## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Administration on Aging

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Extension of Current Program Announcement and Grant Application Template for Older Americans Act Title IV Discretionary Grants Program

**AGENCY:** Administration on Aging, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Administration on Aging (AoA) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the collection of information by June 7, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments on the collection of information by fax 202.395.6974 to the OMB Desk Officer for AoA, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Stalbaum, (202)357–3452 or *lori.stalbam@aoa.hhs.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, AoA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

AoA is requesting an extension of the currently approved Program Announcement and Application Instructions Template for the Older Americans Act Title IV Discretionary Grants Program. This template provides the requirements and instructions for the submission of an application for discretionary grants funding opportunities. The template may be found on the AoA Web site at http:// www.aoa.gov/AoARoot/Grants/ Funding/overview.aspx.

AoA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

*Frequency:* 10–15 Title IV Program Announcements published annually.

*Respondents:* State agencies, public agencies, private non-profit agencies, institutions of higher education, and organizations including tribal organizations.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 300 annually.

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 14,400.

Dated: May 4, 2010. Kathy Greenlee, Assistant Secretary for Aging. [FR Doc. 2010–10910 Filed 5–6–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4154–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

## Project: Cross-site Evaluation of the Garrett Lee Smith Memorial Suicide Prevention and Early Intervention Programs (OMB No. 0930–0286)— Revision

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) will continue to conduct the cross-site evaluation of the Garrett Lee Smith Memorial Youth Suicide Prevention and Early Intervention State/Tribal Programs and the Garrett Lee Smith Memorial Youth Suicide Prevention Campus Programs. The data collected through the cross-site evaluation addresses four stages of program activity: (1) The context stage includes a review of program plans, such as grantee's target population, target region, service delivery mechanisms, service delivery setting. types of program activities to be funded and evaluation activities; (2) the product stage describes the prevention strategies that are developed and utilized by grantees; (3) the process stage assesses progress on key activities and milestones related to implementation of program plans; and (4) the impact stage assesses the impact of the program on early identification, referral for services and service follow up of youth at risk.

Additionally, to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the integration of community-based behavioral health services with services provided by college or university campuses, SAMHSA will conduct case studies of four exemplary Campus suicide prevention programs. Currently, case studies of two campus grantees are

underway. The goal of the Campus Case Studies is to understand how a public health approach is successfully applied as a model for campus suicide prevention efforts, and will explore, in a systematic manner: The suicide prevention related infrastructures and supports (e.g., clinical and non-clinical) that exist on selected GLS-funded campuses; the various student-level factors that are related to suicide prevention efforts (e.g., protective factors, coping strategies, social norms, and facilitators and barriers to student access and receipt of behavioral healthcare); campus interdepartmental collaboration and the relationship between various efforts to promote student mental health and wellness; and the extent to which the campus infrastructures and supports promote and address these factors.

To date, 86 State/Tribal grants and 93 Campus grants have participated in the cross-site evaluation, since FY2007. Currently, 48 State/Tribal grants and 38 Campus grants are participating in the cross-site evaluation. Data will continue to be collected from suicide prevention program staff (*e.g.* project directors, evaluators), key program stakeholders (*e.g.* State/local officials, child-serving agency directors, gatekeepers, mental health providers, and campus administrators), training participants, college students, and campus faculty/ staff through FY2012.

Since the State/Tribal grantees differ from the campus grantees in programmatic approaches, specific data collection activities also vary by type of program. The following describes the specific data collection activities and data collection instruments to be used across State/Tribal and Campus grantees for the cross-site evaluation and the specific data collection instruments to be used by selected Campus grantees for the Campus Case Studies. While most of the data collection instruments described below are revised versions of instruments that have previously received OMB approval (OMB No. 0930–0286 with Expiration Date: May 2010) and are currently in use, the Training Utilization and Preservation-Survey (TUP-S) for State/Tribal grantees and the Training Exit Survey for Campus grantees (TES–C) are proposed as new instruments. The addition of these two new data collection activities, the inclusion of the Campus Case studies, and an overall growth in number of grants for both the State/Tribal and Campus programs has increased the burden associated with the cross-site evaluation. A summary table of number of respondents and