intent to preserve the practical benefits of utilizing consent decrees in antitrust enforcement, stating: "[n]othing in this section shall be construed to require the court to conduct an evidentiary hearing or to require the court to permit anyone to intervene." 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(2). The language wrote into the statute what Congress intended when it enacted the Tunney Act in 1974, as Senator Tunney explained: "[t]he court is nowhere compelled to go to trial or to engage in extended proceedings which might have the effect of vitiating the benefits of prompt and less costly settlement through the consent decree process." 119 Cong. Rec. 24,598 (1973) (statement of Senator Tunney). Rather, the procedure for the public interest determination is left to the discretion of the court, with the recognition that the court's "scope of review remains sharply proscribed by precedent and the nature of Tunney Act proceedings." SBC Commc'ns, 489 F. Supp. 2d at 11.4

VIII. DETERMINATIVE DOCUMENTS

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the APPA that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: March 8, 2010 Respectfully submitted, /s/

Stephanie A. Fleming, Esq.

United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 8700, Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 514–9228, (202) 514–9033, stephanie.fleming@usdoj.gov.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephanie A. Fleming, hereby certify that on March 8, 2010, I caused a copy of the foregoing Competitive Impact Statement to be served upon Defendant Election Systems and Software, Inc. and the Plaintiff States by mailing the documents electronically to their duly authorized legal representatives as follows:

- FOR DEFENDANT, ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE, INC.
- Joseph G. Krauss, Esq., Hogan & Hartson, LLP, 555 Thirteenth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004, (202) 637–5832, jgkrauss@hhlaw.com
- FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ARIZONA
- Nancy M. Bonnell, Antitrust Unit Chief, Consumer Protection & Advocacy Section, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007, Tel: (602) 542– 7728, Fax: (602) 542–9088, Email: Nancy.Bonnell@azag.gov FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF
- COLORADO
- Devin Laiho, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Enforcement, Office of the Attorney General, 1525 Sherman St., Seventh Floor, Denver, Colorado 80203, Tel: (303) 866–5079, *devin.laiho@state.co.us*

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF FLORIDA

- Russell S. Kent, Special Counsel for Litigation, Office of the Attorney General, PL–01; The Capitol, Tallahassee, FL 32399, Tel: (850) 414– 3300, Fax: (850) 488–9134, Email: *russell.kent@myfforidalegal.com*
- FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MAINE Christina M. Moylan, Assistant Attorney General, 6 State House Station,
- Augusta, ME 04333, Tel: (207) 626– 8838, Fax: (207) 624–7730, Email: christina.moylan@maine.gov
- FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MARYLAND
- Ellen S. Cooper, Assistant Attorney General, Chief, Antitrust Division, 200 St. Paul Place, 19th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21202, Tel: (410) 576–6470, Fax: (410) 576–7830, Email: ecooper@oag.state.md.us
- FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
- Matthew M. Lyons, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Attorney General Martha Coakley, One Ashburton Place, Boston, MA 02108, Tel: (617) 727–2200, Fax: (617) 727–5765, Email: *Matthew.Lyons@state.ma.us*
- FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW MEXICO
- Deyonna Young, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General of New Mexico, 111 Lomas Blvd., NW., Suite 300, Albuquerque, NM 87102, Tel: (505) 222–9089, Fax: (505) 222–9086, Email: dyoung@nmag.gov
- FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TENNESSEE
- Victor J. Domen, Jr., Senior Counsel, Office of the Tennessee Attorney General, Consumer Advocate and Protection Division, 425 Fifth Avenue North, Nashville, TN 37243, Tel: (615)

532–5732, Fax: (615) 532–2910, Email: *Vic.Domen@ag.tn.gov* FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON

David Kerwin, Assistant Attorney General, Washington State Attorney General's Office, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98104, Tel: (206) 464–7030, Fax: (206) 464–6338, Email: davidk3@atg.wa.gov /s/

Stephanie A. Fleming, Esq.

