

re-examining and updating all prior NEPA analysis that has been completed in connection with the NAWS Project to date.

### The Proposed Action

Reclamation proposes to complete construction of the NAWS Project, including construction of a biota water treatment plant, to treat the source water from Lake Sakakawea before it is transported into the Hudson Bay drainage. As part of this proposed action, Reclamation would implement construction methods and operational measures to further reduce the risk of invasive species transfer that may occur as a result of an interruption in the treatment process and breach in the buried pipeline to the Minot water treatment plant.

### Scope of the Proposed Action

The geographic scope of the Supplemental EIS will include areas and resources within the Missouri River Basin and Hudson Bay Basin that may be affected by water diversion and delivery for NAWS project purposes. This includes, but is not necessarily limited to: (1) The sites of NAWS Project features and facilities; (2) lands and waters that receive NAWS Project MR&I water supplies, including downstream areas in the Hudson Bay Basin; and (3) the Missouri River from Lake Sakakawea to its confluence with the Mississippi River.

The Supplemental EIS will review, and update, if necessary, the prior Environmental Assessment and Environmental Impact Statement. This Supplemental EIS will further evaluate the consequences of transferring potentially invasive species to the Hudson Bay Basin and the cumulative effects of water withdrawals from the Missouri River. Additional issues or concerns identified in the scoping process will be considered by Reclamation and evaluated in the Supplemental EIS as appropriate. Identification of known methods and technologies that can be used to assess potential consequences to resources will be considered as well.

### Summary

Reclamation is preparing a Supplemental EIS to address the relevant issues related to final construction and operation of the NAWS Project. We are seeking comment from the public on the development of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, information relative to new water treatment processes that could be considered, methods for evaluating the risks and potential consequences which

may be associated with the proposed action, and concerns relative to the environmental effects that should be described in the supplement. We also seek identification of any issues in prior NEPA analyses for the NAWS Project to date that should be updated, and the identification of any other issues that should be addressed by the Supplemental EIS.

### Public Disclosure Statement

Before including your name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**John F. Soucy,**

*Assistant Regional Director, Great Plains Region, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19903 Filed 8-11-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Urban Park and Recreation Recovery Program Project Performance Reports, Conversion of Use Provisions, and Grant Agreements and Amendments

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we (National Park Service, NPS) have sent three interrelated Information Collection Requests (ICRs) to OMB for renewal (OMB Control Numbers 1024-0028, 1024-0048, and 1024-0089). We summarize each ICR below and describe the nature of the collection and the estimated burden. These ICRs are scheduled to expire on August 31, 2010. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. However, under OMB regulations, we may continue to conduct or sponsor this information collection while it is pending at OMB.

**DATES:** Submit comments on any or all of these ICRs on or before September 13, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments and suggestions on these ICRs to the Desk Officer for the Department of the

Interior at OMB-OIRA at (202) 395-5806 (fax) or [OIRA\\_DOCKET@OMB.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_DOCKET@OMB.eop.gov) (e-mail). Please provide a copy of your comments to Cartina Miller, Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service, at 202-371-2049 (fax) or [Cartina\\_Miller@nps.gov](mailto:Cartina_Miller@nps.gov) (e-mail). Please specify the appropriate OMB control number(s) in the subject line of your comment.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurie Heupel, Outdoor Recreation Planner, State and Local Assistance Programs, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW., Mail Stop 2225, Washington, DC 20240 (mail) or phone: 202-354-6914. You are entitled to a copy of the ICR packages free of charge.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Congress passed the Urban Park and Recreation Recovery (UPARR) Act (16 U.S.C. 2501 *et seq.*) as Title X of the National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978. The UPARR Act authorized the Secretary of the Interior to establish a grant program to help physically and economically distressed urban areas improve recreation opportunities for their residents. We administer the UPARR program in accordance with regulations at 36 CFR 72. While the program has remained authorized, it has not been funded since Fiscal Year 2002. It may receive funding in the future, and we are seeking renewal of the following information collections associated with the UPARR program:

#### 1. Performance Reports

*Title:* Urban Park and Recovery Project Performance Report, 36 CFR 72.  
*OMB Control Number:* 1024-0028.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection of information.

*Brief Description of Collection:* Project Performance Reports include the scheduled completion date, percent completed to date, and percent to be completed at the end of next report period. We also ask for the percent of costs expended to date and the percent of costs to be expended by the end of the next reporting period. Reasons for delays or cost adjustments are described in the report. We use the information: (1) To monitor against possible waste, fraud, and abuse; (2) for billing and audit purposes; and (3) to prepare reports to Congress as necessary.

