

subcategory for which a permit application is required.

(v) For each identified emission point or group of affected emission points, an identification of control technology in place.

(vi) Any additional emission data or other information specifically requested by the permitting authority.

(3) The permit application for a MACT determination may, but is not required to, contain the following information:

\* \* \* \*

**§ 63.54 [Amended]**

6. Section 63.54 is amended by removing the first sentence of the introductory text of the section.

7. Section 63.55 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) introductory text and (b) to read as follows:

**§ 63.55 Maximum achievable control technology (MACT) determinations for affected sources subject to case-by-case determination of equivalent emission limitations.**

(a) *Requirements for permitting authorities.* The permitting authority must determine whether the permit application is complete or an application for a Notice of MACT Approval is approvable. In either case, when the application is complete or approvable, the permitting authority must establish hazardous air pollutant emissions limitations equivalent to the limitations that would apply if an emission standard had been issued in a timely manner under section 112(d) of the Act. The permitting authority must establish these emissions limitations consistent with the following requirements and principles:

\* \* \* \*

(b) *Reporting to EPA.* The owner or operator must submit additional copies of its application for a title V permit, permit revision, or Notice of MACT Approval, whichever is applicable, to the EPA at the same time the material is submitted to the permitting authority.

8. Section 63.56 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (b), and paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) to read as follows:

**§ 63.56 Requirements for case-by-case determination of equivalent emission limitations after promulgation of subsequent MACT standard.**

\* \* \* \*

(b) If the Administrator promulgates a relevant emission standard under section 112(d) of the Act that is applicable to a source after the date a permit is issued pursuant to § 63.52 or § 63.54, the permitting authority must incorporate requirements of that

standard in the title V permit upon its next renewal. \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*  
(1) If the Administrator promulgates an emission standard under section 112(d) that is applicable to an affected source after the date a permit application under this paragraph is approved under § 63.52 or § 63.54, the permitting authority is not required to change the emission limitation in the permit to reflect the promulgated standard if the permitting authority determines that the level of control required by the emission limitation in the permit is substantially as effective as that required by the promulgated standard pursuant to § 63.1(e).

(2) If the Administrator promulgates an emission standard under section 112(d) of the Act that is applicable to an affected source after the date a permit application is approved under § 63.52 or § 63.54, and the level of control required by the promulgated standard is less stringent than the level of control required by any emission limitation in the prior case-by-case MACT determination, the permitting authority is not required to incorporate any less stringent emission limitation of the promulgated standard in the title V permit and may in its discretion consider any more stringent provisions of the MACT determination to be applicable legal requirements when issuing or revising such a title V permit.

**Table 1 to Subpart B of Part 63— [Removed]**

9. Table 1 to Subpart B of part 63 is removed.

**Table 2 to Subpart B of Part 63— [Removed]**

10. Table 2 to Subpart B of part 63 is removed.

[FR Doc. 2010-7041 Filed 3-29-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE**

**45 CFR Parts 2527, 2551, and 2552  
RIN 3045-AA51**

**Serve America Act Amendments to the National and Community Service Act of 1990 and the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973**

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule with request for comments; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (the

Corporation) is correcting a proposed rule to implement changes to the operation of the National Service Trust and the Senior Corps programs under the Serve America Act, that appeared in the **Federal Register** of February 23, 2010 (75 FR 8013). That document incorrectly amended 45 CFR 2527.10(c) by removing current paragraph (c)(2). Additionally, there were two misstatements in the preamble. First, in an example to illustrate the limitation on the value of education awards an individual may receive, the preamble stated that a person who had previously earned the aggregate value of 1.71 awards could enroll in a quarter-time, minimum-time, reduced part-time, or Silver Scholar position. The inclusion of reduced part-time as an option in this example was in error. Second, the preamble incorrectly described the hardship waiver for Senior Companion and Foster Grandparent programs in the preamble. This document corrects the interim final rule by revising the preamble language providing an example of the aggregate value of education awards and the language describing the hardship waiver for Senior Companion and Foster Grandparent programs and by revising the instructions for 45 CFR 2527.10.

**DATES:** To be sure your comments are considered, they must reach the Corporation on or before April 26, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amy Borgstrom, Docket Manager, Corporation for National and Community Service, (202) 606-6930, TDD (202) 606-3472. Persons with visual impairments may request this document in an alternate format.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. 2010-3385, beginning on page 8013 in the **Federal Register** of Thursday, February 23, 2010, make the following corrections:

1. In the Supplementary Information section, on page 8019, revise the second paragraph of the second column to read as follows:

Using the example above, if an individual had received an aggregate value of 1.71 awards in the past, that individual may be eligible to enroll in a quarter-time, minimum-time, or Silver Scholar position, but would not be eligible to enroll in a part-time or full-time position, since the value of a part-time award, .5, plus 1.71, is greater than 2.

2. In the Supplementary Information section, on page 8023, in the second column, revise the paragraph entitled "Hardship Waiver Permitted for Cost Reimbursement Cap for Senior Companion and Foster Grandparent Programs (§§ 2552.92, 2552.92)" to read as follows:

*Hardship Waiver Permitted for Cost Reimbursement Requirement for Senior Companion and Foster Grandparent Programs (§§ 2551.92, 2552.92)* “Under current regulations, the total of cost reimbursements attributable to Senior Companions or Foster Grandparents, including stipends, insurance, transportation, meals, physical examinations, and recognition, must equal at least 80 percent of the Federal share of the grant award. Because of the financial challenges faced by some organizations as a result of the recent economic downturn and the real potential for a decrease in non-Federal support, the proposed rule permits the Corporation to allow an exception to the 80 percent requirement in cases of demonstrated need. Demonstrated need would include initial difficulties in developing local funding sources in the first three years of operation; an economic downturn, natural disaster, or other similar event that severely reduces sources of local funding support; or the unexpected discontinuation of a long-term local funding source.

3. On page 8027, in the second column, revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

**§ 2527.10 [Corrected]**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Reduced part-time term of service.* The education award for a reduced part-time term of service in an approved AmeriCorps position of fewer than 900 hours is:

- (1) An amount equal to the product of:
  - (i) The number of hours of service required to complete the reduced part-time term of service divided by 900; and
  - (ii) The amount of the education award for a part-time term of service described in paragraph (b) of this section; or

(2) An amount as otherwise determined by the Corporation.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: March 23, 2010.

**Frank R. Trinity,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2010-6962 Filed 3-29-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050-SS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 622**

[Docket No. 100217094-0115-01]

RIN 0648-AY57

**Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Reef Fish Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; Red Snapper Management Measures**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this proposed rule that would implement a regulatory amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP) prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council). This proposed rule would increase the commercial and recreational quotas for red snapper and provide an estimated closure date for the 2010 recreational red snapper component of the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf) reef fish fishery. The intended effect of the proposed rule is to help achieve optimum yield (OY) by relaxing red snapper harvest limitations consistent with the findings of the recent stock assessment for this species.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before April 14, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on the proposed rule identified by 0648-AY57 by any of the following methods:

- *Electronic submissions:* Submit electronic comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Peter Hood, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.
- *Fax:* 727-824-5308; Attention: Peter Hood.

*Instructions:* No comments will be posted for public viewing until after the comment period has closed. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> without change. All Personal Identifying Information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter N/A in the required field if you wish to remain anonymous). You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

Copies of the regulatory amendment, which includes an environmental assessment and a regulatory impact review may be obtained from the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 2203 North Lois Avenue, Suite 1100, Tampa, FL 33607; telephone 813-348-1630; fax 813-348-1711; e-mail [gulfcouncil@gulfcouncil.org](mailto:gulfcouncil@gulfcouncil.org); or may be downloaded from the Council's Web site at <http://www.gulfcouncil.org/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Hood, 727-824-5308.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The reef fish fishery of the Gulf of Mexico is managed under the FMP. The FMP was prepared by the Council and is implemented through regulations at 50 CFR part 622 under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

**Background**

According to the updated stock assessment for Gulf red snapper, conducted in 2009, the stock is still overfished and rebuilding, but overfishing is projected to have ended in 2009. The rebuilding plan for Gulf red snapper was outlined in Amendment 22 to the FMP, and implemented through regulations in 2005. Actions taken in 2008 through Joint Amendment 27 to the FMP and Amendment 14 to the FMP for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico revised the red snapper rebuilding strategy with the intent to end overfishing by 2009 or 2010 and rebuild red snapper by 2032 to the biomass levels that can support harvest of the maximum sustainable yield (MSY). The revised rebuilding plan outlined that after 2010, total allowable catch (TAC) would be increased consistent with a fishing mortality rate that produces MSY.

The Southeast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR) update assessment for Gulf red snapper was conducted in August 2009, with the objective of updating the 2005 SEDAR 7 red snapper benchmark assessment. The 2009 assessment updated, reviewed, and incorporated all data included in the SEDAR 7 assessment, as well as new information that became available after the 2005 assessment. The results of the assessment update, as reviewed and approved by the Council's Scientific