Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to the Federal Communications Commission via email to PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, (202) 418–0214. For additional information, contact Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, 202–418– 0214 or email judith–b.herman@fcc.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0972. Title: Multi–Association Group (MAG) Plan Order, Parts 54 and 69 Filing Requirements for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non–Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers.

Form Nos.: FCC Forms 507, 508 and 509.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 1,258 respondents; 10,849 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour to 90 hours.

Frequency of Response: Quarterly, annual, one time, every three year reporting requirements; and third party disclosure requirements.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 1 - 4, 10, 154(i), 154(j), 201–205, 254, and 403.

Total Annual Burden: 46,877 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$48,900.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: The Commission does not request that respondents submit confidential information to the Commission. If the respondents believe they have information that is confidential, they may request confidential treatment of their information under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this comment period to obtain the full, three–year clearance from them. There is no change in the Commission's reporting and/or third party disclosure requirements. The Commission is reporting a 6,426 hourly increase adjustment and a \$3,705 increase in annual costs.

Following the passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Commission adopted interstate access charge and universal service support reforms. The reforms were designed to establish a "pro–competitive, deregulatory national policy framework" for the United States telecommunications industry, and to carry out the universal service policies embodied in the1996 Act.

Specifically, the Commission aligned the interstate access rate structure more closely with the manner in which costs are incurred, and created a universal service support mechanism for rate–of– return carriers (Interstate Common line Support (ICLS)) to replace implicit support in interstate access charges with explicit support that is portable to all eligible telecommunications carriers.

By merging Long Term Support (LTS) with ICLS, the Commission made the universal service mechanisms simpler and more transparent, while ensuring that rate–of–return carriers maintain existing levels of universal service support.

To administer the ICLS mechanism, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) must collect certain data. Specifically, the Administrator must collect from each rate–of–return carrier projected cost and revenue data for the July 1 – July 30 funding year to accurately distribute prospective ICLS to those carriers.

The Administrator must also collect from each rate–of–return carrier actual cost and revenue data for the prior calendar year in order to accurately calculate the final ICLS for which the carrier is eligible and perform true–ups against the prospective ICLS. In order to fulfill its obligation to prevent waste, fraud, and abuse in the universal service program, the Administrator must also collect from selected carriers additional cost and revenue data for the purpose of validating the actual cost and revenue data filed by rate–of–return carriers.

The Commission will use the information collected to determine whether and to what extent non-price cap or rate-of-return carriers providing the data are eligible to receive universal service support. The Commission will use the tariff data to make sure that rates are just and reasonable, as required by section 201(b) of the 1996 Act.

Federal Communications Commission.

# Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary,

Office of the Secretary,

Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2010–22469 Filed 9–8–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–S

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission, Comments Requested

#### September 3, 2010.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501 -3520. Comments are requested concerning: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before November 8, 2010. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at 202– 395–5167 or via the Internet at Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to the Federal Communications Commission via email to PRA@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, (202) 418–0214. For additional information, contact Judith B. Herman, Office of Managing Director, 202–418– 0214 or email judith–b.herman@fcc.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0984.

Title: Section 90.35(b)(2), Industrial/ Business Pool and Section 90.175(b)(1), Frequency Coordinator Requirements.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 7,341 respondents, 7,341 responses.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency of Response: One time reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. sections 154(i), 161, 303(g), 303(r), and 332(c)(7).

Total Annual Burden: 7,341 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this comment period to obtain the full three year clearance from them. There is no change to the one time reporting and/or third party disclosure requirements. There is no change in the Commission's burden estimates.

Sections 90.35 and 90.175 require third party disclosures by applicants proposing to operate a land mobile radio station. If they have service contours that overlap an existing land mobile station they are required to obtain written concurrent of the frequency coordinator associated with the industry for which the existing station license was issued, or the written concurrence of the licensee of the existing station.

