(A) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Chase Industries, Inc, Cincinnati, OH, on June 24, 1998, for emissions unit K002, pursuant to application number 14–4578.

(B) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to CAE Ransohoff, Inc., Union, OH, on March 5, 1997, for emissions units K001 and K002, pursuant to application number 14–4268

(C) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Phoenix Presentations, Inc., Butler County, OH, on January 21, 1999, for emissions units R001, R002, and R003, pursuant to application number 14–4612.

(D) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to CTL Aerospace, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, on August 19, 1998, for emissions unit R005, pursuant to application number 14–4572.

(E) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Hamilton Fixture, Hamilton, OH, on April 24, 1996, for emissions unit R006, pursuant to application number 14–4014.

(F) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Lt. Moses Willard, Inc., Milford, OH, on December 23, 1997, for emissions units K001 and K002, pursuant to application number 14–4220.

(G) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to WHM Equipment Co., Cincinnati, OH, on May 28, 1997, for emissions unit K001, pursuant to application number 14–4348.

(H) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Panel-Fab, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, on June 12, 1996, for emissions unit K001, pursuant to application number 14–4027.

(I) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Cincinnati Fan & Ventilator, Mason, OH, on June 15, 1995, for emissions unit K003, pursuant to application number 14–3774.

(J) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Honda of America Manufacturing, Inc., Marysville, OH, on December 24, 1997, for emissions units R003, and R103, pursuant to application number 01–6743.

(K) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Durr Ecoclean, Inc. (formerly Henry Filters, Inc.), Bowling Green, OH, on June 26, 1996, for emissions unit K001 pursuant to application number 03–9510.

(L) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Honda of America Manufacturing, Inc., East Liberty, OH, on April 17, 1996, for emissions units K009 and K013, pursuant to application number 05–7923.

(M) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to American Trim, LLC (formerly Stolle Corporation, Stolle Products Division), Sidney, OH, on September 13, 1995, K045, pursuant to application number 05–7329.

(N) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to American Trim, LLC (formerly Stolle Products), Sidney, OH, on December 3, 1998, for emissions unit K048, pursuant to application number 05–9516.

(O) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to Hawkline Nevada, LLC (formerly Trinity Industries, Inc.), Plant 101, Mt. Orab, OH, on February 28, 1996, for emissions unit K001, pursuant to application number 07–407.

(P) Permit To Install issued by the State Of Ohio to American Trim, LLC (formerly Superior Metal Products), Lima, OH, on July 23, 1997, for emissions unit K002, pursuant to application number 03–0397.

[FR Doc. 2010–14902 Filed 6–18–10; 8:45 am] ${\tt BILLING}$ CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 76

[MB Docket No. 07-198; FCC 10-17]

Review of the Commission's Program Access Rules and Examination of Programming Tying Arrangements

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission

ACTION: Final Rule; announcement of effective date.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission announces that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved, for a period of three years, the information collection requirements associated with new rules 47 CFR Sections 76.1001(b)(2) and 76.1003(l), and the amendment to 47 CFR Section 76.1003(c)(3). On March 3, 2010, the Commission published the summary document of the First Report and Order, In the Matter of Review of the Commission's Program Access Rules and Examination of Programming Tying Arrangements, MB Docket No. 07-198, FCC 10-17, at 75 FR 9692. The Ordering Clause of the First Report and Order stated that new rules 47 CFR Sections 76.1001(b)(2) and 76.1003(1) and the amendment to 47 CFR Section 76.1003(c)(3) will become effective after the Commission publishes a document in the Federal Register announcing when OMB approval for the information collection requirements associated with these rules has been received and when the revised rules will take effect. This document is consistent with the

statement in the First Report and Order. Therefore, these rules will take effect on June 21, 2010.

DATES: 47 CFR Sections 76.1001(b)(2) and 76.1003(l), and the amendment to 47 CFR Section 76.1003(c)(3) published at 75 FR 9692, March 3, 2010 are effective on June 21, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Williams, cathy.williams@fcc.gov or on (202) 418–2918.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document announces that, on June 14, 2010, OMB approved, for a period of three years, the information collection requirement(s) contained in new rules 47 CFR Sections 76.1001(b)(2) and 76.1003(l), and the amendment to 47 CFR 76.1003(c)(3). The Commission publishes this document to announce the effective date of these rules.