United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 8700, Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 514–9228, (202) 514–9033, stephanie.fleming@usdoj.gov

[FR Doc. 2010–5519 Filed 3–12–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

Division of Federal Employees' Compensation; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: Notice of Law Enforcement Officer's Injury or Occupational Disease (CA-721) and Notice of Law Enforcement Officer's Death (CA-722). A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addresses section of this Notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before May 14, 2010.

⁴ See United States v. Enova Corp., 107 F. Supp. 2d 10, 17 (D.DC 2000) (noting that the "Tunney Act expressly allows the court to make its public interest determination on the basis of the competitive impact statement and response to comments alone"); United States v. Mid-Am. Dairymen, Inc., 1977–1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 61,508, at 71,980 (W.D. Mo. 1977) ("Absent a showing of corrupt failure of the government to discharge its duty, the Court, in making its public interest finding, should * * * carefully consider the explanations of the government in the competitive impact statement and its responses to comments in order to determine whether those explanations are reasonable under the circumstances."); S. Rep. No. 93–298, 93d Cong., 1st Sess., at 6 (1973) ("Where the public interest can be meaningfully evaluated simply on the basis of briefs and oral arguments, that is the approach that should be utilized.").

ADDRESSES: Mr. Vincent Alvarez, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S–3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–0372, fax (202) 693–1378, E-mail *Alvarez.Vincent@dol.gov.* Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or E-mail).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. *Background:* The Federal Employees' Compensation Act (FECA) provides, under 5 U.S.C. 8191, et.seq. and 20 CFR 10.735, that non-Federal law enforcement officers injured or killed under certain circumstances are entitled to the benefits of the Act. to the same extent as if they were employees of the Federal Government. The CA-721 and CA-722 are used by non-Federal law enforcement officers and their survivors to claim compensation under the FECA. Form CA-721 is used for claims for injury. Form CA-722 is used for claims for death. This information collection is currently approved for use through August 31, 2010.

II. *Review Focus:* The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. *Current Actions:* The Department of Labor seeks the extension of approval to collect this information to determine eligibility for benefits.

Type of Review: Revision.

Agency: Office of Workers'

Compensation Programs. *Title:* Notice of Law Enforcement Officer's Injury or Occupational Disease (CA–721). Notice of Law Enforcement

Officer's Death (CA–722).

OMB Number: 1240–0116.

Agency Number: CA–721 and CA–722.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households; Business or other for-profit; State, Local or Tribal Government. Total Respondents: 13. Total Annual Responses: 13. Average Time per Response: 60–90 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 17. Frequency: On occasion.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintenance): \$6.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 10, 2010.

Vincent Alvarez,

Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, US Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2010–5561 Filed 3–12–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–CH–P

BILLING CODE 4510-CH-

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

Division of Federal Employees' Compensation Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is soliciting comments concerning the proposed collection: Claim for Reimbursement of Benefit Payments and Claims Expense Under the War Hazards Compensation Act (CA-278). A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the ADDRESSES section of this Notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before May 14, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Mr. Vincent Alvarez, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., Room S–3201, Washington, DC 20210, telephone (202) 693–0372, fax (202) 693–1378, E-mail *Alvarez.Vincent@dol.gov.* Please use only one method of transmission for comments (mail, fax, or E-mail).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background: The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) is the federal agency responsible for administration of the War Hazards Compensation Act (WHCA), 42 U.S.C. 1701 et seq. Under section 1704(a) of the WHCA, an insurance carrier or selfinsured who has paid workers' compensation benefits to or on account of any person for a war-risk hazard may seek reimbursement for benefits paid (plus expenses) out of the Employment Compensation Fund for the Federal Employees' Compensation Act (FECA) at 5 U.S.C. 8147. Form CA-278 is used by insurance carriers and the selfinsured to request reimbursement. The information collected is used by OWCP staff to process requests for reimbursement of WHCA benefit payments and claims expense that are submitted by insurance carriers and self-insureds. The information is also used by OWCP to decide whether it should opt to pay ongoing WHCA benefits directly to the injured worker. This information collection is currently approved for use through August 31, 2010.

II. Review Focus: The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

• Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions: The Department of Labor seeks extension of approval to collect this information in order to carry out its responsibility to reimburse