

(b) The following described non-Federal lands are located within the boundaries of the proposed withdrawal areas. In the event that these non-Federal lands return to public ownership, they would be subject to the terms and conditions described above.

#### Mount Diablo Meridian

##### Non-Federal lands

- T. 18 S., R. 11 E.,  
Secs. 2, 11, and 12, Mineral Survey No. 29, patented;  
Secs. 11 and 12, Mineral Survey No. 504, patented;  
Sec. 13, Mineral Survey No. 1417, patented.
- T. 18 S., R12 E.,  
Sec. 4, Mineral Survey Nos. 1087, 1099, and 1185, all patented;  
Secs. 7, 18, and 19, Mineral Survey No. 1417, patented;  
Sec. 8, lots 3, 6, and 10, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 13, lot 7, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 16;  
Sec. 17, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Secs. 17, 18, 19, and 20, Mineral Survey No. 1418, patented;  
Sec. 20, lot 1 and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 22, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 25, lots 2, 7, 10, 12, and 13, Mineral Survey No. 4976, patented;  
Sec. 26, S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
Sec. 36.
- T. 18 S., R. 13 E.,  
Sec. 32, Mineral Survey Nos. 6696 and 6724, both patented;  
Secs. 33 and 34, Mineral Survey No. 6680, both patented;  
Sec. 34, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ .

The areas described aggregate 3,763 acres, more or less, in Fresno and San Benito Counties.

The BLM's petition has been approved by the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management. Therefore, the petition constitutes a withdrawal proposal of the Secretary of the Interior (43 CFR 2310.1-3(e)).

The purpose of the proposed withdrawal is to minimize impacts to human health and the environment from hazardous emissions of airborne asbestos fibers associated with mining activities on the 33,000-acre portion of the CCMA designated as the Serpentine Area of Critical and Environmental Concern (ACEC).

The use of a right-of-way, interagency agreement, or cooperative agreement would not adequately constrain non-discretionary uses that could irrevocably expose to excess lifetime cancer risks.

There are no suitable alternative sites for the requested withdrawal.

No water rights would be needed to fulfill the purpose of the requested withdrawal.

A preliminary review of mineral resources in the subject area determined

that no mineral leases are known to exist in the subject area and no known potential for commercial fossil fuel or geothermal energy minerals exists in the subject area. Asbestos, mercury, chromite, and magnesite are among the only locatable minerals of interest in the area, although none are expected to be commercially important commodities in the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, the KCAC mine, formerly owned and operated by Union Carbide, Corp., is still considered an active mining claim, even though asbestos production ceased after 2002. Other locatable minerals of interest, including precious gem-quality benitoite and semi-precious jadeite, continue to be mined intermittently on a small-scale in the subject area. Mining and production of these gem minerals has been occurring for decades on public and privately owned lands in the ACEC. This proposed withdrawal would be subject to valid existing rights and therefore would not prohibit future exploration or mining activities on valid pre-existing claims.

Until November 1, 2010, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing, by the date specified above, to the Field Manager, Hollister Field Office, BLM, 20 Hamilton Court, Hollister, California 95023.

Comments, including names and street addresses for respondents, will be available for public review at the BLM's Hollister Field Office, during regular business hours, 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. Before including your address, telephone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware the your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All submissions from organization or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Notice is hereby given that at least one public meeting will be held in connection with the proposed withdrawal. Upon determination of the time and place a notice will be published in the **Federal Register** and a local newspaper at least 30 days prior to

the scheduled date of the meetings. All interested persons who desire additional public meetings for the purpose of being heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request to the Field Manager, Hollister Field Office, BLM, 20 Hamilton Court, Hollister, California 95023, no later than November 1, 2010.

The application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR part 2300.

For a period of 2 years from August 3, 2010, the lands described in this notice will be segregated as specified above unless the application is denied or canceled or the withdrawal is approved prior to that date.

Licenses, permits, cooperative agreement, or discretionary land use authorizations of a temporary nature which will not significantly impact the values to be protected by the withdrawal may be allowed with the approval of the authorized officer of the BLM during the segregative period.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 2310.3-1(a).

**Karla Norris,**

*Assistant Deputy State Director, Natural Resources (CA-930).*

[FR Doc. 2010-19050 Filed 8-2-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-730]

### In the Matter of: Certain Inkjet Ink Supplies and Components Thereof; Corrected Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 25, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California and Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. of Houston, Texas. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on July 15, 2010 and July 27, 2010. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,959,985 ("the '985 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 7,104,630 ("the '630

patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anne Goalwin, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2574.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 26, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet ink supplies or components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1-5, 7, 22-25, and 27-28 of the '985 patent and claims 1-7, 11-12, 14, 26-30, 32, and 34-35 of the '630 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which

this notice of investigation shall be served:

- (a) The complainants are:  
 Hewlett-Packard Company, 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California 94304;  
 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P., 11455 Compaq Center Drive West, Houston, Texas 77070.  
 (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:  
 Mipo International Ltd., 1600 Atlanta Financial Center, 3343 Peachtree Road, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30326;  
 Mextec Group Inc., c/o The Law Office of Hongwei Shang, LLC, 9100 S. Dadeland Blvd., Suite 1500, Miami, Florida 33156;  
 Shanghai Angel Printer Supplies Co. Ltd., Room 1208, No. 495, Jiangning Road, Shanghai, China 200233;  
 Shenzhen Print Media Co., Ltd., #310, Huayuan Business Building, Xixiang Town, Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518102;  
 Zhuhai National Resources & Jingjie Imaging, Products Co., Ltd., No. 1 Industrial Building, Pingdong 2 Road, Nanping S&T Industrial Community, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China 519060;  
 Tatrix International, 10 C, Garden Building, No. 1083 JiuZhou Road, Jida, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China 519015;  
 Ourway Image Co., Ltd., 15F, No. 125 Renmin East Road, Xiangzhong, Zhuhai, Guangdong, China 33156.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Anne Goalwin, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and  
 (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 28, 2010.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010-19006 Filed 8-2-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Justice Management Division

[OMB Number 1103-0018]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Extension of Previously Approved Collection, Department of Justice Procurement Blanket Clearance.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** (Volume 75, Number 105, page 30858) on June 2, 2010, allowing for a 60 day public comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until September 2, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 3120.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory