

The application to expand FTZ 33 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and to the standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and further subject to a sunset provision that would terminate authority on February 28, 2015 for Sites 6–17 where no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures.

Signed at Washington, DC, February 23, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 2010–6276 Filed 3–19–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–570–896

Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 1, 2009, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published in the **Federal Register** a notice for an opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ Respondent, Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. (“TMI”), requested a review on April 27, 2009, and Petitioner, US Magnesium LLC (“US Magnesium”), requested a review of TMI on April 30, 2009. The Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of TMI for the period April 1, 2008, through March 31,

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 14771 (April 1, 2009).

2009.² On December 23, 2009, we extended the deadline for issuing the preliminary results of review for 75 days until March 16, 2010.³ As explained in the memorandum regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm” from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5, through February 12, 2010. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by seven days. The current revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review is now March 23, 2010.⁴

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

We determine that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable because the Department requires additional time to analyze information pertaining to the respondent's sales practices, factors of production, and to issue and review responses to supplemental questionnaires. Therefore, we require additional time to complete these preliminary results. As a result, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the preliminary results of this review by an additional 21 days until April 13, 2010.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 74 FR 25711 (May 29, 2009).

³ See *Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 68227 (December 23, 2009).

⁴ See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm,” dated February 12, 2010.

Dated: March 16, 2010.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–6261 Filed 3–19–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–570–501)

Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 2009, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). See *Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Review*, 74 FR 56593 (November 2, 2009) (“Sunset Initiation”); see also *Antidumping Duty Order; Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads From the People's Republic of China*, 51 FR 5580 (February 14, 1986) and *Amended Antidumping Duty Order; Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads From the People's Republic of China*, 51 FR 8342 (March 11, 1986) (“Order”). Based on the notice of intent to participate and adequate response filed by the domestic interested parties, and the lack of response from any respondent interested party, the Department conducted an expedited (120 day) sunset review of the Order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the Order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice, *infra*.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 2, 2009, the Department

published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Sunset Initiation*, 74 FR 56593. On November 17, 2009, the Department received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from the Paint Applicators Trade Action Coalition ("PATAC"), a trade association whose members are the Wooster Brush Company, True Value Manufacturing, and Elder and Jenks, Inc., as domestic interested parties, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1). On December 2, 2009, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3), PATAC filed a timely and complete substantive response within 30 days after the date of publication of the *Sunset Initiation*. The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party in the sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order:

The merchandise covered by the order are natural bristle paintbrushes and brush heads from the PRC. Excluded from the order are paint brushes and brush heads with a blend of 40 percent natural bristles and 60 percent synthetic filaments. The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 9603.40.40.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of the merchandise is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received:

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review is addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. *See* "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads from the People's Republic of China," from John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrent with this notice ("I&D Memo"). The issues discussed in the accompanying I&D Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the Order was revoked. Parties can obtain a public

copy of the I&D Memo on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete public version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review:

The Department determines that revocation of the order on natural bristle paint brushes and brush heads would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Hebei Animal By-Products Import/Export Corp.	351.92
Hunan Provincial Native Produce and Animal By-Products Import/Export Corp..	351.92
Peace Target, Inc.	351.92
PRC-Wide Entity	351.92

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order:

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 9, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-6298 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-580-816)

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results of the Fifteenth Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 8, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review for certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 46110 (September 8, 2009) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers seven manufacturers and exporters (collectively, the respondents) of the subject merchandise: LG Chem., Ltd. (LG Chem), Haewon MSC Co. Ltd. (Haewon), Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu), Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (POSCO) and Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS) (collectively, the POSCO Group), and Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union) (collectively, respondents).¹ The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2007, through July 31, 2008.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. For our final results, we find that HYSCO, the POSCO Group, and Union, made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). In addition, based on the final results for the respondents selected for individual review, we have determined a weighted-average margin for those companies that were not selected for individual review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis McClure (Union), Christopher Hargett (HYSCO) and Victoria Cho (the POSCO Group, and non-selected companies), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5973,

¹ As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department selected HYSCO and Union as mandatory respondents in this review. *See* Memorandum from Christopher Hargett, International Trade Compliance Analyst, through James Terpstra, Program Manager, to Melissa Skinner, Director, Office 3, entitled "2007-2008 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Selection of Respondents for Individual Review," dated December 8, 2008. On July 8, 2009, we reconsidered our resources and found it practicable to review the POSCO Group as a voluntary respondent. *See* Memorandum from James Terpstra to Melissa Skinner entitled "2007-2008 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea: Selection of POSCO as a Voluntary Respondent," dated July 8, 2009.