DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FTA Fiscal Year 2010 Apportionments, Allocations, and Program Information

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) annually publishes one or more notices apportioning funds appropriated by law. In some cases, if less than a full year of funds is available, FTA publishes multiple partial apportionment notices. This notice is the first notice announcing partial apportionment of Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 formula and discretionary funds. It also provides program guidance and requirements; and provides information on several program issues important in the current fiscal year. The notice also includes tables that show certain discretionary programs unobligated (carryover) funding from previous years that will be available for obligation during FY 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information about this notice contact Henrika Buchanan-Smith, Director, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053. Please contact the appropriate FTA regional office for any specific requests for information or technical assistance. The Appendix at the end of this notice includes contact information for FTA regional offices. An FTA headquarters contact for each major program area is included in the discussion of that program in the text of the notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Overview

- II. FY 2010 Available Funding for FTA Programs
 - A. Available Funding Based on Continuing Appropriations Resolution 2010, and Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) and the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 2010
- B. Program Funds Set-aside for Oversight
- III. FY 2010 FTA Key Program Initiatives and Changes
 - A. SAFETEA-LU Implementation
 - B. Planning Emphasis Areas
 - C. Earmarks and Competitive Grant Opportunities
 - D. Flexible Funding Procedures
 - E. Match for Biodiesel Vehicles and Hybrid Retrofits
- IV. FTA Programs
 - A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)

- B. Statewide Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)
- C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)
- D. Clean Fuels Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5308)
- E. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization
- F. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Bus and Bus-Related Facilities
- G. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—New Starts and Small Starts
- H. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)
- I. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)
- J. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))
- K. Public Transportation on Indian Reservation Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(c))
- L. National Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5314)
- M. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)
- N. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)
- O. Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (49 U.S.C. 5320)
- P. Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339)
- Q. Growing States and High Density States Formula (49 U.S.C. 5340)
- R. Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (49 U.S.C. 5310 note)
- S. Grants for Energy Efficiency and Greenhouse Gas Reduction (TIGGER) Program
- T. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Grants
- V. FTA Policy and Procedures for FY 2010 Grants Requirements
 - A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority to Incur Project Costs
 - B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy
 - C. FTA FY 2010 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances
 - D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes
 - E. Grant Application Procedures
 - F. Payments
- G. Oversight H. Technical Assistance
- Tables
 - 1. FTA FY 2010 Appropriations and Apportionments for Grant Programs
 - 2. FTA FY 2010 Metropolitan Planning Program and Statewide Planning and Research Program Apportionments
 - 3. FTA FY 2010 Section 5307 and Section 5340 Urbanized Area Apportionments
 - 3–A. 2000 Census Urbanized Areas 200,000 or More in Population Eligible to Use Section 5307 Funds for Operating Assistance.
 - 4. FTA FY 2010 Section 5307 Apportionment Formula
 - 5. FTA FY 2010 Formula Programs Apportionments Data Unit Values
 - FTA FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
 - 7. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5308 Clean Fuels Allocations
 - 8. FTA FY 2010 Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization Apportionments

- 9. FTA FY 2010 Fixed Guideway Modernization Program Apportionment Formula
- 10. FTA FY 2010 Section 5309 Buses and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations
- 10–A. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Buses and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations
- 10–B. FTA FY 2010 Section 5309 Buses and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Extended and Reprogrammed Earmarks
- 11. FTA FY 2010 Section 5309 New Starts Allocations
- 12. FTA Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Allocations
- 13. FTA FY 2010 Special Needs For Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Apportionments
- 14. FTA FY 2010 Section 5311 and Section 5340 Nonurbanized Area Formula Apportionments, and Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) Allocations
- 15. FTA Prior Unobligated Tribal Transit Discretionary Allocations
- 16. FTA FY 2010 Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) Apportionments
- 17. FTA Prior Unobligated Discretionary JARC Allocations
- 18. FTA FY 2010 Section 5317 New Freedom Apportionments
- 19. FTA FY 2010 Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis Allocations
- 20. FTĂ Prior Year Unobligated Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis Allocations Appendix

I. Overview

FTA's current authorization, the Safe. Accountable, Flexible, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), expired September 30, 2009. Since that time, Congress has enacted short term extensions allowing FTA to continue its current programs. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010, as amended, (Pub. L. 111-68, Div. B), continues the authorization of the Federal transit programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) through February 28, 2010. It extends contract authority for the Formula and Bus Grants programs at the same levels that were available under the Omnibus Appropriations, 2009 (Pub. L. 111-8, Div. I) until February 28, 2010, i.e., approximately 5/12th of the contract authority available in fiscal year (FY) 2009. Additionally, Division A of the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 2010 (Pub. L. 111-(68), which was signed into law by President Obama on December 16, 2009, appropriated funds for FTA generalfunded programs for FY 2010. This notice provides information on funding amounts that are currently available for FTA assistance programs.

This document apportions or allocates available FY 2010 funds that were made available under Division A of the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 2010 hereinafter, ("Appropriations Act, 2010") and the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010, as amended, hereinafter, ("CR, 2010") among potential program recipients according to statutory formulas in 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 and existing Full Funding Grant Agreements. The notice includes FY 2010 formula and section 5309 bus category funds that are currently available, which is approximately 5/12 or 41% of the amounts that were available under the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009. The notice does not include any extension or reprogramming of any discretionary funds that lapsed to the designated project as of September 30, 2009. FTA will issue a supplemental notice at a later date for any additional increments of formula and discretionary funds that become available.

For each FTA program included in this notice, we have provided relevant information on the FY 2010 funding currently available, program requirements, period of availability, and other related program information and highlights, as appropriate. A separate section of the document provides information on program requirements and guidance that are applicable to all FTA programs.

II. FY 2010 Funding for FTA Programs

A. Funding Based on the Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L.) and CR, 2010

The Appropriations Act, 2010 provide general funds and obligation authority trust funds from the Mass Transit Account (MTA) of the Highway trust fund that total \$4.1 billion for FTA programs. The CR 2010 makes available ⁵/12ths of the contract authority level provided in FY 2009 for the Formula and Section 5309 Bus programs. Table 1 of this document shows the funding that is currently available for the FTA programs. All Formula Programs and the Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related Facilities Program are funded entirely from MTA of the Highway Trust Fund in FY 2010. The Section 5309 New Starts Program, the Research Program, Washington Metropolitan Transit Authority, Transit Investments for Greenhouse Gas and Energy Reduction (TIGGER) Program and FTA administrative expenses are funded by appropriations from the General Fund of the Treasury.

This **Federal Register** notice includes tables of apportionments and allocations for FTA formula programs based on the Appropriations Act, 2010 and the CR, 2010. Additionally, discretionary funding under the New Starts, Bus and Bus facilities, Alternative Analysis and the Washington Metropolitan Transit Authority Programs that were allocated in the Appropriations Act, 2010 are also included.

B. Program Funds Set-Aside for Project Management Oversight

As background, Section 5327 of title 49 U.S.C., authorizes the takedown of funds from FTA programs for project management oversight. Section 5327 provides oversight takedowns at the following levels: 0.5 percent of Planning funds, 0.75 percent of Urbanized Area Formula funds, 1 percent of Capital Investment funds, 0.5 percent of Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities formula funds, 0.5 percent of Non-urbanized Area Formula funds, and 0.5 percent of the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in the Parks Program funds (formerly the Alternative Transportation in the Parks and Public Lands Program).

The funds are used to provide necessary oversight activities, including oversight of the construction of any major capital project under these statutory programs; to conduct State Safety Oversight, drug and alcohol, civil rights, procurement systems, management, planning certification and, financial reviews and audits, as well as evaluations and analyses of grantee specific problems and issues; and to provide technical assistance to correct deficiencies identified in compliance reviews and audits.

III. FY 2010 FTA Program Initiatives and Changes

A. Binding Guidance or Policy Documents

Before any requirements placing binding obligations on grantees are finalized, FTA will publish and make them available for public comment. We encourage grantees to regularly check the FTA Web site at *http:// www.fta.dot.gov* and the U.S. Government docket management Web site at *http://regulations.gov* for new issuances and to comment to the docket established for each document on relevant issues.

B. Planning Emphasis Areas—Planning for Sustainable Communities

FTA and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) periodically identify Planning Emphasis Areas

(PEAs) to promote priority themes for consideration, as appropriate, in metropolitan and statewide transportation planning processes. For FY 2010, "planning for sustainable communities" has been identified as the emphasis area. To support effective practice and capacity-building on this topic, FTA and FHWA will prepare and distribute an inventory of current practice, guidance, and training and offer targeted technical assistance. Opportunities for peer exchange of ideas and experiences on innovative practice on the topic will be provided throughout the year.

For further information on this emphasis area, contact Jeff Price, FTA Office of Systems Planning, (202) 366– 4280.

C. Livability

FTA fosters livable communities and sustainable development through its various transit programs and activities. Public transportation supports the development of communities, providing effective and reliable transportation alternatives that increase access to jobs, health and social services. entertainment, educational opportunities, and other activities of daily life, while also improving mobility within and among these communities. Through various initiatives and legislative changes over the last fifteen years, FTA has allowed and encouraged projects that help integrate transit into a community through neighborhood improvements and enhancements to transit facilities or services, or make improvements to areas adjacent to public transit facilities that may facilitate mobility demands of transit users or support other infrastructure investments that enhance the use of transit for the community.

On June 16, 2009, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Secretary Ray LaHood, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Secretary Shaun Donovan, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa Jackson announced a new interagency partnership to help improve access to affordable housing, more transportation options, and lower transportation costs, while protecting the environment. The three agencies are coordinating Federal transportation, environmental protection, and housing investments at their respective agencies to support sustainable communities for American families in rural, suburban and urban areas.

During FY 2010, FTA will implement the Livability Bus and Urban Circulator Programs, which will result in a minimum of \$280 million in funding for projects that demonstrate livability principles by providing more transportation choices; enhancing economic competitiveness; enhancing existing communities; coordinating policies and leveraging investments; and valuing communities and neighborhoods.

D. Flexible Funding Procedures

Flexible funding was one of the hallmarks of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) that was continued to the present day. Flexible funding provisions enable State and local governments, transit operators, and metropolitan planning organizations to more effectively meet their unique needs, and facilitate a multimodal approach to meeting transportation needs at both the statewide and metropolitan levels. The statutory flexibility provisions include: 1. Broad highway/transit spending

 Broad highway/transit spending eligibility within selected categories of major highway and transit programs;

2. Allowance of the transfer of funds within the Federal-aid highway program to other programs with broader highway/transit eligibility; and

3. Allowance of the transfer of funds from FHWA to FTA, and vice versa.

Obligation authority for flexible funds, high priority projects and other transit projects in Title 23 U.S.C., is transferred to FTA when States and local agencies determine that FTA will administer the public transportation project. The liquidating cash, however, is transferred between Federal accounts only as needed to ensure that adequate funds are available for disbursement on a timely basis. In order to track the cash flow more closely, FTA no longer combines funds transferred from FHWA into a single grant with FTA funds in the program to which they are transferred. FTA has established codes and procedures for grants involving funds transferred from FHWA. Grantees can contact the appropriate regional office for funding assistance.

E. Changes in Match for Biodiesel Vehicles and Hybrid Retrofits

Section 164 of the Appropriations Act 2010, provides that any grant for a project that involves the acquisition or rehabilitation of a bus to be used in public transportation shall be funded at 90 percent Federal share for the net capital costs of a biodiesel bus or a factory-installed or retrofitted hybrid electric propulsion system and any equipment related to such a system. This increased Federal share is a crosscutting provision and is applicable across FTA programs for any grants awarded during FY 2010 regardless of what fiscal year funding is used. Grantees may apply for a 90 percent Federal share for the entire cost of a biodiesel bus, but only for the cost of the propulsion system and related equipment in the case of the hybrid electric systems, not for 90 percent of the cost of the entire vehicle. In lieu of calculating the costs of the hybrid electric propulsion system separately, grantees may apply for 83 percent of the cost of the vehicle.

IV. FTA Programs

This section of the notice provides the available FY 2010 funding to date and/ or other important program-related information for 19 separate FTA programs that are contained in this notice. Funding for eleven programs is apportioned by statutory or administrative formula. Funding for the other eight programs will be allocated on a discretionary or competitive basis.

Funding and/or other important information for each of the 19 programs is presented immediately below. This includes program apportionments or allocations, certain program requirements, length of time FY 2010 funding is available for obligation and other significant program information pertaining to FY 2010.

A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d))

Section 5305(d) authorizes Federal funding to support a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive planning program for transportation investment decision-making at the metropolitan area level. The specific requirements of metropolitan transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303 and further explained in 23 CFR part 450, as incorporated by reference in 49 CFR part 613, Statewide Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning; Final Rule. State Departments of Transportation are direct recipients of funds allocated by FTA, which are then suballocated to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) by formula, for planning activities that support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency; increasing the safety and security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users; increasing the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight; protecting and enhancing the environment, promoting energy conservation, and improving quality of life; enhancing the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for

people and freight; promoting efficient transportation system management and operation; and emphasizing the preservation of the existing transportation system. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods in the metropolitan area. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, mobility management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis among MPOs and other transportation planners; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment; development of coordinated public transit human services transportation plans. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact James Garland, Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-0526.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$38,841,000 to the Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d) to support metropolitan transportation planning activities set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303. The total amount apportioned for the Metropolitan Planning Program to States for MPOs' use in urbanized areas (UZAs) is \$38,646,795, as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight.

METROPOLITAN PLANNING PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$38,841,000
Oversight Deduction	\$194,205
Total Apportioned	\$38,646,795

States' apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2

2. Basis for Formula Apportionments.

As specified in law, 82.72 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the Metropolitan Planning program. FTA allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to the States according to a statutory formula. Eighty percent of the funds are distributed to the States as a basic allocation based on each State's UZA population, based on the most recent decennial Census. The remaining 20 percent is provided to the States as a supplemental allocation based on an FTA administrative formula to address planning needs in the larger, more complex UZAs. The amount published for each State is a combined total of both the basic and supplemental allocation.

3. Program Requirements

The State allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to MPOs in UZAs or portions thereof to provide funds for projects included in an annual work program (the Unified Planning Work Program, or UPWP) that includes both highway and transit planning projects. Each State has either reaffirmed or developed, in consultation with their MPOs, an allocation formula, based on the 2000 Census. The State allocation formula may be changed annually, but any change requires approval by the FTA regional office before grant approval. Program guidance for the Metropolitan Planning Program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact James Garland of the Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-0526.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the Metropolitan Planning program to each State remain available for obligation by FTA to recipients for four fiscal years which includes the year of apportionment plus three additional years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Metropolitan Planning Program.

5. Consolidated Planning Grants

FTA and FHWA planning funds under both the Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Programs can be consolidated into a single consolidated planning grant (CPG), awarded by either FTA or FHWA. The CPG eliminates the need to monitor individual fund sources, if several have been used, and ensures that the oldest funds will always be used first. Unlike "flex funds" for capital programs, planning funds from FHWA may be combined with FTA planning funds in a single grant. Alternatively, FTA planning funds may be transferred to FHWA to be administered as combined grants.

Under the CPG, States can report metropolitan planning program expenditures (to comply with the Single Audit Act) for both FTA and FHWA under the Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance number for FTA's Metropolitan Planning Program (20.505). Additionally, for States with an FHWA Metropolitan Planning (PL) fund-matching ratio greater than 80 percent, the State can waive the 20 percent local share requirement, with FTA's concurrence, to allow FTA funds used for metropolitan planning in a CPG to be granted at the higher FHWA rate. For some States, this Federal match rate can exceed 90 percent.

States interested in transferring planning funds between FTA and FHWA should contact the FTA Regional Office or FHWA Division Office for more detailed procedures. Current guidelines are included in Federal Highway Administration Memorandum dated July 12, 2007, "Information: Final Transfers to Other Agencies that Administer Title 23 Programs."

For further information on CPGs, contact Nancy Grubb, Office of Budget and Policy, FTA, at (202) 366–1635.

B. State Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(e))

This program provides financial assistance to States for Statewide transportation planning and other technical assistance activities, including supplementing the technical assistance program provided through the Metropolitan Planning program. The specific requirements of Statewide transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5304 and further explained in 23 CFR part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR part 613, Statewide Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning; Final Rule. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic

source of Federal assistance. For more information, contact James Garland of the Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366–0526.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$8,114,000 to the State Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305). The total amount apportioned for the State Planning and Research Program (SPRP) is \$8,073,430 as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327).

STATE PLANNING AND RESEARCH PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$8,114,000
Oversight Deduction	\$40,570
Total Apportioned	\$8,073,430

State apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2.

2. Basis for Apportionment Formula

As specified in law, 17.28 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the State Planning and Research program. FTA apportions funds to States by a statutory formula that is based on the most recent decennial Census, and the State's UZA population as compared to the UZA population of all States.

3. Requirements

Funds are provided to States for Statewide transportation planning programs. These funds may be used for a variety of purposes such as planning, technical studies and assistance, demonstrations, and management training. In addition, a State may authorize a portion of these funds to be used to supplement Metropolitan Planning funds allocated by the State to its UZAs, as the State deems appropriate. Program guidance for the State Planning and Research program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously

funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more information, contact James Garland of the Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-0526.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the State Planning and Research program to each State remain available for obligation for four fiscal years—which include the year of apportionment plus three additional fiscal years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the State Planning and Research Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

See Section A.5 of this notice for information about Planning Emphasis Areas and Consolidated Planning Grants.

C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)

Section 5307 authorizes Federal capital assistance, and in some cases, operating assistance for public transportation in UZAs. A UZA is an area with a population of 50,000 or more that has been defined and designated as such in the 2000 Census by the U.S. Census Bureau. The Urbanized Area Formula Program funds may also be used to support planning activities, and may supplement planning projects funded under the Metropolitan Planning program. Urbanized Areas Formula Program funds used for planning must be shown in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area. Funding is apportioned directly to each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, and to the State Governors for UZAs with populations between 50,000 and 200,000. Eligible applicants are limited to entities designated as recipients in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5307(a)(2) and other public entities with the consent of the Designated Recipient. Generally, operating assistance is not an eligible

expense for UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more. However, there are several exceptions to this restriction. The exceptions are described in section 3(d)(5) below.

For more information about the Urbanized Area Formula Program contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–1660.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$1,721,140,000 to the Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307). The total amount apportioned for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is \$1,870,317,082 as shown in the table below, after the 0.75 percent deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327) and including funds apportioned to UZAs from the appropriation for Section 5340 for Growing States and High Density States.

URBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	^a \$1,721,140,000 - \$12,908,550
Section 5340 Funds Added	\$162,085,632
Total Apportioned	\$1,870,317,082

^a One percent set-aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities Formula.

Table 3 displays the amounts apportioned under the Urbanized Area Formula Program.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

FTA apportions Urbanized Area Formula Program funds based on legislative formulas. Different formulas apply to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more and to UZAs with populations less than 200,000. For UZAs with 50,000 to 199,999 in population, the formula is based solely on population and population density. For UZAs with populations of 200,000 and more, the formula is based on a combination of bus revenue vehicle miles, bus passenger miles, fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles, and fixed guideway route miles, as well as population and population density. Table 4 includes detailed information about the formulas.

To calculate a UZA's FY 2010 apportionment, FTA used population and population density statistics from the 2000 Census and (when applicable) validated mileage and transit service data from transit providers' 2008 National Transit Database (NTD) Report Year. Consistent with 49 U.S.C. 5336(b), FTA used 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA.

FTA has calculated dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment calculations. These values represent the amount of money each unit of a factor is worth in this year's apportionment. The unit values change each year, based on all of the data used to calculate the apportionments. The dollar unit values for FY 2010 are displayed in Table 5. To replicate the basic formula component of a UZA's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor (*i.e.*, the population, population × population density), and when applicable, data from the NTD (*i.e.*, route miles, vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles, and operating cost).

In FY 2010, one percent of funds appropriated for Section 5307, or \$17,211,000 based on CR 2010 is set aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC). FTA apportions these funds to UZAs under 200,000 in population that operate at a level of service equal to or above the industry average level of service for all UZAs with a population of at least 200,000, but not more than 999,999, in one or more of six performance categories: passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue mile, passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue hour, vehicle revenue miles per capita, vehicle revenue hours per capita, passenger miles traveled per capita, and passengers per capita.

The data for these categories for the purpose of FY 2010 apportionments comes from the NTD reports for the 2008 reporting year. This data is used to determine a UZA's eligibility under the STIC formula, and is also used in the STIC apportionment calculations. Because these performance data change with each year's NTD reports, the UZAs eligible for STIC funds and the amount each receives may vary each year. In FY 2010, FTA apportioned \$56,826 for each performance factor/category for which the urbanized area exceeded the national average for UZAs with a population of at least 200,000 but not more than 999,999.

In addition to the funds apportioned to UZAs, according to the Section 5307 formula factors contained in 49 U.S.C. 5336, FTA also apportions funds to urbanized areas under Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States formula factors. In FY 2010, FTA apportions \$65,900,632 to UZAs in growing States and \$96,185,000 to UZAs in High Density States. Half of the funds appropriated for Section 5340 are available to Growing States and half to High Density States. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent Census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census, and to UZAs proportionately based on UZA population in the 2000 census (because population estimates are not available at the UZA level). FTA apportions the High Density States funds to States with population densities in excess of 370 persons per square mile. These funds are apportioned only to UZAs within those States. FTA pro-rates each UZA's share of the High Density funds based on the population of the UZAs in the State in the 2000 census.

FTA cannot provide unit values for the Growing States or High Density formulas because the allocations to individual States and urbanized areas are based on their relative population data, rather than on a national per capita basis.

Based on language in the conference report accompanying SAFETEA-LU, FTA is to show a single apportionment amount for Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. FTA shows a single Section 5307 apportionment amount for each UZA in Table 3, the Urbanized Area Formula apportionments. The amount includes funds apportioned based on the Section 5307 formula factors, any STIC funds, and any Growing States and High Density States funding allocated to the area. FTA uses separate formulas to calculate and generate the respective apportionment amounts for the Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. For technical assistance purposes, the UZAs that received STIC funds are listed in Table 6. FTA will make available breakouts of the funding allocated to each UZA under these formulas, upon request to the regional office.

3. Program Requirements

Program guidance for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is currently found in FTA Circular 9030.1C, Urbanized Area Formula Program: Grant Application Instructions, dated October 1, 1998, and supplemented by additional information or changes provided in this document. FTA is in the process of updating the circular. The public comment period on the proposed circular closed on November 30, 2009. FTA anticipates publishing the final circular by March 31, 2010. Several important program requirements are highlighted below.

a. Urbanized Area Formula Apportionments to Governors

For small UZAs, those with a population of less than 200,000, FTA apportions funds to the Governor of each State for distribution. A single total Governor's apportionment amount for the Urbanized Area Formula, STIC, and Growing States and High Density States is shown in the Urbanized Area Formula Apportionment Table 3. The table also shows the apportionment amount attributable by formula to each small UZA within the State for information purposes only unless the small UZA is located within the planning boundaries of a Transportation Management Area (TMA). The Governor is not bound by the small UZA amounts published in this notice and shall determine the sub-allocation of funds among the small UZAs. The Governor's sub-allocation should be sent to the appropriate FTA Regional Office before grants being awarded. In the case of a small UZA that is located within the planning boundaries of TMA, the Governor must allocate to that small UZA, as discussed in subsection f helow

b. Transit Enhancements

Section 5307(d)(1)(K) requires that one percent of Section 5307 funds apportioned to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more be spent on eligible transit enhancement activities or projects. This requirement is now treated as a certification, rather than as a set-aside as was the case under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21). Designated recipients in UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more certify they are spending not less than one percent of Section 5307 funds for transit enhancements. In addition, Designated Recipients must submit an annual report on how they spent the money with the Federal fiscal year's final quarterly progress report in TEAM-Web. The report should include the following elements: (1) Grantee name; (2) UZA name and number; (3) FTA project number; (4) transit enhancement category; (5) brief description of enhancement and progress towards project implementation; (6) activity line item code from the approved budget; and (7) amount awarded by FTA for the enhancement. The list of transit enhancement categories and activity line item (ALI) codes may be found in the table of Scope and ALI codes on TEAM-Web, which can be accessed at http://FTATEAMWeb.fta.dot.gov.

The term "transit enhancement" includes projects or project elements

that are designed to enhance public transportation service or use and are physically or functionally related to transit facilities. Eligible enhancements include the following: (1) Historic preservation, rehabilitation, and operation of historic mass transportation buildings, structures, and facilities (including historic bus and railroad facilities); (2) bus shelters; (3) landscaping and other scenic beautification, including tables, benches, trash receptacles, and street lights; (4) public art; (5) pedestrian access and walkways; (6) bicycle access, including bicycle storage facilities and installing equipment for transporting bicycles on mass transportation vehicles; (7) transit connections to parks within the recipient's transit service area; (8) signage; and (9) enhanced access for persons with disabilities to mass transportation.

It is the responsibility of the MPO to determine how the one-percent for transit enhancements will be allotted to transit projects. The one percent minimum requirement does not preclude more than one percent from being expended in a UZA for transit enhancements. However, activities that are only eligible as enhancements—in particular, operating costs for historic facilities—may be assisted only within the one-percent funding level.

c. Transit Security Projects

Consistent with section 5307(d)(1)(J), each recipient of Urbanized Area Formula funds must certify that of the amount received each fiscal year, it will expend at least one percent on "public transportation security projects" or must certify that it has decided the expenditure is not necessary. For applicants not eligible to receive Section 5307 funds for operating assistance, only capital security projects may be funded with the one percent. SAFETEA-LU, however, expanded the definition of eligible "capital" projects to include specific crime prevention and security activities, including: (1) Projects to refine and develop security and emergency response plans; (2) projects aimed at detecting chemical and biological agents in public transportation; (3) the conduct of emergency response drills with public transportation agencies and local first response agencies; and (4) security training for public transportation employees, but excluding all expenses related to operations, other than such expenses incurred in conducting emergency drills and training. Activity Line Item (ALI) codes have been established for these four new capital activities and will be used to track the

use of this provision. The one percent may also include security expenditures included within other capital activities, and, where the recipient is eligible, operating assistance.

FTA is often called upon to report to Congress and others on how grantees are expending Federal funds for security enhancements. To facilitate tracking of grantees' security expenditures, which are not always evident when included within larger capital or operating activity line items in the grant budget, we have established a non-additive ("non-add") scope code for security expenditures—Scope 991. The non-add scope is to be used to aggregate activities included in other scopes, and it does not increase the budget total. Section 5307 grantees should include this non-add scope in the project budget for each new Section 5307 grant application or amendment. Under this non-add scope, the applicant should repeat the full amount of any of the line items in the budget that are exclusively for security and include the portion of any other line item in the project budget that is attributable to security, using under the non-add scope the same line item used in the project budget. The grantee can modify the ALI description or use the extended text feature, if necessary, to describe the security expenditures.

The grantee must provide information regarding its use of the one percent for security as part of each Section 5307 grant application, using a special screen in TEAM-Web. If the grantee has certified that it is not necessary to expend one percent for security, the Section 5307 grant application must include information to support that certification. FTA will not process an application for a Section 5307 grant until the security information is complete.

d. FY 2010 Operating Assistance

UZAs under 200,000 in population may use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance. In addition, Section 5307, as amended, allows some UZAs with a population of 200,000 or more to use Urbanized Area Formula funds for operating assistance under certain conditions. CR, 2010 extends that eligibility until February 28, 2010. The specific provisions allowing the limited use of operating assistance in large UZAs follow:

(1) Section 5307(b)(1)(E) provides for grants for the operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation in the Evansville, IN–KY urbanized area, for a portion or portions of the UZA if "the portion" of the UZA includes only one State, the population of "the portion" is less than 30,000, and the grants will be not used to provide public transportation outside of "the portion" of the UZA.

(2) Section 5307(b)(1)(F) provides operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation for local governmental authorities in areas which adopted transit operating and financing plans that became a part of the Houston, Texas, UZA as a result of the 2000 decennial census of population, but lie outside the service area of the principal public transportation agency that serves the Houston UZA.

(3) Section 5336(a)(2) prescribes the formula to be used to apportion Section 5307 funds to UZAs with population of 200,000 or more. SAFETEA-LU amended 5336(a)(2) to add language that stated, "* * * except that the amount apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area under subsection (b) shall be available to the Alaska Railroad for any costs related to its passenger operations." This language has the effect of directing that funds apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area, under the fixed guideway tiers of the Section 5307 apportionment formula, be made available to the Alaska Railroad, and that these funds may be used for any capital or operating costs related to its passenger operations.

(4) Section 3027(c)(3) of TEA-21, as amended (49 U.S.C. 5307 note), provides an exception to the restriction on the use of operating assistance in a UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, by allowing transit providers/ grantees that provide service exclusively to elderly persons and persons with disabilities and that operate 20 or fewer vehicles to use Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA for operating assistance. The total amount of funding made available for this purpose under Section 3027(c)(3) is \$1.4 million. Transit providers/grantees eligible under this provision have already been identified and notified.

(5) Consistent with the SAFETEA–LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008, in FY 2009, section 5307(b)(2) allowed (1) UZAs that grew in population from under 200,000 to over 200,000 or that were under 200,000 but merged into another urbanized area and the population is over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census to use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance in an amount up to 50 percent of the grandfathered amount for FY 2002 funds; (2) Areas that were nonurbanized under the 1990 Census and became urbanized, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use no more than 50 percent of the amount apportioned to the area for FY 2003 for operating assistance; and (3) nonurbanized areas under the 1990 Census that merged into urbanized areas over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use 50 percent of the amount the area received in FY 2002 Section 5311 funding for operating assistance. CR 2010 continued these special rules for the period October 1, 2009 through February 28, 2010.

e. Sources of Local Match

Consistent with to Section 5307(e), the Federal share of an urbanized area formula grant is 80 percent of net project cost for a capital project and 50 percent of net project cost for operating assistance unless the recipient indicates a greater local share. The remainder of the net project cost (*i.e.*, 20 percent and 50 percent, respectively) shall be provided from the following sources:

1. From non-Government sources other than revenues from providing public transportation services;

2. From revenues derived from the sale of advertising and concessions;

3. From an undistributed cash surplus, a replacement or depreciation cash fund or reserve, or new capital;

4. From amounts received under a service agreement with a State or local social service agency or private social service organization; and

5. Proceeds from the issuance of revenue bonds.

6. Funds from Section 403(a)(5)(C)(vii) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 603(a)(5)(C)(vii)) can be used to match Urbanized Area Formula funds.

f. Designated Transportation Management Areas (TMA)

Guidance for setting the boundaries of TMAs is in the joint transportation planning regulations codified at 23 CFR part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR part 613. In some cases, the TMA planning boundaries established by the MPO for the designated TMA includes one or more small UZAs. In addition, one small UZA (Santa Barbara, CA) has been designated as a TMA. In either of these situations, the Governor cannot allocate "Governor's Apportionment" funds attributed to the small UZAs to other areas; that is, the Governor only has discretion to allocate Governor's Apportionment funds attributable to areas that are outside of designated TMA planning boundaries.