This requirement will be used by Commission personnel in evaluating the applicant's need for such frequencies and to minimize the interference potential to other stations operating on the proposed frequencies.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary,

*Office of the Secretary, Office of Managing Director.* 

[FR Doc. 2010–22468 Filed 9–8–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–S

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 10-157; FCC 10-147]

Eddie Floyd, Licensee of FM Translator Station K273AF, Carson City, NV, Facility ID No. 13529; Application of Eddie Floyd and Wilks License Company-Reno LLC for Assignment of License, File No. BALFT– 20070904ACU; Application of Eddie Floyd for Modification of License, File No. BMLFT–20071218ABH

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission. ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document designates a hearing to determine whether Eddie Floyd is qualified to be and remain the licensee of FM Translator Station K273AF, Carson City, NV, or whether his license should be revoked and the pending applications for consent to assignment and modification of the license should be dismissed. Mr. Floyd's qualifications are under review based on his felony conviction relating to money laundering and his apparent failure to inform the Commission about such misconduct in the pending applications. The document also provides notice of apparent liability against Mr. Floyd for failure to disclose such information in the pending applications.

**DATES:** Each party to the proceeding (except for the Chief, Enforcement Bureau), in person or by counsel, shall file with the Commission, by September 13, 2010, a written appearance stating that the party will appear on the date fixed for hearing and present evidence on the issues specified herein.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Garv Schonman, garv.schonman@fcc.gov, Enforcement Bureau, Investigations and Hearings Division, (202) 418–1795. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Order to Show Cause, Hearing Designation Order and Notice of Apparent Liability, FCC 10-147, adopted and released on August 5, 2010. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFS (http://www.fcc.gov/ cgb/ecfs). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word 97, and/ or Adobe Acrobat.) The complete text

may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, 445 12th Street, SW., CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554. To request this document in accessible formats (computer diskette, large print, audio recording, and Braille), send an e-mail to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

## Synopsis of the Order

## A. Background

1. Walter Edward Floyd, aka Eddie Floyd, has been licensee of Station K273AF since August 14, 2001. On December 29, 2006, Floyd entered a guilty plea in United States District Court, District of Nevada to one count of violating 18 U.S.C. 1956(a)(1)(B)(I), involving money laundering, and one count of violating 18 U.S.C. 2, aiding and abetting a felony crime, both felonies. According to a Memorandum of Plea Negotiation, from approximately April 19, 2002 to March 24, 2004, Floyd provided real property located in Doyle, California, to an individual by the name of Daren Mabunda for the purpose of cultivating marijuana. See United States v. Walter Edward Floyd, Criminal No. 3:06-CR-21-RLH, Memorandum of Plea Negotiation, (dated Dec. 22, 2006; entered Dec. 29, 2006, U.S. District Court, District of Nevada). Floyd drafted a fictitious lease for the real property to cover payments by Mabunda to Floyd for the marijuana operation. Between April 22, 2002, and August 18, 2003, Floyd received payments from Mabunda totaling \$37,500, which Floyd deposited in his bank accounts. In December 2003. Floyd gave Mabunda 400,000 shares of stock in a company he owned, "Nevada Matters," in exchange for approximately \$110,000. In February 2004, Floyd gave Mabunda an additional 100,000 shares in the company in exchange for \$27,500. The court found these payments constituted money laundering by Floyd in the total amount of \$175,000. Floyd acknowledged in the Memorandum of Plea Negotiation that all payments he received came from the proceeds of Mabunda's drug trafficking activity, and Flovd engaged in the transactions with Mabunda in order to conceal and disguise the source of the funds. On March 30, 2007, Floyd was sentenced to 48 months in federal prison, to commence on June 1, 2007. See United States v. Walter Edward Floyd, Criminal No. 3:06-CR-0021-RLH-RAM, Judgment, at 1-2 (Mar. 30, 2007). He was released by the Federal Bureau of Prisons on May 21, 2010, and is currently under the jurisdiction of the