national experts. The second goal is to assess impacts of the GRFP on graduate school experiences through a follow-up study of GRFP award recipients and other applicants. The third goal is to assess impacts of the GRFP on career and professional outcomes through analysis of GRFP participants and comparable national populations. The fourth goal is to assess the benefits of the GRFP on institutions that enroll GRFP Fellows. The evaluation is designed to address research questions that explore the influences of the GRFP on the following broad sets of variables:

- Educational decisions, experiences, and graduate degree attainment of STEM graduate students.
 - Career preparation and aspirations.
- Career activities, progress, and job characteristics following graduate school.
 - Professional productivity.
- Workforce participation and career outcomes.
- Graduate school institutions and student recruitment at GRFP-sponsoring institutions.
- Faculty attitudes at GRFPsponsoring institutions.
- Diversity of students participating in STEM fields at GRFP-sponsoring institutions.

This survey would address two separate components of the planned GRPF evaluation. First, this component will assess the influence of GRFP awards on recipients' graduate school experience and outcomes, which includes program of study and institution attended, professional productivity (e.g., publishes papers, conference presentations, etc.) during graduate schools and career aspirations. Second, the survey will evaluate the impact of participation in the in the GRPF on subsequent career options, progress and contributions to respondents' professional fields. This will be conducted as a web-based

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes for current graduate students and 40 minutes per graduates.

Respondents: Individuals. Estimated Number of Responses per Form: 2,826 graduate students; 6,429

graduates.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 5,699 hours (2,826 graduate student respondents at 30 minutes per response = 1,413 hours + 6,429 graduate respondents at 40 minutes per response = 4,286 hours).

Frequency of Response: One time.

Comments: Comments are invited on
(a) whether the proposed collection of

information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the NSF, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the NSF's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 22, 2010.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2010–15569 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Committee Management Renewals

The NSF management officials having responsibility for the advisory committees listed below have determined that renewing these groups for another two years is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the Director, National Science Foundation (NSF), by 42 U.S.C. 1861 et seq. This determination follows consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration.

Committees

Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering, 1173

Advisory Committee for Computer and Information Science and Engineering, 1115

Advisory Committee for GPRA Performance Assessment, 13853

Advisory Committee for Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 66

Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences, 1171

Business and Operations Advisory Committee, 9556

Proposal Review Panel for Astronomical Sciences, 1186

Proposal Review Panel for Chemical, Bioengineering, Environmental, and Transport Systems, 1189

Proposal Review Panel for Chemistry, 1191 Proposal Review Panel for Civil, Mechanical, and Manufacturing Innovation, 1194

Proposal Review Panel for Computer and Network Systems, 1207

Proposal Review Panel for Computing & Communication Foundations, 1192

Proposal Review Panel for Cyberinfrastructure, 1185

Proposal Review Panel for Electrical Communications and Cyber Systems, 1196

Proposal Review Panel for Engineering Education and Centers, 173

Proposal Review Panel for Experimental Programs to Stimulate Competitive Research, 1198

Proposal Review Panel for Graduate Education, 57

Proposal Review Panel for Human Resource Development, 1199

Proposal Review Panel for Information and Intelligent Systems, 1200

Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research, 1203

Proposal Review Panel for Mathematical Sciences, 1204

Proposal Review Panel for Physics, 1208 Proposal Review Panel for Polar Programs, 1209

Proposal Review Panel for Undergraduate Education, 1214 Effective date for renewal is July 1, 2010. For more information, please contact Susanne Bolton, NSF, at (703) 292–7488.

Dated: June 23, 2010.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2010–15565 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0229]

Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance and Availability of Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1216, "Plant-Specific Applicability of Transition Break Size Specified in 10 CFR 50.46a."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert L. Tregoning, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: (301) 251– 7662, e-mail *Robert.Tregoning@nrc.gov*, or, Richard Jervey, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: (301) 251– 7404, e-mail *Richard.Jervey@nrc.gov*.