The list of small UZAs included within the planning boundaries of designated TMAs is provided in the table below.

Designated TMA	Small urbanized area included in TMA planning boundary
Albany, NY Houston, TX	Saratoga Springs, NY. Galveston, TX; Lake Jackson-Angleton, TX; Texas City, TX; The Woodlands, TX.
Jacksonville, FL	St. Augustine, FL.
Orlando, FL	Kissimmee, FL.
Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL	Titusville, FL.
Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD	Pottstown, PA.
Pittsburg, PA	Monessen, PA; Weirton, WV–Steubenville, OH–PA (PA portion); Uniontown-Connellsville, PA.
Seattle, WA	Bremerton, WA.
Washington, DC-VA-MD	Frederick, MD.

The MPO must notify the Associate Administrator for Program Management, Federal Transit Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, DC 20590, in writing, no later than July 1 of each year of the identity of any small UZA within the planning boundaries of a TMA.

g. Urbanized Area Formula Funds Used for Highway Purposes

Funds apportioned to a TMA are eligible for transfer to FHWA for highway projects, if the Designated Recipient has allocated a portion of the area's Section 5307 funding for such use. However, before funds can be transferred, the following conditions must be met: (1) approval by the MPO in writing, after appropriate notice and opportunity for comment and appeal are provided to affected transit providers; (2) a determination of the Secretary that funds are not needed for investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and (3) the MPO determines that local transit needs are being addressed.

The MPO should notify the appropriate FTA Regional Administrator of its intent to use FTA funds for highway purposes, as prescribed in section V.D below. Urbanized Area Formula funds that are designated by the MPO for highway projects and meet the conditions cited in the previous paragraph will be transferred to and administered by FHWA.

4. Period of Availability

The Urbanized Area Formula Program funds apportioned in this notice are available for obligation during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. Accordingly, these funds must be obligated in grants by September 30, 2013. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Urbanized Area Formula Program. 5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

In each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, the Governor, in consultation with responsible local officials and publicly owned operators of public transportation, has designated one or more entities to be the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA. The same entity(s) may or may not be the Designated Recipient for the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom program funds apportioned to the UZA. In UZAs under 200,000 in population, the State is the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 as well as JARC and New Freedom programs. The Designated Recipient for Section 5307 may authorize other entities to apply directly to FTA for Section 5307 grants pursuant to a supplemental agreement. While the requirement that projects selected for funding be included in a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan is not included in Section 5307 as it is in Sections 5310, 5316 (JARC) and 5317 (New Freedom), FTA expects that in their role as public transit providers, recipients of Section 5307 funds will be participants in the local planning process for these programs.

D. Clean Fuels Grant Program (49.U.S.C. 5308)

The Clean Fuels Grant program is a discretionary grant program that supports the use of alternative fuels in air quality maintenance or nonattainment areas for ozone or carbon monoxide through capital grants to urbanized areas for clean fuel vehicles and facilities. For more information about this program contact Juan Morrison, Office of Program Management, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$21,306,000 to the Clean Fuels Grant program (49 U.S.C. 5308). FTA will publish allocations at a later date.

CLEAN FUEL GRANT PROGRAM

2. Requirements

Clean Fuels Grant program funds may be made available to any grantee in a UZA that is designated as maintenance or nonattainment area for ozone or carbon monoxide as defined in the Clean Air Act. Eligible recipients include section 5307 Designated Recipients as well as recipients in small UZAs. The State in which a small UZA is located will act as the recipient of funds. Eligible projects include the purchase or lease of clean fuel buses, the construction or lease of clean fuel or electrical recharging facilities and related equipment for such buses, and construction or improvement of public transportation facilities to accommodate clean fuel buses.

3. Period of Availability

Funds designated for specific Clean Fuels Grant program projects are available for obligation for three fiscal years, which includes the year of availability plus two additional fiscal years. FY 2010 Clean Fuels funds not obligated in an FTA grant for eligible purposes by September 30, 2012, may be made available for other section 5308 projects during the next fiscal year.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Table 20 lists prior year carryover of \$56,812,150 for Clean Fuels Grant program projects allocated project funding in FY 2008 and FY 2009. This amount includes \$15,668,667 for FY 2008 and \$41,143,483 for FY 2009. The carryover amount for FY 2009 includes \$29,868,000 in unallocated funds.

E. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization

This program provides capital assistance for the maintenance, recapitalization, and modernization of existing fixed guideway systems. Funds are apportioned by a statutory formula to UZAs with fixed guideway systems that have been in operation for at least seven years. A "fixed guideway" refers to any transit service that uses exclusive or controlled rights-of-way or rails, entirely or in part. The term includes heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, monorail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, that portion of motor bus service operated on exclusive or controlled rights-of-way, and high-occupancy-vehicle (HOV) lanes. Eligible applicants are the public transit authorities in those urbanized areas to which the funds are apportioned. For more information about Fixed Guideway Modernization contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$689,431,000 to the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program. The total amount apportioned for the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program is \$682,536,690, after the deduction for oversight, as shown in the table below.

FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$689,431,000
Oversight Deduction	\$6,894,310
Total Apportioned	\$682,536,690

The FY 2010 Fixed Guideway Modernization Program apportionments to eligible areas are displayed in Table 8.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

The formula for allocating the Fixed Guideway Modernization funds contains seven tiers. The apportionment of funding under the first four tiers is based on amounts specified in law and NTD data used to apportion funds in FY 1997. Funding under the last three tiers is apportioned based on the latest available data on route miles and revenue vehicle miles on segments at least seven years old, as reported to the NTD. Section 5337(f) of title 49, U.S.C. provides for the inclusion of Morgantown, West Virginia (population 55,997) as an eligible UZA for purposes of apportioning fixed guideway modernization funds. Also, consistent to 49 U.S.C. 5336(b), FTA uses 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA under the Section 5309

Fixed Guideway Modernization formula.

FY 2010 Formula apportionments are based on data grantees provided to the NTD for the 2008 reporting year. Table 9 provides additional information and details on the formula. Dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program are displayed in Table 5. To replicate an area's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor, *i.e.*, route miles and revenue vehicle miles.

3. Program Requirements

Fixed Guideway Modernization funds must be used for capital projects to maintain, modernize, or improve fixed guideway systems. Eligible UZAs (those with a population of 200,000 or more) with fixed guideway systems that are at least seven years old are entitled to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. A threshold level of more than one mile of fixed guideway is required in order to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. Therefore, UZAs reporting one mile or less of fixed guideway mileage under the NTD are not included. However, funds apportioned to an urbanized area may be used on any fixed guideway segment in the UZA. Program guidance for Fixed Guideway Modernization is presently found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, Capital Facilities and Formula Grant Programs, dated November 1, 2008.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned in this notice under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program remain available to recipients to be obligated in a grant during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. FY 2010 Fixed Guideway Modernization funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2013, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program.

F. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Bus and Bus-Related Facilities

This program provides capital assistance for new and replacement buses, and related equipment and facilities. Funds are allocated on a discretionary basis. Eligible purposes are acquisition of buses for fleet and service expansion, bus maintenance and administrative facilities, transfer facilities, bus malls, transportation centers, intermodal terminals, park-andride stations, acquisition of replacement vehicles, bus rebuilds, bus preventive maintenance, passenger amenities such as passenger shelters and bus stop signs, accessory and miscellaneous equipment such as mobile radio units, supervisory vehicles, fare boxes, computers, and shop and garage equipment. Eligible applicants are State and local governmental authorities. Eligible subrecipients include other public agencies, private companies engaged in public transportation and private nonprofit organizations. For more information about Bus and Bus Related Facilities contact Juan Morrison, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$365,711,000 for the Bus and Bus Related Facilities program. After deduction of one percent for program management oversight, \$362,053,890 remains available.

BUS AND BUS RELATED FACILITIES

Total Appropriation	\$365,711,000
Oversight Deduction	-\$3,657,110
Total Apportioned	\$362,053,890

2. Requirements

Grants made under the Bus and Bus Related Facilities program must meet all eligibility requirements as outlined in Section 5309 unless otherwise specified in law.

Program guidance for Bus and Bus Related Facilities is found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, "Capital Investment Program Guidance and Application Instructions," (November 1, 2008).

3. Period of Availability

The FY 2010 Bus and Bus Related Facilities funds not obligated in a grant for eligible purposes as of September 30, 2012, may be made available for other bus and bus facility projects under 49 U.S.C. 5309.

4. Other Program or Allocation Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated balances for Bus and Bus-Related allocations in the amount of \$852,519,277 remain available for obligation in FY 2010. This includes \$242,431,083 in FY 2008 and \$610,088,195 for FY 2009. The prior year carryover amounts are displayed in Table 10. The carryover amount for FY 2009 includes \$114,095,771 in unallocated funds which may be awarded competitively under the Livability Bus Program Notice of Funding Availability published on December 8, 2009.

G. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—New Starts and Small Starts

The New and Small Starts program provides funds for construction of new fixed guideway systems, or extensions to existing fixed guideway systems, or corridor based bus systems. Eligible purposes for the New Starts program are light rail, rapid rail (heavy rail), commuter rail, monorail, automated fixed guideway system (such as a "people mover"), or a busway/high occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility, Bus Rapid Transit that is fixed guideway, or an extension of any of these. Eligible purposes for the Small Starts program are those mentioned for the New Starts program, as well as corridor based bus systems that do not operate on a fixed guideway but include elements such as substantial transit stations, signal priority or pre-emption, branding of vehicles, and service frequencies of 10 minutes during peak periods and 15 minutes during off peak periods for at least 14 hours per day.

Projects become candidates for funding under this program by successfully completing the appropriate steps in the major capital investment planning and project development process. Major new fixed guideway projects, or extensions to existing systems, financed with New Starts funds typically receive these funds through a full funding grant agreement (FFGA) that defines the scope of the project and specifies the total multi-year Federal commitment to the project. Projects funded with Small Starts funds typically receive these funds through a project construction grant agreement (PCGA) that defines the scope of the project and specifies the total multi-year Federal commitment to the project. However, Small Starts projects that request less than \$25 million in total Small Starts funding or whose request can be met with a single year appropriation or with existing appropriations are generally funded under a one-year capital grant rather than a PCGA.

As of FY 2007, up to \$200,000,000 each year is designated for "Small Starts" (Section 5309(e)) projects with a New Starts share of less than \$75,000,000 and a net project cost of less than \$250,000,000.

For more information about New Starts project development contact Elizabeth Day, Office of Planning and Environment, at (202) 366–4033, or for information about published allocations contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

The Appropriations Act, 2010, provides \$2,000,000,000 to New Starts. After a one percent oversight takedown, the total amount allocated for New Starts and Small Starts is \$1,980,000,000, as shown in the table below.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM (NEW STARTS AND SMALL STARTS)

Total Appropriation	\$2,000,000,000
Oversight (one percent)	- \$20,000,000
Total Available	\$1,980,000,000

2. Basis for Allocation

Congress included authorizations for specific New Starts projects with FFGA in SAFETEA–LU. Under the Appropriations Act, 2010, the one percent statutory project management oversight takedown has been applied. Funds allocated to specific projects are shown in Table 11.

3. Requirements

FTA has published a number of policy guidance documents related to the New Starts program since the passage of SAFETEA-LU. Grantees should reference the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov for the most current program guidance about project developments and management. Grant related guidance for New Starts is found in FTA Circular 9300.1B, "Capital Investment Program Guidance and Application Instructions," dated November 1, 2008; and FTA Circular 5200.1A, "Full Funding Grant Agreement Guidance," dated December 5,2002.

4. Period of Availability

New Starts funds remain available for three fiscal years (including the fiscal year the funds are made available or appropriated plus two additional years). FY 2010 funds remain available for obligation to identified projects through September 30, 2012. Funds may be made available for other section 5309 New Starts projects after the period of availability has expired.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated allocations (carryover) for New Starts in the amount of \$1,179,391,814 remain available for obligation in FY 2010. This amount includes \$138,969,867 in FY 2008, \$1,040,421,947 in FY 2009 unobligated allocations, and \$12,207 in unallocated FY 2009 funds, which will be allocated through the competitive Urban Circulator NOFA published on December 8, 2009. These unobligated amounts are displayed in Table 12.

H. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)

This program provides formula funding to States for capital projects to assist private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of the elderly and individuals with disabilities when the public transportation service provided in the area is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meet these needs. A State agency designated by the Governor administers the Section 5310 program. The State's responsibilities include: notifying eligible local entities of funding availability; developing project selection criteria; determining applicant eligibility; selecting projects for funding; and ensuring that all subrecipients comply with Federal requirements. Eligible nonprofit organizations or public bodies must apply directly to the designated State agency for assistance under this program. For more information about the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$55,229,000 to the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310). After deduction of 0.5 percent for oversight, and the addition of reapportioned prior year funds, \$54,952,855 remains available for allocation to the States.

ELDERLY AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$55,229,000
Oversight Deduction	\$276,145
Total Apportioned	\$54,952,855

The FY 2010 Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 13.

2. Basis for Apportionment

FTA allocates funds to the States by an administrative formula consisting of a \$125,000 floor for each State (\$50,000 for smaller territories) with the balance allocated based on 2000 Census population data for persons aged 65 and over and for persons with disabilities.

3. Requirements

Funds are available to support the capital costs of transportation services

for older adults and people with disabilities. Uniquely under this program, eligible capital costs include the acquisition of service. Seven specified States (Alaska, Louisiana, Minnesota, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, and Wisconsin) may use up to 33 percent of their apportionment for operating assistance under the terms of the SAFETEA–LU Section 3012(b) pilot program.

Capital assistance is provided on an 80 percent Federal, 20 percent local matching basis except that Section 5310(c) allows States eligible for a higher match under the sliding scale for FHWA programs to use that match ratio for Section 5310 capital projects. Operating assistance is 50 percent Federal, 50 percent local. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match. Revenue from service contracts may also be used as local match.

While the assistance is intended primarily for private non-profit organizations, public bodies approved by the State to coordinate services for the elderly and individuals with disabilities, or any public body that certifies to the State that there are no non-profit organizations in the area that are readily available to carry out the service, may receive these funds.

States may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the United Planning Work Program (UPWP) for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The State recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations to

subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Job Access Reverse Commute program and the New Freedom program also are required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The Section 5310 program is subject to the requirements of Section 5307 formula program to the extent the Secretary determines appropriate. Program guidance is found in FTA Circular 9070.1F, dated May 1, 2007. The circular is posted on the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has administratively established a three-year period of availability for Section 5310 funds. Funds allocated to States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program in this notice must be obligated by September 30, 2012. Any funding that remains unobligated as of that date will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

States may transfer Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects selected under the Section 5310 program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area, or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. A State that transfers Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 must certify that each project for which the funds are transferred has been coordinated with private nonprofit providers of services. FTA has established a scope code (641) in the TEAM grant system to track Section 5310 projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted, but not required. FTA expects primarily to award stand-alone Section 5310 grants to the State for any and all subrecipients.

6. Performance Measure

To support the evaluation of the program, FTA has established performance measures for the Section 5310 program, which should be submitted with the State's annual program of projects status report on October 31, 2010. States should submit performance measures on behalf of their subrecipients.

I. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)

This program provides formula funding to States and Indian Tribes for the purpose of supporting public transportation in areas with a population of less than 50,000. Funding may be used for capital, operating, State administration, and project administration expenses. Eligible subrecipients include State and local governmental authority, Indian Tribes, private non-profit organizations, and private operators of public transportation services, including intercity bus companies. Indian Tribes are also eligible direct recipients under Section 5311, both for funds apportioned to the States and for projects selected to be funded with funds set aside for a separate Tribal Transit Program.

For more information about the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$192,371,000 to the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311). The total amount apportioned for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is \$ \$211,640,513 after take-downs of two percent for the Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP), 0.5 percent for oversight, and \$6,206,000 for the Tribal Transit Program, and the addition of Section 5340 funding for Growing States, as shown in the table below.

NONURBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$192,371,000
Oversight Deduction	- \$961,855
Tribal Takedown	-\$6,206,000
RTAP Takedown	-\$3,847,000
Section 5340 Funds Added	\$30,284,368
Total Apportioned	\$211,640,513

The FY 2010 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 14.

2. Basis for Apportionments

FTA apportions the funds after takedown for oversight, the Tribal Transit Program, and RTAP according to a statutory formula. FTA apportions the first twenty percent to the States based on land area in nonurbanized areas with no state receiving more than 5 percent of the amount apportioned. FTA apportions the remaining eighty percent based on nonurbanized population of each State relative to the national nonurbanized population. FTA does not apportion Section 5311 funds to the Virgin Islands, which by a statutory exception are treated as an urbanized area for purposes of the Section 5307 formula program.

FTA is allocating \$191,409,145 to the States and territories for nonurbanized areas from the Growing States portion of Section 5340. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/ nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

The Nonurbanized Area Formula Program provides capital, operating and administrative assistance for public transit service in nonurbanized areas under 50,000 in population.

The Federal share for capital assistance is 80 percent and for operating assistance is 50 percent, except that States eligible for the sliding scale match under FHWA programs may use that match ratio for Section 5311 capital projects and 62.5 percent of the sliding scale capital match ratio for operating projects.

Each State must spend no less than 15 percent of its FY 2010 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionment for the development and support of intercity bus transportation, unless the State certifies, after consultation with affected intercity bus service providers, that the intercity bus service needs of the State are being adequately met. FTA also encourages consultation with other stakeholders, such as communities affected by loss of intercity service.

Each State prepares an annual program of projects, which must provide for fair and equitable distribution of funds within the States, including Indian reservations, and must provide for maximum feasible coordination with transportation services assisted by other Federal sources.

To retain eligibility for funding, recipients of Section 5311 funding must report data annually to the NTD. Additional information on NTD reporting is contained in paragraph 5 of this section, below.

Program guidance for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is found in FTA Circular 9040.1F, "Nonurbanized Area Formula Program Guidance and Grant Application Instructions," dated April 1, 2007. The circular is posted at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

It was administratively determined that funds apportioned to nonurbanized areas under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program during FY 2010 will remain available for obligation for two additional fiscal years after the year of apportionment. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. NTD Reporting. By law, FTA requires that each recipient under the Section 5311 program submit an annual report to the NTD containing information on capital investments, operations, and service provided with funds received under the Section 5311 program. Section 5311(b)(4), as amended by SAFETEA-LU, specifies that the report shall include information on total annual revenue, sources of revenue, total annual operating costs, total annual capital costs, fleet size and type, and related facilities, revenue vehicle miles, and ridership. State or Territorial DOT 5311 grant recipients must complete a one-page form of basic data for each 5311 subrecipient, unless the subrecipient is already providing a full report to the NTD as a Tribal Transit direct recipient or as an urbanized area reporter (without receiving a Nine or Fewer Vehicles Waiver). For the 2009 Report Year State or Territorial DOTs must report on behalf of any subrecipient receiving Section 5311 grants in 2009, or that continued to benefit in 2009 from capital assets purchased using Section 5311 grants. Tribal Transit direct recipients must report if they received an obligation or an outlay for a Section 5311 grant in 2009, or if they continued to benefit in 2009 from capital assets using Section 5311 Grants, unless the Tribe is already filing a full NTD Report as an urbanized area reporter or unless the Tribe only received \$50,000 or less in planning grants. The NTD Rural Reporting Manual contains detailed reporting

instructions and is posted on the NTD Web site, *http://www.ntdprogram.gov.*

b. Extension of Intercity Bus Pilot of In-Kind Match. Beginning in FY 2007, FTA implemented a two year pilot program of in-kind match for intercity bus service. The initial program was set to expire after FY 2008; however, FTA decided to extend the program through FY 2009. Through this notice FTA extends the In-Kind Match program through FY 2010. FTA published guidance on the in-kind match pilot in the Federal Register on February 28, 2007, as Appendix 1 of the Notice announcing the final revised circular 9040.1F, which is available at http:// www.fta.dot.gov.

J. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))

This program provides funding to assist in the design and implementation of training and technical assistance projects, research, and other support services tailored to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. For more information about Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$3,847,000 to RTAP (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(2)), as a two percent takedown from the funds appropriated for Section 5311. FTA has reserved 15 percent for the National RTAP program. A total of \$3,269,950 is available for allocations to the States, as shown in the table below.

RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Total Appropriation	\$3,847,000
National RTAP Takedown	\$577,050
Total Apportioned	\$3,269,950

Table 14 shows the FY 2010 RTAP allocations to the States.

2. Basis for Allocation

FTA allocates funds to the States by an administrative formula. First FTA allocates \$65,000 to each State (\$10,000 to territories), and then allocates the balance based on nonurbanized population in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

States may use the funds to undertake research, training, technical assistance, and other support services to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. These funds are to be used in conjunction with a State's administration of the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program, but also may support the rural components of the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs.

4. Period of Availability

FTA administratively established that funds apportioned to States under RTAP remain available for obligation two fiscal years following FY 2010. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for allocation among the States under the RTAP.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

The National RTAP project is administered by cooperative agreement and re-competed at five-year intervals. In FY 2008, FTA awarded the cooperative agreement to the Neponset Valley Transportation Management Association (NVTMA) located in Waltham, Massachusetts through a competitive process. The National RTAP projects are guided by a project review board that consists of managers of rural transit systems and State DOT RTAP programs. National RTAP resources also support the biennial TRB National Conference on Rural Public and Intercity Bus Transportation and other research and technical assistance projects of a national scope.

K. Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(c)(1))

FTA refers to this program as the Tribal Transit Program. It is funded as a takedown from funds appropriated for the Section 5311 program. Eligible direct recipients are Federally recognized Indian Tribes. The funds are to be allocated for grants to Indian Tribes for any purpose eligible under Section 5311, which includes capital, operating, planning, and administrative assistance for rural public transit services and rural intercity bus service. For more information about the Tribal Transit Program contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2010

Under CR 2010 the amount allocated to the program in FY 2010 is \$6,206,000, as authorized in Section 5311(c)(1)(C).

2. Basis for Allocation

Based on procedures developed in consultation with the Tribes, FTA will issue a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) soliciting applications for FY 2010 funds. Projects funded under the Tribal Transit Program are not required to have local match.

3. Requirements

FTA developed streamlined program requirements based on statutory authority allowing the Secretary to determine the terms and conditions appropriate to the program. These conditions are contained in the annual NOFA. Beginning with grants awarded in FY 2009, the grant agreement has incorporated the statement of warranty for labor protective arrangements, and tribal grants will be submitted to the Department of Labor (DOL) for information upon FTA approval.

4. Period of Availability

Funds remain available for three fiscal years, which includes the fiscal year the funds were apportioned or appropriated plus two additional years. Funds appropriated in FY 2010 will remain available for obligation to the tribes competitively selected to receive the funds through September 30, 2012. Any funds that remain unobligated after September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for reallocation among the Tribes.

5. Other Program Changes and Highlights

The funds set aside for the Tribal Transit Program are not meant to replace or reduce funds that Indian Tribes receive from states through the Section 5311 program but are to be used to enhance public transportation on Indian reservations and transit serving tribal communities. Funds allocated to Tribes by the States may be included in the State's Section 5311 application or awarded by FTA in a grant directly to the Tribe. We encourage Tribes intending to apply to FTA as direct recipients to contact the appropriate FTA regional office at the earliest opportunity.

Technical assistance for Tribes may be available from the State DOT using the State's allocation of RTAP or funds available for State administration under Section 5311, from the Tribal Transportation Assistance Program (TTAP) Centers supported by FHWA, and from the Community Transportation Association of America under a program funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). The National RTAP will also be developing new resources for Tribal Transit.

L. National Research Programs (49 U.S.C. 5314)

FTA's National Research Programs (NRPs) include the National Research and Technology Program (NRTP), the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP), the National Transit Institute (NTI), and the University Transportation Centers Program (UTC).

Through funding under these programs, FTA seeks to deliver solutions that improve public transportation. FTA's Strategic Research Goals are to provide transit research leadership, increase transit ridership, improve capital and operating efficiencies, improve safety and emergency preparedness, and to protect the environment and promote energy independence. For more information contact Linda Wolfe, Office of Research, Demonstration and Innovation, at (202) 366–8511.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2010

The Appropriations Act 2010 provides \$65,670,000 for the Research and University Research Centers Programs. Of this amount \$10,000,000 is allocated for TCRP, \$4,300,000 for NTI, \$7,000,000 for the UTC, and \$44,370,000 for NRTP. Within the NRTP-\$4,000,000 is allocated for specific activities under 49 U.S.C. 5338(d). The Appropriations Act, 2010 also provides \$1,500,000 for specific projects and \$5,000,000 for asset management activities. All research and research and development projects, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, are subject to a 2.6% reduction for the Small Business Innovative Research Program (SBIR). A project allocation table with the entire year's funding will be published in a subsequent notice.

2. Program Requirements

Application Instructions and Program Management Guidelines are set forth in FTA Circular 6100.1C published on May 2, 2003 and available at *www.fta.dot.gov.* Research projects must support FTA's Strategic Research Goals and meet the Office of Management and Budget's Research and Development Investment Criteria. All research recipients are required to work with FTA to develop approved Statements of Work and plans to evaluate research results before award.

Eligible activities under the NRTP include research, development, demonstration and deployment projects as described in 49 U.S.C. 5312(a); Joint Partnership projects for deployment of innovation as described in 49 U.S.C. 5312(b); International Mass Transportation Projects as described in 49 U.S.C. 5312(c); and, human resource programs as defined by 49 U.S.C. 5322. Unless otherwise specified in law, all projects must meet one of these eligibility requirements.

Problem Statements for TCRP can be submitted on TCRP's Web site: http://

www.tcrponline.org. Information about NTI courses can be found at http:// www.ntionline.com. UTC funds are transferred to the Research and Innovative Technology Administration to make awards.

3. Period of Availability

Funds are available until expended.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Funds not designated by Congress for specific projects and activities will be programmed by FTA based on national priorities. Opportunities are posted in *http://www.grants.gov* under Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 20.514.

M. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)

The Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program provides formula funding to States and Designated Recipients to support the development and maintenance of job access projects designed to transport welfare recipients and low-income individuals to and from jobs and activities related to their employment, and for reverse commute projects designed to transport residents of UZAs and other than urbanized areas to suburban employment opportunities. For more information about the JARC program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2010

CR 2010 provides \$68,054,000 for the JARC Program. The total amount apportioned by formula is shown in the table below.

JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM

Total Apportioned	\$68,054,000

Table 16 shows the FY 2010 JARC apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 199,999 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of low income individuals residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census for individuals with incomes below 150 percent of the poverty level. FTA publishes

apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of JARC funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a percentage split agreed upon locally, and conduct separate competitions.

States may transfer funds between the small UZA and the nonurbanized apportionments, if all of the objectives of JARC are met in the size area the funds are taken from. States may also use funds apportioned to the small UZA and nonurbanized area apportionments for projects anywhere in the State (including large UZAs) if the State has established a statewide program for meeting the objectives of JARC. A State that is planning to transfer funds under either of these provisions should submit a request to the FTA regional office. FTA will assign new accounting codes to the funds before obligating them in a grant.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal JARC program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the planning, capital, and operating costs of transportation services that are eligible for funding under the program. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals to address unmet transportation needs, and to provide reverse commute services. The transportation services may be provided by public, non-profit, or private-for-profit operators. The Federal share is 80 percent of capital and planning expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used for local/State match for

funds provided under Section 5316, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment for administration, planning, and to provide technical assistance. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning in urbanized areas must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide **Transportation Improvement Program** (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program (Section 5310) and the New Freedom program (Section 5317) also are required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit-human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs. The goal of the coordinated planning process is not to be an exhaustive document, but to serve as a tool for planning and implementing beneficial projects. The level of effort required to develop the plan will vary among communities based on factors such as the availability of resources. FTA does not approve coordinated plans.

The JARC program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, including the requirement for certification of labor protections. JARC program requirements are published in FTA Circular 9050.1, dated April 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for JARC, New Freedom, and the Section 5310 program, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2010 funding is available for obligation through FY 2012. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2012 will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs under the JARC program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. Carryover Earmarks. Table 17 lists prior year carryover of \$5,215,394 for JARC projects designated by Congress in FYs 2002–2005. JARC earmarks carried over from TEA-21 are subject to the terms and conditions under which they were originally appropriated, including the requirement for a 50 percent local share for both capital and operating assistance. All projects should be in a regional JARC Plan as required under TEA-21 or in the new local coordinated plan required by the new formula JARC program. FTA will award a grant for a designated project upon receipt of a complete application, but can honor changes to the original designation only if so directed by the Appropriations Committee chairs. Grantees intending to use their remaining discretionary JARC funds should obligate funds before September 30, 2010.

b. *Designated Recipient*. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for JARC funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for JARC projects.

c. Transfers to Section 5307 or Section 5311. States may transfer JARC funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the JARC program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (646) to track JARC projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. All activities within a Section 5307 or Section 5311 grant application that are

funded with JARC resources should be listed under the 646–00 scope code. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA also will award stand-alone JARC grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. To track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine JARC funds with Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine JARC with New Freedom funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

N. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)

SAFETEA-LU established the New Freedom Program under 49 U.S.C. 5317. The program purpose is to provide new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives beyond those currently required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 *et seq.*) that assist individuals with disabilities with transportation, including transportation to and from jobs and employment support services. For more information about the New Freedom program contact Gil Williams, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2010

CR 2010 provides \$38,267,000 for the New Freedom Program. The entire amount is apportioned by formula, as shown in the table below.

