

facility and they are being asked not to talk to an old friend. Living in America gives us the right to freedom of speech, but Bemis and the DOJ is trying to take that away. Give the people of the plant some dignity and sympathy, you are destroying a successful plant that through the years has brought business into the community of Menasha, and to the local cheese manufactures of the surrounding area.

The City of Menasha spent millions of dollars five years ago to bring more and new equipment into the Menasha facility. The city funded part of the expense to reroute the city street to make Menasha Plant a growth of opportunity. The community of Menasha found this to be a great addition to their city. It brought jobs to the area, revenue to local businesses, and a sense of pride back to their community. Bemis and the DOJ has taken all of that away. It was not only in the best interest of the employees at Alcan but it was in the best interest of Menasha to keep this plant going.

I hope that the DOJ takes a closer look at the destruction that Bemis has caused. Look at what this will do to the surrounding area and how it will affect the City of Menasha. This acquisition did not have to take place as it did. Bemis could have chosen to leave Menasha Plant alone and let them strive to be a small but competitive business. Leave the employees in tact and let the business make or break on its own. Bemis has toured the plant and taken everything they desired from it, they have taken knowledgeable people and trades and will survive. Now it seems as though their final goal is too bury Remedy Company and soon after the wax business will come to an end.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Menasha Citizen

March 26, 2010

Maribeth Petrizzi  
Chief Litigation II Section  
Antitrust Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
450 Fifth Street, N.W.,  
Suite 8700  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Maribeth Petrizzi,

I am writing in concern of the Bemis/Alcan acquisition, and currently work within the Menasha Plant where complete chaos takes place on a daily basis. It was the employees understanding that this transition is not to interrupt the work on either side of the sale. Unfortunately everyday is a battle zone, management is very cut throat on daily work supplies and tools that are needed by each side to conduct business as usual. There are supervisors and managers hoarding things just so others can not use them. There is bitterness throughout the plant and unrespectable and unprofessional talk among everyone. This plant has been very successful over the years and that is due to the loyalty and companionship that coworkers have with each other. Since Bemis has taken over this building it has mined long time friendships and reputations of managers and supervisors that were once respected. We have a Plant Manager and an Operations Manager on opposite sides of the fence now and it leads to baffles on a daily basis.

Employees have lost a lot since this purchase was allowed, customers are disappointed that Bemis has the advantage, and the community of Menasha, Wisconsin is losing a great plant that brings money into their community.

I am disappointed in the decision that the Department of Justice came to. This plant should not be divided and can only survive as one. Relocating departments from this mill is detrimental to the success of the remaining Menasha Plant. Bemis seems to be doing everything in their power to make sure that Menasha no longer will exist. Back in November of 2009 Bemis came in and met with potential employees and said that we were very valuable employees to them, that they cared about us. I would like to know when the caring comes into play. They are currently forcing some of the people that they have chosen to stay with them to work 12 hours a day seven days a week. They also do not allow for personal days during this time nor will they excuse any doctor's appointments that you may have scheduled. Many of these employees do not have regular scheduled shifts and it is very difficult to schedule appointments, as you well know some doctors require you to schedule appointments anywhere from three to six months in advance. Bemis claims they care about your health and want you to be healthy but yet I can not be a half hour late for work or I will be disciplined with an occasion. Five occasions are allowed within a year's timeframe and it takes you a year from the date of a call in to get that occasion back. Life today is busy and fast paced, people need to live life and enjoy it. Yet I can not understand how I am to enjoy my life working seven days a week twelve hours a day and expect to function normally. Granted this system is not suppose to remain for long, but who has given them a timeline for how long they can abuse employees. We are humans, not animals! It is offensive to work for such an employer that cares nothing about life and family.

I am in hopes that this hostile takeover ends in peace and that the DOJ reconsiders their proposal. This plant has always been a success story for the company and community and now it has turned into a bloody battle field. I believe that it is in the best interest of everyone including the DOJ to reconsider the ruling that was made. How would you like to walk into a war zone everyday wondering who is going to belittle you and who was going to be respectable to you? It's a question that employees should not even have to think about.

Sincerely,

Sheri Lemmers

March 27, 2010

Maribeth Petrizzi  
U.S. Department of Justice,  
450 Fifth Street, N.W., Suite 8700,  
Washington, D.C. 20530.

Dear Friend,

This letter is in regards' to your decision in the Bemis acquisition of Alcan. I am a employee of the Alcan plant in Menasha and the decision to split our plant into two separate plants is a death sentence for many of us maybe all of us. Our plant was an

example of how an America plant can be successful. Put now we are being forced to be split the plant and compete against our self. Bemis should have been allowed to have the whole plant or non of it. I am not great at writing letters if you would give me ten minutes of your time I could explain this better. PLEASE call me. I strongly encourage you to change your decision, I need this job not an unemployment check. Let Bemis have the Menasha plant.