*Affected Public:* Local governments.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Response:* Annually for active grants.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 1.

*Estimated Completion Time per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1 hour.

## 2. Conversion of Use Provisions

*Title:* Urban Park and Recovery Program Conversion of Use Provisions, 36 CFR 72.

*OMB Control Number:* 1024-0048.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection of information.

*Brief Description of Collection:* In accordance with Section 1010 of the UPARR Act and 36 CFR 72.72, no property improved or developed with UPARR assistance can be converted to other than public recreation uses without the advance approval of the NPS. The grant recipient (urban cities and counties) must submit a formal request to the appropriate NPS Regional Office documenting that: (a) All alternatives to the conversion have been evaluated and then rejected on a sound basis; (b) required replacement land being offered as a substitute is of reasonably equivalent location and recreational usefulness as the assisted site proposed for conversion; and (c) the property for substitution meets the eligibility requirements for UPARR assistance. Documentation must include maps identifying the assisted sites, the area to be converted, and the proposed replacement property.

*Affected Public:* Local governments.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 1.

*Estimated Completion Time per*

*Response:* 25 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 25 hours.

## 3. Grant Agreement and Amendment

*Title:* Urban Park and Recovery Grant Agreement and Amendment, 36 CFR 72.

*OMB Control Number:* 1024-0089.

*Service Form Numbers:* 10-912 and 10-915.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection of information.

*Brief Description of Collection:* In order to receive financial assistance, grant respondents must complete and sign the UPARR Program Grant Rehabilitation and Innovation Agreement (Form # 10-912). To alter this agreement, they must complete and sign the Amendment to UPARR Grant Agreement (Form # 10-915). The forms set forth the obligations assumed by the grantee when accepting Federal assistance, including the rules and regulations applicable to the conduct of a project under the UPARR Act and any special terms and conditions established

by the NPS and agreed to by the respondent.

*Affected Public:* Local governments.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 2 (one for each form).

*Estimated Completion Time per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 2 hours.

We published the following notices in the **Federal Register** announcing our intention to renew these ICRs and soliciting public comments for 60 days:

- OMB Control No. 1024-0028—notice published on April 5, 2010 (75 FR 17153) with public comment period open through June 4, 2010.

- OMB Control No. 1024-0048—notice published on April 21, 2010 (75 FR 20857) with public comment period open through June 21, 2010.

- OMB Control No. 1024-0089—notice published on March 18, 2010 (75 FR 13138) with public comment period open through May 17, 2010.

We did not receive any comments in response to the above notices. We again invite comments on: (1) The practical utility of the information being gathered; (2) the accuracy of the burden hour estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden to respondents, including the use of automated information collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

August 6, 2010.

**Cartina Miller,**

*NPS Information Collection Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19864 Filed 8-11-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### U.S. Geological Survey

#### Federal Interagency Steering Committee on Multimedia Environmental Modeling

**AGENCY:** U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Department of Interior (DOI).

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The annual public meeting of the Federal Interagency Steering Committee on Multimedia Environmental Modeling (ISCMEM) will convene to discuss some of the latest developments in environmental modeling applications, tools and frameworks, as well as new operational initiatives for FY 2011 among the participating agencies. The meeting this year will emphasize ecosystem modeling and monitoring.

*Dates of Meeting:* September 13-16, 2010.

*Place:* U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180.

*Time:* 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Inquiries and notice of intent to attend the meeting may be e-mailed to: Pierre D. Glynn, ISCMEM Chair, U.S. Geological Survey, National Research Program, Branch of Regional Research, Eastern Region, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Mail Stop 432, Reston, VA 20192. TEL 703-648-5823. [pglynn@usgs.gov](mailto:pglynn@usgs.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Background:* Nine Federal agencies have been cooperating under a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on the research and development of multimedia environmental models. The MOU, which was revised in 2006, continues an effort that began in 2001. It establishes a framework for facilitating cooperation and coordination among the following agencies (the specific research organization within the agency is in parentheses): National Science Foundation; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Engineer Research and Development Center); U.S. Department of Agriculture (Natural Resources Conservation Service); U.S. Department of Energy (Office of Biological and Environmental Research); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; U.S. Geological Survey; U.S. National Oceanographic and Atmosphere Administration; U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research); and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. These agencies are cooperating and coordinating in the research and development (R&D) of multimedia environmental models, software and related databases, including development, enhancements, applications and assessments of site specific, generic, and process-oriented multimedia environmental models as they pertain to human and environmental health risk assessment. Multimedia model development and