NEW FREEDOM PROGRAM

Total Apportioned	\$38,267,000

Table 18 shows the FY 2010 New Freedom apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 199,999 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of persons with disabilities over the age of five residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census. FTA publishes apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of New Freedom funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a percentage split agreed on locally and conduct separate competitions.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal New Freedom program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the capital and operating costs of new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives that are beyond those required by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match for capital funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from contract services may be used as local match.

Funding is available for transportation services provided by public, non-profit, or private-for-profit operators. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting persons with disabilities to address unmet transportation needs. Eligible public transportation services and public transportation alternatives funded under the New Freedom program must be both new and beyond the ADA. (In FY 2007, FTA published interim guidance holding Designated Recipients harmless for project selections conducted in good faith based on FTA's earlier preliminary determination that eligible services could be either new or beyond the ADA. Grants awarded in FY 2010 are now subject to the requirements of the final guidance which was published April 1, 2007).

In a notice of policy change published on April 29, 2009, (Federal Register Volume 74 Number 81, April 29, 2009) FTA expanded the type of projects it considers to be "beyond the ADA" and thus increase the types of projects eligible for funding under the New Freedom program. Under interpretation published in the Federal Register, new and expanded fixed route and demand responsive transit service planned for and designed to meet the needs of individuals with disabilities are eligible projects.

The Federal share is 80 percent of capital expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT) may be used for local/state match for funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Section 5310 program and the JARC program are also required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transithuman service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The New Freedom program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, but certification of labor protections is not required. New Freedom Program requirements are published in FTA Circular 9045.1, which was effective May 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at *http://www.fta.dot.gov.*

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for New Freedom, JARC, and the Section 5310 program funds, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2010 funding is available for obligation through FY 2012. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2012 will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs to be used for New Freedom program purposes.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. *Designated Recipient*. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for New Freedom funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for New Freedom projects.

b. Transfers to Section 5307 or 5311. States may transfer New Freedom funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the New Freedom program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (647) to track New Freedom projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. All activities within a Section 5307 or Section 5311 grant application that are funded with New Freedom resources should be listed under the 647-00 scope code. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA also will award standalone New Freedom Program grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. In order to track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine New Freedom funds with Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine New Freedom with JARC funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

O. Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (49 U.S.C. 5320)

The Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (Transit in Parks), formally the Alternative Transportation in Parks and Public Lands (ATPPL) Program, is administered by FTA in partnership with the Department of the Interior (DOI) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service. The purpose of the program is to enhance the protection of national parks and Federal lands, and increase the enjoyment of those visiting them. The Program funds capital and planning expenses for alternative transportation systems such as buses and trams in Federally-managed parks and public lands. Federal land management agencies and State, tribal and local governments acting with the consent of a Federal land management agency are eligible to apply.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 makes \$11,129,000 available for the program in FY 2010. After deduction of 0.5 percent for program management oversight, \$11,073,355 remains available for project allocations. Up to ten percent of the funds may be reserved for planning, research, and technical assistance. FTA will publish a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in the **Federal Register** inviting applications for projects to be funded in FY 2010.

PAUL S. SARBANES TRANSIT IN PARKS

Total Appropriation	\$11,129,000
Oversight (0.5 percent)	-\$55,645
Total Available	\$11,073,355

2. Program Requirements

Projects are competitively selected based on criteria specified in the Notice of Funding Availability. The terms and conditions applicable to the program are also specified in the NOFA. Projects must conserve natural, historical, and cultural resources, reduce congestion and pollution, and improve visitor mobility and accessibility. By statute, no more than 25 percent of the amount provided may be allocated for any one project.

3. Period of Availability

The funds under the Transit in Parks Program remain available until expended.

P. Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339)

The Alternatives Analysis Program provides grants to States, authorities of the States, metropolitan planning organizations, and local government authorities to develop studies as part of the transportation planning process. These studies include an assessment of a wide range of public transportation alternatives designed to address a transportation problem in a corridor or subarea; sufficient information to enable the Secretary to make the findings of project justification and local financial commitment required under the Major Capital Investment Program; the selection of a locally preferred alternative; and the adoption of the locally preferred alternative as part of the state or regional long-range transportation plan. For more information about this program contact Sherry Riklin, Office of Planning and Environment, at (202) 366–4033.

1. FY 2010 Funding Availability

CR 2010 provides \$10,343,000 to the Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339). FY 2010 available project allocations are shown in Table 19.

ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS PROGRAM

Total Apportioned	 \$10,343,000
i etai / ippertienea	 \$. e,e . e,e e

2. Requirements

Alternatives Analysis program funds may be made available to States, authorities of the States, metropolitan planning organizations, and local governmental authorities. The Government's share of the cost of an activity funded may not exceed 80 percent of the cost of the activity. The funds will be awarded as separate Section 5339 grants. The grant requirements will be comparable to those for Section 5309 grants. Eligible projects include planning and corridor studies, which lay the foundation for the adoption of locally preferred alternatives within the fiscally constrained Metropolitan Transportation Plan for that area. Funds awarded under the Alternatives Analysis Program must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area. Pre-award authority for Section 5339 funds applies to projects only after Congress appropriates funds for these projects and the allocations are published in an FTA notice of apportionments and allocations. For more information on preaward authority see Section V of this notice.

Unless otherwise specified in law, grants made under the Alternatives Analysis program must meet all other eligibility requirements as outlined in Section 5309.

3. Period of Availability

By statute, funds designated for specific Alternatives Analysis Program projects remain available for obligation for three fiscal years, which includes the year of allocation plus two additional fiscal years. FY 2010 Alternatives Analysis funds not obligated in an FTA grant for eligible purposes by September 30, 2012 will be redistributed.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Table 20 lists prior year carryover of \$32,600,250 for Alternatives Analysis projects allocated project funding in FY 2008 and FY 2009. This amount includes \$10,094,000 for FY 2008 and \$22,506,250 for FY 2009. The carryover amount for FY 2009 includes \$9,811,875 in unallocated funds. Decisions regarding the distribution of unallocated Section 5339 funding will be made by FTA at a later date.

Q. Growing States and High Density States Formula Factors (49 U.S.C. 5340)

CR 2010 makes \$192,371,000 available for apportionment in accordance with the formula factors prescribed for Growing States and High Density States set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5340. Fifty percent of this amount (\$96,185,000) is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the Growing State formula factors. The other 50 percent (\$96,185,000) is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the High Density States formula factors.

The term "State" is defined only to mean the 50 States. For the Growing State portion of the program, funds are allocated based on the population forecasts for fifteen years after the date of that census. Forecasts are based on the trend between the most recent decennial census and Census Bureau population estimates for the most current year. Census population estimates as of December 27, 2007 were used in the FY 2010 apportionments. Funds allocated to the States are then sub-allocated to urbanized and nonurbanized areas based on forecast population, where available. If forecasted population data at the urbanized level is not available, as is currently the case, funds are allocated to current urbanized and non-urbanized areas on the basis of current population in the 2000 Census. Funds allocated to urbanized areas are included in their Section 5307 apportionment. Funds allocated for non-urbanized areas are included in the states' Section 5311 apportionments.

R. Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (49 U.S.C. 5310 Note)

The Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility (OTRB) Program authorizes FTA to make grants to operators of over-theroad buses to help finance the incremental capital and training costs of complying with the DOT over-the-road bus accessibility final rule, 49 CFR part 37, published on September 28, 1998 (63 FR 51670). FTA conducts a national solicitation of applications, and grantees are selected on a competitive basis. For more information about the OTRB program contact Blenda Younger, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2010

CR 2010 provides \$3,641,000 for the Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility (OTRB) Program, which is the total amount allocable for OTRB, as shown in the table below.

OVER-THE-ROAD BUS ACCESSIBILITY PROGRAM

Total Apportioned	\$3,641,000
-------------------	-------------

Of this amount, \$2,730,750 is allocable to providers of intercity fixedroute service, and \$910,250 to other providers of over-the-road bus services, including local fixed-route service, commuter service, and charter and tour service.

2. Program Requirements

Projects are competitively selected. The Federal share of the project is 90 percent of net project cost. Program guidance is provided in the Federal **Register** notice soliciting applications. Assistance under the program is available to private operators of overthe-road buses that are used substantially or exclusively in intercity, fixed route and over-the-road bus service. Assistance is also available to private operators of over-the-road buses in other services, such as charter, tour, and commuter service. Capital projects eligible for funding include projects to add lifts and other accessibility components to new vehicle purchases and to purchase lifts to retrofit existing vehicles. Eligible training costs include developing training materials or providing training for local providers of over-the-road bus services. A comprehensive listing of program requirements is published annually in the OTRB Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA).

3. Period of Availability

FTA has observed that some private operators selected to receive funding under this program have not acted promptly to obligate the funds in a grant and request reimbursement for expenditures. While the program does not have a statutory period of availability, in the FY 2008 Apportionment Notice, FTA published its intention to limit the period of availability to a selected operator to three years, which includes the year of allocation plus two additional years. Accordingly, funds for projects selected in FY 2006 or prior years are no longer available for obligation in a grant and will be reallocated in the competition for FY 2009 funds. FY 2007 and FY 2008 funds were allocated on August 22, 2008 and will be reallocated if not obligated in a grant by September 30, 2010. Funds for project selections announced in FY 2010 will be reallocated if not obligated in a grant by September 30, 2012.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

FTA will publish a NOFA soliciting applications for FY 2010 in a subsequent notice once the full funding level is made available to the program. The notice will be available at *http:// www.fta.dot.gov/laws/ leg_reg_federal_register.html.*

S. Transit Investments for Greenhouse Gas and Energy Reduction

The Appropriations Act 2010 provides \$75,000,000 to continue the Transit Investments for Greenhouse Gas and Energy Reduction (TIGGER) program. TIGGER, initially funded under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, provides grants to public transit agencies for capital investments that will reduce the energy consumption or greenhouse gas emissions of their public transportation systems. As required by the Appropriations Act 2010, FTA will publish a Notice of Funding Availability in the Federal Register on or after March 18, 2010, announcing program requirements and soliciting project proposals. FTA will announce project selections on or after September 15, 2010.

T. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Grants

Section 601 of the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act of 2008 provides \$150,000,000 in funding for grants to the Washington Metropolitan Transit Authority. WMATA, See, Public Law 110-432, Division B, Title VI., Grants may be provided for capital and preventive maintenance expenditures for WMATA after it has been determined that WMATA has placed the highest priority on investments that will improve the safety of the system, including but not limited to fixing the track signal system, replacing 1000 series cars, installing guarded turnouts, buying equipment for wayside worker protection, and installing rollback protection on cars that are not equipped with the safety feature. FTA will communicate further

program requirements directly to WMATA.

V. FTA Policy and Procedures for FY 2010 Grants

A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority To Incur Project Costs

1. Caution to New Grantees and Grantees Using Innovative Financing

While we provide pre-award authority to incur expenses before grant award for many projects, we recommend that firsttime grant recipients not utilize this automatic pre-award authority and wait until the grant is actually awarded by FTA before incurring costs. As a new grantee, it is easy to misunderstand preaward authority conditions and not be aware of all of the applicable FTA requirements that must be met in order to be reimbursed for project expenditures incurred in advance of grant award. FTA programs have specific statutory requirements that are often different from those for other Federal grant programs with which new grantees may be familiar. If funds are expended for an ineligible project or activity, FTA will be unable to reimburse the project sponsor and, in certain cases, the entire project may be rendered ineligible for FTA assistance.

Grantees proposing to use innovative financing techniques or capital leasing are required to consult with the applicable FTA Regional Office (see Appendix A) before entering into the financial agreement—especially where the grantee expects to use Federal funds for debt service or capital lease payments. Consulting with FTA before entering into the agreement allows FTA to advise the project sponsor of any applicable Federal regulations, such as the Capital Leasing Regulation, and will minimize the risk of the costs being ineligible for reimbursement at a later date.

2. Policy

FTA provides pre-award authority to incur expenses before grant award for certain program areas described below. This pre-award authority allows grantees to incur certain project costs before grant approval and retain the eligibility of those costs for subsequent reimbursement after grant approval. The grantee assumes all risk and is responsible for ensuring that all conditions are met to retain eligibility. This pre-award spending authority permits a grantee to incur costs on an eligible transit capital, operating, planning, or administrative project without prejudice to possible future Federal participation in the cost of the project. In the Federal Register Notice

of November 30, 2006, FTA extended pre-award authority for capital assistance under all formula programs through FY 2009, the duration of SAFETEA-LU. In the FY 2009 Apportionment notice, FTA extended pre-award authority for formula funds through FY 2010. In this notice, FTA extends pre-award authority through FY 2011 for capital assistance under all formula programs. FTA provides preaward authority for planning and operating assistance under the formula programs without regard to the period of the authorization. In addition, we extend pre-award authority for certain discretionary programs based on the annual Appropriations Act each year. All pre-award authority is subject to conditions and triggers stated below:

a. FTA does not impose additional conditions on pre-award authority for operating, planning, or administrative assistance under the formula grant programs. Grantees may be reimbursed for expenses incurred before grant award so long as funds have been expended in accordance with all Federal requirements. In addition to cross-cutting Federal grant requirements, program specific requirements must be met. For example, a planning project must have been included in a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP); a New Freedom operating assistance project or a JARC planning or operating project must have been derived from a coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan (coordinated plan) and competitively selected by the Designated Recipient before incurring expenses; expenditure on State Administration expenses under State Administered programs must be consistent with the State Management Plan. Designated Recipients for JARC and New Freedom have pre-award authority for the ten percent of the apportionment they may use for program administration, if the use is consistent with their Program Management Plan.

b. Pre-Award authority for Alternatives Analysis planning projects under 49 U.S.C. 5339 is triggered by the publication of the allocation in FTA's **Federal Register** Notice of Apportionments and Allocations following the annual Appropriations Act, or announcement of additional discretionary allocations. The projects must be included in the UPWP of the MPO for that metropolitan area.

c. Pre-award authority for design and environmental work on a capital project is triggered by the authorization of formula funds, or the appropriation of funds for a discretionary project.

d. Following authorization of formula funds or appropriation and publication of discretionary projects, pre-award authority for capital project implementation activities, such as property acquisition, demolition, construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials, may be exercised only after FTA concurs that all applicable environmental requirements have been satisfied, including those for actions classified as normally requiring preparation of environmental impact statements, environmental assessments, and categorical exclusions found in 23 CFR 771.117(d). Other conditions and requirements set forth in paragraph 3, below, must also be satisfied. Before exercising pre-award authority, grantees must comply with the conditions and Federal requirements outlined in paragraph 3 below. Failure to do so will render an otherwise eligible project ineligible for FTA financial assistance. Capital projects under the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs must comply with specific program requirements, including coordinated planning and competitive selection. In addition, before incurring costs, grantees are strongly encouraged to consult with the appropriate FTA regional office regarding the eligibility of the project for future FTA funds and the applicability of the conditions and Federal requirements.

e. As a general rule, pre-award authority applies to the Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities, the Clean Fuels Bus program, high priority project designations, and any other transit discretionary projects designated in SAFETEA-LU only AFTER funds have been appropriated. Pre-award authority is currently extended for FY 2008 and FY 2009 discretionary project funding and to discretionary allocations extended or reprogrammed under the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act of 2008, as of June 6, 2008. For Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities, Clean Fuels Program, or other transit capital discretionary projects such as those designated in an annual Appropriations Act, the date that costs may be incurred is: (1) For design and environmental review, the appropriations bill which funds the project was enacted; and (2) for property acquisition, demolition, construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials, the date that FTA approves the document (ROD, FONSI, or CE determination) that completes the environmental review process required by the National

Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations. FTA introduced this new trigger for preaward authority in FY 2006 in recognition of the growing prevalence of new grantees unfamiliar with Federal and FTA requirements to ensure FTA's continued ability to comply with NEPA and related environmental laws. Because FTA does not sign a final NEPA document until MPO and statewide planning requirements (including air quality conformity requirements, if applicable) have been satisfied, this new trigger for pre-award will ensure compliance with both planning and environmental requirements before irreversible action by the grantee.

f. In previous notices, FTA extended pre-award authority to Section 330 projects referenced in the DOT Appropriation Act, 2002, and the **Consolidated Appropriations** Resolution, 2003 and to those surface transportation projects commonly referred to as Section 115 projects administered by FTA, for which amounts were provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Section 117 projects in the 2005 Appropriations Act, and Section 112 of the 2006 Appropriations Act that are to be administered by FTA. FTA, in the FY 2008 Apportionment Notice, extended pre-award authority to high priority projects in SAFETEA-LU, as of the date they were transferred or allotted to FTA for administration. The same conditions described for bus projects apply to these projects. We strongly encourage any prospective applicant that does not have a previous relationship with FTA to review Federal grant requirements with the FTA regional office before incurring costs

g. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5309 Capital Investment New Starts funds. Specific instances of pre-award authority for Capital Investment New Starts projects are described in paragraph 4 below. Preaward authority does not apply to Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities or Clean Fuels projects authorized for funding beyond this fiscal year. Before an applicant may incur costs for Capital Investment New Starts projects, Bus and Bus-Related Facilities projects, or any other projects not yet published in a notice of apportionments and allocations, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA regional office, as described below.

h. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5314 National Research Programs. Before an applicant may incur costs for National Research Programs, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA headquarters office. Information about LONP procedures may be obtained from the appropriate headquarters office.

3. Conditions

The conditions under which preaward authority may be utilized are specified below:

a. Pre-award authority is not a legal or implied commitment that the subject project will be approved for FTA assistance or that FTA will obligate Federal funds. Furthermore, it is not a legal or implied commitment that all items undertaken by the applicant will be eligible for inclusion in the project.

b. All FTA statutory, procedural, and contractual requirements must be met.

c. No action will be taken by the grantee that prejudices the legal and administrative findings that the Federal Transit Administrator must make in order to approve a project.

d. Local funds expended by the grantee pursuant to and after the date of the pre-award authority will be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement if FTA later makes a grant or grant amendment for the project. Local funds expended by the grantee before the date of the pre-award authority will not be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement. Furthermore, the expenditure of local funds on activities such as land acquisition, demolition, or construction before the date of pre-award authority for those activities (i.e., the completion of the NEPA process) would compromise FTA's ability to comply with Federal environmental laws and may render the project ineligible for FTA funding.

e. The Federal amount of any future FTA assistance awarded to the grantee for the project will be determined on the basis of the overall scope of activities and the prevailing statutory provisions with respect to the Federal/local match ratio at the time the funds are obligated.

f. For funds to which the pre-award authority applies, the authority expires with the lapsing of the fiscal year funds.

g. When a grant for the project is subsequently awarded, the Financial Status Report, in TEAM–Web, must indicate the use of pre-award authority.

h. Environmental, Planning, and Other Federal Requirements. All Federal grant requirements must be met at the appropriate time for the project to remain eligible for Federal funding. The growth of the Federal transit program has resulted in a growing number of inexperienced grantees who make compliance with Federal planning and environmental laws increasingly challenging. FTA has therefore modified its approach to preaward authority to use the completion of the NEPA process, which has as a prerequisite the completion of planning and air quality requirements, as the trigger for pre-award authority for all activities except design and environmental review.

i. The requirement that a project be included in a locally adopted metropolitan transportation plan, the metropolitan transportation improvement program and Federallyapproved statewide transportation improvement program (23 CFR part 450) must be satisfied before the grantee may advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design with non-Federal funds under pre-award authority. If the project is located within an EPA-designated non-attainment area for air quality, the conformity requirements of the Clean Air Act, 40 CFR part 93, must also be met before the project may be advanced into implementation-related activities under pre-award authority. Compliance with NEPA and other environmental laws and executive orders (e.g., protection of parklands, wetlands, and historic properties) must be completed before State or local funds are spent on implementation activities, such as site preparation, construction, and acquisition, for a project that is expected to be subsequently funded with FTA funds. The grantee may not advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design before FTA has determined the project to be a categorical exclusion, or has issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) or an environmental Record of Decision (ROD), in accordance with FTA environmental regulations, 23 CFR part 771. For planning projects, the project must be included in a locallyapproved Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that has been coordinated with the State.

j. In addition, Federal procurement procedures, as well as the whole range of applicable Federal requirements (*e.g.*, Buy America, Davis-Bacon Act, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise) must be followed for projects in which Federal funding will be sought in the future. Failure to follow any such requirements could make the project ineligible for Federal funding. In short, this increased administrative flexibility requires a grantee to make certain that no Federal requirements are circumvented through the use of preaward authority. If a grantee has questions or concerns regarding the environmental requirements, or any other Federal requirements that must be met before incurring costs, it should contact the appropriate regional office.

4. Pre-Award Authority for New Starts Projects

a. Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Final Design (FD). Projects proposed for Section 5309 New Starts funds are required to follow a Federally defined New Starts project development process. This New Starts process includes, among other things, FTA approval of the entry of the project into PE and into FD. In accordance with Section 5309(d), FTA considers the merits of the project, the strength of its financial plan, and its readiness to enter the next phase in deciding whether or not to approve entry into PE or FD. Upon FTA approval to enter PE, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for PE activities. Upon completion of NEPA, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for utility relocation, as well as real property acquisition and vehicle purchases, which are further addressed below. Upon FTA approval to enter FD, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for FD activities, demolition, and non-construction activities such as procurement of long-lead time items or items for which market conditions play a significant role in the acquisition price. This includes, but is not limited to procurement of rails, ties, and other specialized equipment, and commodities. Please contact the FTA Regional Office for a determination of activities not listed here, but which meet the intent described above. Upon FTA approval to enter FD, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for FD activities. The pre-award authority for each phase is automatic upon FTA's signing of a letter to the project sponsor approving entry into that phase. PE and FD are defined in the New Starts regulation entitled Major Capital Investment Projects, found at 49 CFR part 611.

b. Real Property Acquisition Activities and Vehicle Purchases. FTA extends automatic pre-award authority for the acquisition of real property, real property rights and acquisition of vehicles for a New Starts project upon completion of the NEPA process for that project. The NEPA process is completed when FTA signs an environmental Record of Decision (ROD) or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or makes a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determination. With the limitations and caveats described below, real estate acquisition and vehicle purchases for a New Starts project may commence, at the project sponsor's risk, upon completion of the NEPA process.

For FTA-assisted projects, any acquisition of real property or real property rights must be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (URA) and its implementing regulations, 49 CFR part 24. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred: (i) to acquire real property and real property rights in accordance with the URA regulation, and (ii) to provide relocation assistance in accordance with the URA regulation. This pre-award authority is limited to the acquisition of real property and real property rights that are explicitly identified in the final environmental impact statement (FEIS), environmental assessment (EA), or CE document, as needed for the selected alternative that is the subject of the FTA-signed ROD or FONSI, or CE determination. This pre-award authority regarding property acquisition that is granted at the completion of NEPA does not cover site preparation, demolition, or any other activity that is not strictly necessary to comply with the URA, with one exception. That exception is when a building that has been acquired, has been emptied of its occupants, and awaits demolition poses a potential firesafety hazard or other hazard to the community in which it is located, or is susceptible to reoccupation by vagrants. Demolition of the building is also covered by this pre-award authority upon FTA's written agreement that the adverse condition exists.

Pre-award authority for property acquisition is also provided when FTA makes a CE determination for a protective buy or hardship acquisition in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(d)(12), and when FTA makes a CE determination for the acquisition of a pre-existing railroad right-of-way in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5324(c). When a tiered environmental review in accordance with 23 CFR 771.111(g) is being used, pre-award authority is NOT provided upon completion of the firsttier environmental document except when the Tier-1 ROD or FONSI signed by FTA explicitly provides such preaward authority for a particular identified acquisition.

Project sponsors should use preaward authority for real property acquisition relocation assistance, and

vehicle purchases very carefully, with a clear understanding that it does not constitute a funding commitment by FTA. FTA provides pre-award authority upon completion of the NEPA process for real property acquisition and relocation assistance to maximize the time available to project sponsors to move people out of their homes and places of business, in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Act, but also with maximum sensitivity to the plight of the people so affected. FTA provides pre-award authority upon the completion of the NEPA process for vehicles purchases in recognition of the long-lead time and complexity of this activity as well as its relationship to the "critical path" project schedule. FTA cautions grantees that do not currently operate the type of vehicle proposed in the New Starts project about exercising this pre-award authority and encourages these sponsors to wait until later in the project development process when project plans are more fully developed and Federal support for the project is more certain. FTA reminds project sponsors that the procurement of vehicles must comply with all Federal requirements including, but not limited to, competitive procurement practices, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and Buy America. FTA encourages project sponsors to discuss the procurement of vehicles with FTA in regards to Federal requirements before exercising preaward authority.

Although FTA provides pre-award authority for property acquisition and vehicle purchases upon completion of the NEPA process, FTA will not make a grant to reimburse the sponsor for real estate activities conducted under preaward authority until the project has been approved into FD. FTA will only reimburse the sponsor for vehicle purchases through an executed Full Funding Grant Agreement. This is to ensure that Federal funds are not risked on a project whose advancement beyond PE into FD and construction is still not vet assured.

c. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Activities. NEPA requires that major projects proposed for FTA funding assistance be subjected to a public and interagency review of the need for the project, its environmental and community impacts, and alternatives to avoid and reduce adverse impacts. Projects of more limited scope also need a level of environmental review, either to support an FTA finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or to demonstrate that the action is categorically excluded from the more rigorous level of NEPA review.

FTA's regulation titled "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures," at 23 CFR part 771 states that the costs incurred by a grant applicant for the preparation of environmental documents requested by FTA are eligible for FTA financial assistance (23 CFR 771.105(e)). Accordingly, FTA extends pre-award authority for costs incurred to comply with NEPA regulations and to conduct NEPA-related activities for a proposed New Starts or Small Starts project, effective as of the date of the Federal approval of the relevant STIP or STIP amendment that includes the project or any phase of the project. NEPA-related activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, and biological assessments. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred to conduct the NEPA process, and to prepare environmental, historic preservation and related documents. It does not cover PE activities beyond those necessary for NEPA compliance.

For many FTA programs, costs incurred by a grant applicant exercising pre-award authority in the preparation of environmental documents required by FTA are eligible for FTA reimbursement (See also 23 CFR 771.105(e)). FTA assistance for environmental documents for New Starts and Small Starts projects, however, is subject to certain restrictions. Under SAFETEA-LU, Section 5309 New Starts funds cannot be used for any activity, including a NEPA-related activity that occurs before the approval of a New Starts project into PE or a Small Starts project into Project Development (PD). Section 5339 (Alternatives analysis program), Section 5307 (Urbanized Area Formula Program) and flexible highway funds are available for NEPA work conducted before PE approval (for New Starts) or PD approval (for Small Starts). Section 5309 New Starts funds, however, as well as Section 5307 (Urban Formula program) and flexible highway funds, can be used for NEPA work conducted after PE approval (for New Starts) or PD approval (for Small Starts). NEPArelated activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, and biological assessments. As with any pre-award authority, FTA reimbursement for costs incurred is not guaranteed.

d. Other New Starts Activities Requiring Letter of No Prejudice (LONP). Except as discussed in paragraphs a through c above, a grant applicant must obtain a written LONP from FTA before incurring costs for any activity expected to be funded by New Start funds not yet awarded. To obtain an LONP, an applicant must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA regional office, as described in B below.

5. Pre-Award Authority for Small Starts

When FTA issues a Project Development approval letter for a Small Starts project, FTA grants pre-award authority for the engineering and design activities necessary to complete NEPA. Upon FTA's issuance of a Record of Decision (ROD), a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determination, pre-award authority is granted to incur costs for all other project engineering activities including right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, and vehicle purchases. Because Small Starts projects are not subject to approval into a final design phase, they are not granted pre-award authority for procurement of rails, ties, and other specialized equipment; the procurement of commodities; and demolition.

When FTA issues a Project Construction Grant Agreement (PCGA), FTA grants pre-award authority for the construction phase of the project. Preaward authority for NEPA-related work on a Small Starts project is described in paragraph 4.c above. Pre-award authority for real property acquisition activities and vehicle purchases for a Small Starts project is granted under the same conditions and for the same reasons as for New Starts projects, as described in paragraph 4.b above.

B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy

1. Policy

LONP authority allows an applicant to incur costs on a project utilizing non-Federal resources, with the understanding that the costs incurred subsequent to the issuance of the LONP may be reimbursable as eligible expenses or eligible for credit toward the local match should FTA approve the project at a later date. LONPs are applicable to projects and project activities not covered by automatic preaward authority. The majority of LONPs will be for Section 5309 New Starts or Small Starts projects undertaking activities not covered under automatic pre-award authority, a full funding grant agreement (FFGA) or a PCGA, or for Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related

projects authorized but not yet appropriated by Congress. LONPs may be issued for formula and discretionary funds beyond the life of the current authorization or FTA's extension of automatic pre-award authority; however, the LONP is limited to a fiveyear period, unless otherwise authorized.

2. Conditions and Federal Requirements

The conditions for pre-award authority specified in section V.A.2 above apply to all LONPs. The Environmental, Planning and Other Federal Requirements described in section V.A.3 also apply to all LONPs. Because project implementation activities may not be initiated before NEPA completion, FTA will not issue an LONP for such activities until the NEPA process has been completed with a ROD, FONSI, or Categorical Exclusion determination.

3. Request for LONP

Before incurring costs for a project not covered by automatic pre-award authority, the project sponsor must first submit a written request for an LONP, accompanied by adequate information and justification, to the appropriate regional office and obtain written approval from FTA. FTA approval of an LONP for a New Starts or Small Starts project is determined on a case-by-case basis. Federal funding for a New or Small Starts project is not implied or guaranteed by an LONP. Specifically, when requesting an LONP, the applicant shall provide sufficient information to allow FTA to consider the following items:

a. Description of the activities to be covered by the LONP.

b. Justification for advancing the identified activities. The justification should include an accurate assessment of the consequences to the project scope, schedule, and budget should the LONP not be approved.

c. Allocated level of risk and contingency for the activity requested.

d. Status of procurement progress, including, if appropriate, submittal of bids for the activities covered by the LONP.

e. Strength of the capital and operating financial plan for the New Starts project and the future transit system.

f. Adequacy of the Project Management Plan.

g. Resolution of any readiness issues that would affect the project, such as land acquisition and technical capacity to carry out the project.

