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 73 FR 33977 (June 16, 2008), and applied in more recent investigations.⁶ As a result, we preliminarily determined that, with respect to sales by PD/TK/IK for certain customers, regions and time periods, there was a pattern of prices that differed significantly. However, we also found that these differences could be taken into account using the average-toaverage methodology because the average-to-average methodology did not conceal differences in the patterns of prices between the targeted and nontargeted groups by averaging low-priced sales to the targeted group with highpriced sales to the non-targeted group. We stated further that the standard average-to-average methodology took into account the price difference because the alternative average-totransaction methodology yielded no difference in the margin or yielded a difference in the margin that was so insignificant relative to the size of the resulting margin as to be immaterial. Therefore, for the preliminary determination, we applied the standard average-to-average methodology to all of PD/TK/IK's U.S. sales. See Preliminary Determination at 75 FR 24887-24888.

For the final determination, we performed our targeted-dumping analysis following the methodology employed in the Preliminary Determination, after making certain revisions to PD/TK/IK's reported data based on verification findings and the comments submitted by the parties, as enumerated in the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memo. Because the results of our final targeted-dumping analysis were consistent with those of our preliminary targeted-dumping analysis, we have continued to apply the standard average-to-average methodology to all of PD/TK/IK's U.S. sales in the final

⁶ These investigations include Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Taiwan, 75 FR 14569 (March 26, 2010), Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Indonesia: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 75 FR 16431 (April 1, 2010), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1; and Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Affirmative Final Determination of Critical Circumstances and Final Determination of Targeted Dumping, 75 FR 20335 (April 19, 2010) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

determination. For further discussion, see the Decision Memo at Comment 1.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend

liquidation of all imports of subject merchandise that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 6, 2010, the date of publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**. We will instruct CBP to continue to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond for all companies

based on the estimated weightedaverage dumping margins shown below. The suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Final Determination Margins

We determine that the weightedaverage dumping margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-Average margin (percent)
PT. Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk./PT. Pindo Deli Pulp and Paper/PT. Indah Kiat Pulp and Paper Tbk	20.13 20.13

All-Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated "All Others" rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis margins, and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. PD/TK/IK is the only respondent in this investigation for which the Department calculated a company-specific rate. Therefore, for purposes of determining the all-others rate and pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(A)of the Act, we are using the weightedaverage dumping margin calculated for PD/TK/IK, as referenced above. See, e.g., Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy, 64 FR 30750, 30755 (June 8, 1999); Coated Free Sheet Paper from Indonesia: Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination, 72 FR 30753, 30757 (June 4, 2007), unchanged in Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Ťhan Fair Value: Coated Free Sheet Paper from Indonesia, 72 FR 60636 (October 25, 2007); and Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from Mexico: Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 75 FR 45097 (August 2, 2010).

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our final determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine within 45 days whether

imports of the subject merchandise are causing material injury, or threat of material injury, to an industry in the United States. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i) of the Act

Dated: September 20, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

Comments

Comment 1: Targeted Dumping
Comment 2: Capitalization of Foreign
Exchange Losses in Log Costs
Comment 3: Market Price for Certain Logs
Comment 4: Inclusion of Sawmill Logs in Log
Costs

Comment 5: Transfer Price for Logs Comment 6: IK's Pulp Costs Comment 7: General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses

Comment 8: Financial Expenses

Comment 9: Unreported Ŝales to Puerto Rico Comment 10: Treatment of Bank Charges, Loading Fees, Administrative (ADM) Fees, and Automatic Manifest System (AMS) Fees

Comment 11: Billing Adjustments

Comment 12: Rebates

Comment 13: Freight Revenue

Comment 14: International Freight

Comment 15: Foreign Inland Freight

Comment 16: Treatment of Certain U.S. Sales

[FR Doc. 2010-24160 Filed 9-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XZ25

Fisheries of the South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean; Southeastern Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR); Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of SEDAR Steering Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The SEDAR Steering Committee will meet to discuss the SEDAR assessment schedule, budget, and the SEDAR process. See

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

DATES: The SEDAR Steering Committee will meet on Tuesday, October 5 through Thursday, October 7, 2010. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific dates and times.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Embassy Suites Historic Charleston, 337 Meeting Street, Charleston, SC 29403. telephone: (843) 723–6900.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Carmichael, SEDAR Program Manager, SEDAR/SAFMC, 4055 Faber Place, Suite