Sincerely,  
Stuart S. Springstube  
[FR Doc. 2010-14121 Filed 6-11-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Announcement of the Career Videos for America's Job Seekers Challenge; Correction

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor published a document in the **Federal Register** of May 18, 2010, announcing the Career Videos for America's Job Seekers Challenge. The dates for all phases of this Video Challenge have been extended. This document contains corrections to the dates published on that date on page 27824, columns two and three.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of May 18, 2010, page 27824, column two under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, first paragraph, beginning with line 15, the corrected dates should read:

Phase 1 will run from May 10 to August 20, 2010. In this phase, the general public, associations, and/or employers can submit their occupational video for one of the 15 occupational categories to <http://www.dolvideochallenge.ideascale.com>. The submitted occupational videos should pertain to one of the following occupations:

1. Biofuels Processing Technicians;
2. Boilermakers;
3. Carpenters;
4. Computer Support Specialists;
5. Energy Auditors;
6. Heating, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration Mechanics and Installers/Testing Adjusting and Balancing (TAB) Technicians;
7. Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurse;
8. Medical Assistants;
9. Medical and Clinical Lab Technicians including Cytotechnologists;

10. Medical Records and Health Information Technicians including Medical Billers and Coders;

11. Pipe fitters and Steamfitters;

12. Radiological Technologists and Technicians;

13. Solar Thermal Installers and Technicians;

14. Weatherization Installers and Technicians; and

15. Wind Turbine Service Technicians.

Those who submitted a video prior to the original deadline of June 18 and wish to submit an alternate version may do so by August 20, and indicate that they wish to substitute it for the original version.

Phase 2 will run from August 23 to September 10. During this phase, the DOL/ETA will screen, review, and identify the top three career videos in each occupational category and post these selected videos online at <http://www.dolvideochallenge.ideascale.com> for public review.

Phase 3 will run from September 13 to October 8. During this phase, the public will recommend the top career video in each occupational category. They will also have the opportunity to comment on videos.

Phase 4 will run from October 11 to October 29. In this final phase, DOL and ETA, will communicate the top career video in each occupational category to the workforce development community, educational community, and job seekers by:

1. Posting an announcement of the top ranking videos on key Web sites including:

- [DOL.gov](http://DOL.gov);
- [DOLETA.gov](http://DOLETA.gov);
- White House Office of Science and Technology Policy blog;
- [Workforce3One.org](http://Workforce3One.org); and Other sites;

2. Highlighting the videos and occupations on ETA's <http://www.CareerOneStop.org> portal, which already houses a variety of occupational videos for the workforce system;

3. Providing additional coverage of the videos on the ETA Communities of Practice, including: 21st Century Apprenticeship, Green Jobs, Reemployment Works, Regional Innovators, and Disability and Employment.

4. Utilizing other communication outlets such as national associations and intergovernmental organizations like the National Association of State Workforce Agencies, the National Association of Workforce Boards, the National Governor's Association, the National Association of Counties, and the Association of Community Colleges.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Harding, Room 4510–C Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone number: 202–693–2921 (this is not a toll-free number). Fax: 202–693–3015. E-mail: [Harding.Michael@dol.gov](mailto:Harding.Michael@dol.gov)

Signed at Washington, DC this 8th day of June 2010.

**Jane Oates,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010–14141 Filed 6–11–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employee Benefits Security Administration**

[Application Number D–11221]

**ZRIN 1210–ZA09**

**Proposed Amendment to Prohibited Transaction Exemption (PTE) 96–23 for Plan Asset Transactions Determined by In-House Asset Managers**

**AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Proposed Amendment to PTE 96–23.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a notice of pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposed amendment to PTE 96–23. The exemption permits various transactions involving employee benefit plans whose assets are managed by in-house asset managers (INHAMs), provided the conditions of the exemption are met. The proposed amendment would affect participants and beneficiaries of employee benefit plans, the sponsoring employers of such plans, INHAMs, and other persons engaging in the described transactions.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Department on or before August 13, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** All written comments and requests for a public hearing concerning the proposed amendment should be sent to the Office of Exemption Determinations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Room N–5700, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20210, Attention: PTE 96–23 Amendment. Interested persons are also invited to submit comments and hearing requests to EBSA via e-mail to: [moffitt.betty@dol.gov](mailto:moffitt.betty@dol.gov) or by fax to 202–219–0204 by the end of the scheduled comment period. The comments received will be available for public

inspection in the Public Disclosure Room of the Employee Benefits Security Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–1513, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Comments and hearing requests will also be available online at <http://www.regulations.gov> and <http://www.dol.gov/ebsa>, at no charge.

**Warning:** If you submit written comments or hearing requests, do not include any personally-identifiable or confidential business information that you do not want to be publicly-disclosed. All comments and hearing requests are posted on the Internet exactly as they are received, and they can be retrieved by most Internet search engines. The Department will make no deletions, modifications or redactions to the comments or hearing requests received, as they are public records.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chris Motta, Office of Exemption Determinations, Employee Benefits Security Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5700, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20210, (202) 693–8540 (not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given of the pendency before the Department of a proposed amendment to PTE 96–23 (61 FR 15975, April 10, 1996). PTE 96–23 provides an exemption from certain of the restrictions of sections 406 and 407(a) of ERISA, and from certain taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) of the Code. The Department is proposing this amendment to PTE 96–23 on its own motion, pursuant to section 408(a) of ERISA and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, and in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 2570, subpart B (55 FR 32836, 32847, August 10, 1990).<sup>1</sup>

**Executive Order 12866 Statement**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735), the Department must determine whether a regulatory action is “significant” and therefore subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Section 3(f) of the Executive Order defines a “significant regulatory action” as an action that is likely to result in a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of \$100

<sup>1</sup> Section 102 of the Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978, 5 U.S.C. App. at 214 (2000 ed.), generally transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue administrative exemptions under section 4975(c)(2) of the Code to the Secretary of Labor. For purposes of this exemption, references to specific provisions of Title I of the Act, unless otherwise specified, refer also to the corresponding provisions of the Code.