FTA will, following the completion of the requirements under NEPA, expedite

the issuance of LONPs for New and Small Starts projects, when appropriate, by no longer performing a detailed review of the cost and scope of the request in every instance. Rather, a limited review will be performed in those cases that are of a more routine nature, especially those involving an experienced sponsor.

C. FTA FY 2010 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances

The full text of the FY 2010 Certifications and Assurances was published in the Federal Register on October 19, 2009, and is available on the FTA Web site and in TEAM-Web. The FY 2010 Certifications and Assurances must be used for all grants made in FY 2010, including obligation of carryover funds. All grantees with active grants are required to have signed the FY 2010 Certifications and Assurances within 90 days after publication. Any questions regarding this document may be addressed to the appropriate Regional Office or to Nydia Picayo, in the FTA Office of Program Management, at (202) 366-1662.

D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes

SAFETEA-LU continues provisions in the Intermodal Surface **Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991** (ISTEA) and TEA-21 that expanded modal choice in transportation funding by including substantial flexibility to transfer funds between FTA and FHWA formula program funding categories. The provisions also allow for transfer of certain discretionary program funds for administration of highway projects by FHWA and transit projects by FTA. FTA and FHWA execute Flex Funding Transfers between the Formula and Bus Grants Transit programs and the Federal Aid Highway programs. This also includes the transfer of Metropolitan and Statewide planning set-aside funds between FHWA and FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on a State's requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities, and joint planning needs. This practice can result in transfers to the Federal Transit Program from the Federal Aid Highway Program or vice versa.

1. Transfer Process for Funds

SAFETEA-LU was signed into law on August 10, 2005. With the enactment of SAFETEA-LU, beginning in FY2006, with few exceptions, Federal transit programs were funded solely from general funds or trust funds. The transit formula and bus grant programs are now funded from Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund. The Formula and Bus Grant Programs can also receive flex funding transfers from the Federal Aid Highway Program.

As a result of the changes to program funding mechanisms, there is no longer a requirement to transfer budget authority and liquidating cash resources simultaneously upon the execution of a flex funding transfer request by a State. Since the transfers are between trust fund accounts, the only requirement is to transfer budget authority (obligation limitation) between the Federal Aid Program trust fund account and the Federal Transit Formula and Bus Grant Program account. At the point in time that the obligation resulting from the transfer of budgetary authority is expended, a transfer of liquidating cash will be required.

Beginning in FY 2007, the accounting process was changed for transfers of flex funds and other specific programs to allow budget authority to be transferred and the cash to be transferred separately. FTA requires that flexed fund transfers to FTA be in separate and identifiable grants in order to ensure that the draw-down of flexed funds can be tracked, thus securing the internal controls for monitoring these resources from the Federal Highway Administration to avoid deficiencies in FTA's Formula and Bus Grants account.

FTA monitors the expenditures of flexed funded grants and requests the transfer of liquidating cash from FHWA to ensure sufficient funds are available to meet expenditures. To facilitate tracking of grantees' flex funding expenditures, FTA developed codes to provide distinct identification of "flex funds."

The process for transferring flexible funds between FTA and FHWA programs is described below. Note that the new transfer process for "flex funds" that began in FY 2007 does not apply to the transfer of State planning set-aside funds from FHWA to FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on States requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities, and joint planning needs. Planning funds transferred will be allowed to be merged in a single grant with FTA planning resources using the same process implemented in FY 2006. For information on the process for the transfer of funds between FTA and FHWA planning programs refer to

section IV.A and B. Note also that certain prior year appropriations earmarks (Sections 330, 115, 117, and 112) are allotted annually for administration rather than being transferred. For information regarding these procedures, please contact Nancy Grubb, FTA Budget Office, at (202) 366– 1635; or FHWA Budget Division, at (202) 366–2845.

a. Transfer From FHWA to FTA

FHWA funds transferred to FTA are used primarily for transit capital projects and eligible operating activities that have been designated as part of the metropolitan and statewide planning and programming process. The project must be included in an approved STIP before the funds can be transferred. By letter, the State DOT requests the FHWA Division Office to transfer highway funds for a transit project. The letter should specify the project, amount to be transferred, apportionment year, State, urbanized area, Federal aid apportionment category (i.e., Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) or identification of the earmark and indication of the intended FTA formula program (i.e., Section 5307, 5311 or 5310) and should include a description of the project as contained in the STIP. Note that FTA may also administer certain transfers of statutory earmarks under the Section 5309 bus program, for tracking purposes.

The FHWA Division Office confirms that the apportionment amount is available for transfer and concurs in the transfer, by letter to the State DOT and FTA. The FHWA Office of Budget and Finance then transfers obligation authority. All FHWA CMAQ and STP funds transferred to FTA will be transferred to one of the three FTA formula programs (i.e. Urbanized Area Formula (Section 5307), Nonurbanized Area Formula (Section 5311) or Elderly and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310). High Priority projects in Section 1702 of SAFETEA-LU or Transportation Improvement projects in Section 1934 of SAFETEA-LU and other Congressional earmarks that are transferred to FTA will be aligned with and administered through FTA's discretionary Bus and Bus Related Facilities Program (Section 5309). The most recent guidance on transfers of FHWA funds as allowed under SAFETEA-LU is FHWA Memorandum, dated July 19, 2007, "Information Fund Transfers to Other Agencies and Among Title 23 Programs."

The FTA grantee's application for the project must specify which program the funds will be used for, and the application must be prepared in accordance with the requirements and procedures governing that program. Upon review and approval of the grantee's application, FTA obligates funds for the project.

Transferred funds are treated as FTA formula or discretionary funds, except for local match purposes as described in c below, but are assigned a distinct identifying code for tracking purposes. The funds may be transferred for any capital purpose eligible under the FTA formula program to which they are transferred and, in the case of CMAQ, for certain operating costs. FHWA issued revised interim guidance on project eligibility under the CMAQ program in a Notice at 71 FR 76038 et seq. (December 19, 2006) incorporating changes made by SAFETEA-LU. In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 104(k), all FTA requirements except local share, which remains the same as required under the FHWA program, are applicable to transferred funds except in certain cases when CMAQ funds are authorized for operating expenses. Earmarks that are transferred to the Section 5309 Bus Program for administration, however, can be used for the congressionally designated transit purposes, and in some cases where the law provides, are not limited to eligibility under the Bus Program.

In the event that transferred formula funds are not obligated for the intended purpose within the period of availability of the formula program to which they were transferred, they become available to the Governor for any eligible capital transit project. Earmarked funds, however, can only be used for the congressionally designated purposes.

b. Transfers From FTA to FHWA

The MPO submits a written request to the FTA regional office for a transfer of FTA Section 5307 formula funds (apportioned to a UZA 200,000 and over in population) to FHWA based on approved use of the funds for highway purposes, as determined by the designated recipient under Section 5307 and contained in the Governor's approved State Transportation Improvement Program. The MPO must certify that: (1) Notice and opportunity for comment and appeal has been provided to affected transit providers; (2) the funds are not needed for capital investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act, and (3) local transit needs are being addressed. The FTA Regional Administrator reviews and, if he or she concurs in the request, then forwards the approval in written format to FTA Headquarters, where a reduction equal to the dollar amount

being transferred to FHWA is made to the grantee's Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment.

Transfers of discretionary earmarks for administration by FHWA are handled on a case by case basis, by the FTA regional office, in consultation with the FTA Office of Program Management, Office of Chief Counsel, and Office of Budget and Policy.

c. Matching Share for FHWA Transfers

Section 104(k) of title 23 U.S.C., regarding the non-Federal share, apply to Title 23 funds used for transit projects. Thus, FHWA funds transferred to FTA retain the same matching share that the funds would have if used for highway purposes and administered by FHWA.

There are four instances in which a Federal share higher than 80 percent would be permitted. First, in States with large areas of Indian and certain public domain lands and national forests, parks and monuments, the local share for highway projects is determined by a sliding scale rate, calculated based on the percentage of public lands within that State. This sliding scale, which permits a greater Federal share, but not to exceed 95 percent, is applicable to transfers used to fund transit projects in these public land States. FHWA develops the sliding scale matching ratios for the increased Federal share.

Second, commuter carpooling and vanpooling projects and transit safety projects using FHWA transfers administered by FTA may retain the same 100 percent Federal share that would be allowed for ride-sharing or safety projects administered by FHWA.

The third instance is the 100 percent Federally-funded safety projects; however, these are subject to a nationwide 10 percent program limitation.

The fourth instance occurs with CMAQ funds. Section 1131 of, The Energy Independence and Security Act, 2007 (P.L. 11–140) amended 23 U.S.C. 120 increased the Federal share of CMAQ projects to 100% at the State's discretion. FTA will honor this increased match for CMAQ funds transferred to FTA for implementation if the state chooses to fund the project at a higher Federal share than 80 percent. The Federal share for CMAQ projects cannot be lower than 80 percent.

d. Miscellaneous Transit Earmarks in FHWA Programs

The FY 2002 and FY 2003 Appropriations Acts and accompanying reports included Section 330, which identified a number of transit projects among projects designated to receive funding from certain FHWA funding sources. The FY 2004 Appropriations Act similarly included transit projects among projects designated to receive funding from certain FHWA sources in Section 115, the FY 2005 Appropriations Act included a set of designations under Section 117, and the FY 2006 Appropriations Act included designations under Section 112, which may include some projects that FHWA will identify to be administered by FTA. For those projects identified by FHWA as transit in nature, FHWA allots the funds to FTA to administer. The funds are available for the designated project until obligated and expended. Some of these FY 2002-2006 designations for transit projects have not yet been obligated. However, because these are FHWA funds, funds for projects unobligated at the end of the FY are not automatically available as carry over in the following fiscal year. Instead, FHWA re-allots obligation authority to FTA annually, after reconciling account balances. Because the requirements and procedures associated with these projects differ in some cases from those for the FTA programs that FTA grantees are familiar with, and the availability of funds for obligation by FTA depends on allotments from FHWA, transit applicants seeking funding under these miscellaneous FHWA designations must work closely with the appropriate FTA regional office and FHWA Division Office when applying for a grant under these designations.

E. Grant Application Procedures

1. Grantees must provide a Dun and Bradstreet (D&B) Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number for inclusion in all applications for a Federal grant or cooperative agreement. The DUNS number should be entered into the grantee profile in TEAM–Web. Additional information about this and other Federal grant streamlining initiatives mandated by the Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106– 107) can be accessed on OMB's Web site at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/ grants/reform.html.

2. All applications for FTA funds should be submitted electronically to the appropriate FTA regional office through TEAM–Web, an Internetaccessible electronic grant application system. FTA has provided limited exceptions to the requirement for electronic filing of applications.

3. In FY 2010, FTÅ remains committed to processing applications promptly upon receipt of a completed application by the appropriate regional office. In order for an application to be considered complete, with the exception of Recovery Act grants, and for FTA to assign a grant number, enabling submission in TEAM–Web, the following requirements must be met:

a. The project is listed in a currently approved Metropolitan Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); FTA approved Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), or Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

b. All eligibility issues have been resolved.

c. Required environmental findings have been made.

d. The project budget's Activity Line Items (ALI), scope, and project description meet FTA requirements.

e. Local share funding source(s) have been identified.

f. The grantee's required Civil Rights submissions are current.

g. Certifications and assurances are current and properly submitted.

h. Funding is available, including any flexible funds included in the budget.

i. For projects involving new construction (using at least \$100 million in New Starts or formula funds), FTA engineering staff has reviewed the project management plan and given approval.

j. When required for grants related to New Starts projects, entry into PE and/ or FD has been approved.

k. Milestone information is complete, or FTA determines that milestone information can be finalized before the grant is ready for award. The grant must include sufficient milestones appropriate to the scale of the project to allow adequate oversight to monitor the progress of projects from the start through completion and closeout.

4. Under most FTA programs, grants involving funding related to transit capital and operations must be submitted to the Department of Labor (DOL) for certification of labor protective arrangements before grant award. Grants under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program, Tribal Transit Program, and Over-the-Road Bus Program are covered under the special warranty provision and do not require certification.

In addition, before FTA can award grants for discretionary projects and activities designated by Congress using FY 2010 or prior funds, notification must be given to members of Congress. FTA must give the House and Senate authorizing and appropriations committees three days notice before issuing letters of intent, discretionary grants, or full funding grant agreements totaling \$500,000 in FY 2009 and FY 2008 funds or totaling \$1 million or more in FY 2010 funds.

5. Other important issues that impact FTA grant processing activities are discussed below.

a. Change in Budget Structure

Because SAFETEA-LU restructured FTA's accounts from split funded accounts to one solely trust funded account and three general funded accounts, FTA does not mix funds from years before FY 2006 in the same grant with funds appropriated in FY 2006 and beyond (except for New Starts and research grants). Before FY 2006, all programs were funded approximately 80 percent from MTA of the Highway Trust Fund and 20 percent from the General Funds U.S. Treasury. The trust funds were transferred into the general funded accounts at the beginning of the year. Under SAFETEA-LU most programs are funded entirely from trust funds derived from the MTA, while the New Starts and Research programs are funded with general funds. For a New Starts or research project, any prior year funds currently available for obligation and FY 2010 funds may be included in an amendment to an existing grant.

For formula programs funded solely from trust funds beginning in FY 2006, grantees may not combine funds appropriated since FY 2006 in the same grant with FY 2005 and prior year funds. Grant amendments cannot be made to add FY 2006 and later year funds to a grant that includes FY 2005 or prior funds. However, grantees are able to amend new grants established with FY 2006 or later year funds to add funds made available after FY 2006. We regret any inconvenience this accounting change may cause as we implement new statutory requirements under SAFETEA-LU. We encourage grantees to spend down and close out old grants as quickly as possible to minimize the inconvenience.

b. Grant Budgets—SCOPE and Activity Line Item (ALI) Codes

FTA uses the SCOPE and Activity Line Item (ALI) Codes in the grant budgets to track program trends, to report to Congress, and to respond to requests from the Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office (GAO), as well as to manage grants. The accuracy of the data is dependent on the careful and correct use of codes. As needed, we revise the SCOPE and ALI table to include new codes for newly eligible capital items, to better track certain expenditures, and to accommodate new or modified programs. We encourage grantees to review the table before selecting codes

from the drop-down menus in TEAM– Web while creating a grant budget and to consult with the regional office in the correct use of codes.

c. Earmark and Discretionary Program Tracking

FTA has implemented procedures in TEAM-Web for matching grants to earmarks or projects selected by FTA under discretionary programs. Each earmark or selected discretionary project published in the Federal **Register** is associated with a unique identifier. Tables of earmarks and selected discretionary projects have also been established in TEAM–Web. When applying for a grant using funding designated by Congress or FTA for a particular project, grantees are asked to identify the amount of funding associated with each specific earmark or discretionary project used in the grant. Further instructions are posted on the TEAM–Web site and regional staff can provide additional assistance.

F. Payments

Once a grant has been awarded and executed, requests for payment can be processed. To process payments FTA uses ECHO–Web, an Internet accessible system that provides grantees the capability to submit payment requests on-line, as well as receive user-IDs and passwords via e-mail. New applicants should contact the appropriate FTA regional office to obtain and submit the registration package necessary for set-up under ECHO–Web.

G. Oversight

FTA conducts periodic oversight reviews to assess grantee compliance with Federal requirements. Each urbanized area grantee is reviewed every three years (a Triennial Review). Triennial reviews have been modified to look at the grantee's involvement in the coordinated planning for transportation for the populations targeted by the JARC and New Freedom programs and participation in delivery of specialized services under those programs in the urbanized area. In addition, FY 2010 reviews will examine implementation of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, ARRA, grants. States are reviewed periodically for their management of the Section 5310, 5311, JARC, and New Freedom programs. Other more detailed reviews are scheduled based on an annual grantee risk assessment, for example, reviews in the areas of Procurement, Financial Management, Safety and Civil Rights.

H. Technical Assistance

FTA headquarters and regional staff will be pleased to answer your

questions and provide any technical assistance you may need to apply for FTA program funds and manage the grants you receive. This notice and the program guidance circulars previously identified in this document may be accessed via the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

In addition, copies of the following circulars and other useful information are available on the FTA Web site and may be obtained from FTA regional offices; Circular 4220.1F, "Third Party Contracting Guidance," and Circular 5010.1D, "Grant Management Guidelines." Both circulars were recently revised and can be found at http://www.fta.dot.gov/laws/ leg reg circulars guidance.html. The FY 2010 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances and Master Agreement are also posted on the FTA Web site. The DOT final rule on "Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in Department of Transportation Financial Assistance Programs," which was effective July 16, 2003, can be found at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/ waisidx 04/49cfr26 04.html/

Peter Rogoff,

Administrator.

Appendix A

DFFICES

Richard H. Doyle Regional Administrator, Region 1–Boston, Kendall Square, 55 Broadway, Suite 920, Cambridge, MA 02142–1093, Tel. 617–494–2055.	Robert C. Patrick, Regional Administrator, Region 6-Ft. Worth, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A36, Ft. Worth, TX 76102, Tel. 817-978-0550.
States served: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.	States served: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico and Texas.
Brigid Hynes-Cherin, Regional Administrator, Region 2–New York, One Bowling Green, Room 429, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2170.	Mokhtee Ahmad Regional Administrator, Region 7–Kansas City, MO, 901 Locust Street, Room 404, Kansas City, MO 64106, Tel. 816–329–3920.
States served: New Jersey, New York New York Metropolitan Office, Region 2-New York, One Bowling Green, Room	States served: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.
428 New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. 212–668–2202	
Letitia Thompson, Regional Administrator, Region 3–Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7100.	Terry Rosapep, Regional Administrator, Region 8–Denver, 12300 West Dakota Ave., Suite 310, Lakewood, CO 80228–2583, Tel. 720–963–3300.
States served: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia.	States served: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyo- ming.
Philadelphia Metropolitan Office, Region 3–Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103–4124, Tel. 215–656–7070	
Washington, D.C. Metropolitan Office, 1990 K Street, NW., Room 510, Washington, DC 20006. Tel. 202–219–3562	
Yvette Taylor, Regional Administrator, Region 4–Atlanta, 230 Peachtreet Street, NW. Suite 800, Atlanta, GA 30303, Tel. 404–865–5600.	Leslie T. Rogers Regional Administrator, Region 9–San Francisco, 201 Mission Street, Room 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105–1926, Tel. 415–744–3133
States served: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virgin Islands.	States served: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, and the Northern Mariana Islands.
	Los Angeles Metropolitan Office, Region 9-Los Angeles, 888 S. Figueroa Street,
Marisol Simon, Regional Administrator, Region 5–Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789.	Suite 1850, Los Angeles, CA 90017–1850, Tel. 213–202–3952 Rick Krochalis, Regional Administrator, Region 10–Seattle, Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174–1002, Tel. 206– 220–7954
States served: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin Chicago Metropolitan Office, Region 5–Chicago, 200 West Adams Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312–353–2789	States served: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

1 of 2

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

FY 2010 AVAILABLE FUNDING AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS	
Section 5303 Metropolitan Transportation Planning Program	
Total Available	\$38,841,00
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(194,20
Total Apportioned	\$38,646,79
Section 5304 Statewide Transportation Planning Program	
Total Available	\$8,114,00
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(40,5
Total Apportioned	\$8,073,43
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program	
Total Available	\$1,721,140,0
Less Oversight (three-fourths percent)	(12,908,5
Total Apportioned	\$1,708,231,4
Section 5308 Clean Fuels Program	\$21,306,0
Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Program	
Total Available	\$365,711,0
Less Oversight (one percent)	(3,657,1
Funds Available for Allocation	\$362,053,8
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization	
Total Available	\$689,431,0
Less Oversight (one percent)	(6,894,3
Total Apportioned	\$682,536,6
Section 5310 Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and	
Individuals with Disabilities Program	¢55 000 0
Total Available	\$55,229,0
Less Oversight (one-half percent) Total Apportioned	(276,1 \$54,952,8
	φ04,902,0
Section 5311 Nonurbanized Area Formula Program Total Available	\$192,371,0
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(961,8
Total Apportioned	\$191,409,1
	¢,
Section 5311(b)(3) Rural Transit Assistance Program (RTAP)	\$3,847,0
Total Available Less Amount Reserved for National RTAP	
	<u>(577,0</u> \$3,269,9
Section 5311(c) Public Transportation on Indian Reservations	\$6,206,0
Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute Program Total Available	\$68,054,0
	\$68,054,0
Total Apportioned	
Total Apportioned Section 5317 New Freedom Program Total Available	\$38,267,0

2 of 2

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

FY 2010 AVAILABLE FUNDING AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

FORMULA AND BUS GRANTS	An and a family of the
Section 5320 Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program	
Total Available	\$11,129,000
Less Oversight (one-half percent)	(55,645)
Funds Available for Allocation	\$11,073,355
Section 5339 Alternative Analysis Program	
Total Available	\$10,343,000
Funds Available for Allocation	\$10,343,000
Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States Formula	
Total Available	\$192,371,000 1/
Total Apportioned	\$192,371,000
Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (Pub. L. 105-85, Section 3038)	\$3,641,000
CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS	
Section 5309 New Starts	
Total Available	\$2,000,000,000
Less Oversight (one percent)	(20,000,000)
Funds Available for Allocation	\$1,980,000,000
RESEARCH	
Section 5314 National Research Program	\$65,670,000
TOTAL APPROPRIATION (Above Grant Programs)	\$5,491,671,000
TOTAL APPORTIONMENT/ALLOCATION (Above Grant Programs)	\$5,446,105,560

1/ Apportionments derived from the Section 5340 formula are combined with the Section 5307 or Section 5311 apportionments, as appropriate, in accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report.

TABLE 2

FY 2010 SECTION 5303 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM AND SECTION 5304 STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

STATE	SECTION 5303 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5304 APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$292,541	\$76,391
Alaska	154,587	40,367
Arizona	772,897	153,795
Arkansas	154,587	40,367
California	6,079,820	1,181,340
Colorado	579,188	126,438
Connecticut	429,293	112,095
Delaware	154,587	40,367
District of Columbia	154,587	40,367
Florida	2,525,586	530,078
Georgia	996,135	197,159
Hawaii	154,587	40,367
Idaho	154,587	40,367
Illinois	2,143,218	383,191
Indiana	582,240	134,228
lowa	167,999	43,869
Kansas	196,432	47,531
Kentucky	245,163	61,655
Louisiana	383,013	99,782
Maine	154,587	40,367
Maryland	866,287	169,114
Massachusetts	1,138,195	221,755
Michigan	1,271,424	258,881
Minnesota	542,592	106,713
Mississippi	154,587	40,367
Missouri	572,301	121,624
Montana	154,587	40,367
Nebraska	154,587	40,367
Nevada	282,940	65,966
New Hampshire	154,587	40,367
New Jersey	1,792,820	305,129
New Mexico	154,587	40,367
New York	3,417,171	610,142
North Carolina	566,765	147,999
North Dakota	154,587	40,367
Ohio	1,229,874	287,715
Oklahoma	223,585	58,384
Oregon	343,941	77,765
Pennsylvania	1,587,778	323,121
Puerto Rico	641,281	136,815
Rhode Island	159,732	40,367
South Carolina	282,385	73,739
South Dakota	154,587	40,367
Tennessee	446,785	116,668
Texas	2,836,964	582,250
Utah	263,436	68,791
Vermont	154,587	40,367
Virginia	877,043	185,479
Washington	823,832	169,364
West Virginia	154,587	40,367
Wisconsin	458,160	111,858
Wyoming	154,587	40,367
TOTAL	\$38,646,795	\$8,073,430

Page 1 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
1,000,000 or more in Population	\$1,331,073,388
200,000 - 999,999 in Population	344,267,703
50,000 - 199,999 in Population	194,975,991
National Total	\$1,870,317,082

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 1,000,000 or

more in Population:	
Atlanta, GA	\$28,449,692
Baltimore, MD	24,062,855
Boston, MANHRI	61,293,427
Chicago, ILIN	102,055,838
Cincinnati, OHKYIN	7,753,387
Cleveland, OH	11,825,673
Columbus, OH	5,114,670
DallasFort WorthArlington, TX	27,136,960
DenverAurora, CO	20,727,277
Detroit, MI	17,858,927
Houston, TX	28,214,660
Indianapolis, IN	5,042,040
Kansas City, MOKS	6,295,431
Las Vegas, NV	10,191,691
Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA	122,240,961
Miami, FL	42,606,990
Milwaukee, WI	8,824,505
MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN	21,100,671
New Orleans, LA	7,434,315
New YorkNewark, NYNJCT	363,611,065
Orlando, FL	8,213,604
Philadelphia, PANJDEMD	58,307,198
PhoenixMesa, AZ	20,656,540
Pittsburgh, PA	13,860,008
Portland, ORWA	15,355,542
Providence, RIMA	14,177,643
RiversideSan Bernardino, CA	11,678,722
Sacramento, CA	9,395,379
San Antonio, TX	10,268,046
San Diego, CA	23,937,504
San FranciscoOakland, CA	53,709,282
San Jose, CA	17,302,456
San Juan, PR	12,713,006
Seattle, WA	39,744,625
St. Louis, MOIL	14,271,299
TampaSt. Petersburg, FL	10,345,402
Virginia Beach, VA	8,319,052
Washington, DCVAMD	66,977,045
Total	\$1,331,073,388

Page 2 of 12

TABLE 3

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE

APPORTIONMENT

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 to 999,999 in Population	
AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR	\$1,547,143
Akron, OH	2,715,636
Albany, NY	4,694,352
Albuquerque, NM	3,581,212
AllentownBethlehem, PANJ	3,117,241
Anchorage, AK	9,716,403
Ann Arbor, MI	1,991,854
Antioch, CA	2,657,119
Asheville, NC	796,071
Atlantic City, NJ	4,428,537
Augusta-Richmond County, GASC	1,023,866
Austin, TX	8,253,521
Bakersfield, CA	2,468,996
Barnstable Town, MA	2,342,484
Baton Rouge, LA	1,954,045
Birmingham, AL	2,826,361
Boise City, ID	1,114,452
Bonita SpringsNaples, FL	1,194,083
BridgeportStamford, CTNY	10,847,903
Buffalo, NY	7,596,692
Canton, OH	1,580,522
Cape Coral, FL	1,756,007
CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC	1,973,568
Charlotte, NCSC	6,832,945
Chattanooga, TNGA	1,416,819
Colorado Springs, CO	2,730,094
Columbia, SC	1,622,253
Columbus, GAAL	920,359
Concord, CA	8,884,928
Corpus Christi, TX	1,975,711
Davenport, IAIL	1,606,989
Dayton, OH	6,252,144
Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL	1,760,042
DentonLewisville, TX	1,329,793
Des Moines, IA	2,575,943
Durham, NC	2,707,004
El Paso, TXNM	4,666,328
Eugene, OR	2,031,002
Evansville, INKY	917,392
Fayetteville, NC	1,005,803
Flint, MI	2,806,624
Fort Collins, CO	1,102,895
Fort Wayne, IN	1,248,784
Fresno, CA	3,639,972
Grand Rapids, MI	3,291,619
Greensboro, NC	1,980,746
Greenville, SC	895,369
GulfportBiloxi, MS	774,198

Page 3 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE

APPORTIONMENT

	······································
Harrisburg, PA	2,185,310
Hartford, CT	8,620,251
Honolulu, HI	11,938,058
Huntsville, AL	755,781
IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA	1,463,602
Jackson, MS	1,056,182
Jacksonville, FL	5,717,032
Knoxville, TN	1,776,767
Lancaster, PA	3,068,567
LancasterPalmdale, CA	3,713,456
Lansing, MI	2,274,079
Lexington-Fayette, KY	1,718,045
Lincoln, NE	1,175,957
Little Rock, AR	1,707,164
Louisville, KYIN	5,462,771
Lubbock, TX	1,169,374
Madison, WI	2,925,741
McAllen, TX	1,476,644
Memphis, TNMSAR	5,372,042
Mission Viejo, CA	4,297,117
Mobile, AL	1,317,638
Modesto, CA	1,725,820
Nashville-Davidson, TN	4,695,764
New Haven, CT	8,042,925
OgdenLayton, UT	4,112,287
Oklahoma City, OK	3,007,610
Omaha, NEIA	3,086,900
Oxnard, CA	3,162,978
Palm BayMelbourne, FL	1,800,945
Pensacola, FLAL	1,213,555
Peoria, IL	1,293,224
Port St. Lucie, FL	963,548
PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY	7,174,566
ProvoOrem, UT	2,202,313
Raleigh, NC	2,856,023
Reading, PA	1,326,124
Reno, NV	2,237,518
Richmond, VA	4,360,398
Rochester, NY	4,832,732
Rockford, IL	1,176,202
Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI	1,695,813
Salem, OR	2,021,159
Salt Lake City, UT	11,514,002
Santa Rosa, CA	1,919,306
SarasotaBradenton, FL	2,952,348
Savannah, GA	1,371,795
Scranton, PA	1,764,491
Shreveport, LA	1,437,742
South Bend, INMI	1,664,739
Spokane, WAID	3,306,217
Springfield, MACT	5,300,944
Springfield, MO	876,726

Page 4 of 12

APPORTIONMENT

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE

Staalitan CA	0.070.000
Stockton, CA	3,270,289
Syracuse, NY	3,087,457
Tallahassee, FL	1,111,149
TemeculaMurrieta, CA	1,282,567
Thousand Oaks, CA	1,251,922
Toledo, OHMI	2,746,155
Trenton, NJ	4,802,006
Tucson, AZ	5,017,156
Tulsa, OK	2,675,628
VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA	1,026,750
Wichita, KS	2,137,872
Winston-Salem, NC	1,161,537
Worcester, MACT	3,737,816
Youngstown, OHPA	1,517,283
Total	\$344,267,703

