RG 8.6 provided guidance for licensees to comply with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 20, "Standards for Protection against Radiation," specifically 10 CFR 20.201, "Precautionary Procedures: Surveys" which required surveys, including appropriate measurements of levels of radiation. That provision, 10 CFR 20.201, was deleted, and that subject matter is addressed in a new section, 10 CFR 20.1501, "General," which states that licensees shall perform surveys to ensure that instruments and equipment used for quantitative radiation measurements (e.g., dose rate and effluent monitoring) are calibrated periodically for the radiation measured.

In the 1970s, the GM counters were the main radiation detection instruments used by licensees. At that time, radiation protection programs needed the guidance included in RG 8.6 because there was limited information available on the use and maintenance of these counters. However, since the 1970s, technology has changed radically, and currently, in addition to GM counters, there are many types of radiation detection and measurement instruments used. Most of them are fairly complex to operate, maintain, and calibrate.

Generally, the NRC does not provide specific guidance for the technical testing or calibration of radiation detection and measurement equipment. Any such guidance would soon become outdated, since the development of these instruments is continuously advancing, producing new models tailored to a range of specialized clientele. The manufacturers provide instructions and training for testing and calibration of each new instrument. Also, since the 1970s, the industry has gained extensive experience in the characteristics of the GM counters and other instruments. In addition, organizations such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology and other private groups offer calibration services to those who lack in-house training and experience in testing and calibrating instruments.

II. Further Information

The withdrawal of RG 8.6 does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments or conditions based on its use. The guidance provided in RG 8.6 is neither necessary nor current. Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when their guidance is superseded by congressional action or no longer provides useful information.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection or downloading through the NRC's public Web site under

"Regulatory Guides" in the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections. Regulatory guides are also available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), Room O-1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–2738. The PDR's mailing address is US NRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555–0001. The PDR staff can be reached by telephone at 301–415–4737 or 800–397–4209, by fax at 301–415–3548, and by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of March, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Andrea D. Valentin**,

Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2010–5794 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2010-26; Order No. 420]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

summary: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to include a new contract within the existing Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) product. The Postal Service characterizes the referenced contract as a successor to a current contract, which will terminate early due to fulfillment of a volume condition. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: March 19,

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filing

III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On March 10, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contract.¹ The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS 2 contracts, and is supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008-4. Id. at 1, Attachment 3. The Notice also explains that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. Id. at 1. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product.2

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The Postal Service submitted the contract and supporting materials under seal, a redacted copy of the contract as Attachment 1, a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) to the Notice as Attachment 2, Governors' Decision No. 08–7 and an application for non-public treatment of materials as Attachments 3 and 4, respectively. *Id.* at 1–2

The Postal Service relates that the instant contract is the immediate successor contract to the contract in Docket No. CP2009–59, which expires on September 5, 2010, and which the Commission found to be functionally equivalent in Order No. 286.3 It states that because the customer has met the volume commitment of its present contract, it requests approval for the instant contract and the customer's current contract will be terminated early. The term of the contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 2 contract fits within the

¹Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, March 10, 2010 (Notice).

² Docket No. CP2009–50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

³ Docket No. CP2009–59, Order Concerning Additional Global Expedited Package Services 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, August 24, 2009 (Order No. 286).

Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS 2. The Postal Service identifies customer specific information and general contract terms that distinguish the instant contract from the baseline GEPS 2 agreement. *Id.* at 4–8. It contends that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GEPS 2 contracts filed previously notwithstanding these differences. *Id.* at 6–7.

The Postal Service asserts that several factors demonstrate the contract's functional equivalence with previous GEPS 2 contracts, including the general terms of the contract, the market to which it is being offered, and its cost characteristics. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service concludes that because the "GEPS agreements incorporate the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant cost and market characteristics are similar, if not the same . . ." despite any incidental differences. *Id.* at 6.

The Postal Service contends that its filings demonstrate that this new GEPS 2 contract is established in compliance with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633, is functionally equivalent to previous GEPS 2 contracts, and requests that this contract be included within the GEPS 2 product. *Id.* at 7.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2010–26 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3622 or 3642. Comments are due no later than March 19, 2010. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

- 1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2010–26 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.
- 2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than March 19, 2010.
- 3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.
- 4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–5793 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-S

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. DOT-OST-2003-15962]

Notice of Request for Renewal of a Previously Approved Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below will be forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on January 8, 2010 [Vol. 75, No. 5, Page 1112]. No comments were received.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by April 16, 2010 and sent to the attention of the DOT/OST Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 and Docket Number DOT-OST-2003-15962.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lauralyn Remo, Air Carrier Fitness Division (X–56), Office of Aviation Analysis, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–9721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Procedures and Evidence Rules for Air Carrier Authority Applications:

- 14 CFR part 201—Air Carrier Authority under Subtitle VII of Title 49 of the United States Code—(Amended);14 CFR part 204—Data to Support
- 14 CFR part 204—Data to Support Fitness Determinations;
- 14 CFR part 291—Cargo Operations in Interstate Air Transportation.

OMB Control Number: 2106–0023 Type of Request: Renewal of previously approved collection.

Abstract: In order to determine the fitness of persons seeking authority to engage in air transportation, the

Department collects information from them about their ownership, citizenship, managerial competence, operating proposal, financial condition, and compliance history. The specific information to be filed by respondents is set forth in 14 CFR parts 201 and 204.

Respondents: Persons seeking initial or continuing authority to engage in air transportation of persons, property, and/or mail.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 147.6.

Average Annual Burden per Respondent: 45.73 hours. Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 6,750 hours.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 10, 2010.

Todd M. Homan.

Director, Office of Aviation Analysis. [FR Doc. 2010–5819 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–9X–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. DOT-OST-2003-15623]

Notice of Request for Renewal of a Previously Approved Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below will be forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected costs and burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on January