

*Number of Respondents:* 14,500.  
*Total Number of Responses:* 121,140.  
*Frequency of Response:* One approval per lease, other collections occur fewer than once per lease, on average, upon request for modification or assignment or upon a trespass violation.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* Ranges from 15 minutes to 3 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden:* 106,065 hours.

*Total Annual Fees From Respondents:* BIA collects fees for processing submitted documents, as set forth in section 162.241 or section 162.616. The minimum administrative fee is \$10.00 and the maximum administrative fee is \$500.00. The average total administrative fees collected is \$250.00 of which is collected approximately 7,252 times, totaling \$1,813,000.

Dated: April 6, 2010.

**Alvin Foster,**

*Acting Chief Information Officer—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2010–8566 Filed 4–13–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–W7–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan (FEIS/GMP), Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site

**AGENCY:** National Park Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan (FEIS/GMP), Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and National Park Service (NPS) policy in Director's Order Number 2 (Park Planning) and Director's Order Number 12 (Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis, and Decision-making) the NPS announces the availability of a FEIS/GMP for the Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site, Tuskegee, Alabama. The document provides a framework for management, use, and development options for the historic site by the NPS for the next 15 to 20 years. It describes and analyzes five management alternatives for consideration, including a No-Action Alternative.

Alternative A is the No-Action Alternative, which would continue current management practices and trends, with no major changes in direction.

Alternative B emphasizes the natural environment by keeping the site largely

undeveloped and natural in character outside of the core historic areas. Potential areas for visitor interpretive programs are the most limited in this alternative.

Alternative C emphasizes the restoration of the most areas of the park to the 1941–1945 historic period of significance, while providing an emphasis on the natural environment outside of the core historic and visitor areas.

Alternative D is the NPS's preferred and the environmentally preferred alternative. In addition to preserving the core historic area, Alternative D offers a high potential for interpretive and educational opportunities, and aims to provide the most diversity of visitor interpretive programs and recreational opportunities.

Alternative E would emphasize the restoration of a large portion of the park to the 1941–1945 historic period of significance, while offering the most recreational opportunities of all the alternatives.

The FEIS/GMP evaluates potential environmental consequences of implementing the five alternatives. It describes and analyzes potential impacts of the affected cultural and natural resources, socioeconomic environments, visitor use and experience, and NPS operations within and near the park. Eleven resource topics are also addressed, including archeological resources; cultural landscapes, including historic buildings, structures, and districts; water resources; water quality; floodplains; soils; vegetation and wetlands; wildlife; special status species; ecologically critical areas; and natural soundscapes.

**DATES:** The NPS will execute a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of this Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the FEIS/GMP are available by contacting the Park Superintendent at Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site, 1616 Chappie James Avenue, Tuskegee, Alabama 36083; telephone: 334–727–6390. An electronic copy of the FEIS/GMP is available on the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov>.

**AUTHORITY:** The authority for publishing this notice is 40 CFR 1506.6.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Superintendent, Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site, at the address and telephone number shown above; or Amy Wirsching, Southeast Regional Office, at 404–507–5708.

The responsible official for this FEIS is the Regional Director, Southeast Region, NPS, 100 Alabama Street, SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Dated: March 31, 2010.

**David Vela,**

*Regional Director, Southeast Region.*

[FR Doc. 2010–8543 Filed 4–13–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–70–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[CACA 47740, LLCAD07000 L51030000]

#### Notice of Closure of Airport Mesa/Carizzo Creek Shooting Area in Eastern San Diego County, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of temporary closure.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has closed approximately 210 acres of public land described as the Airport Mesa/Carrizo Creek shooting area located in eastern San Diego County, California. The closure order prohibits recreational shooting and target practice. The use of firearms will continue to be allowed for hunting consistent with California Department of Fish and Game regulations and seasons. This closure order is necessary in order to protect U.S. Border Patrol agents as they perform their duties along the top of Airport Mesa.

**DATES:** The closure order is effective as of September 23, 2009 until September 22, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel Steward, BLM El Centro Field Office, 1661 S. 4th St., El Centro, CA 92243, telephone (760) 337–4400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The BLM recognizes that recreational target shooting is a valid use of public lands and seeks to balance this with the need to provide for public safety. The BLM El Centro Field Office prepared an environmental assessment (EA) for this temporary closure (EA670–2010–1). This two-year temporary closure will allow the BLM to prepare a land use plan amendment and utilize public input to consider a permanent closure of the area and options for alternative recreational shooting areas.

The purpose of this closure order is to protect persons, property, and public land and resources, including the protection of U.S. Border Patrol agents as they perform their duties along the top of Airport Mesa.

The closure order is in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-579, 90 stat. 2743, 43 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*) and 43 CFR 8360.0-7. Maximum penalties for violation of this order are a \$1,000 fine and/or 12 months in prison pursuant to 43 CFR 8360.0-7.

This order closes the following public lands in eastern San Diego County to recreational shooting and target practice:

**San Bernardino Base and Meridian, California**

T. 18 S., R. 8 E.,

Sec. 3, S 1/2 S 1/4 SE 1/4, portion south of Hwy. 80;

Sec. 10, N 1/2 NE 1/4; SE 1/4 NE 1/4; Lot 9;

Sec. 11, SW 1/4 NW 1/4; Lot 12.

Containing 210 acres, more or less.

The following persons are exempt from the identified restrictions:

(1) Federal, State, or local law enforcement officers, while engaged in the execution of their official duties;

(2) Any person in receipt of a written authorization of exemption obtained from the authorized officer;

(3) Any person with a legal California hunting license in their possession and in the legal act of hunting.

This Notice and maps of the restricted area will be clearly posted at main entry points to the Airport Mesa shooting area and will also be available at the BLM El Centro Field Office.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 8364.1 and 8360.0-7.

**Daniel Steward,**

*Acting El Centro Field Office Manager.*

[FR Doc. 2010-8563 Filed 4-13-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

[Docket No. OSHA-2010-0016]

**Derricks; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to extend OMB's approval of the information collection requirements specified in its Standard on Derricks (29 CFR 1910.181).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by June 14, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:**

*Electronically:* You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

*Facsimile:* If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

*Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service:* When using this method, you must submit three copies of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, OSHA Docket No. OSHA-2010-0016, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) (OSHA Docket No. OSHA-2010-0016). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.**

*Docket:* To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Theda Kenney at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Theda Kenney or Todd Owen, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2222.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (*i.e.*, employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

The Standard specifies several paperwork requirements. The following sections describe who uses the information collected under each requirement as well as how they use it. The purpose of these requirements is to prevent death and serious injuries among workers by ensuring that the derrick is not used to lift loads beyond its rated capacity and that all the ropes are inspected for wear and tear.

Paragraph (c)(1) requires that for permanently installed derricks a clearly legible rating chart must be provided with each derrick and securely affixed to the derrick. Paragraph (c)(2) requires that for non-permanent installations the manufacturer must provide sufficient information from which capacity charts can be prepared by the employer for the particular installation. The capacity charts must be located at the derrick or at the jobsite office. The data on the capacity charts provide information to the workers to assure that the derricks are used as designed and not overloaded or used beyond the range specified in the charts.

Paragraph (f)(2)(i)(d) requires that warning or out of order signs must be placed on the derrick hoist while adjustments and repairs are being performed.

Paragraph (g)(1) requires employers to thoroughly inspect all running rope in