TABLE 3

Page 5 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE

APPORTIONMENT

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

ALABAMA	\$2.459.164
Anniston, AL	\$3,458,164 321,062
Auburn, AL	296,876
Decatur, AL	280,870
Dothan, AL	270,757
Florence, AL	340,285
Gadsden, AL	266,421
Montgomery, AL	1,098,312
Tuscaloosa, AL	582,097
Tuscalousa, AL	562,097
ALASKA	\$237,246
Fairbanks, AK	237,246
ARIZONA	\$1,646,195
Avondale, AZ	414,687
Flagstaff, AZ	307,532
Prescott, AZ	320,486
Yuma, AZCA	603,490
ARKANSAS	\$2,337,175
FayettevilleSpringdale, AR	872,239
Fort Smith, AROK	574,687
Hot Springs, AR	231,454
Jonesboro, AR	242,241
Pine Bluff, AR	301,078
Texarkana, TXTexarkana, AR	115,476
CALIFORNIA	\$27,013,228
AtascaderoEl Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	291,155
Camarillo, CA	429,951
Chico, CA	691,640
Davis, CA	996,273
El Centro, CA	380,895
Fairfield, CA	1,041,315
GilroyMorgan Hill, CA	497,754
Hanford, CA	773,895
Hemet, CA	780,582
Livermore, CA	579,799
Lodi, CA	703,920
Lompoc, CA	423,498
Madera, CA	368,431
Manteca, CA	405,103
Maricea, CA	774,838
Napa, CA	593,970
Petaluma, CA	434,185
Porterville, CA	405,623
Redding, CA	519,761
Salinas, CA	1,599,169
San Luis Obispo, CA	792,409
Santa Barbara, CA	1,810,427
Santa Clarita, CA	1,526,764
Santa Cruz, CA	1,440,648
Santa Oruz, CA Santa Maria, CA	892,911
Santa Mana, CA SeasideMontereyMarina, CA	1,262,475
Simi Valley, CA	946,648
-	533,945
Tracy, CA	
Turlock, CA	736,849

TABLE 3

Page 6 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Vacaville, CA	754,583
Vallejo, CA	1,450,664
Visalia, CA	895,088
Watsonville, CA	628,442
Yuba City, CA	644,960
Yuma, AZCA	4,658
COLORADO	\$4,060,427
Boulder, CO	1,095,328
Grand Junction, CO	478,446
Greeley, CO	626,105
LafayetteLouisville, CO	398,511
Longmont, CO	718,030
Pueblo, CO	744,007
CONNECTICUT	\$8,735,779
Danbury, CTNY	3,392,532
NorwichNew London, CT	1,461,627
Waterbury, CT	3,881,620
DELAWARE	\$642,333
Dover, DE	625,675
Salisbury, MDDE	16,658
FLORIDA	\$10,154,275
Brooksville, FL	472,028
Deltona, FL	765,426
Fort Walton Beach, FL	777,853
Gainesville, FL	1,228,528
Kissimmee, FL	999,609
Lady Lake, FL	220,571
Lakeland, FL	1,098,035
LeesburgEustis, FL	. 469,781
North PortPunta Gorda, FL	589,393
Ocala, FL	488,900
Panama City, FL	625,714
St. Augustine, FL	270,024
Titusville, FL	529,357
Vero BeachSebastian, FL	600,620
Winter Haven, FL	762,875
Zephyrhills, FL	255,561
GEORGIA	\$3,891,836
Albany, GA	471,372
Athens-Clarke County, GA	510,344
Brunswick, GA	238,277
Dalton, GA	255,346 383,486
Gainesville, GA Hinesville, GA	275,458
Macon, GA	708,316
Rome, GA	349,440
Valdosta, GA	290,055
Warner Robins, GA	409,742

TABLE 3

Page 7 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
HAWAII	\$1,033,881
Kailua (Honolulu County)Kaneohe, HI	1,033,881
IDAHO	\$1,878,535
Coeur d'Alene, ID	401,158
Idaho Falls, ID	393,187
Lewiston, IDWA	170,093
Nampa, ID	553,250
Pocatello, ID	360,847
ILLINOIS	\$5,122,987
Alton, IL	434,015
Beloit, WIIL	67,656
BloomingtonNormal, IL	841,679
Champaign, IL	1,237,205
Danville, IL	340,823
Decatur, IL	591,826
DeKalb, IL	393,516
Dubuque, IAIL	13,731
Kankakee, IL	393,727
Springfield, IL	808,809
INDIANA	\$5,001,980
Anderson, IN	482,505
Bloomington, IN	724,520
Columbus, IN	276,763
Elkhart, INMI	671,859
Kokomo, IN	402,369
Lafayette, IN	941,577
Michigan City, INMI	366,713
Muncie, IN Terre Haute, IN	709,331 426,343
IOWA	¢2 000 012
Ames, IA	\$3,889,013 616,505
Cedar Rapids, IA	991,736
Dubuque, IAIL	363,591
Iowa City, IA	771,200
Sioux City, IANESD	534,829
Waterloo, IA	611,152
KANSAS	\$1,471,838
Lawrence, KS	665,513
St. Joseph, MOKS	5,859
Topeka, KS	800,466
KENTUCKY	\$1,362,616
Bowling Green, KY	295,404
Clarksville, TNKY	127,719
Huntington, WVKYOH	266,010
Owensboro, KY	356,624
RadcliffElizabethtown, KY	316,859
LOUISIANA	\$4,050,780
Alexandria, LA	368,485
Houma, LA	639,170
Lafayette, LA	981,820
Lake Charles, LA	642,491
MandevilleCovington, LA	295,825
Monroe, LA	739,755
Slidell, LA	383,234

TABLE 3

Page 8 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

Bangor, ME 282, Dover-Rochseter, NHME 311, Lewiston, ME 311, Portiand, ME 330, Portsmouth, NHME 40, MARYLAND 40, AberdeenHavre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 686, Salisbury, MDDE 554, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, Salisbury, MD-MV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-MV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-MV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-MV-PA 582, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,007, Nashua, NHMA 1,007, Nashua, NHMA 1,087, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 1,365, MICHIGAN 8030, Battle Creek, MI 933, Bay City, MI 291, Ehton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elheart, INMI 292, Monroe, MI 769,		APPORTIONMENT
Dover-Rochester, NHME 31, Lewiston, ME 31, Portland, ME 31, Portland, ME 31, Portland, ME 31, MARYLAND 40, MARYLAND 53,036, Aberdeen-Havre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 91, Hagerstown, MD-WV-PA 686, Salisbury, MDDE 554, St. Charles, MD 682, Westminster, MD 682, Westminster, MD 522,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,097, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN 56,004, Bay City, MI 524, Bay City, MI 524, Statte Creek, MI 982, Mortoe, MI 455, Kalamazo, MI 567, Sagiaraw, MI 766, Port Huron, MI <td></td> <td>\$1,605,264</td>		\$1,605,264
Lewiston, ME 311, Portand, ME 900, Portsmouth, NHME 40, MARYLAND \$5,036, Aberdeen-Havre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MDWV-PA 666, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, St. Charles, MD 555, Westminster, MD 555, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,097, Battle Creek, MI 383, Battle Creek, MI 383, Battle Creek, MI 291, Eikhart, IN-MI 291, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 455, Morrigen City, INMI 281,		292,054
Portand, ME 930, Portsmouth, NHME 40, MARYLAND \$5,036, Aberdeen-Havre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MDWV-PA 686, Salisbury, MDDE 554, St. Charles, MD 582, Westminster, MD 582, Leominster, RD 522,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 333, Bay City, MI 524, Salisbury, MD 524, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN 560, Bay City, MI 524, Bay City, MI 524, Bay City, MI 524, Bartile Creek, MI 88, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 789, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 789, MINSE		31,016
Portsmouth, NHME 40, MARYLAND \$5,036, Aberdeen-Havre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MDWV-PA 666, Salisbury, MDDE 554, Salisbury, MDDE 554, Salisbury, MDMDE 552, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,097, New Bedriot, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN 524, Battle Creek, MI 393, Battle Creek, MI 80, Battle Creek, MI 80, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, IN-MI 22, Mortee, MI 22, Mortee, MI 225, Mortee, MI 225, Mortee, MI 767, South		
MARYLAND \$5.036 Aberdeen-Havre de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MD-WV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, St. Charles, MD 582, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,097, Magatible Creek, MI 393, Battle Creek, MI 393, Battle Creek, MI 291, Einton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Eikhart, IN-MI 291, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Katamazoo, MI 455, Mintger, MI 768, Port Huron, MI 577,		930,292
Aberdeen-Have de GraceBel Air, MD 1,380, Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MD-WV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, St. Charles, MD 682, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, Mi 291, Eikhart, INMI 86, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 465, Monroe, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 567, Saginaw, MI 767, Saginaw, MI 768, Port Huron, MI	Portsmouth, NHME	40,017
Cumberland, MD-WV-PA 385, Frederick, MD 911, Hagerstown, MD-WV-PA 686, Salisbury, MD-DE 554, St. Charles, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,097, Nashua, NH-MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Battle Creek, MI 680, Battle Creek, MI 88, Holland, MI 401, Jackson, MI 492, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Morce, MI 982, Muskegon, MI 501, Jackson, MI 686, Muskegon, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MN-WI 719, Farog, ND-MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI </td <td>MARYLAND</td> <td>\$5,036,589</td>	MARYLAND	\$5,036,589
Frederick, MD 911 Hagerstown, MDWVPA 686 Salisbury, MDDE 554 Salisbury, MDDE 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,087, Nasshua, NHMA 1,087, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 52,400, Jackson, MI 436, Holland, MI 301, Jackson, MI 892, Michigan City, INMI 892, Michigan City, INMI 22, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Port Huron, MI 283, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 368, La Crosse, WI-MN 368, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagou	AberdeenHavre de GraceBel Air, MD	1,380,841
Hagerstown, MDWPA 686 Salisbury, MDDE 554 Salisbury, MDDE 554 Westminster, MD 535 MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Eikhari, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Morroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 677, South Lyon-MN 582, MiNSISSIPPI \$22,215, Hattiesburg, MS 308, MiSSISIPPI \$20,00, Hattiesburg, MS 308, MiSSISIPPI \$20,02,00, MississipiPi 604, Hattiesburg, MS 308, MississipiPi \$30,	Cumberland, MD-WV-PA	385,999
Salisbury, MD-DE 554 St. Charles, MD 582, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,097, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 333, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Eikhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 982, Muskegon, MI 22, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 765, Soginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowell-Brighton, MI 767, South LyonHowell-Brighton, MI 768, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 779, Fargo, NDMN 338, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSISIP1 \$2,218, Hattiesburg, MS 308, P	Frederick, MD	911,634
St. Charles, MD 562, Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, Leominister-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, New Bedford, MA 1,366, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 383, Bay City, MI \$24,000, Elkhart, INMI \$24,000, Holland, MI \$24,000, Jackson, MI \$24,000, Kalamazoo, MI \$982, Michigan City, INMI \$982, Monroe, MI \$982, Mortone, MI \$241, Muskegon, MI \$607, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI \$677, Saginaw, MI \$719, Part, MINNESOTA \$22,518, Dukuth, MNWI \$719, Fargo, NDMN \$36, Rochester, MN \$323, Grand Forks, NDMN \$38, La Crosse, WIMN \$38, MiSSISISIPPI \$308,	Hagerstown, MDWVPA	686,041
Westminster, MD 535, MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 1,365, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Bay City, MI \$24,400, Baty City, MI \$24,000, Baty City, MI \$24,400, Baty City, MI \$24,400, Baty City, MI \$24,400, Baty City, MI \$24,400, Holland, MI \$6,004, Jackson, MI \$29,100, Holiand, MI \$601, Jackson, MI \$601, Michigan City, INMI \$2,202, Monroe, MI \$262, Muskegon, MI \$262, Muskegon, MI \$201, Port Huron, MI \$255, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI \$262, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Dulutit, MNWI \$719, Fargo, NDMN \$23, Grand Forks, NDMN \$36, Cloud, MN \$36, <td< td=""><td>Salisbury, MDDE</td><td>554,445</td></td<>	Salisbury, MDDE	554,445
MASSACHUSETTS \$2,900, LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI \$22, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 8, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 557, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 767, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 732, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSISSIFPI \$2,025, Columb	St. Charles, MD	582,170
LeominsterFitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, New Bedford, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, M 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Michigan City, INMI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 762, Pargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 73	Westminster, MD	535,459
Leominster-Fitchburg, MA 1,097, Nashua, NHMA 1,365, New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton HarborSt. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Michigan City, INMI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Port Huron, MI 557, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN	MASSACHUSETTS	\$2,900,342
New Bedford, MA 1,365, Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, IN-MI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, IN-MI 2, Monroe, MI 982, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MN-WI 719, Fargo, ND-MN 233, Grand Forks, ND-MN 58, La Crosse, WI-MN 58, La Crosse, WI-MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$308, Hattiesburg, MO \$324, Golumbia, MO \$322,		1,097,364
Pittsfield, MA 436, MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Eikhart, INMI 291, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 601, Jackson, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Michigan City, INMI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 765, South Lyon-HowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 36, Rochester, MN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 308, Jascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$23, Columbia, MO 324, Jopin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 332 Jopin, MO 332	Nashua, NHMA	240
MICHIGAN \$6,004, Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Eikhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 320, Jefferson City, MO 332, Jopin, MO 332, Lee'S Summit, MO 332, St. Joseph, MOKS 488	New Bedford, MA	1,365,957
Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Monroe, MI 982, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 58, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$308, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MISSOURI \$22,025, Columbia, MO 332 Jefferson City, MO 340, Jefferson City, MO 340, Jopin, MO 340, St. Jo		436,781
Battle Creek, MI 393, Bay City, MI 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, IN-MI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 982, Michigan City, INMI 22, Monroe, MI 982, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 732, Fargo, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 738, MISSISIPPI \$308, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, Joplin, MO 332 Joepin, MO 340, <td>MICHIGAN</td> <td>\$6,004,681</td>	MICHIGAN	\$6,004,681
Bay City, Mi 524, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, IN-MI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, IN-MI 2, Morroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 557, South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$258, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSOURI \$20,025, Columbia, MO 308, Jascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$300, Joplin, MO 332 Lee'S Summit, MO 340, St. Joseph, MOKS 488		393,179
Benton HarborSt. Joseph, MI 291, Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South Lyon-HowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$22,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSUSSIPPI \$20,05, Columbia, MO \$308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MUSSOURI \$20,025, Columbia, MO \$322, Jefferson City, MO \$3232, Jefferson City, MO \$340 Jopin, MO		524,965
Elkhart, INMI 8, Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 36, Rochester, MN 58, St. Cloud, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 732, MISSISSIPPI \$2,025, Columbia, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 323, Joplin, MO 332, Jafferson City, MO 328, Joplin, MO 340, St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349, Billings, MT \$866 <td></td> <td>291,628</td>		291,628
Holland, MI 501, Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 769, South Lyon-HowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Is Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 308, Pascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 332, Lee's Summit, MO 340, St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA	•	8,351
Jackson, MI 455, Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 36, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 458, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		501,329
Kalamazoo, MI 982, Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		455,841
Michigan City, INMI 2, Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 258, Joplin, MO 258, Joplin, MO 233, Lee's Summit, MO 340, St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$13,49, Billings, MT \$86		982,707
Monroe, MI 281, Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 3099, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 258, Joplin, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1349 Billings, MT 586		2,390
Muskegon, MI 769, Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 36, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 258, Joplin, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		281,453
Port Huron, MI 557, Saginaw, MI South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI Pargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN La Crosse, WIMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN St. Cloud, MN 58, La Crosse, WIMN MISSISSIPPI 732, St. Cloud, MN Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO Joplin, MO 258, Joplin, MO Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$11,349 Billings, MT 586		769,984
Saginaw, MI 767, South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$13,49 Billings, MT 586	0	557,391
South LyonHowellBrighton, MI 467, MINNESOTA \$2,518, Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 399, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 258, Joplin, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		767,996
Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 233, La Crosse, WIMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 309, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$11,349 Billings, MT 586	5	467,467
Duluth, MNWI 719, Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 26, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 309, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$11,349 Billings, MT 586	MININGOTA	¢0 510 007
Fargo, NDMN 233, Grand Forks, NDMN 58, La Crosse, WIMN 36, Rochester, MN 732, St. Cloud, MN 738, MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS 308, Pascagoula, MS 308, MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 258, Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$11,349 Billings, MT 586		
Grand Forks, NDMN58,La Crosse, WIMN36,Rochester, MN732,St. Cloud, MN738,MISSISSIPPI\$708,Hattiesburg, MS308,Pascagoula, MS399,MISSOURI\$2,025,Columbia, MO604,Jefferson City, MO258,Joplin, MO332,Lee's Summit, MO340,St. Joseph, MOKS488MONTANA\$1,349,Billings, MT586		719,681
La Crosse, WiMN36,Rochester, MN732,St. Cloud, MN738,MISSISSIPPI\$708,Hattiesburg, MS308,Pascagoula, MS309,MISSOURI\$2,025,Columbia, MO604,Jefferson City, MO258,Joplin, MO332,Lee's Summit, MO340,St. Joseph, MOKS488MONTANA\$1,349,Billings, MT586,		233,359
Rochester, MN732, St. Cloud, MNMISSISSIPPI738,Hattiesburg, MS308, Pascagoula, MSMISSOURI399,Columbia, MO604, Jefferson City, MOJoplin, MO332, Lee's Summit, MOSt. Joseph, MOKS488MONTANA\$1,349, Billings, MT		58,013
St. Cloud, MN738,MISSISSIPPI\$708,Hattiesburg, MS308,Pascagoula, MS309,MISSOURI\$2,025,Columbia, MO604,Jefferson City, MO258,Joplin, MO332,Lee's Summit, MO340,St. Joseph, MOKS488MONTANA\$1,349,Billings, MT586,		36,920
MISSISSIPPI \$708, Hattiesburg, MS Pascagoula, MS MISSOURI \$2,025 Columbia, MO Jefferson City, MO Jefferson City, MO St. Joseph, MOKS MONTANA Billings, MT		732,311 738,023
Hattiesburg, MS308.Pascagoula, MS399.MISSOURI\$2,025.Columbia, MO604.Jefferson City, MO258.Joplin, MO332.Lee's Summit, MO340.St. Joseph, MOKS488.MONTANA\$1,349.Billings, MT586.		
Pascagoula, MS399MISSOURI\$2,025Columbia, MO604Jefferson City, MO258Joplin, MO332Lee's Summit, MO340St. Joseph, MOKS488MONTANA\$1,349Billings, MT586		\$708,439
MISSOURI \$2,025, Columbia, MO 604, Jefferson City, MO 258 Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		308,714
Columbia, MO 604. Jefferson City, MO 258. Joplin, MO 332. Lee's Summit, MO 340. St. Joseph, MOKS 488. MONTANA \$1,349. Billings, MT 586.	Pascagoula, MS	399,725
Columbia, MO 604. Jefferson City, MO 258. Joplin, MO 332. Lee's Summit, MO 340. St. Joseph, MOKS 488. MONTANA \$1,349. Billings, MT 586.	MISSOURI	\$2,025,566
Jefferson City, MO 258. Joplin, MO 332. Lee's Summit, MO 340. St. Joseph, MOKS 488. MONTANA \$1,349. Billings, MT 586.		604,766
Joplin, MO 332 Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		258,579
Lee's Summit, MO 340 St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		332,848
St. Joseph, MOKS 488 MONTANA \$1,349 Billings, MT 586		340,491
Billings, MT 586	•	488,882
Billings, MT 586	ΜΟΝΤΑΝΑ	\$1,349,057
		586,997
		381,149
		380,911

TABLE 3

Page 9 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
N. MARIANA ISLANDS	\$332,548
Saipan, MP	332,548
NEBRASKA	\$103,527
Sioux City, IANESD	103,527
NEVADA	\$339,418
Carson City, NV	339,418
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$2,295,023
DoverRochester, NHME	341,650
Manchester, NH	806,966
Nashua, NHMA	956,090
Portsmouth, NHME	190,317
NEW JERSEY	\$1,885,359
Hightstown, NJ	681,627
Vineland, NJ	759,701
WildwoodNorth WildwoodCape May, NJ	444,031
NEW MEXICO	\$1,331,891
Farmington, NM	245,691
Las Cruces, NM Santa Fe. NM	533,209
Santa Fe, NM	552,991
NEW YORK	\$4,687,728
Binghamton, NYPA	1,301,943
Danbury, CTNY	39,655
Elmira, NY	532,259
Glens Falls, NY	378,903
Ithaca, NY Kingston, NY	617,141 348,547
Middletown, NY	338,880
Saratoga Springs, NY	320,146
Utica, NY	810,254
NORTH CAROLINA	\$5,499,572
Burlington, NC	464,334
Concord, NC	537,569
Gastonia, NC	647,323
Goldsboro, NC	275,301
Greenville, NC Hickory, NC	459,905 786,057
High Point, NC	646,818
Jacksonville, NC	474,832
Rocky Mount, NC	308,297
Wilmington, NC	899,136
NORTH DAKOTA	\$1,753,624
Bismarck, ND	564,156
Fargo, NDMN	767,351
Grand Forks, NDMN	422,117
ОНЮ	\$4,365,925
Huntington, WVKYOH	174,114
Lima, OH	373,286
LorainElyria, OH	1,130,778 398,820
Mansfield, OH Middletown, OH	520,695
Newark, OH	514,045
Parkersburg, WVOH	122,519
Sandusky, OH	264,250

Page 10 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Springfield, OH	504.448
Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA	210,377
Wheeling, WVOH	152,593
	152,595
OKLAHOMA	\$1,048,227
Fort Smith, AROK	10,888
Lawton, OK	456,335
Norman, OK	581,004
OREGON	\$1,383,764
Bend, OR	291,626
Corvallis, OR	327,893
Longview, WAOR	7,814
Medford, OR	756,431
PENNSYLVANIA	\$6,951,640
Altoona, PA	480,591
Binghamton, NYPA	21,280
Cumberland, MD-WV-PA	65
Erie, PA	1,331,620
Hagerstown, MDWVPA	6,007
Hazleton, PA	273,936
Johnstown, PA	528,187
Lebanon, PA	429,137
Monessen, PA	429,137 407,535
Pottstown, PA	345,870
State College, PA	901,188
UniontownConnellsville, PA	398,979
Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA	1,302
Williamsport, PA	719,948
York, PA	1,105,995
PUERTO RICO	\$5,134,333
Arecibo, PR	690,647
Fajardo, PR	457,943
FloridaBarcelonetaBajadero, PR	307,256
Guayama, PR	401,364
Juana Diaz, PR	269,599
Mayaguez, PR	624,686
Ponce, PR	1,374,997
San GermanCabo RojoSabana Grande, PR	483,255
Yauco, PR	524,586
RHODE ISLAND	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$2,936,316
Anderson, SC	305,858
Florence, SC	423,537
MauldinSimpsonville, SC	375,753
Myrtle Beach, SC	565,667
Rock Hill, SC	315,109
Spartanburg, SC	635,985
Sumter, SC	314,407
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$1,285,533
Rapid City, SD	391,025
Sioux City, IANESD	18,148
Sioux Falls, SD	876,360
TENNESSEE	\$3,227,979
Bristol, TNBristol, VA	165,835
Clarksville, TNKY	482,239
	402,209

TABLE 3

Page 11 of 12

APPORTIONMENT

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE

	APPORTIONMENT
Cleveland, TN	265.876
Jackson, TN	401,044
Johnson City, TN	457,497
Kingsport, TNVA	401,206
Morristown, TN	247,834
Murfreesboro, TN	806,448
TEXAS	\$16,762,739
Abilene, TX	640,262
Amarillo, TX	1,112,544
Beaumont, TX	734,546
Brownsville, TX	1,257,558
College StationBryan, TX	869,906
Galveston, TX	681,063
Harlingen, TX	607,631
Killeen, TX	1,086,508
Lake JacksonAngleton, TX	431,430
Laredo, TX	1,672,969
Longview, TX	394,453
McKinney, TX Midland, TX	308,899
Odessa, TX	585,807 643,067
Port Arthur, TX	723,269
San Angelo, TX	615,573
Sherman, TX	299,930
Temple, TX	382,058
Texarkana, TXTexarkana, AR	220,300
Texas City, TX	500,308
The Woodlands, TX	712,405
Tyler, TX	542,499
Victoria, TX	283,673
Waco, TX	905,495
Wichita Falls, TX	550,586
UTAH	\$903,058
Logan, UT	558,270
St. George, UT	344,788
VERMONT	\$735,856
Burlington, VT	735,856
VIRGIN ISLANDS	\$402,182 1/
VIRGINIA	\$4,554,131
Blacksburg, VA	650,828
Bristol, TNBristol, VA	96,699
Charlottesville, VA	665,648
Danville, VA	256,424
Fredericksburg, VA	479,608
Harrisonburg, VA	483,437
Kingsport, TNVA Lynchburg, VA	7,576 593,271
Roanoke, VA	1,046,965
Winchester, VA	273,675
WASHINGTON	\$7,133,310
Bellingham, WA	768,708
Bremerton, WA	1,142,952
KennewickRichland, WA	1,207,144
Lewiston, IDWA	99,174
Longview, WAOR	351,770
Marysville, WA	702,682
Mount Vernon, WA	388,228
OlympiaLacey, WA	1,105,861

Page 12 of 12

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Wenatchee, WA	570,553
Yakima, WA	796,238
WEST VIRGINIA	\$3,153,065
Charleston, WV	1,051,503
Cumberland, MD-WV-PA	10,820
Hagerstown, MDWVPA	141,611
Huntington, WVKYOH	470,554
Morgantown, WV	722,566
Parkersburg, WVOH	319,602
Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA	146,004
Wheeling, WVOH	290,405
WISCONSIN	\$7,910,005
Appleton, WI	1,188,635
Beloit, WIIL	249,697
Duluth, MNWI	224,658
Eau Claire, WI	587,972
Fond du Lac, WI	309,803
Green Bay, WI	1,115,531
Janesville, WI	390,570
Kenosha, WI	711,789
La Crosse, WIMN	619,194
Oshkosh, WI	649,817
Racine, WI	863,947
Sheboygan, WI	506,196
Wausau, WI	492,196
WYOMING	\$722,705
Casper, WY	339,309
Cheyenne, WY	383,396
Total	\$194,975,991

1/ Language in section 5307(I) of SAFETEA-LU directs that the Virgin Islands be treated as an urbanized area.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3A

URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 OR MORE IN POPULATION ELIGIBLE TO USE SECTION 5307 FUNDS FOR OPERATING ASSISTANCE

State	2000 Census Urbanized Area Description	Population	FY 2002 Apportionment	FY 2010 Apportionment Operating Limitation
AL	Huntsville, AL	213,253	\$1,677,473	\$838,737
CA	Antioch, CA	217,591	\$1,914,688	\$957,344
CA	IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA	254,856	\$1,849,608	\$924,804
	(Indio-Coachella, CA \$621,797)			
	(Palm Springs, CA \$1,227,811)			
CA	LancasterPalmdale, CA	263,532	\$2,206,544	\$1,103,272
CA	Santa Rosa, CA	285,408	\$2,636,339	\$1,318,170
CA	VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA	200,436	\$1,311,837	\$655,919
CA	TemeculaMurrieta, CA	229,810		\$623,817
со	Fort Collins, CO	206,757	\$1,156,197	\$578,099
СТ	BridgeportStamford, CTNY	888,890	\$9,676,425	\$4,838,213
	(Stamford, CT-NY \$5,332,860)			
	(Norwalk, CT \$4,343,565)			
СТ	Hartford, CT	851,535	\$2,824,453	\$1,412,22
	(Bristol, CT \$983,277)			
	(New Britain, CT \$1,841,176)			
FL	Port St. Lucie, FL	270.774	\$1,982,206	\$991,103
	(Fort Pierce, FL \$1,142,501)	,	••••	·,·
	(Stuart, FL \$839,705)			
FL	Bonita SpringsNaples, FL	221,251	\$954,953	\$477,47
FL	Tallahassee, FL	204,260	\$1,617,975	\$808,98
GA	Savannah, GA	208,886	\$1,824,225	\$912,113
ID	Boise City, ID	272,625	\$2,021,464	\$1,010,73
IL	Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI	226,848	\$1,088,609	\$544,30
IL	Chicago, ILIN	8,307,904	\$6,599,240	\$3,299,62
	(Aurora, IL \$2,290,318)			
	(Crystal Lake, IL \$746,464)			
	(Elgin, IL \$1,652,124)			
	(Joliet, IL \$1,910,334)			
IN	Evansville, INKY	211,989	\$2,251,898	\$1,125,94
MA	Barnstable Town, MA	243,667	\$538,120	\$269,06
MA	Boston, MANHRI	4,032,484	\$4,760,673	\$2,380,33
	(Brockton, MA \$1,906,558)			
	(Lowell, MA-NH \$2,366,926)			
	(Taunton, MA \$487,189)			
MD	Baltimore, MD	2,076,354	\$858,335	\$429,16
	(Annapolis, MD \$858,335)	,,.		
мо	Springfield, MO	215,004	\$1,748,930	\$874,46
MS	GulfportBiloxi, MS	205,754	\$1,687,127	\$843,56
NC	Winston-Salem, NC	299,290	\$1,811,413	\$905,70
NC	Asheville, NC	221,570	\$968,044	\$484,02
NC	Greensboro, NC	267,884	\$2,211,540	\$1,105,77
NE	Lincoln, NE	226,582	\$2,658,761	\$1,329,38
NJ	Atlantic City, NJ	227,180	\$1,842,968	\$921,48
NY	PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY (Poughkeepsie, NY \$1,507,504)	351,982	\$2,225,147	\$1,112,57
	(rougnikeepsie, ivi \$1,307,504)			

(Newburgh, NY -- \$717,643)

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3A

URBANIZED AREAS 200,000 OR MORE IN POPULATION ELIGIBLE TO USE SECTION 5307 FUNDS FOR OPERATING ASSISTANCE

State	2000 Census Urbanized Area Description	Population	FY 2002 Apportionment	FY 2010 Apportionment Operating Limitation
ОН	Youngstown, OHPA (Sharon, PA-OH \$465,043)	417,437	\$465,043	\$232,522
ОН	Cincinnati, OHKYIN (Hamilton, OH \$1,384,842)	1,503,262	\$1,384,842	\$692,421
OR	Eugene, OR	224,049	\$2,559,936	\$1,279,968
OR	Salem, OR	207,229	\$2,070,221	\$1,035,111
PA	Reading, PA	240,264	\$2,636,837	\$1,318,419
PA	Lancaster, PA	323,554	\$2,258,871	\$1,129,436
PR	AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR	299,086	\$1,148,984	\$574,492
PR	San Juan, PR (Caguas, PR \$2,811,557) (Cayey, PR \$831,273) (Humacao, PR \$719,451) (Vega Baja-Manati, PR \$1,562,942)	2,216,616	\$5,925,223	\$2,962,612
RI	Providence, RIMA (Newport, RI \$644,329) (Fall River, MA-RI \$2,051,153)	1,174,548	\$2,695,482	\$1,347,741
тх	Lubbock, TX	202,225	\$1,939,424	\$969,712
тх	DentonLewisville, TX (Denton, TX \$599,570) (Lewisville, TX \$692,152)	299,823	\$1,291,722	\$645,861
VA	Richmond, VA (Petersburg, VA \$1,016,957)	818,836	\$1,016,957	\$508,479

a/ The amount shown represents the amount allowable based on funding provided in the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 - P.L. 111-8 and SAFETEA-LU technical corrections. In cases where an urbanized area's FY 2010 available apportionment is less than the allowable amount, FTA will set the operating assistance budget, in TEAM-Web, at an amount not to exceed the FY 2010 available apportionment.

