subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ *rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission,¹⁰ all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-EDGA-2010–09 and should be submitted on or before September 7, 2010.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–20105 Filed 8–13–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–62680; File No. SR–EDGX– 2010–06]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change to Amend the EDGX Fee Schedule to Impose Fees for Physical Ports Used To Connect To EDGX Exchange

August 10, 2010.

I. Introduction

On July 1, 2010, the EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend its fee schedule to begin charging an annual fee to Members and non-members for certain physical ports used to connect to the Exchange's systems. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 9, 2010.³ The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposes to begin charging an annual fee to Members and non-members for physical ports used to connect to the Exchange's systems for purposes that include order entry and the receipt of Exchange data. A physical port is a port used by a Member or nonmember to connect into the Exchange at the data centers where Exchange servers are located.⁴ Physical port connections can occur either through an external telecommunication circuit or a crossconnection. Currently, Members and non-members have a number of alternative methods available to them for connecting to the Exchange without the need to obtain an independent physical connection, including the use of financial extranets or service bureaus. The Exchange believes that some Members and non-members may wish to connect directly to the Exchange's systems with their own dedicated circuit connection. To support their requirements and the associated infrastructure costs related to direct circuit connectivity, EDGX proposes to charge Members and non-members the following annual fees based on the connectivity service type:

Connection service type	Annual fee per physical port
1 Gb Copper 1 Gb Fiber	5,000 7.500
10 Gb Fiber	10,000

Only one physical port is required to access all services for EDGX. However, Members and non-members may choose more than one physical port and different connection service types based on their needs. The Exchange notes that

⁴Non-members may include non-member service bureaus that act as a conduit for orders entered by Exchange Members that are their customers, as well as sponsored participants and market data recipients. other market centers provide similar services to their Members and non-members. $^{\rm 5}$

The Exchange believes that the proposal will offer market participants additional EDGX connectivity choices, providing for greater access to EDGX while allowing each market participant to choose the method of connectivity based on its specific needs.

III. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁶ Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁷ which requires the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities, and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁸ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Commission also finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,⁹ which requires that the rules of an exchange not impose a burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. Finally, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 603(a) of Regulation NMS,¹⁰ which requires an exclusive processor that distributes information with respect to quotations for or transactions in an NMS stock to do so on terms that are fair and reasonable and not unreasonably discriminatory.

The Commission believes that the proposed physical port fees are equitably allocated among Members and non-members and do not unfairly or

⁶ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

- 7 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).
- ⁸15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).
- 915 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).
- ¹⁰17 CFR 242.603(a).

¹⁰ The text of the proposed rule change is available on Exchange's Web site at *http:// www.directedge.com*, on the Commission's Web site at *http://www.sec.gov*, at EDGA, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

¹¹17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $^{^3}$ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62437 (July 1, 2010), 75 FR 39599.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61545 (February 19, 2010), 75 FR 8769 (February 25, 2010) (order approving File No. SR–BATS–2009–032). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62392 (June 28, 2010), 75 FR 38857 (July 6, 2010) (notice of filing of File No. SR–Nasdaq–2010–077).

unreasonably discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers because the proposed physical port fees do not distinguish among the type of participant but rather are the same for all Members and non-members. The Commission also believes that EDGX was subject to significant competitive pressure to act equitably, fairly, and reasonably in setting the physical port fees, in light of the highly competitive nature of the market for execution and routing services.¹¹

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹² that the proposed rule change (SR-EDGX-2010-06) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. $^{\rm 13}$

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–20103 Filed 8–13–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–62681; File No. SR–EDGA– 2010–06]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Amend the EDGA Fee Schedule To Impose Fees for Physical Ports Used To Connect to EDGA Exchange

August 10, 2010.

I. Introduction

On July 1, 2010, the EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend its fee schedule to begin charging an annual fee to Members and non-members for certain physical ports used to connect to the Exchange's systems. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on July 9, 2010.3 The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposal. This

order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposes to begin charging an annual fee to Members and non-members for physical ports used to connect to the Exchange's systems for purposes that include order entry and the receipt of Exchange data. A physical port is a port used by a Member or nonmember to connect into the Exchange at the data centers where Exchange servers are located.⁴ Physical port connections can occur either through an external telecommunication circuit or a crossconnection. Currently, Members and non-members have a number of alternative methods available to them for connecting to the Exchange without the need to obtain an independent physical connection, including the use of financial extranets or service bureaus. The Exchange believes that some Members and non-members may wish to connect directly to the Exchange's systems with their own dedicated circuit connection. To support their requirements and the associated infrastructure costs related to direct circuit connectivity, EDGA proposes to charge Members and non-members the following annual fees based on the connectivity service type:

Connection service type	Annual fee per physical port
1 Gb Copper	\$5,000
1 Gb Fiber	7,500
10 Gb Fiber	10,000

Only one physical port is required to access all services for EDGA. However, Members and non-members may choose more than one physical port and different connection service types based on their needs. The Exchange notes that other market centers provide similar services to their Members and non-members.⁵

The Exchange believes that the proposal will offer market participants additional EDGA connectivity choices, providing for greater access to EDGA while allowing each market participant to choose the method of connectivity based on its specific needs.

III. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁶ Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁷ which requires the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities, and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁸ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The Commission also finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,⁹ which requires that the rules of an exchange not impose a burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. Finally, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Rule 603(a) of Regulation NMS,¹⁰ which requires an exclusive processor that distributes information with respect to quotations for or transactions in an NMS stock to do so on terms that are fair and reasonable and not unreasonably discriminatory.

The Commission believes that the proposed physical port fees are equitably allocated among Members and non-members and do not unfairly or unreasonably discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers because the proposed physical port fees do not distinguish among the type of participant but rather are the same for all Members and non-members. The Commission also believes that EDGA was subject to significant competitive pressure to act equitably, fairly, and reasonably in setting the physical port fees, in light of the highly competitive nature of the market for execution and routing services.¹¹

- ⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).
- ⁸15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).
- ⁹15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).
- 10 17 CFR 242.603(a).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 (December 9, 2008) (File No. SR–NYSEArca–2006–21).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

^{13 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $^{^3}$ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62436 (July 1, 2010), 75 FR 39600.

⁴ Non-members may include non-member service bureaus that act as a conduit for orders entered by Exchange Members that are their customers, as well as sponsored participants and market data recipients.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61545 (February 19, 2010), 75 FR 8769 (February 25, 2010) (order approving File No. SR–BATS–2009–032). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62392 (June 28, 2010), 75 FR 38857 (July 6, 2010) (notice of filing of File No. SR–Nasdaq–2010–077).

⁶ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 (December 9, 2008) (File No. SR–NYSEArca–2006–21).