

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

TPV Technology Limited, Suite 1023, 10th Floor, Ocean Centre, Harbour City, 5 Canton Road, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
 Top Victory Electronics (Taiwan) Co., Ltd., 10F, No. 230, Liancheng Road, Zhonghe City, Taipei County, Taiwan.
 TPV International (USA), Inc., 3737 Executive Center Drive, Suite 261, Austin, Texas 78731.
 Envision Peripherals, Inc., 47490 Seabridge Drive, Fremont, California 94538.
 Top Victory Investments Ltd., Suite 1023, 10th Floor, Ocean Centre, Harbour City, Tsim Sha Tsui, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
 TPV Electronics (Fujian) Co., Ltd., Yuan Hong Road, Shang-zheng, Fuqing City, Fujian Province, China.
 TPV Display Technology (Wuhan) Co., Ltd., Unique No. 11 of Zhuankou Development, District of Economic Technological, Development Zone, Wuhan City, China.
 TPV Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd., No. 10, Jiuxianqiao Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing, China 100016.
 Chimei Innolux Corporation, No. 160 Kesyue Road, Jhunan Science Park, Miaoli County 350, Taiwan.
 Innolux Corporation, 2525 Brockton Drive, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78758.
 ViewSonic Corporation, 381 Brea Canyon Road, Walnut, California 91789.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Mareesa A. Frederick, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of

investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: April 16, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–9201 Filed 4–20–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–712]

Certain Digital Set-Top Boxes and Components Thereof; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 16, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Verizon Communications Inc. of New York, New York and Verizon Services Corp. of Arlington, Virginia. An amended complaint was filed on April 6, 2010. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital set-top boxes and components thereof that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,666,293; 5,635,979; 6,381,748; 6,367,078; and 7,561,214. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kecia J. Reynolds, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2580.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 14, 2010, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital set-top boxes and components thereof that infringe one or more of claim 38 of U.S. Patent No. 5,666,293; claim 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,635,979; claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,748; and claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,367,078; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are—
 Verizon Communications Inc., 140 West Street, New York, NY 10007. Verizon Services Corp. 1320 North Court House Road, Arlington, VA 22201.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Cablevision Systems Corp., 1111

Stewart Avenue, Bethpage, NY 11714.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Kecia J. Reynolds, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: April 16, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-9205 Filed 4-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1178 (Preliminary)]

Glyphosate From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Revised schedule for the subject investigation.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 16, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Sherman (202-205-3289), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 31, 2010, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the subject investigation (75 FR 17768, April 7, 2010). Subsequently, the Department of Commerce extended the date for its initiation of the investigation from April 20, 2010 to May 10, 2010. The Commission, therefore, is revising its schedule to conform with Commerce's new schedule.

The Commission's new schedule for the investigation is as follows: The deadline for filing written briefs is May 4, 2010, the administrative deadline for transmitting the determination to Commerce is June 4, 2010, and the Commission's views are due to be transmitted to Commerce on June 11, 2010. For further information concerning the conduct of this investigation and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 16, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-9206 Filed 4-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1059 (Review)]

Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on hand trucks and certain parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on November 2, 2009 (74 FR 56661) and determined on February 5, 2010 that it would conduct an expedited review (75 FR 8745, February 25, 2010).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on April 15, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4138 (April 2010), entitled *Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof: Investigation No. 731-TA-1059 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 15, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-9207 Filed 4-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on April 15, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree (the "Consent Decree") in *United States v. Wall Herald Corporation*, Civil Action No. 3:07-cv-04345 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

In this action, the United States sought the recovery of response costs pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Recovery Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).