SYNOPSIS

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507), the Commission is notifying the public that it received OMB approval on June 14, 2010, for the information collection requirement(s) contained in new rules 47 CFR Sections 76.1001(b)(2) and 76.1003(l), and the amendment to 47 CFR 76.1003(c)(3).

Under 5 CFR 1320, an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a current, valid OMB Control Number.

No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid OMB Control Number.

The OMB Control Number is 3060–0888 and the total annual reporting burdens for respondents for these information collections are as follows:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0888. OMB Approval Date: June 14, 2010. Expiration Date: June 30, 2013.

Title: Section 76.7, Petition
Procedures; Section 76.9,
Confidentiality of Proprietary
Information; Section 76.61, Dispute
Concerning Carriage; Section 76.914,
Revocation of Certification; Section
76.1001, Unfair Practices; Section
76.1003, Program Access Proceedings;
Section 76.1302, Carriage Agreement
Proceedings; Section 76.1513, Open
Video Dispute Resolution.

Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for profit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 640 respondents; 640 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 4.5 – 67.5 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 23,040 hours. Total Annual Costs: \$1,065,600.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this information collection is contained in Sections 4(i), 303(r), and 628 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: A party that wishes to have confidentiality for proprietary information with respect to a submission it is making to the Commission must file a petition pursuant to the pleading requirements in Section 76.7 and use the method described in Sections 0.459 and 76.9 to demonstrate that confidentiality is warranted.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: On January 20, 2010, the Commission adopted a First Report and Order, In the Matter of Review of the Commission's Program Access Rules and Examination of Programming Tying Arrangements, MB Docket No. 07–198, FCC 10–17. In the First Report and Order, the Commission established rules, policies, and procedures for the consideration of complaints alleging unfair acts involving terrestrially delivered, cable-affiliated programming in violation of Section 628(b) of the Communications Act. The Commission also established procedures for the consideration of requests for a temporary standstill of the price, terms, and other conditions of an existing programming contract by a program access complainant seeking renewal of such a contract.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary,

Office of the Secretary,

Office of Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 2010-14877 Filed 6-18-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

48 CFR Parts 217 and 241

RIN 0750-AG48

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Multiyear Contract Authority for Electricity From Renewable Energy Sources (DFARS Case 2008–D006)

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System; Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: DoD is issuing an interim rule to implement section 828 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008. Section 828 authorizes the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract for a period not to exceed 10 years for the purchase of electricity from sources of renewable energy.

DATES: Effective Date: June 21, 2010.

Comment Date: Comments on the interim rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before August 20, 2010, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by DFARS Case 2008–D006 by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail: dfars@osd.mil*. Include DFARS Case 2008–D006 in the subject line of the message.
 - Fax: 703–602–0350.
- Mail: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Attn: Cassandra Freeman, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP/DARS, Room 3B855, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3060

All comments received will be posted to *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Cassandra Freeman, 703–602–8383. Please cite DFARS Case 2008–D006.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This interim rule implements section 828 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Pub. L. 110–181). It amends DFARS parts 217 and 241 to authorize the Department of Defense to enter into a contract for a period not to exceed 10 years for the purchase of electricity from sources of renewable energy, as that

term is defined in section 203(b)(2) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15852(b)(2)). DoD may exercise this authority to enter into a contract for a period in excess of five years only if the head of the contracting activity determines, on the basis of a business case analysis prepared by DoD, that—

(1) The proposed purchase of electricity under such contract is cost

effective; and

(2) It would not be possible to purchase electricity from the source in an economical manner without the use of a contract for a period in excess of five years.

This rule was subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD has prepared an initial regulatory flexibility analysis consistent with 5 U.S.C. 604. The analysis is summarized below and a copy may be obtained from the point of contact specified herein. There are a very limited number of small businesses engaged in the sale of energy-related services to include the sale of renewable energy. Those small businesses that engage in energy-related activities tend to have more than one area of competency, such as fossil fuel electric power, distribution of electric power, or other electric power generation, etc. With the potential overlap of competencies, it is very likely that a small business may have more than one of these competencies, thereby reducing the number of small businesses in these areas. The market for renewable fuels is highly volatile and does not have the predictability as compared to other fuel markets. Renewable energy and alternative fuel projects are capital-intensive investments, and involve the construction of production facilities which provides limitations to small entities. At this time, DoD is unable to estimate the number of small entities to which this rule will apply. DoD invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

DoD will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by this rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (DFARS Case 2008–D006) in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 96–511) does not apply because the