

## Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs
- I. Introduction

On March 12, 2010, the Postal Service filed a request, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, to add a new product to the Competitive Product List. More specifically, it proposes to transfer a small segment of Post Office Box Service, currently classified as a market dominant product and part of the Special Services class, to the Competitive Product List.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service supports its Request with conforming Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language as Attachment A, a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32 as Attachment B, an application for non-public treatment of materials, and a redacted version of the spreadsheet used to calculate revenue as Attachments C and D, respectively. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service separately filed a non-public version of the spreadsheet.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Nan McKenzie, Manager, Special Services, asserts that the transfer of Post Office Box Service in a few locations will not impair the ability of competitive products as a whole to comply with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(3). *Id.*, Attachment B, at 4. Thus, Ms. McKenzie contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of the proposed change. *Id.* Post Office Box Service offers secure mail delivery to a receptacle for a fee. The Postal Service states that it intends to establish the new product by moving Post Office Box Service from the Market Dominant Product List to the Competitive Product List in a small number of locations where competitive alternatives exist. Request at 1. The Postal Service describes its initial proposal as modest, involving “a few box sections where competitive conditions can be already demonstrated.” *Id.* It contends because of the limited number of boxes under consideration, the initial proposal “does not require detailed examination of costing and other issues.” *Id.* The Postal Service states that in the event a more substantial transfer of box sections is proposed, costing and other issues can be addressed in more detail. *Id.* It asserts that all of the box sections subject to transfer are in fee group 1 areas, and the proposed transfer of service amounts to less than 0.5 percent

of the market dominant Post Office Box product’s revenue. *Id.* at 2–3. The Postal Service notes that it is undertaking a comprehensive evaluation of all Post Office Box locations and may propose additional transfers if justified. *Id.* at 2.

The Postal Service contends that a Governors’ Decision is not required for this request because it does not propose any changes in the parameters of Post Office Box Service, the proposed MCS language is only modified to identify box locations for the competitive product, the transfer includes only fee group 1 prices, and excludes provisions applicable to Group E boxes which are the only method of delivery for certain customers.<sup>2</sup>

Additionally, the Postal Service advances reasons for the proposed changes to the Post Office Box Service product in conformity with 39 CFR 3020.31, which include: (1) It is not a special classification pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) for market dominant products; (2) upon transfer to the Competitive Product List, it will not be a product not of general applicability in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) for competitive products; and (3) it is not a nonpostal product. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service contends that “transferring a small portion of Post Office box service is appropriate at this time, and is consistent with the standards of section 3642.” *Id.* In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the supporting financial information, including the calculation of revenue for the ZIP Code locations subject to transfer, should remain under seal. *Id.*, Attachment C.

The Postal Service states it will concurrently file a notice explaining these changes in the **Federal Register**. *Id.* at 4.

The Postal Service urges the Commission to approve the Request. *Id.* at 3.

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2010–20 for consideration of matters identified in the Postal Service’s Request.

The Commission appoints James Callow and Jeremy Simmons to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

*Comments.* Pursuant to section 3020.33, interested persons may submit

<sup>2</sup> The Postal Service notes that the MCS language it offers is based on the current market dominant Post Office Box language with changes necessary to identify the competitive product. It also states that the Group E boxes in the affected ZIP Code locations that are not being included in the transfer are still a part of the Post Office Box Service under evaluation, and Group E boxes may be addressed in the future.

comments on whether the planned transfer is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, subpart B. Comments are due no later than March 31, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

## III. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2010–20 for consideration of the matters raised in this docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, James Callow and Jeremy Simmons are appointed to serve as officers of the Commission (Public Representatives) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than March 31, 2010.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Shoshana M. Grove,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010–6170 Filed 3–19–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–S**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

*Upon Written Request, Copies Available From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

#### *Extension:*

Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication, OMB Control No. 3235–0452, SEC File No. 270–396

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension approval.

A Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication (“Notice”) (17 CFR 240.14a–104) provides information regarding ownership interest and any potential conflicts of interest to be included in statements submitted by or on behalf of a person pursuant to Exchange Act Rule (17 CFR 240.14a–2(b)(4)) and Exchange Act Rule (17 CFR

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service, March 12, 2010 (Request); *see also* Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing of USPS-MC2010–20/NP1, March 12, 2010.

240.14a-6(n)). The Notice takes approximately 0.25 hours per response and is filed by 4 respondents for a total of one annual burden hour.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Charles Boucher, Director/CIO, Securities and Exchange Commission, C/O Shirley Martinson 6432, General Green Way, Alexandria, Virginia 22312; or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: March 16, 2010.

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010-6152 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

#### *Upon Written Request, Copies Available*

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

#### *Extension:*

Rule 15a-4; SEC File No. 270-7; OMB Control No. 3235-0010.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 15a-4 (17 CFR 240.15a-4) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) (the "Exchange Act") permits a natural person member of a securities exchange who terminates his or her association with a registered

broker-dealer to continue to transact business on the exchange while the Commission reviews his or her application for registration as a broker-dealer if the exchange files a statement indicating that there does not appear to be any ground for disapproving the application. The total annual hourly burden imposed by Rule 15a-4 is approximately 42 hours, based on approximately 10 responses (10 Respondents x 1 Response/Respondent), each requiring approximately 4.23 hours to complete.

The Commission uses the information disclosed by applicants in Form BD: (1) To determine whether the applicant meets the standards for registration set forth in the provisions of the Exchange Act; (2) to develop a central information resource where members of the public may obtain relevant, up-to-date information about broker-dealers, municipal securities dealers and government securities broker-dealers, and where the Commission, other regulators and SROs may obtain information for investigatory purposes in connection with securities litigation; and (3) to develop statistical information about broker-dealers, municipal securities dealers and government securities broker-dealers. Without the information disclosed in Form BD, the Commission could not effectively implement policy objectives of the Exchange Act with respect to its investor protection function.

The statement submitted by the exchange assures the Commission that the applicant, in the opinion of the exchange, is qualified to transact business on the exchange during the time that the applications are reviewed.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to: Charles Boucher, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Shirley Martinson, 6432 General Green Way,

Alexandria, Virginia 22312 or send an e-mail to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: March 12, 2010.

**Florence E. Harmon,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010-6118 Filed 3-19-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, March 25, 2010 at 2 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3), (5), (6), (7), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (6), (7), 9(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Casey, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the Closed Meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, March 25, 2010 will be:

- Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;
- Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings;
- Adjudicatory matters; and
- Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: March 17, 2010.

**Elizabeth M. Murphy,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2010-6368 Filed 3-18-10; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**