Note: For informational purposes, the affected 1990 census small urbanized areas (less than 200,000 population) that were merged into an existing urbanized area of at least 200,000 population are shown in parentheses immediately below the eligible 2000 census urbanized area. FTA is unable to identify the urbanized areas which now incorporate rural areas that received Section 5311 in FY 2002 and they are not included in this table.

TABLE 4

FY 2010 SECTION 5307 APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Distribution of Available Funds

Of the funds made available to the Section 5307 program, a one percent takedown is authorized for Small Transit Intensive Cities. This amount is apportioned to the Governors based on a separate formula that uses criteria related to specific service performance categories.

The remaining funds are apportioned to small, medium, and large sized urbanized areas (UZAs). 9.32% is made available for UZAs 50,000-199,999 in population, and 90.68% to UZAs 200,000 or more in population.

UZA Population and Weighting Factors

50,000-199,999 in population :	9.32% of available Section 5307 funds
(Apportioned to Governors)	50% apportioned based on population
	50% apportioned based on population x population density
200,000 and greater in population:	90.68% of available Section 5307 funds
(Apportioned to UZAs)	33.29% (Fixed Guideway Tier*)
	95.61% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)
	at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater
	60% - fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles
	40% - fixed guideway route miles
	4.39% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)
	at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater
	fixed guideway passenger miles x fixed guideway passenger miles/operating cost
	66.71% ("Bus" Tier)
	90.8% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)
	73.39% for UZAs with population 1,000,000 or greater
	50% - bus revenue vehicle miles
	25% - population
	25% - population x population density
•	26.61% for UZAs pop. < 1,000,000
	50% - bus revenue vehicle miles
	25% - population
	25% - population x density
	9.2% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)
	bus passenger miles x bus passenger miles/operating cost

* Includes all fixed guideway modes, such as heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, exclusive busways, and HOV lanes.

TABLE 5

FISCAL YEAR 2010 FORMULA PROGRAMS APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUES

(Apportionment unit values are based on funding made available under the FY 2010 Continuing Resolution - P.L. 111-117)

Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Bus Tier Urbanized Areas Over 1,000,000:	APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUE
Population Population x Density Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$1.39841610 \$0.00035482 \$0.17613278
Urbanized Areas Under 1,000,000:	
Population Population x Density Bus Revenue Vehicle Mile	\$1.28159331 \$0.00056074 \$0.22900919
Bus Incentive (PM denotes Passenger Mile):	
Bus PM x Bus PM = Operating Cost	\$0.00377591
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Fixed Guideway Tier Fixed Guideway Revenue Vehicle Mile Fixed Guideway Route Mile Commuter Rail Floor	\$0.25893392 \$13,691 \$3,577,357
Fixed Guideway Incentive:	
<u>Fixed Guideway PM x Fixed Guideway PM =</u> Operating Cost	\$0.00026752
Commuter Rail Incentive Floor	\$164,257
Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 200,000 Population Population x Density	\$2.57765050 \$0.00128224
Section 5307 Small Transit Intensive Cities	
For Each Qualifying Performance Category	\$56,826
Section 5311 Urbanized Area Formula Program - Areas Under 50,000 Population	\$1.59515476

Section 5309 Capital Program - Fixed Guideway Modernization

_	Tier 2	Tier 3	Tier 4	Tier 5	Tier 6	Tier 7
Legislatively Specified Areas: Revenue Vehicle Mile Route Mile	\$0.01308985 \$912.86		\$0.05880084 \$3,365.70	\$0.01451199 \$1,129.67	\$0.00956834 \$744.84	\$0.10753224 \$8,370.73
Other Urbanized Areas: Revenue Vehicle Mile Route Mile	\$0.07005658 \$2,046.72	\$0.00247808 \$72.40	\$0.05880084 \$3,365.70	\$0.02700359 \$790.52	\$0.02204374 \$645.32	\$0.37160243 \$10,878.54

Notes:

1. Unit values for Section 5307 do not take into account Section 5340 funding added to the program.

 The unit value for Section 5311 is based on the total nonurbanized/rural population for the States and territories. It does not take into account Section 5311 funds allocated based on land area in nonurbanized areas, or Section 5340 funding added to the program.

z	
0	
Ē	
4	
ŝ	
F	
ò	
ij	
4	
Σ	
ā	
٩	
Ľ	
E.	
ŝ	
Z	
Δ.	
Ē	
-	
_	
<	
Ω,	
ш	
ш	
ш	

 Table 6

 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

 (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger Miles per Vehicle	Passenger Miles per Vahiole	Vehicle Revenue Mila par	Vehicle Revenue Hour per	Passenger Miles ner	December Trine	Number of Performance	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Revenue Mile	Revenue Hour	Capita	Capita	capita	per Capita	Exceeded	or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Alabama	Anniston, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Auburn, AL	0.747	13.046	4.559	0.261	3.403	0.940	0	0
Alabama	Decatur, AL	1.993	29.456	8.817	0.596	17.570	3.315	0	0
Alabama	Dothan, AL	0.860	11.912	10.594	0.765	9.114	2.033	0	0
Alabama	Florence, AL	1.974	27.515	8.228	0.590	16.239	5.584	0	0
Alabama	Gadsden, AL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Alabama	Montgomery, AL	4.310	66.059	8.341	0.544	35.947	6.762	0	0
Alabama	Tuscaloosa, AL	3.245	36.145	2.966	0.266	9.623	1.801	0	0
Alaska	Fairbanks, AK	4.518	73.759	10.535	0.645	47.603	6.546	0	0
Arizona	Avondale, AZ	0.791	13.071	6.281	0.380	4.970	1.131	0	0
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ	3.621	51.521	11.433	0.803	41.393	16.158	0	0
Arizona	Prescott, AZ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arizona	Yuma, AZCA	2.244	32.518	8.238	0.568	18.486	2.864	0	0
Arkansas	FayettevilleSpringdale, AR	3.684	41.822	4.403	0.388	16.220	8.258	0	0
Arkansas	Fort Smith, AROK	2.694	35.820	4.063	0.306	10.947	2.379	0	0
Arkansas	Hot Springs, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Jonesboro, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Arkansas	Pine Bluff, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	AtascaderoEl Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA	0.000	0.000	4.893	0.170	0.000	1.401	0	0
California	Camarillo, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Chico, CA	5.290	75.833	13.726	0.958	72.615	13.666	N	126,554
California	Davis, CA	8.827	110.152	16.500	1.322	145.649	52.094	9	379,665
California	El Centro, CA	0.000	0.000	5.059	0.270	0.000	3.132	0	0
California	Fairfield, CA	4.440	84.154	12.416	0.655	55.132	6.758	-	63,277
California	GilroyMorgan Hill, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Hanford, CA	5.183	174.829	71.789	2.128	372.082	19.713	5	316,386
California	Hemet, CA	1.831	34.644	5.358	0.283	9.810	1.060	0	0
California	Livermore, CA	3.918	49.935	4.897	0.384	19.187	3.912	0	0
California	Lodi, CA	1.017	13.202	11.220	0.864	11.410	4.684	÷	63,277
California	Lompoc, CA	12.310	179.888	8.952	0.613	110.204	6.761	Э	189,832
California	Madera, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Manteca, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

1 of 10

-

ATION	
STR	
NIMON	
	:
TRANSI	
ERAL	
FED	

Table 6 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger	Passenger	Vehicle	Vehicle			Number of	STIC Funding:
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Hevenue Mile per Capita	Hevenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Factors Met or Exceeded	@ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
California	Merced, CA	1.929	38.960	7.033	0.348	13.567	2.302	0	0
California	Napa, CA	2.820	32.334	7.125	0.621	20.096	5.330	0	0
California	Petaluma, CA	3.912	53.554	7.574	0.553	29.625	5.085	0	0
California	Porterville, CA	5.248	80.025	7.106	0.466	37.293	8.552	0	0
California	Redding, CA	3.754	55.890	10.482	0.704	39.345	7.528	0	0
California	Salinas, CA	8.118	132.088	8.209	0.505	66.641	9.800	N	126,554
California	San Luis Obispo, CA	14.158	220.858	13.212	0.847	187.051	21.017	9	379,665
California	Santa Barbara, CA	12.816	178.862	16.867	1.209	216.175	42.209	9	379,664
California	Santa Clarita, CA	11.086	191.260	15.004	0.870	166.329	16.010	5	316,386
California	Santa Cruz, CA	9.403	131.217	22.398	1.605	210.601	34.747	9	379,664
California	Santa Maria, CA	6.129	106.266	10.135	0.585	62.125	10.514	0	0
California	SeasideMontereyMarina, CA	6.954	111.947	17.604	1.093	122.413	18.063	9	379,664
California	Simi Valley, CA	3.452	47.067	5.885	0.432	20.315	4.027	0	0
California	Tracy, CA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
California	Turlock, CA	1.941	31.560	19.580	1.204	37.999	18.133	З	189,832
California	Vacaville, CA	5.758	113.656	0.600	0.030	3.458	0.558	-	63,277
California	Vallejo, CA	3.846	58.543	8.911	0.585	34.275	6.568	0	0
California	Visalia, CA	4.734	61.416	11.093	0.855	52.516	12.660		63,277
California	Watsonville, CA	8.742	129.217	9.313	0.630	81.412	12.864	N	126,554
California	Yuba City, CA	5.959	90.637	11.064	0.727	65.931	9.653	0	0
Colorado	Boulder, CO	9.819	134.696	9.917	0.723	97.376	19.439	4	253,109
Colorado	Grand Junction, CO	4.475	71.012	8.940	0.563	40.005	8.227	0	0
Colorado	Greeley, CO	3.629	44.213	5.861	0.481	21.272	5.770	0	0
Colorado	LafayetteLouisville, CO	6.795	95.447	6.567	0.468	44.625	8.454	-	63,277
Colorado	Longmont, CO	8.854	120.032	10.496	0.774	92.926	16.540	ю	189,832
Colorado	Pueblo, CO	4.699	66.156	6.483	0.461	30.467	9.809	0	0
Connecticut	Danbury, CTNY	29.157	768.622	34.856	1.322	1016.300	43.690	9	379,664
Connecticut	NorwichNew London, CT	6.384	119.279	9.194	0.492	58.694	7.264	-	63,277
Connecticut	Waterbury, CT	28.165	652.625	29.547	1.275	832.186	43.774	9	379,664
Delaware	Dover, DE	3.119	53.150	30.054	1.764	93.746	12.375	2	126,554
Florida	Brooksville, FL	1.895	36.584	5.712	0.296	10.827	1.884	0	0
Florida	Deltona, FL	3.397	51.675	8.216	0.540	27.908	5.335	0	0

7
ົດ
×
5
2
μ,
<u>s</u>
Z
Ξ.
S.
9
<
F-
2
<u> </u>
2
~
Ľ
—
_
2
LL.
ш
ш
ш.

Table 6 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger	Passenger	Vehicle	Vehicle				STIC Funding:
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Revenue Mile per Capita	Revenue Hour per Canita	Passenger Miles per Canita	Passenger Trips	Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	@ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Florida	Fort Walton Beach, FL	1.564	21.439	6.841	0.499	10.700	1.905	0	0
Florida	Gainesville, FL	8.177	94.448	19.573	1.695	160.052	56.695	5	316,386
Florida	Kissimmee, FL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Florida	Lady Lake, FL	2.389	39.134	10.915	0.666	26.070	1.670	0	0
Florida	Lakeland, FL	4.784	80.078	12.728	0.760	60.895	11.859	-	63,277
Florida	LeesburgEustis, FL	2.390	39.139	11.533	0.704	27.563	1.803	0	0
Florida	North PortPunta Gorda, FL	1.073	17.696	4.099	0.249	4.398	0.620	0	0
Florida	Ocala, FL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Florida	Panama City, FL	2.581	44.119	7.805	0.457	20.141	4.170	0	0
Florida	St. Augustine, FL	2.562	49.655	8.916	0.460	22.840	3.132	0	0
Florida	Titusville, FL	6.771	207.398	20.109	0.656	136.148	4.822	4	253,109
Florida	Vero BeachSebastian, FL	3.355	37.603	6.329	0.565	21.237	3.715	0	0
Florida	Winter Haven, FL	1.175	17.625	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.	0
Florida	Zephyrhills, FL	4.902	82.880	6.441	0.381	31.577	4.770	0	0
Georgia	Albany, GA	5.852	93.321	6.805	0.427	39.822	8.299	0	0
Georgia	Athens-Clarke County, GA	6.310	72.160	7.896	0.690	49.823	15.778	0	0
Georgia	Brunswick, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Dalton, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Gainesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Hinesville, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Macon, GA	4.732	60.391	8.366	0.656	39.593	8.698	0	0
Georgia	Rome, GA	7.814	96.701	9.869	0.797	77.116	11.571	-	63,277
Georgia	Valdosta, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Georgia	Warner Robins, GA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Hawaii	Kailua (Honolulu County)Kaneohe, HI	9.343	128.989	2.141	0.155	20.002	4.342	N	126,554
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Idaho Falls, ID	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Lewiston, IDWA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Idaho	Nampa, ID	3.711	81.094	5.849	0.268	21.703	2.026	0	0
Idaho	Pocatello, ID	4.403	60.540	7.974	0.580	35.112	7.208	0	0
Illinois	Alton, IL	4.677	83.072	4.219	0.238	19.732	2.856	0	0
Illinois	BloomingtonNormal, IL	3.950	51.180	10.807	0.834	42.684	15.409	-	63,277

3 of 10

7
5
<u>o</u>
F
3
F
5
<u> </u>
Z
=
2
9
<
S
Ż
2
~
Ë
-
4
Ш
lain.

Table 6 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger Miles per	Passenger Miles per	Vehicle Revenue	Vehicle Revenue	Passenger		Number of Performance	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Vehicle Revenue Mile	Vehicle Revenue Hour	Mile per Capita	Hour per Capita	Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Factors Met or Exceeded	per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Illinois	Champaign, IL	9.844	113.335	24.381	2.118	240.008	77.605	9	379,664
Illinois	Danville, IL	6.212	112.104	8.747	0.485	54.341	9.043	+	63,277
Illinois	Decatur, IL	3.350	46.339	11.472	0.829	38.428	12.886	-	63,277
Illinois	DeKalb, IL	1.692	26.108	10.331	0.670	17.484	2.202	0	0
Illinois	Kankakee, IL	5.444	81.563	10.964	0.732	59.686	8.231	0	0
Illinois	Springfield, IL	2.910	35.904	9.795	0.794	28.504	9.654	0	0
Indiana	Anderson, IN	1.907	25.447	4.419	0.331	8.429	1.879	0	0
Indiana	Bloomington, IN	5.614	60.166	12.369	1.154	69.441	30.950	ъ	189,832
Indiana	Columbus, IN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Eikhart, INMI	2.263	35.111	5.710	0.368	12.920	2.682	0	0
Indiana	Kokomo, IN	1.168	11.495	9.401	0.955	10.979	2.419	-	63,277
Indiana	Lafayette, IN	6.469	74.260	12.500	1.089	80.859	39.989	ε	189,832
Indiana	Michigan City, INMI	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Indiana	Muncie, IN	5.566	72.752	12.405	0.949	69.041	23.117	в	189,832
Indiana	Terre Haute, IN	1.247	11.741	5.672	0.602	7.073	4.228	0	0
lowa	Ames, IA	6.355	67.704	22.221	2.086	141.219	91.601	4	253,109
lowa	Cedar Rapids, IA	3.610	51.513	9.825	0.689	35.471	7.911	0	0
lowa	Dubuque, IAIL	2.121	24.291	7.420	0.648	15.737	4.648	0	0
lowa	Iowa City, IA	5.513	61.757	22.686	2.025	125.078	72.046	4	253,109
lowa	Sioux City, IANESD	8.876	99.750	5.660	0.504	50.236	9.766	+	63,277
lowa	Waterloo, IA	0.975	15.753	9.939	0.615	9.692	4.647	0	0
Kansas	Lawrence, KS	2.334	28.481	11.263	0.923	26.283	8.911	-	63,277
Kansas	Topeka, KS	4.655	74.629	10.785	0.673	50.205	13.017	0	0
Kentucky	Bowling Green, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	Owensboro, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Kentucky	RadcliffElizabethtown, KY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Alexandria, LA	4.607	71.843	8.080	0.518	37.223	9.352	0	0
Louisiana	Houma, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Lafayette, LA	9.982	131.735	4.498	0.341	44.899	9.020	N	126,554
Louisiana	Lake Charles, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	MandevilleCovington, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Louisiana	Monroe, LA	16.641	224.404	6.008	0.446	99.990	10.674	ε	189,832

		Table 6						
FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)	ansit Intensive nding made availal	Cities Perform ble under the Cont	iance Data a inuing Approp	and Apport	ionments lution, 2010 - I	(<i>111-111</i> , 17,		
	Passenger	Passenger	Vehicle	Vehicle			Number of	STIC F
	Miles per	Miles per	Revenue	Revenue	Passenger		Performance	i\$` ∼®
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Mile per	Hour per	Miles per	Miles per Passenger Trips Factors Met or	Factors Met or	per Fac
ed Area (UZA) Description	Revenue Mile	Revenue Mile Revenue Hour	Capita	Capita	Capita	per Capita	Exceeded	or Exc
e for UZAs with populations 200,000 -	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		j.
A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	
ME	5.804	79.405	9.779	0.715	56.753	13.976	0	
л, МЕ	3.287	41.581	4.739	0.375	15.578	4.728	0	
I, ME	6.425	74.704	8.462	0.728	54.371	13.601	0	
enHavre de GraceBel Air. MD	3.550	66.298	4.060	0.217	14.416	1.786	0	

		Passenger Miles per Vehicle	Passenger Miles per Vehicle	Vehicle Revenue Mile per	Vehicle Revenue Hour per	Passenger Miles per	Passenger Trips	or e	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Revenue Mile	Revenue Hour	Capita	Capita	Capita	per Capita	Exceeded	or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Louisiana	Slidell, LA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Maine	Bangor, ME	5.804	79.405	9.779	0.715	56.753	13.976	0	0
Maine	Lewiston, ME	3.287	41.581	4.739	0.375	15.578	4.728	0	0
Maine	Portland, ME	6.425	74.704	8.462	0.728	54.371	13.601	0	0
Maryland	AberdeenHavre de GraceBel Air, MD	3.550	66.298	4.060	0.217	14.416	1.786	0	0
Maryland	Cumberland, MDWVPA	4.505	70.975	9.676	0.614	43.593	4.652	0	0
Maryland	Frederick, MD	3.146	43.817	9.352	0.671	29.418	6.194	0	0
	Hagerstown, MDWVPA	3.024	46.081	3.951	0.259	11.948	3.175	0	0
	Salisbury, MDDE	1.000	24.861	38.095	1.532	38.095	7.537	2	126,554
Maryland	St. Charles, MD	3.177	55.642	8.540	0.488	27.132	3.225	0	0
	Westminster, MD	1.235	16.003	11.156	0.861	13.781	1.898	-	63,277
usetts	LeominsterFitchburg, MA	3.215	39.393	16.594	1.354	53.343	6.870	2	126,554
Massachusetts	New Bedford, MA	4.737	57.550	6.148	0.506	29.127	6.320	0	0
Massachusetts	Pittsfield, MA	3.706	51.959	10.924	0.779	40.484	5.235	0	0
Michigan	Battle Creek, MI	3.788	48.943	6.360	0.492	24.092	6.465	0	0
Michigan	Bay City, MI	2.399	42.887	20.496	1.147	49.175	8.704	N	126,554
Michigan	Benton HarborSt. Joseph, MI	2.016	26.750	7.529	0.568	15.182	3.133	0	0
Michigan	Holland, MI	0.901	11.251	8.593	0.688	7.739	3.196	0	0
Michigan	Jackson, MI	2.575	36.406	8.284	0.586	21.331	6.376	0	0
Michigan	Kalamazoo, Mi	4.119	50.861	9.680	0.784	39.873	13.576	0	0
Michigan	Monroe, MI	2.702	35.052	9.228	0.711	24.930	5.576	0	0
Michigan	Muskegon, MI	3.860	50.608	5.015	0.382	19.356	4.587	0	0
Michigan	Port Huron, MI	1.523	22.372	19.628	1.336	29.896	10:473	N	126,554
Michigan	Saginaw, MI	4.483	71.233	5.670	0.357	25.419	7.192	0	0
Michigan	South LyonHowellBrighton, MI	1.960	39.745	5.485	0.270	10.749	0.842	0	0
Minnesota	Duluth, MNWI	7.073	93.227	16.489	1.251	116.637	27.432	5	316,386
Minnesota	Rochester, MN	5.706	88.580	12.749	0.821	72.743	19.366	ю	189,832
Minnesota	St. Cloud, MN	5.502	75.353	17.108	1.249	94.135	25.447	в	189,832
Mississippi	Hattiesburg, MS	0.000	0.000			0.000		0	0
Mississippi	Pascagoula, MS	9.127	485.744	2.960	0.056	27.017	0.546	0	126,554
Missouri	Columbia, MO	5.788	52.765	7.050	0.773	40.802	18.855	-	63,277
Missouri	Jefferson City, MO	2.146	31.552	10.640	0.724	22.832	8.367	0	0

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Z
0
č
7
~
<u> </u>
5
¥
Z
5
5
~
-
F
SIT
10
10
INNSI
10
RANSI
RANSI
TRANSI

Table 6

FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded 253,109 189,832 126,554 63,277 63.277 Performance Factors Met or Number of Exceedec 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 N З 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 --4 Passenger Trips per Capita 11.692 15.553 0.000 0.110 7.178 6.806 0.000 0.000 2.700 3.396 2.288 0.409 0.399 0.000 0.000 7.004 8.993 20.987 11.107 5.888 63.171 0.000 0.000 6.644 9.455 0.000 0.000 0.000 4.687 2.111 0.000 0.000 0.000 Passenger Miles per Capita 167.375 17.785 40.423 21.158 27.680 14.582 22.034 48.203 20.749 89.604 0.000 0.000 0.000 20.982 8.647 10.781 1.766 0.000 0.000 30.941 87.990 0.000 0.000 25.667 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.777 22.009 2.471 Hour per Revenue Vehicle Capita 0.716 0.000 0.000 0.216 0.339 0.186 0.189 0.000 0.000 0.572 1.247 1.180 0.789 0.358 2.539 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.903 0.549 0.725 0.000 0.029 0.206 0.000 0.325 0.723 0.000 0.000 0.772 0.027 0.000 0.000 Vehicle Revenue Mile per 37.443 10.225 6.378 16.942 14.779 Capita 11.777 0.000 0.406 0.000 0.000 3.685 2.418 2.773 0.000 14.327 5.564 0.000 0.000 3.575 0.000 0.000 0.000 9.984 7.752 9.188 3.194 0.429 3.417 0.000 8.755 0.000 0.000 0.000 Revenue Hour Passenger Miles per Vehicle 107.800 28.798 20.108 56.466 58.063 94.268 38.550 59.166 24.369 50.431 0.000 0.000 97.187 25.487 60.123 11.988 0.000 0.000 24.820 74.547 61.127 65.931 0.000 0.000 63.841 35.505 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Revenue Mile Miles per Vehicle Passenger 0.000 1.915 2.204 3.953 0.000 0.000 2.346 4.459 6.414 4.119 0.723 0.000 0.000 3.455 2.160 3.262 3.803 4.470 0.000 0.000 5.804 2.932 0.000 0.000 6.409 3.571 1.587 6.569 5.193 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 999,999 Wildwood--North Wildwood--Cape May, NJ **Urbanized Area (UZA) Description** Dover--Rochester, NH--ME Saratoga Springs, NY Binghamton, NY--PA Portsmouth, NH--ME St. Joseph, MO--KS -ee's Summit, MO Nashua, NH--MA Carson City, NV Manchester, NH Farmington, NM Las Cruces, NM Hightstown, NJ Glens Falls, NY Middletown, NY Great Falls, MT Goldsboro, NC Greenville, NC Burlington, NC Santa Fe, NM Missoula, MT Gastonia, NC Kingston, NY Vineland, NJ Concord, NC Saipan, MP Hickory, NC Billings, MT Joplin, MO Elmira, NY Ithaca, NY Utica, NY N. Mariana Islands New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire New Hampshire North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina North Carolina New Mexico New Jersey New Jersey New Mexico New Mexico New Jersey New York Montana Montana Missouri Missouri Missouri Montana Nevada State

z
ā
¥
F
2
Ē
F
<u>s</u>
Ī
Ē
2
Ω
<
F
-
ŝ
5
2
щ
-
_
<
œ
ш
Δ
ш
ш.

 Table 6

 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

 (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

-		Passenger	Passenger Miloc por	Vehicle	Vehicle	Dacconder		Number of	STIC Funding:
		Vehicle	Vehicle	Mile per	Hour per	Miles per	Passenger Trips	Factors Met or	per Factor Met
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Revenue Mile	Revenue Hour	Capita	Capita	Capita	per Capita	Exceeded	or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
North Carolina	High Point, NC	2.714	38.855	7.284	0.509	19.770	6.325	0	0
North Carolina	Jacksonville, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Rocky Mount, NC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
North Carolina	Wilmington, NC	2.333	35.349	12.399	0.818	28.929	9.428	0	126,554
North Dakota	Bismarck, ND	1.157	14.875	13.204	1.027	15.273	4.259	2	126,554
North Dakota	Fargo, NDMN	3.962	51.799	7.988	0.611	31.652	9.643	0	0
North Dakota	Grand Forks, NDMN	2.244	22.432	10.755	1.076	24.136	6.340	1	63,277
Ohio	Lima, OH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Ohio	LorainElyria, OH	3.147	57.892	7.841	0.426	24.675	4.095	0	0
Ohio	Mansfield, OH	3.117	35.408	4.066	0.358	12.675	4.079	0	0
Ohio	Middletown, OH	4.731	68.381	2.785	0.193	13.173	2.628	0	0
Ohio	Newark, OH	1.022	16.867	21.395	1.296	21.859	3.696	N	126,554
Ohio	Sandusky, OH	1.264	16.036	5.815	0.458	7.349	1.505	0	0
Ohio	Springfield, OH	3.190	36.016	3.085	0.273	9.841	5.163	0	0
Ohio	Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oklahoma	Lawton, OK	2.832	41.780	7.203	0.488	20.402	4.657	0	0
Oklahoma	Norman, OK	4.970	56.120	6.019	0.533	29.914	13.565	0	0
Oregon	Bend, OR	0.427	4.458	6.451	0.619	2.757	5.208	0	0
Oregon	Corvallis, OR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Oregon	Medford, OR	5.510	83.573	7.494	0.494	41.289	7.968	0	0
Pennsylvania	Altoona, PA	3.965	50.313	5.837	0.460	23.144	6.691	0	0
Pennsylvania	Erie, PA	3.274	37.965	15.557	1.341	50.926	16.033	N	126,554
Pennsylvania	Hazleton, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	Johnstown, PA	6.874	74.141	9.325	0.865	64.099	16.034	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Lebanon, PA	3.666	53.221	11.877	0.818	43.536	5.766		63,277
Pennsylvania	Monessen, PA	15.394	205.228	4.699	0.352	72.332	2.360	N	126,554
Pennsylvania	Pottstown, PA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Pennsylvania	State College, PA	10.804	138.909	21.555	1.676	232.879	91.999	9	379,664
Pennsylvania	UniontownConnellsville, PA	1.392	23.069	22.296	1.345	31.028	3.866	2	126,554
Pennsylvania	Williamsport, PA	7.459	114.002	14.225	0.931	106.101	21.939	9	379,664
Pennsylvania	York, PA	3.412	46.264	13.588	1.002	46.369	9.403	2	126,554
Puerto Rico	Arecibo, PR	0.000	0.000	· 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0

7 of 10

NO	
μ	
ТŖ	
IS.	
Ĩ	
Ą	
F	
NS	
TR/	
Ļ	
R A	
EDE	
Ш	

 Table 6
 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

 (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger Miles per Vehicle	Passenger Miles per Vehicle	venicie Revenue Mile per	Venicie Revenue Hour per	Passenger Miles per	Passenger Trips	Performance Factors Met or	© ~ \$56,826
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Revenue Mile	Revenue Hour	Capita	Capita	Capita	per Capita	Exceeded	or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Puerto Rico	Fajardo, PR	6.682	82.823	3.431	0.277	22.926	10.001	٢	63,277
Puerto Rico	FloridaBarcelonetaBajadero, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Guayama, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Juana Diaz, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Mayaguez, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Ponce, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	San GermanCabo RojoSabana Grande, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Puerto Rico	Yauco, PR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Anderson, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Florence, SC	2.215	49.424	31.162	1.397	69.036	5.744	2	126,554
South Carolina	MauldinSimpsonville, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Myrtle Beach, SC	2.133	29.094	5.626	0.412	12.000	2.325	0	0
South Carolina	Rock Hill, SC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
South Carolina	Spartanburg, SC	3.628	51.372	8.374	0.591	30.378	4.595	0	0
South Carolina	Sumter, SC	4.243	91.997	10.097	0.466	42.846	3.580	0	0
South Dakota	Rapid City, SD	2.728	33.197	7.318	0.601	19.962	4.919	0	0
South Dakota	Sioux Falls, SD	5.021	63.669	10.411	0.821	52.272	8.258	-	63,277
Tennessee	Bristol, TNBristol, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Clarksville, TNKY	3.499	53.443	9.636	0.631	33.720	5.977	0	0
Tennessee	Cleveland, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Jackson, TN	3.395	45.321	11.609	0.870	39.411	8.230	-	63,277
Tennessee	Johnson City, TN	3.361	38.689	5.923	0.515	19.910	5.270	0	0
Tennessee	Kingsport, TNVA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Morristown, TN	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Tennessee	Murfreesboro, TN	10.095	137.705	0.301	0.022	3.034	0.665	2	126,554
Texas	Abilene, TX	2.428	32.333	9.607	0.721	23.327	5.443	0	0
Texas	Amarillo, TX	1.838	29.018	4.869	0.308	8.949	2.081	0	0
Texas	Beaumont, TX	3.804	52.243	5.865	0.427	22.310	4.769	0	0
Texas	Brownsville, TX	15.182	181.177	6.024	0.505	91.463	10.737	2	126,554
Texas	College StationBryan, TX	1.474	25.279	4.992	0.291	7.359	3.171	0	0
Texas	Galveston, TX	1.395	15.418	12.652	1.144	17.645	20.429	3	189,832
Texas	Harlingen, TX	0.392	4.532	0.517	0.045	0.203	0.129	0	0

