SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: 2010 National Survey of Recent College Graduates.

OMB Approval Number: 3145–0077. Expiration Date of Approval: July 31, 2011.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to renew an information collection for three years.

1. Abstract

The National Survey of Recent College Graduates (NSRCG) has been conducted biennially since 1974. The 2010 NSRCG will consist of a sample of individuals who have completed bachelor's and master's degrees in science, engineering and health from U.S. institutions during the academic years 2008 and 2009. The purpose of the NSRCG is to provide national estimates on the new entrants into the science and engineering workforce and to provide estimates on the characteristics of recent bachelor's and master's graduates with science, engineering and health degrees. The NSRCG is one of three component surveys of the Scientists and Engineers Statistical Data System (SESTAT), which produces national estimates of the size and characteristics of the nation's science and engineering population. The 2010 NSRCG will provide necessary input into the SESTAT.

The National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as subsequently amended, includes a statutory charge to "* * * provide a central clearinghouse for the collection, interpretation, and analysis of data on scientific and engineering resources, and to provide a source of information for policy formulation by other agencies of the Federal Government." The NSRCG is designed to comply with these mandates by providing information on the supply and utilization of the nation's recent bachelor's and master's level scientists and engineers.

The NSF uses the information from the NSRCG to prepare congressionallymandated reports such as *Women*, *Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering and Science and Engineering Indicators*. NSF publishes statistics from the survey in many reports, but primarily in the biennial series, *Characteristics of Recent Science and Engineering Graduates in the United States*. A public release file of collected data, designed to protect respondent confidentiality, will be made available to researchers on CD– ROM and on the Internet.

A nationally recognized survey firm will conduct the 2010 NSRCG under contract for NSF. Data will be obtained by mail questionnaire, computerassisted telephone interviews and Web survey beginning in October 2010. The survey will be collected in conformance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002, and the individual's response to the survey is voluntary. NSF will ensure that all information collected will be kept strictly confidential and will be used only for statistical purposes.

2. Expected Respondents

A statistical sample of approximately 18,000 bachelor's and master's degree recipients in science, engineering, and health will be contacted in 2010. NSF expects the response rate to be 70 percent.

3. Estimate of Burden

The amount of time to complete the questionnaire may vary depending on an individual's circumstances; however, on average it will take approximately 25 minutes to complete the survey. NSF estimates that the total annual burden will be 5,250 hours during the 2010 survey cycle.

Dated: February 18, 2010.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2010–3593 Filed 2–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-261; NRC-2010-0062]

Carolina Power & Light Company: H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 73.5, "Specific exemptions," from the implementation date for certain new requirements of 10 CFR Part 73, "Physical protection of plants and materials," for Facility Operating License No. DPR–23, issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), for operation of the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (HBRSEP), located in Darlington County, South Carolina. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, "Criteria for and identification of licensing and regulatory actions requiring environmental assessments," the NRC prepared an environmental assessment documenting its finding. The NRC concluded that the proposed action will have no significant environmental impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt HBRSEP from the required implementation date of March 31, 2010, for two new requirements of 10 CFR part 73. Specifically, HBRSEP would be granted an exemption from being in full compliance with certain new requirements contained in 10 CFR 73.55, "Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage," by the March 31, 2010, deadline. The licensee has proposed an alternate full compliance implementation date of December 30, 2010, approximately 9 months beyond the date required by 10 CFR part 73. The proposed action, an extension of the schedule for completion of certain actions required by the revised 10 CFR part 73, does not involve any physical changes to the reactor, fuel, plant structures, support structures, water, or land at the HBRSEP site.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated November 30, 2009.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The licensee has stated that it has performed an extensive evaluation of the revised 10 CFR part 73 and will achieve compliance with a vast majority of the revised rule by the March 31, 2010, compliance date. However, the licensee has determined that implementation of two specific provisions of the rule will require more time to implement because they involve upgrades to the security system that require significant physical modifications (e.g., the relocation of certain security assets to a new security building that will be constructed, and the addition of certain power supplies). Therefore, the licensee proposes to extend the compliance date beyond March 31, 2010, to December 30, 2010, in order to complete these security modifications.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its environmental assessment of the

proposed exemption. The staff has concluded that the proposed action to extend the implementation deadline would not significantly affect plant safety and would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability or consequences of an accident.

The proposed action would not result in an increased radiological hazard beyond those previously analyzed in the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact made by the Commission in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73 as discussed in a Federal Register notice dated March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13967). There will be no change to radioactive effluents that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. Therefore, no changes or different types of radiological impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

The proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Stevens Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality.

There are no impacts to historical and cultural resources. There would be no impact to socioeconomic resources. Therefore, no changes to or different types of non-radiological environmental impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. In addition, in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73, the Commission prepared an environmental assessment and published a finding of no significant impact (March 27, 2009, 74 FR 13967).

The NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided as part of a letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation, if granted.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no action" alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. If the proposed action were denied, the licensee would have to comply with the March 31, 2010, implementation deadline. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and the "no action" alternative are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the HBRSEP, dated April 1975, as supplemented through the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2—Final Report (NUREG—1437, Supplement 13)."

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 22, 2010, the staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mike Gandy of the South Carolina Bureau of Land and Waste Management, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated November 30, 2009. Portions of the November 30, 2009, submittal contain proprietary and security-related information, and accordingly, are not available to the public. Other parts of these documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html.

Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800– 397–4209 or 301–415–4737, or send an e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of February 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Tracy J. Orf,** *Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II– 2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2010–3667 Filed 2–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0189]

Office of New Reactors: Interim Staff Guidance on Assessing the Consequences of an Accidental Release of Radioactive Materials From Liquid Waste Tanks; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). **ACTION:** Reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: The NRC published a request for public comment in the Federal **Register** on May 4, 2009, on proposed Interim Staff Guidance (ISG) DC/COL-ISG-013, "Assessing the Consequences of an Accidental Release of Radioactive Materials from Liquid Waste Tanks' (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML090830488). The NRC has completed a related ISG, DC/COL-ISG-014, "Assessing Ground Water Flow and Transport of Accidental Radionuclide Releases," and is making it available for public comment by separate document published today in the Federal Register. The NRC believes that the public will be better served by being able to review and comment on both documents at this time. This action is necessary to reopen the comment period for ISG DC/COL-ISG-013.

ADDRESSES: Please include Docket ID NRC–2009–0189 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site Regulations.gov. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.