

facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Girija Shukla (Telephone 301-415-6855 or E-mail Girija.Shukla@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be e-mailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 58268-58269).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs>. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Dated: July 22, 2010.

Duncan White,

*Acting Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B,
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18655 Filed 7-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Siting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Siting will hold a meeting on August 19-20, 2010, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, August 19, 2010 and Friday, August 20, 2010—8:30 a.m. Until 5 p.m.

The Subcommittee will review the MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility (Shaw-Areva MOX Services, LLC) proposed for construction at Savannah River. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, Shaw-Areva, LLC, and other interested persons regarding this matter. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Neil Coleman (Telephone 301-415-7656 or E-mail Neil.Coleman@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be emailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 58268-58269).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs>. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Dated: July 22, 2010.

Duncan White,

*Acting Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B,
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18654 Filed 7-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2010-32 and CP2010-77;
Order No. 497]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service filing to add Priority Mail Contract 27 to the competitive product list. The Postal Service has also filed a related contract. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with the filing.

DATES: Comments are due: July 30, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, stephen.sharfman@prc.gov or 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 27 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Priority Mail Contract 27 is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Postal Service states that prices and classification underlying this contract are supported by Governors' Decision No. 09-6 in Docket No. MC2009-25. *Id.*

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 27 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Contract and Supporting Data, July 21, 2010 (Request).

The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2010–32.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2010–77.

Request. In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of the Governor’s Decision No. 09–6, originally filed in Docket No. MC2009–25, authorizing certain Priority Mail contracts;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment C—a proposed change in the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting document under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Brian G. Denny, Acting Manager, Sales and Communications, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service’s total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D. Thus, Mr. Denny contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. A redacted version of the specific Priority Mail Contract 27 is included with the Request. The contract will become effective on the day that the Commission provides all necessary regulatory approvals. It is terminable upon 30 days notice by a party, but could continue for 3 years. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). *See id.*, Attachment D. The Postal Service will provide the shipper with Priority Mail packaging for eligible Priority Mail items mailed by the shipper.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the specific Priority Mail Contract 27, under seal. It maintains that the contract and related financial information, including the customer’s name and the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, cost data, and

financial projections should remain under seal. *See* Attachment F. It also requests that the Commission order that the duration of such treatment of all customer-identifying information be extended indefinitely, instead of ending after 10 years. *Id.* at 7.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2010–32 and CP2010–77 for consideration of the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 27 product and the related contract, respectively. In keeping with practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 30, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2010–32 and CP2010–77 for consideration of the matter raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 30, 2010.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–18575 Filed 7–28–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S

THE PRESIDIO TRUST

Proposed Use Limit of Battery Caulfield Road and Request for Comments

AGENCY: The Presidio Trust.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Presidio Trust (Trust) is considering two approaches to limit vehicular use of a portion of Battery Caulfield Road in the Presidio of San Francisco (Presidio): (1) Limitation of vehicular use during weekday peak AM and PM hours, 7 to 9 a.m. and 5 to 7 p.m., as well as on weekends (Alternative 1); or (2) limitation of vehicular use at all times (Alternative 2). The proposed limitation on vehicular use is intended to reduce the amount of cut-through traffic to maintain public health and safety, to protect environmental values, to protect natural resources, and to avoid conflict among visitor uses. By restricting the use of Battery Caulfield Road, the Trust also intends to reduce the amount of traffic through the 14th and 15th Avenue gates. Under both Alternative 1 and Alternative 2, designated vehicles (*e.g.*, emergency vehicles, PresidiGo shuttles and designated Presidio residents and tenants) will continue to have unrestricted access to Battery Caulfield Road, and access by pedestrians and bicyclists will remain unrestricted. The Trust invites comments on both of these proposed limits of public use.

Located in the Public Health Service Hospital (PHSH) District at the southern end of the Presidio, Battery Caulfield Road is not a major thoroughfare within the Presidio, but rather it is a narrow roadway connecting the upper and lower plateaus of the PHS District (District). Battery Caulfield Road was built by the Army in 1984 to facilitate internal circulation, not to accommodate through-traffic. The road passes through sensitive wildlife areas and native plant communities, and recreational use of the area has increased with the expansion of the Presidio’s trails and bikeways. Of the total daily traffic through the 15th Avenue gate, an estimated 350–550 cars use Battery Caulfield Road as a cut-through route, *i.e.*, travel from an origin outside the Presidio to a destination outside the Presidio.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under 36 CFR 1001.5, the Board of Directors of the Trust (Board) may impose public use limits or close all or a portion of the area administered by the Trust to all public use or to a specific use or activity, given a determination that such action is necessary to maintain public health and safety, to protect environmental or scenic values, to protect natural or cultural resources, or to avoid conflict among visitor use activities. In its April 2007 Record of Decision for the development of the District, the Board identified its intent to restrict use of Battery Caulfield