4
0
E
2
Ħ
5
<u><u></u></u>
Z
Ē
2
Q
<
F
<u>s</u>
z
₹
£
Ë
Ļ
2
~
Ш
-

 Table 6
 Pable 6

 FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments
 (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

		Passenger	Passenger	Vehicle	Vehicle			Number of	STIC Funding:
		Miles per Vehicle	Miles per Vehicle	Revenue Mile per	Hour per	Passenger Miles per	Passenger Trips	Ferformance Factors Met or	@ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Uescription Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 -	6.409	107.800	Capita 11.777	0.772	Capita 89.604	per capita 15.553	Exceeded	or Exceeded
		1 001	00.070	1 704	0.70	0 503	1 640	c	C
Texas	Nilleeri, I.A. I ala hadiaan Analatan TV	1.021	30.970	1 560	0/2/0	180.0	1.043		
Texas	Lake JacksonAngleton, 1A	1.324	34.000	002.01	0.001	100.0	0.101	ۍ د	
Tevas	Lateuo, IA I connview TX	0000	0000	0000	0000		0.000		109,002
Texas	McKinnev. TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Midland, TX	0.918	13.884	3.879	0.256	3.560	2.148	0	0
Texas	Odessa, TX	0.918	13.897	4.176	0.276	3.835	2.300	0	0
Texas	Port Arthur, TX	2.850	45.067	2.892	0.183	8.241	1.214	0	0
Texas	San Angelo, TX	1.115	14.949	13.029	0.972	14.531	3.731	2	126,554
Texas	Sherman, TX	3.634	71.264	4.884	0.249	17.746	1.097	0	0
Texas	Temple, TX	1.931	26.592	5.515	0.400	10.649	1.787	0	0
Texas	Texarkana, TXTexarkana, AR	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Texas City, TX	2.113	31.361	1.512	0.102	3.194	0.193	0	0
Texas	The Woodlands, TX	34.983	939.435	4.360	0.162	152.534	4.241	3	189,832
Texas	Tyler, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Texas	Victoria, TX	1.419	17.941	8.361	0.661	11.863	5.085	0	0
Texas	Waco, TX	4.005	63.672	6.281	0.395	25.154	4.696	0	0
Texas	Wichita Falls, TX	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	000.0	0.000	0	0
Utah	Logan, UT	7.089	104.109	11.553	0.787	81.891	23.062	N	126,554
Utah	St. George, UT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	000.0	0.000	0	0
Vermont	Burlington, VT	5.737	79.406	14.839	1.072	85.129	21.729	ς	189,832
Virginia	Blacksburg, VA	6.838	74.617	19.890	1.823	136.011	56.168	5	316,386
Virginia	Charlottesville, VA	2.705	43.833	29.379	1.813	79.465	23.756	с	189,832
Virginia	Danville, VA	3.517	54.055	6.668	0.434	23.453	4.517	0	0
Virginia	Fredericksburg, VA	3.409	60.440	10.910	0.615	37.187	4.213	0	0
Virginia	Harrisonburg, VA	7.752	75.254	9.924	1.022	76.936	28.322	3	189,832
Virginia	Lynchburg, VA	3.117	40.565	12.225	0.939	38.099	26.788	N	126,554
Virginia	Roanoke, VA	5.205	69.105	8.737	0.658	45.477	9.569	0	0
Virginia	Winchester, VA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
Washington	Bellingham, WA	5.810	79.610	31.772	2.319	184.581	60.837	4	253,109
Washington	Bremerton, WA	5.631	107.371	24.900	1.306	140.200	24.522	4	253,109
Washington	KennewickRichland, WA	6.822	150.966	66.896	3.023	456.335	31.811	9	379,664

9 of 10

	_		Table 6						
	FY 2010 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)	sit Intensive ding made availab	0 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010	ance Data a inuing Approp	Ind Apporti riations Resol	onments ution, 2010 - H	(<i>111-111</i> T.		
State	Urbanized Area (UZA) Description	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile	Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour	Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita	Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita	Passenger Miles per Capita	Passenger Trips per Capita	Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded	STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded
	Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999	6.409	107.800	11.777	0.772	89.604	15.553		
Washington	Longview, WAOR	4.540	51.402	6:099	0.539	27.691	6.753	0	0
Washington	Marysville, WA	7.431	117.609	8.731	0.552	64.883	9.150	N	126,554
Washington	Mount Vernon, WA	5.122	88.551	17.756	1.027	90.937	9.034	N	126,554
Washington	OlympiaLacey, WA	6.906	133.762	44.718	2.309	308.838	35.749	9	379,664
Washington	Wenatchee, WA	7.191	91.343	14.034	1.105	100.919	10.635	4	253,109
Washington	Yakima, WA	4.854	83.189	16.597	0.968	80.566	14.675	N	126,554
West Virginia	Charleston, WV	5.560	88.991	14.627	0.914	81.321	13.256	N	126,554
West Virginia	Huntington, WVKYOH	2.955	42.694	6.239	0.432	18.436	4.654	0	0
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	0.956	16.157	15.078	0.893	14.422	12.157	2	126,554
West Virginia	Parkersburg, WVOH	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
West Virginia	Wheeling, WVOH	1.758	21.290	8.054	0.665	14.160	5.095	0	0
Wisconsin	Appleton, WI	2.223	34.342	10.195	0.660	22.667	6.522	0	0
Wisconsin	Beloit, WIIL	3.980	61.996	5.319	0.341	21.171	5.037	0	0
Wisconsin	Eau Claire, WI	2.813	38.985	14.278	1.030	40.165	12.810	2	126,554
Wisconsin	Fond du Lac, WI	0.987	12.567	8.167	0.641	8.057	3.802	0	0
Wisconsin	Green Bay, WI	3.663	54.562	9.473	0.636	34.701	10.493	0	0
Wisconsin	Janesville, WI	4.075	62.690	7.510	0.488	30.606	7.787	0	0
Wisconsin	Kenosha, WI	4.593	66.754	10.733	0.738	49.292	16.307	0	0
Wisconsin	La Crosse, WIMN	3.129	40.967	14.358	1.097	44.924	14.392	N	126,554
Wisconsin	Oshkosh, WI	3.705	52.455	13.160	0.929	48.754	16.491	ε	189,832
Wisconsin	Racine, WI	4.173	53.636	10.023	0.780	41.825	11.704	0	0
Wisconsin	Sheboygan, WI	1.911	27.096	12.091	0.853	23.103	8.777	-	63,277
Wisconsin	Wausau, WI	3.894	55.447	12.586	0.884	49.008	12.968	N	126,554
Wyoming	Casper, WY	1.000	11.156	7.686	0.689	7.686	2.798	0	0
Wyoming	Cheyenne, WY	2.486	36.812	7.504	0.507	18.658	3.970	0	0
				Total				272	\$17,211,400

_

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 7

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Clean Fuels Grant Program Allocations

		SAFETEA-LU		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
CA	D2008-CLNF-001		Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit) - Facility	\$4,000,000
CA	D2008-CLNF-002		Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) - Facility	5,500,000
CA	E2008-CLNF-001	611	San Joaquin Region Transit District, California, Hybrid Diesel-Electric Replacement Bus	250,000
ОН, КҮ	E2008-CLNF-006	640	Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky-TANK Bus Replacement Project	517,000
тх	E2008-CLNF-014	497	City of El Paso-Sun Metro-Bus Replacements	776,000
тх	E2008-CLNF-016	638	The District, The Woodlands, TX-Bus Replacement Program	259,000
VA	D2008-CLNF-009		Hampton Roads Transit (HRT)- Facility	2,700,000
WA	D2008-CLNF-010		King County Department of Transportation - Metro Transit Division - Vehicles	1,666,667

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2009-CLNF-001	611	San Joaquin Region Transit District, California, Hybrid Diesel-Electric Replacement Bu	\$300,000
DE	E2009-CLNF-003	517	Delaware Statewide Bus and Bus Replacement (with Clean Fuel (hybrid) vehicles)	271,483
DE	E2009-CLNF-004	648	University of Delaware Fuel Cell Bus Program	185,000
KY	E2009-CLNF-006	640	Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky-TANK Bus Replacement Project	549,000
KY	E2009-CLNF-007	641	Transit Authority of River City-New Hybrid Electric Buses	823,000
NV	E2009-CLNF-009	557	Lake Tahoe, NV MPO Bus Replacement	1,000,000
NY	E2009-CLNF-010	659	Westchester, NY, Bee Line Bus Replacement	650,000
RI	E2009-CLNF-012	605	Rhode Island, Statewide Bus and Van Replacement	6,400,000
тх	E2009-CLNF-014	497	City of El Paso-Sun Metro-Bus Replacements	823,000
тх	E2009-CLNF-016	638	The District, The Woodlands, TX-Bus Replacement Program	274,000
			unallocated	29,868,000
	Total FY 2009 Uno	bligated Allocation	ons	\$41,143,483
	Grand Total FY 200	08 and FY 2009 L	Inobligated Allocations	\$56,812,150

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

 TABLE 8

 FY 2010 SECTION 5309 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION APPORTIONMENTS

	(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under th Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)	ne
STATE	AREA	APPORTIONMENT
Arizona	PhoenixMesa, AZ	\$512,291
California	Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA	8,087,771
California	Sacramento, CA	778,490
California	San Diego, CA	2,349,202
California	San FranciscoOakland, CA	44,262,277
California	San Jose, CA	3,292,811
Colorado	DenverAurora, CO	617,331
Connecticut	Hartford, CT	394,926
Connecticut	Southwestern Connecticut	30,482,661
District of Columbia	Washington, DCVAMD	14,791,714
Florida	Jacksonville, FL	30,217
Florida	Miami, FL	4,380,919
Florida	TampaSt. Petersburg, FL	28,000
Georgia	Atlanta, GA	6,214,926
Hawaii	Honolulu, HI	201,482
Illinois	Chicago, ILIN	95,310,769
Louisiana	New Orleans, LA	2,323,811
Maryland	Baltimore, MD	13,597,923
Massachusetts	Boston, MA	48,048,922
Michigan	Detroit, MI	111,074
Minnesota	MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN	1,505,017
Missouri	St. Louis, MOIL	1,065,212
New Jersey	Northeastern New Jersey	60,773,062
New Jersey	Trenton, NJ	474,902
New York	Buffalo, NY	339,581
New York	New York	232,276,646
Ohio	Cleveland, OH	10,887,540
Ohio	Dayton, OH	1,276,599
Oregon	Portland, ORWA	933,956
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia/Southern New Jersey	69,408,224
Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh, PA	18,121,074
Puerto Rico	San Juan, PR	559,631
Rhode Island	Providence, RIMA	743,285
Tennessee	Chattanooga, TNGA	22,481
Texas	DallasFort WorthArlington, TX	243,112
Texas	Houston, TX	1,871,530
Virginia	Virginia Beach, VA	347,722
Washington	Seattle, WA	5,415,058
West Virginia	Morgantown, WV	256,709
Wisconsin	Madison, WI	197,832
	TOTAL	\$682,536,690

TABLE 9

FY 2010 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Tier 1 First \$497,700,000 to the following areas:

Baltimore	\$ 8,372,000
Boston	\$ 38,948,000
Chicago/N.W. Indiana	\$ 78,169,000
Cleveland	\$ 9,509,500
New Orleans	\$ 1,730,588
New York	\$ 176,034,461
N. E. New Jersey	\$ 50,604,653
Philadelphia/So. New Jersey	\$ 58,924,764
Pittsburgh	\$ 13,662,463
San Francisco	\$ 33,989,571
SW Connecticut	\$ 27,755,000

- Tier 2
 Next \$70,000,000 as follows:
 Tier 2(A): 50 percent is allocated to areas identified in Tier 1; Tier 2(B): 50 percent is allocated to other urbanized areas with fixed guideway tiers in operation at least seven years.
 Funds are allocated by the Urbanized

 Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors that were used to apportion funds for the fixed guideway modernization program in FY 1997.
- Tier 3
 Next \$5,700,000 as follows:
 Pittsburgh 61.76%; Cleveland 10.73%; New Orleans 5.79%; and 21.72% is allocated to all other areas in Tier 2(B) by the same fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.
- Tier 4 <u>Next \$186,600,000 as follows:</u> All eligible areas using the same year fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.
- Tier 5
 Next \$70,000,000 as follows:
 65% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 35% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.
- Tier 6
 Next \$50,000,000 as follows:
 60% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 40% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.
- Tier 7Remaining amounts as follows:50% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 50% to all other areas using the most
current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years
old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

TABLE 10

FY 2010 SECTION 5309 BUS AND BUS RELATED EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES ALLOCATIONS

tate	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
	E2010-BUSP-001	Anchorage People Mover, AK	\$750,00
	E2010-BUSP-002	Port of Anchorage Intermodal Expansion Project, AK	487,00
	E2010-BUSP-003	Buses and Bus Facility Improvement, Baldwin County, AL	275,00
	E2010-BUSP-004	Morgan County System of Services, transit vans for HANDS Home Shelter for Girls, AL	50,00
	E2010-BUSP-005	Senior Transportation Program, AL	2,000,00
	E2010-BUSP-006	U.S. Space and Rocket Center Transportation Request, Huntsville, AL	1,600,00
	E2010-BUSP-007	State of ArkansasBus and bus facilities, AR	1,300,0
	E2010-BUSP-008	Loop 101Scottsdale Road Park and Ride, Scottsdale, AZ	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-009	Orbit Neighborhood Circulator, Tempe, AZ	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-010	Scottsdale Intermodal Center, AZ	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-011	Senior Center Buses, Guadalupe, AZ	150,0
	E2010-BUSP-012	Alternative Fuel SolanoExpress Bus Replacement, Solano, CA	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-013	Anaheim Regional Transportation Intermodal Center (ARTIC), Anaheim, CA	725,0 550,0
	E2010-BUSP-014	Bob Hope Airport Regional Transportation Center, Burbank, CA	300,0
	E2010-BUSP-015 E2010-BUSP-016	Brawley Transfer Terminal Transit Station, Brawley, CA City of Belflower bus shelters, CA	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-018	City of Corona Dial-A-Ride Bus Replacement, CA	208,0
	E2010-BUSP-017	City of Dinuba CNG Fueling Station Expansion, CA	779,2
	E2010-BUSP-019	City of Hawaiian Gardens bus shelters, CA	200,0
	E2010-BUSP-020	City of Imperial Downtown Transportation Park, CA	974,0
	E2010-BUSP-021	City of Whittier bus shelters, CA	450,0
	E2010-BUSP-022	Ed Roberts Campus bus and bus facilities, Berkley, CA	250,0
	E2010-BUSP-023	Los Angeles Central Avenue Streetscape bus shelters and lighting, CA	700,0
	E2010-BUSP-024	McBean Regional Transit Center Park & Ride Facility, CA	300,0
	E2010-BUSP-025	Monrovia Station Square Transit Village, CA	750,0
	E2010-BUSP-026	Municipal Transit Operators Coalition (MTOC) Bus/Bus Facility Improvement Project, CA	550,0
	E2010-BUSP-027	Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Transportation Center Improvements, Santa Fe Springs, CA	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-028	Palmdale Transportation Center Train Platform Extension, Palmdale, CA	370,0
	E2010-BUSP-029	Regional Transportation Management System, San Diego, CA	800,0
	E2010-BUSP-030	Riverside Transit Agency Bus Replacement Program, CA	1,400,0
۱.	E2010-BUSP-031	San Joaquin Regional Operations Facility Construction, CA	500,0
۱.	E2010-BUSP-032	San Jose High Volume Bus Stop Upgrades, Santa Clara County, CA	600,0
۱.	E2010-BUSP-033	South Bay Regional Intermodal Transit Centers, CA	800,0
۱.	E2010-BUSP-034	SunLine Transit Agency paratransit buses and commuter coaches, CA	750,0
۱.	E2010-BUSP-035	Union City Intermodal Station, Phases 1C and 2, CA	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-036	Vacaville Intermodal StationPhase 2, CA	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-037	VTA Renewable Energy Conversion Project, San Jose, CA	750,0
	E2010-BUSP-038	Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, CO	2,641,5
	E2010-BUSP-039	Bridgeport Intermodal Transportation Center, CT	2,435,0
	E2010-BUSP-040	Harbor Point Bus Expansion, CT	487,0
	E2010-BUSP-041	Thompsonville Intermodal Transportation Center, CT	974,0
	E2010-BUSP-042	Waterbury Intermodal Transportation Center, CT	500,0 500,0
	E2010-BUSP-043	Union Station Intermodal Transit Center, Washington, DC 40 Fixed Route Transit Buses, DE	974,0
	E2010-BUSP-044 E2010-BUSP-045	Automotive-Based Fuel Cell Hybrid Bus Program, DE	487,0
	E2010-BUSP-045	Broward County Transit Infrastructure Improvements, FL	487,0 500,0
	E2010-BUSP-040	Bus Shelter Replacement, Bal Harbour, FL	250,0
	E2010-BUSP-048	City of Doral Transit Circulator Program, FL	350,0
	E2010-BUSP-049	City of Miramar Multi Service Center and Transit Hub, FL	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-050	Clearwater Downtown Intermodal Terminal, St. Petersburg, FL	1,250,0
	E2010-BUSP-051	HART Bus and Paratransit Acquisition, FL	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-052	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District Bus Replacement and Facility Maintenance, FL	200,0
	E2010-BUSP-053	LYNX Buses, Orlando, FL	1,500,0
	E2010-BUSP-054	Lynx's Central Station improvements, Orlando, FL	550,0
	E2010-BUSP-055	Palm Tran Park and Ride Facilities, FL	800,C
	E2010-BUSP-056	Regional Intermodal Terminal Center, JTA, Jacksonville, FL	400,0
	E2010-BUSP-057	RTS Bus Replacement, City of Gainesville, Alachua County, FL	750,0
	E2010-BUSP-058	St. Petersburg Central Avenue Bus Rapid Transit, FL	500,0
-	E2010-BUSP-059	StarMetro Buses, Tallahassee, FL	1,000,0
-	E2010-BUSP-060	Transit Facility and Bus Apron Access Construction along US 1, Key West, FL	1,000,0
	E2010-BUSP-061	Winter Haven/Polk County Buses, FL	200,0
4	E2010-BUSP-062	Albany Heavy-Duty Buses, GA	500,0
A	E2010-BUSP-063	Albany Transit Multimodal Transportation Center, GA	1,500,0
A	E2010-BUSP-064	Chatham Area Transit Bus and Bus Facilities, Savannah, GA	2,525,0

TABLE 10

FY 2010 SECTION 5309 BUS AND BUS RELATED EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES ALLOCATIONS

State	Earmark ID E2010-BUSP-066	Project Location and Description Rural Bus Program for Hawaii, Maui and Kauai, HI	Allocation 3,419,40
11 A	E2010-BUSP-066	Ames Intermodal Facility, IA	3,419,40
Ā	E2010-BUSP-068	Ames Transit Facility Expansion, IA	750,00
	E2010-BUSP-069	Coralville Intermodal Facility, Coralville, IA	750,00
	E2010-BUSP-070	Marshalltown Bus Replacement, IA	315,00
	E2010-BUSP-071	Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, IA	3,405,80
	E2010-BUSP-072	Transit Maintenance Garage Initiative, IA	681,80
1	E2010-BUSP-073	Idaho Transit Coalition Bus & Bus Facilities, ID	1,000,00
	E2010-BUSP-074	Illinois Downstate Bus & Bus Facilities, IL	3,896,00
	E2010-BUSP-075	Madison County Transit District Bus Replacement, IL	600,00
	E2010-BUSP-076	Normal Multimodal Transportation Center, Normal, IL	250,00
	E2010-BUSP-077	Pace Chicago Paratransit Vehicles, IL	1,300,00
	E2010-BUSP-078	Pace Milwaukee Avenue Transit Infrastructure Enhancements, IL	400,00
	E2010-BUSP-079	Pace Transit Information Signage, Harvey and Chicago Heights, IL	440,00
	E2010-BUSP-080	Pace transit infrastructure for Randall Road, Kane County, IL	800,00
	E2010-BUSP-081	Stone Avenue Train Station, La Grange, IL	700,0
	E2010-BUSP-082	Tinley Park 80th Avenue Metra Station Development, IL	700,00
	E2010-BUSP-083	Electric Hybrid Bus Upgrade Grants, IN	2,400,0 500,0
	E2010-BUSP-084	Indianapolis ADA Compliant Bus Facility, Michigan and 71st St, IN	300,0
	E2010-BUSP-085 E2010-BUSP-086	IndyGo Bus Replacement, IN Riehle Plaza Transportation Improvements for CityBus, Lafayette, IN	450,0
3	E2010-BUSP-080	Bus and bus facilities, Kansas City, KS	600,0
5	E2010-BUSP-088	Statewide (Rural and Urban) Bus & Bus Facilities, KS	2,000,0
,	E2010-BUSP-089	Audubon Area Community Services, bus facility, Owensboro, KY	1,350,0
,	E2010-BUSP-090	Frankfort Transit Bus Facilities, KY	275,0
,	E2010-BUSP-091	Lake Cumberland Community Action Agency, bus equipment, KY	70,0
(E2010-BUSP-092	Pennyrile Allied Community Services, bus facilities, KY	500,0
,	E2010-BUSP-093	Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky Bus Replacement Project, KY	1,850,0
,	E2010-BUSP-094	Transit Facility for LKLP Community Action Council in West Liberty, KY	1,000,0
,	E2010-BUSP-095	Western Kentucky University Shuttle Bus Improvement Project, KY	1,200,0
A	E2010-BUSP-096	Cape Ann Transportation Authority (CATA) buses and fare boxes, MA	500,0
4	E2010-BUSP-097	Cities of Salem and Beverly intermodal station improvements, MA	700,0
A	E2010-BUSP-098	MART North Leominster Commuter Rail Station Parking Structure, Leominster, MA	2,500,0
A	E2010-BUSP-099	Newton Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility, MA	1,000,0
Α	E2010-BUSP-100	Pioneer Valley Transit Authority Bus Replacement Program, Pioneer Valley Transit District, MA	750,0
Ą	E2010-BUSP-101	Wonderland Intermodal Improvements, MA	750,0
D	E2010-BUSP-102	Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Initiative	1,250,0
Ξ	E2010-BUSP-103	Maine Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	300,0
	E2010-BUSP-104	Allegan County Facility Improvement and Bus Replacement, MI	383,0
	E2010-BUSP-105	Barry County Transit, Vehicle Equipment Replacement and Building Repair, Hastings, MI	127,2
	E2010-BUSP-106	Benzie Transportation Authority Bus & Bus Facilities, Honor, MI	1,000,0
	E2010-BUSP-107	Big Rapids Dial-A-RideReplacement buses, MI	250,0
	E2010-BUSP-108	Cadillac/Wexford Transit Authority, replacement buses, Cadillac, MI	300,0
	E2010-BUSP-109	Capitol Area Transportation Authority Buses and Bus Facilities, Lansing, MI	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-110	City of Belding Dial-A-Ride, Bus Facilities Replacement Equipment, MI	63,0 100 (
	E2010-BUSP-111	City of Ionia, Dial-A-Ride Facility Improvements, MI	100,0 496,0
	E2010-BUSP-112	Clare County TransitNew Facility, MI	
	E2010-BUSP-113	Eaton County Transportation Authority bus and bus facilities, Eaton County, MI	1,000,0
	E2010-BUSP-114	Midland County ConnectionBus Replacement, MI	203,0 700,0
	E2010-BUSP-115	Roscommon County Transportation AuthorityReplacement buses, MI	
	E2010-BUSP-116	Saginaw Transit Authority Regional Services Bus and Bus Facilities Project, Saginaw, MI	500,0 1,500,0
	E2010-BUSP-117	SMART Alternative Fuel Vehicles, MI The Rapid, Wealthy Operations Center Expansion Project, Grand Rapids, MI	1,948,0
	E2010-BUSP-118		1,300,0
M	E2010-BUSP-119 E2010-BUSP-120	Troy/Birmingham Multi-Modal Transit Center, MI Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transit, Phase I, Dakota County, MN	681,8
N N	E2010-BUSP-120	Minneapolis Intermodal Station, MN	500,0
N	E2010-BUSP-121	Northstar Phase II Commuter Buses, MN	97,4
0	E2010-BUSP-122	KCATA Bus Replacement, MO	2,000,0
0	E2010-BUSP-124	Metro St. LouisDowntown Transfer Center, MO	1,150,0
õ	E2010-BUSP-125	Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, MO	2,000,0
ō	E2010-BUSP-126	Transit Vehicle and Related Equipment, MO	1,000,0
s	E2010-BUSP-127	Harrison County Multimodal, MS	2,000,0
s	E2010-BUSP-128	JATRAN Fleet Replacement, MS	500,0
	E2010-BUSP-129	Great Falls Transit District Bus Replacements, MT	974,0

-

TABLE 10

FY 2010 SECTION 5309 BUS AND BUS RELATED EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES ALLOCATIONS

.

NC	Earmark ID E2010-BUSP-130	Project Location and Description Capital Area Transit (CAT) System Operations and Maintenance Facility, Raleigh, NC	Allocation 750,0
c	E2010-BUSP-130 E2010-BUSP-131	Capital Area Transit (CAT) System Operations and Maintenance Facility, Raleign, NC Favetteville Multimodal Transportation Center, NC	400,0
D	E2010-BUSP-131	North Dakota Statewide Transit, ND	1,461,0
J	E2010-BUSP-133	Newark Penn Station Intermodal Improvements, NJ	1,948,0
,	E2010-BUSP-134	Northern New Jersey Intermodal Improvements	2,550,0
	E2010-BUSP-135	Passaic/Bergen County Intermodal Facilities, NJ	800,0
J	E2010-BUSP-136	South Amboy Intermodal Station, NJ	500,0
м	E2010-BUSP-137	Hobbs Transit Intermodal Facility, Hobbs, NM	900,0
М	E2010-BUSP-138	Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities for Commuter Choice, NM	1,948,0
V	E2010-BUSP-139	ACE Boulder Highway Rapid Transit Project, NV	750,0
V	E2010-BUSP-140	Washoe County Bus & Bus Facilities, NV	615,2
Y	E2010-BUSP-141	Arverne East Transit Plaza, Queens, NY	500,C
Y	E2010-BUSP-142	CAD/AVL Bus Communications System for Livingston Area Transp. Service, Livingston County	700,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-143	Chemung County Transit Intelligent Transportation System, NY	500,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-144	Clean-fueled technology buses, Onondaga County, NY	300,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-145	Green Vehicle Depot, North Hempstead, NY	600,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-146	Jamaica Intermodal Station Plaza, NY	584,4
Y Y	E2010-BUSP-147 E2010-BUSP-148	Lincoln Center Corridor Redevelopment Project, New York, NY Mt. Hope Station Transit Center, NY	500,0 800,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-148	Multi-Modal Parking Hub, Glen Cove, NY	500,0
Y	E2010-BUSP-149	Ramapo Friends Helping Friends Medical Vans, NY	135,0
Ý	E2010-BUSP-151	Suffolk County bus and bus facilities, NY	600,0
н	E2010-BUSP-152	Bus Replacement, Akron, OH	500,0
н	E2010-BUSP-153	Multimodal University Hub, Cincinnati, OH	1,000,0
н	E2010-BUSP-154	Ohio Clean & Green Statewide Bus Replacement Program, OH	692,2
н	E2010-BUSP-155	Reconstruction of the University Circle Rapid Station, OH	2,000,0
Н	E2010-BUSP-156	Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority hybrid bus replacement, OH	400,0
Н	E2010-BUSP-157	TARTA Bus and Bus Facilities, OH	1,000,0
ĸ	E2010-BUSP-158	Bus Facility Renovation, Oklahoma City, OK	1,000,0
ĸ	E2010-BUSP-159	Metropolitan Tulsa Transit Authority, bus purchase, Tulsa, OK	750,0
ĸ	E2010-BUSP-160	Transit Capitol Requests, Oklahoma City, OK	1,400,0
R	E2010-BUSP-161	Columbia County Multi-Modal Transit Facility, OR	800,0
R	E2010-BUSP-162	Corvallis Transit Bus Purchase, OR	600,0 38,4
A	E2010-BUSP-163 E2010-BUSP-164	Silverton Senior and Disabled Transportation Service, OR Allegheny County Hybrid Buses, PA	700,0
A A	E2010-BUSP-164	Area Transportation Authority of North Central PA, Rolling Stock	360,0
A	E2010-BUSP-166	BARTA Transportation Complex Franklin Street Station facilities, PA	250,0
A	E2010-BUSP-167	Centre Area Transportation Authority CNG Articulated Transit Buses, PA	300,0
A	E2010-BUSP-168	Erie Mass Transit Authority consolidation and transit facility, PA	1,400,0
A	E2010-BUSP-169	Harrisburg Transportation Center train shed rehabilitation phase II improvements, PA	400,0
A	E2010-BUSP-170	Intermodal Transit Facility/East Chestnut Street Garage, Washington County, PA	625,0
A	E2010-BUSP-171	Purchase Hybrid Buses, Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority (LANTA), PA	615,2
A	E2010-BUSP-172	Rabbitransit Bus Facility, PA	250,
PA	E2010-BUSP-173	Union Station Intermodal, Pottsville, PA	400,0
A	E2010-BUSP-174	Wilkes-Barre Intermodal Transportation Center, PA	600,0
R	E2010-BUSP-175	Veterans Home Handicapped-Accessible Bus and Handicapped-Accessible Van, Juana Diaz, PR	130,0
ข	E2010-BUSP-176	Rhode Island Senior Transportation buses, RI	300,
I	E2010-BUSP-177	Statewide Bus Replacement, RI	487,0
SC	E2010-BUSP-178	Commuter Bus Replacement, Charleston, SC	1,000,0
D	E2010-BUSP-179	Statewide Bus & Bus Facilities, SD	487,
'N	E2010-BUSP-180	Knoxville-Knox County CAC Transportation, TN	500,
'N	E2010-BUSP-181	Tennessee Public Transit Administration Rural Transportation Project	800,
N	E2010-BUSP-182	Tennessee Statewide Bus Program, TN	6,625,
x	E2010-BUSP-183	Abilene Paratransit buses, TX	200,
X	E2010-BUSP-184	Advanced Transit Program/METRO Solutions Bus Expansion, Houston, TX	1,420,
X	E2010-BUSP-185	Bryan Multi-Modal Transit Terminal and Parking Facility, TX	400, 1,000,
X	E2010-BUSP-186	Bus AcquisitionSun Metro, El Paso, TX Capital MetroBus & Bus Facilities, Austin, TX	2,000,
X	E2010-BUSP-187	Capital MetroBus & Bus Facilities, Austin, TA City of Lubbock/Citibus, bus purchases, TX	2,000, 750,
X V	E2010-BUSP-188 E2010-BUSP-189	City of Lubbock/Citibus, bus purchases, TA City of Roma Bus Terminal, TX	300,
TX TX	E2010-BUSP-189	Clean Fuel Downtown Transit Circulator, Houston, TX	800,
ГХ		CNG Bus Replacement, The Fort Worth 'T' Transportation Authority, Fort Worth, TX	885,
TX	E2010-BUSP-191 E2010-BUSP-192	Concho Valley Multi-modal Terminal, TX	250,
TX	E2010-BUSP-192	Corpus Christi Regional Intermodal Transit Facility, Robstown, TX	500,
~		Galveston transit vehicle replacement, TX	500,

-

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

FY 2010 SECTION 5309 BUS AND BUS RELATED EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES ALLOCATIONS

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description		Allocation
ΓX	E2010-BUSP-195	League City Park and Ride Facilities, TX		750,000
X	E2010-BUSP-196	Lufkin Veterans Clinic Shuttle capital cost of contracting, TX		300,000
X	E2010-BUSP-197	The District Capital Cost of Contracting, Montgomery County, TX		1,000,000
ΓX	E2010-BUSP-198	VIA Metropolitan Transit BRT improvements, San Antonio, TX		500,000
X	E2010-BUSP-199	VIA Metropolitan Transit Bus Maintenance Facility Improvements, San Anton	io, TX	300,000
гх	E2010-BUSP-200	VIA Metropolitan Transit Bus US 281/Loop 1604 Area Park & Ride, San Anto	nio, TX	750,000
JT	E2010-BUSP-201	Cache Valley Transit District Expansion, UT		2,000,000
/A	E2010-BUSP-202	GRTC Down Multimodal Center, Richmond, VA		450,000
/A	E2010-BUSP-203	Hampton Roads Transit Bus Acquisition, Hampton, VA		1,450,000
/A	E2010-BUSP-204	Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission Western Maintena	nce Facility, VA	1,000,000
/A	E2010-BUSP-205	Richmond Express (REX) Transit Centers, Fairfax County, VA		500,000
/1	E2010-BUSP-206	Virgin Islands, Bus and Bus Facilities, VI		200,000
		Chittenden County Transportation Authority Buses, Equipment, and Facilities	, Including Downtown	
/Т	E2010-BUSP-207	Burlington Transit Center Design, VT		1,948,000
/Т	E2010-BUSP-208	Deerfield Valley Transit Association Facilities, Buses, and Equipment, VT		584,400
/Т	E2010-BUSP-209	Marble Valley Regional Transit District Buses, Facilities, and Equipment, VT		1,461,000
VA	E2010-BUSP-210	Chuckanut Park and Ride Facility, Skagit County, WA		400,000
VA	E2010-BUSP-211	C-Tran Transit Vehicle Replacement, WA		1,850,60
VA	E2010-BUSP-212	Intercity Transit Vehicle Acquisition, WA		1,735,200
NA	E2010-BUSP-213	Link Transit Vehicle Acquisition, WA		2,496,700
NA	E2010-BUSP-214	Pacific Transit Vehicle Replacement, WA		250,00
NA	E2010-BUSP-215	Pierce Transit Diesel-Electric Bus Acquisition, WA		1,272,700
NA	E2010-BUSP-216	Port Angeles Gateway International Multi-modal Transportation Center, WA		550,00
NA	E2010-BUSP-217	Spokane Transit Diesel-Electric Hybrid Bus Acquisition, WA		1,266,20
NA	E2010-BUSP-218	Tacoma Intermodal Transit Center, WA		974,00
WA	E2010-BUSP-219	West Seattle RapidRide and Hybrid Bus Program, Seattle, WA		600,00
WA	E2010-BUSP-220	Whatcom Transportation Authority Fleet Replacement Project, WA		974,00
WI	E2010-BUSP-221	Beloit Transit System bus and bus facilities, Beloit, WI		150,000
WI	E2010-BUSP-222	Fond du Lac Area Transit bus and bus facilities, WI		308,00
W١	E2010-BUSP-223	Green Bay Metro Transit bus and bus facilities, Green Bay, WI		1,100,00
WI	E2010-BUSP-224	Madison Metro Transit bus and bus facilities, Madison, WI		150,00
WI	E2010-BUSP-225	Milwaukee County Buses, WI		500,00
WI	E2010-BUSP-226	Wisconsin Bus Capital on Behalf of Transit Agencies Statewide, WI		3,409,00
WV	E2010-BUSP-227	Colonial Intermodal Facility, Bluefield, WV		600,000
			Subtotal	\$197,904,804
		Ferry Boat Systems Projects		
CA	E2010-BUSP-228	Berkeley/Albany to San Francisco Ferry Service		\$1,000,00
FL	E2010-BUSP-229	Mayport Ferry Rehabilitation, Jacksonville		500,00
NJ	E2010-BUSP-230	Long Branch Pier and Ferry Terminal		300,00
NY	E2010-BUSP-231	Glen Cove Ferry Terminal		1,000,00
NY	E2010-BUSP-232	Ocean Beach Ferry Terminal Enhancement		600,00
NY	E2010-BUSP-233	Reconstruction of the Bayshore Ferry Terminal Bulkhead, Saltaire		250,00
он	E2010-BUSP-234	Ashtabula City Port Authority		500,00
VI	E2010-BUSP-235	Refurbished Passenger Ferry		200,00
WA	E2010-BUSP-236	New Vessel ProgramPropulsion System Acquisition		2,922,00
•••	22010 2001 200		Subtotal	\$7,272,00
		Other Projects		
	E2010-BUSP-237	Fuel Cell Bus Program		\$13,500,00
PA	E2010-BUSP-238	Bus Testing	Subtotal	3,000,00 \$16,500,00
		Amount U	nallocated	\$140,377,08

TABLE 10-A

page 1 of 16

		Prior Ye	ear Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations	
		SAFETEA-LU		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
Y 200	8 Unobligated Allocatio	ons		
ĸ	E2008-BUSP-0003	422	C Street Expanded bus facility and inter-modal parking garage, Anchorage, AK	\$1,300,000
ĸ	E2008-BUSP-0013	596	North Slope Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	517,000
ĸ	E2008-BUSP-0014	597	North Star Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	259,000
к	E2008-BUSP-0015	616	Sitka, Alaska-Transit Needs	5,000
ĸ	E2008-BUSP-0016	664	Wrangell, AK-Ferry Infrastructure	259,000
ĸ	E2008-BUSP-0653		Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Enhancements	367,500
L	E2008-BUSP-0019	437	American Village/Montevallo, Alabama construction of closed loop Access Road, bus lanes and parking facility	86,948
L	E2008-BUSP-0028	534	Gulf Shores, AL Bus and Bus facilities	259,000
L	E2008-BUSP-0029	582	Mobile County, AL Commission-Bus project	129,000
L	E2008-BUSP-0030	644	University of Alabama in Birmingham Intermodal Facility	783,798
L	E2008-BUSP-0654		Alabama Senior Transportation Program	686,000
L	E2008-BUSP-0655		Birmingham Intermodal Transit Facility	392,000
L	E2008-BUSP-0656		City of Mobiles Transit System	1,372,000
Z	D2008-BUSP-002		Regional Public Transportation Authority, Valley Metro (Pheonix)	3,000,000
Z	E2008-BUSP-0040	47	Phoenix, AZ Construct City of Phoenix para-transit facility (Dial-A-Ride)	217,360
z	E2008-BUSP-0041	346	Phoenix, AZ Construct metro bus facility in Phoenixs West Valley	1,086,800
Z	E2008-BUSP-0042	150	Phoenix, AZ Construct regional heavy bus maintenance facility	217,360
z	E2008-BUSP-0043	26	Scottsdale, Arizona-Plan, design, and construct intermodal center	543,400
z	E2008-BUSP-0044	203	Tempe, Arizona-Construct East Valley Metro Bus Facility	1,412,840
z	E2008-BUSP-0659		Bus ExpansionPhoenix, Avondale, Glendale	245,000
z	E2008-BUSP-0661		Construction of Intermodal Center, Scottsdale	196,000
z	E2008-BUSP-0662		East Valley Bus Maintenance Facility, Tempe	392,000
z	E2008-BUSP-0663		Main Street Bus Rapid Transit Buses, Mesa	490,000
z	E2008-BUSP-0664		Phoenix Regional Heavy Bus Maintenance Facility	490,000
z	E2008-BUSP-0665		Phoenix/Glendale West Valley Operating Facility	735,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0046	288	Alameda County, CA AC Transit Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Project	434,720
A	E2008-BUSP-0048	76	Baldwin Park, CA Construct vehicle and bicycle parking lot and pedestrian rest area at transit center	434,720
A	E2008-BUSP-0051	396	Burbank, CA Construction of Empire Area Transit Center near Burbank Airport	54,340
XA	E2008-BUSP-0052	190	Calexico, CA Purchase new buses for the Calexico Transit System	65,208
XA	E2008-BUSP-0059	207	Culver City, CA Purchase compressed natural gas buses and expand natural gas fueling facility	154,922
Â	E2008-BUSP-0061	11	Development of Gold Country Stage Transit Transfer Center, Nevada County, CA	202,214
XA XA		101	Emeryville, CA Expand & Improve Inter-modal Transit Center, Nevada County, CA	217,360
	E2008-BUSP-0063	212	Glendale, CA Construction of Downtown Streetcar Project	217,360
A	E2008-BUSP-0067			217,360
A	E2008-BUSP-0071	332	Long Beach, CA Park and Ride Facility Los Angeles, CA Design and construct improved transit and pedestrian linkages between Los Angeles	217,500
CA	E2008-BUSP-0076	223	Community College and nearby MTA rail stop and bus I Los Angeles, CA Improve safety, mobility and access between LATTC, Metro line and nearby bus stops on	326,040
CA	E2008-BUSP-0077	307	Grand Ave between Washington and 23rd	108,680
CA	E2008-BUSP-0081	6	Los Angeles, CA, Construction of Intermodal Transit Center at California State University Los Angeles	171,714
CA	E2008-BUSP-0082	567	Los Angeles, CA, Fly-Away Bus System Expansion	600,000
CA	E2008-BUSP-0083	566	Los Angeles, CA, LAX Intermodal Transportation Center Rail and Bus System Expansion	600,000
CA	E2008-BUSP-0086	266	Martinez, CA Inter-modal Facility Restoration	326,040
A	E2008-BUSP-0087	285	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Light Rail Transit Project from Pasadena, CA to Montclair, CA	3,260,400
CA	E2008-BUSP-0088	39	Monrovia, California-Transit Village Project	652,080
A	E2008-BUSP-0089	200	Montebello, CA Bus Lines Bus Fleet Replacement Project	152,152
CA	E2008-BUSP-0090	321	Monterey Park, CA Catch Basins at Transit Stop Installation	69,555
			Monterey Park, CA Safety improvements at a bus stop including creation of bus loading areas and street	
CA	E2008-BUSP-0091	191	improvements	347,776
CA	E2008-BUSP-0093	43	Needles, California-El Garces Intermodal Facility	434,720
CA	E2008-BUSP-0097	173	Ontario, CA Construct Omnitrans Transcenter Redondo Beach, CA Capital Equipment procurement of 12. Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Transit Vehicles for	217,360
CA	E2008-BUSP-0105	251	Coastal Shuttle Services by Beach Cities Tran Sacramento, CA Bus enhancement and improvements-construct maintenance facility and purchase clean-fuel	173,888
CA	E2008-BUSP-0108	189	buses to improve transit service	434,72
CA	E2008-BUSP-0109	84	Sacramento, Improvements to the existing Sacramento Intermodal Facility (Sacramento Valley Station)	1,521,52
CA	E2008-BUSP-0110	253	San Bernardino, CA Implement Santa Fe Depot improvements in San Bernardino	108,68
		<i></i>	San Diego, CA Widen sidewalks and bus stop entrance, and provide diagonal parking, in the Skyline Paradise	6E 00
A	E2008-BUSP-0112	314	Hills neighborhood (Reo Drive)	65,20
CA	E2008-BUSP-0114	127	San Fernando, CA Purchase CNG buses and related equipment and construct facilities	660,77
CA	E2008-BUSP-0118	381	San Francisco, CA Redesign and renovate intermodal facility at Glen Park Community Santa Monica, CA Construct intermodal park-and-ride facility at Santa Monica College campus on South Bundy	896,61
CA	E2008-BUSP-0124	364	Drive near Airport Avenue	217,36
CA	E2008-BUSP-0128	401	South Pasadena, CA Silent Night Grade Crossing Project	195,62
CA	E2008-BUSP-0131	315	Temecula, California-Intermodal Transit Facility	108,60
CA	E2008-BUSP-0135	195	Woodland Hills, CA Los Angeles Pierce College Bus Rapid Transit Station Extension Woodland, CA Yolobus operations, maintenance, administration facility expansion and improvements to increase	217,36
CA	E2008-BUSP-0136	83	bus service with alternative fuel buses	434,72
CA	E2008-BUSP-0645	00	San Francisco Water Transit Authority	2,500,00

TABLE 10-A

page 2 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2008-BUSP-0668		Beach Cities Transit Equipment, Redondo Beach	490,000
CA	E2008-BUSP-0671		Clean Air Bus Purchase Program, Baldwin Park	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0672		Culver City Multi-Modal Light Rail Station	656,600
A	E2008-BUSP-0673		East County Bus Maintenance Facility, El Cajon	343,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0675		Fairfield/Vacaville Intermodal Station	196,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0676		Foothill Transit Oriented Neighborhood	490,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0677		Inter-County Express Bus, Orange County	490,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0678		Los Angeles Southwest College Transit Center	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0679		Monrovia Transit Village	490,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0682		Municipal Transit Operators Coalition (MTOC)	1,078,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0684		Palmdale Transportation Center - Parking Lot	245,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0685		Palo Alto Intermodal Transit Center	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0687		Rio Hondo College Buses - Los Angeles	490,000
Â	E2008-BUSP-0690		San Diego Balboa Park Trolleys	328,300
A				588,000
	E2008-BUSP-0694		Street Shuttle Buses for Artesia Transit Caster California State Univ. Northridge	
A	E2008-BUSP-0696		Transit Center, California State Univ, Northridge	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0698		Venice/Robertson Multi-Modal Station	490,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0699		VTA Zero Emission Bus Demonstration Program	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0700		Yolo County Bus Maintenance Facility Improvements	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0701		Union City Intermodal Station, Union City	392,000
A	E2008-BUSP-0953		Fuel Cell Bus Program (Earmark desginated for CA, GA and MA)	1,302,314
0	E2008-BUSP-0137	449	City of Aspen, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	152,152
			Colorado Association of Transit Agencies/Colorado Transit Coalition-Colorado Statewide Buses and Bus	
ю	E2008-BUSP-0139	509	Facilities	2,085,66
o	E2008-BUSP-0142	521	Denver Regional Transit District-US 36 Corridor BRT	1,811,000
o	E2008-BUSP-0702		Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Request	2,101,509
т	E2008-BUSP-0154	90	Buses and bus related facilities throughout the State of Connecticut	1,304,160
т	E2008-BUSP-0155	523	Downtown Middletown, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project	2,500,000
т	E2008-BUSP-0158	267	Middletown, CT Construct intermodal center	326,040
т	E2008-BUSP-0160	269	New London, Connecticut-Intermodal Transportation Center and Streetscapes	108,68
т	E2008-BUSP-0162	131	Stonington and Mystic, Connecticut-Intermodal Center parking facility and Streetscape	530,35
т	E2008-BUSP-0163	32	Torrington, CT Construct bus-related facility (Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit District)	434,72
т	E2008-BUSP-0164	270	Vernon, Connecticut-Intermodal Center, Parking and Streetscapes	1,651,93
		657	Waterbury, CT Bus Maintenance Facility	2,800,000
T	E2008-BUSP-0165	057	•	4,307,100
T	E2008-BUSP-0703		Bridgeport Intermodal Center	490,000
т	E2008-BUSP-0708		South Norwalk Intermodal Facility Phase 2	
т	E2008-BUSP-0709		West Haven Intermodal Station	588,00
C	E2008-BUSP-0710		Union Station Intermodal Transportation Facility	490,00
DC	E2008-BUSP-0711		WMATA Bus and Bus Facilities (DC, MD and VA)	1,117,200
DE	E2008-BUSP-0713		Replacement of Fixed Route Transit Buses	656,600
۶L	E2008-BUSP-0169	297	Broward County, FL - Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities	434,720
=L	E2008-BUSP-0170	69	Broward County, FL Buses & Bus Facilities	1,412,840
۶L	E2008-BUSP-0171	479	Broward County-Bus and Bus Facilities	517,000
FL	E2008-BUSP-0173	439	Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction Central Florida Commuter Rail intermodal facilities	1,086,800
FL	E2008-BUSP-0174	453	Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal Facilities	780,000
			Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction intermodal transportation & parking facility, City of	
FL	E2008-BUSP-0178	23	Winter Park, Florida	108,68
۶L	E2008-BUSP-0184	538	Hillsborough Area Regional Transit-Bus Rapid Transit Improvements	517,00
۶L	E2008-BUSP-0188	107	Jacksonville, FL Paratransit Vehicles	24,90
۶L	E2008-BUSP-0189	558	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District/Citrus Connection-Capital Funding Needs	517,00
۳L	E2008-BUSP-0204	600	Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization-Pinellas Mobility Initiative: BRT and Guide way	259,00
٦L	E2008-BUSP-0205	415	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	489,06
Ē	E2008-BUSP-0206	420	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	434,72
FL	E2008-BUSP-0210	31	St. Augustine, Florida-Intermodal Transportation Center and related pedestrian and landscape improvements	119,38
=	E2008-BUSP-0212	402	Tampa, FL Establish Transit Emphasis Corridor Project	163,02
Ē	E2008-BUSP-0716		Broward Bus Procurement	196,00
E.	E2008-BUSP-0718		Flagler County Bus and Bus Facilities	490,00
ι. ΈL	E2008-BUSP-0718		Jacksonville Intermodal Center	490,00
			Jacksonville Transportation Authority, Bus and Bus Facilities	490,00
FL.	E2008-BUSP-0721		Lakeland Area Mass Transit District, Lakeland	294,00
=L -1	E2008-BUSP-0722			294,00
=L	E2008-BUSP-0723		Lower Keys Shuttle, Key West	294,00
=L	E2008-BUSP-0725		Miami Lakes Transit Program	343,0
=L	E2008-BUSP-0727		Multi-Modal Transportation Program Boca Raton	1,149,0
FL	E2008-BUSP-0728		North Orange/South Seminole ITS Enhanced Circulator, City of Orlando	392,0
FL	E2008-BUSP-0736		Town Center Transit Hub in Miramar	
ЗA	E2008-BUSP-0216	357	Athens, GA Buses and Bus Facilities	308,65
GΑ	E2008-BUSP-0217	247	Atlanta, GA Inter-modal Passenger Facility Improvements	434,72
		91	Columbus, GA Bus replacement	65,20

TABLE 10-A

page 3 of 16

	Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations						
State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation			
GA	E2008-BUSP-0223	49	Columbus, Georgia-Buses & Bus Facilities	210,622			
GA	E2008-BUSP-0225	60	Georgia Statewide Bus Program	43,472			
GA	E2008-BUSP-0226	275	Jesup, Georgia-Train Depot intermodal center	217,360			
GA	E2008-BUSP-0232	206	Sylvester, GA Inter-modal Facility	43,472			
GA	E2008-BUSP-0739		Chatham County, Savannah Bus Facility	392,000			
н	E2008-BUSP-0741		Honolulu Bus and Paratransit Replacement Program	196,000			
IA	D2008-BUSP-004		University of Iowa/Cambus	1,000,000			
IA	E2008-BUSP-0235	440	Ames, Iowa-Expansion of CyRide Bus Maintenance Facility	434,720			
IA	E2008-BUSP-0236	475	Black Hawk County, IA UNI Multimodal Project	776,000			
IA	E2008-BUSP-0744		Coralville Intermodal Facility	656,600			
ID	E2008-BUSP-0746		Idaho Transit Coalition Buses and Bus Facilities	2,574,761			
ID	E2008-BUSP-0747		Treasure Valley Transit Facilities	282,240			
IL	E2008-BUSP-0243	226	Champaign, IL-Construct park and ride lot with attached daycare facility	326,040			
IL	E2008-BUSP-0707		Pace Bus Park-N-Ride Facility, Plainfield	245,000			
۱L	E2008-BUSP-0748		Berwyn Intermodal Transit Facility	392,000			
IL –	E2008-BUSP-0751		Downstate Illinois Replacement Buses	606,816			
IL	E2008-BUSP-0752		Bus and Bus Facilities in Bloomington, Galesburg, Macomb, Peoria, and Rock Island	2,150,000			
IL	E2008-BUSP-0756		Mobile Data Terminal/Chicago Paratransit Vehicles	196,000			
IL	E2008-BUSP-0757		Mobile data terminals for Pace, Arlington Hts	392,000			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0264	529	Gary, Indiana, Gary Airport Station Modernization and Shuttle Service Project	450,000			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0265	544	Indianapolis Downtown Transit Center	1,100,000			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0267	5	Indianapolis, IN Downtown Transit Center	3,043,040			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0268	220	Indianapolis, IN IndySMART program to relieve congestion, improve safety and air quality	434,720			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0763		City of Anderson	392,000 160,000			
IN	E2008-BUSP-0765		Statewide Electric Hybrid Bus Initiative by the Indiana Transit Association	294,000			
KS	E2008-BUSP-0767		Bus Fleet Replacement, Topeka Metropolitan Transit Bus Replacement for Unified Government of Wyandotte County	686,000			
KS	E2008-BUSP-0768 E2008-BUSP-0772		Fulton County Transit Authority	186,393			
KY KY	E2008-BUSP-0772		Paducah Area Transit System	640,550			
KY	E2008-BUSP-0776		Transportation to Wellness, Covington	196,000			
LA	E2008-BUSP-0770	484	Capital Area Transit System-Baton Rouge BRT	776,000			
LA	E2008-BUSP-0287	170	Louisiana-Construct pedestrian walkways between Caddo St. and Milam St. along Edwards St. in Shreveport, LA	220,360			
LA	E2008-BUSP-0779		SporTran Buses for the City of Shreveport	245,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0296	118	Attleboro, MA Construction, engineering and site improvements at the Attleboro Intermodal Center	434,720			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0302	124	Haverhill, MA Design and Construct Inter-modal Transit Parking Improvements Hingham, MA Higham Marine Intermodal Center Improvements: Enhance public transportation	1,217,216			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0303	21	infrastructure/parking	434,720			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0307	42	Medford, MA Downtown revitalization featuring construction of a 200 space Park and Ride Facility	434,720			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0308	257	Newburyport, MA Design and Construct Intermodal Facility	597,740			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0311	88	Rockport, MA Rockport Commuter Rail Station Improvements Salem, MA Design and Construct Salem Intermodal Transportation Center	434,720			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0312	370	Woburn, MA Construction of an 89 space park and ride facility to be located on Magazine Hill, in the Heart of	391,248			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0313	205	Woburn Square	2,500,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0646		Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Ferry System	490,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0780		Attleboro Intermodal Center, Attleboro	196,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0782		Bus Fleet Replacement Project, WRTA, Worcester	656,600			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0783		Commonwealth Avenue Green Line Station	245,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0784		Construction of Amesbury Bus Facility Intermodal Stations in Salem and Beverly	122,500			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0787 E2008-BUSP-0789		MART Commuter Parking and Facilities	735,000			
MA			MBTA Commuter Rail Station Improvements, Melrose	686,000			
MA	E2008-BUSP-0790 E2008-BUSP-0791		Merrimack Valley RTA Buses	392,000			
MA MA	E2008-BUSP-0791		Newton Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility	392,000			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0314	122	Baltimore, MD Construct Intercity Bus Intermodal Terminal	1,086,800			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0315	303	Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility	1,086,800			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0316	542	Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility	200,000			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0318	573	Maryland Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses	4,013,342			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0320	214	Mount Rainier, MD Intermodal and Pedestrian Project	97,812			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0323	629	Southern Maryland Commuter Initiative	3,000,000			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0793		Bi-County Transit Center, Langley Park	818,300			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0794		Central MD Transit Operations Facility, Anne Arundel County	656,600			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0795		Maryland Statewide Bus and Bus Facility Program	735,000			
MD	E2008-BUSP-0796		Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Park and Ride Lots	1,274,000			
MI	E2008-BUSP-0327	204	Boysville of Michigan Transportation System	730,330			
MI	E2008-BUSP-0341	572	Marguette County, Michigan Transit Authority Bus passenger facility	300,000			
	E2008-BUSP-0342	581	Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Bus Replacement	439,832			
		634	Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART) Bus Maintenance Facility	2,000,000			
M	E2008-BUSP-0798		1st District Bus Replacement and Facilities	1,602,438			
MI	E2008-BUSP-0800		Ann Arbor Transportation Authority Transit Center	735,000			
	E2008-BUSP-0345 E2008-BUSP-0798		Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART) Bus Maintenance Facility 1st District Bus Replacement and Facilities				

TABLE 10-A

page 4 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
MI	E2008-BUSP-0813		Midland Dial-a-Ride (Midland County)	40,220
MI	E2008-BUSP-0815		Replacement Buses, Detroit	245,000
MN	E2008-BUSP-0346	40	Duluth, MN Downtown Duluth Area Transit facility improvements	434,720
MN	E2008-BUSP-0348	577	Metro Transit/Metropolitan Council, MN-Bus/Bus Capital	1,311,061
MN	E2008-BUSP-0349	185	St. Paul to Hinckley, MN Construct bus amenities along Rush Line Corridor	80,672
٨N	E2008-BUSP-0350	342	St. Paul, MN Union Depot Multi Modal Transit Facility	434,720
٨N	E2008-BUSP-0819		Albert Lea Transit Facility Rehabilitation	294,000
٨N	E2008-BUSP-0820		Greater Minnesota Transit Bus and Bus Facilities	46,000
٨N	E2008-BUSP-0823		Union Depot Multi-Modal Hub, St. Paul	656,600
MN	E2008-BUSP-0824		White Earth Tribal Nation SMART Transit and Buses	392,000
NO	E2008-BUSP-0353	345	Kansas City, MO Bus Transit Infrastructure	217,360
	E2008-BUSP-0355	624	Southeast Missouri Transportation Service-Bus Project	225,023
	E2008-BUSP-0826		Forest Park Circulator/I-64 Closure Alleviation	735,000
	E2008-BUSP-0827		Franklin County Transit	172,480
	E2008-BUSP-0828		Kansas City Area Transportation Authority Bus Replacement (KCATA)	735,000
	E2008-BUSP-0829		Southeast Missouri Transportation Service (SMTS)	735,000
MO	E2008-BUSP-1005		OATS, Incorporated, Bus and Bus Facilities	2,920,672
	22000 0001 1000		Coahoma County, Mississippi Purchase buses for the Aaron E. Henry Community Health Services Center,	2,520,072
MS	E2008-BUSP-0356	130	Inc./DARTS transit service	32,604
	E2008-BUSP-0834	100	LOU Public Transit System, Oxford	857,500
MT	E2008-BUSP-0836		CSKT Reservation Transportation Program	229,810
NC	D2008-BUSP-010		Chapel Hill Transit	37,126
NC	E2008-BUSP-010	490	Chaper Fill Transit Charlotte Area Transit System/City of Charlotte-Charlotte Multimodal Station	2,587,000
NC				
NC	E2008-BUSP-0362	217	Charlotte, NC Construct Charlotte Multimodal Station	1,695,408 869,440
	E2008-BUSP-0364	228	Charlotte, North Carolina-Multimodal Station	
NC	E2008-BUSP-0365	154	City of Greenville, NC Expansion Buses and Greenville Intermodal Center	774,671
NC	E2008-BUSP-0371	594	North Carolina Department of Transportation-North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	1,670,606
NC	E2008-BUSP-0373	134	Town of Chapel Hill, NC Park and Ride Lot	326,040
NC	E2008-BUSP-0838		North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	1,101,270
NC	E2008-BUSP-0840	505	Intermodal Transportation Facility, Winston-Salem	392,000
ND	E2008-BUSP-0375	595	North Dakota Department of Transportation/Statewide Bus	663,536
ND	E2008-BUSP-0841	100	North Dakota Statewide Transit	1,134,330
NE	E2008-BUSP-0377	160	Kearney, Nebraska-RYDE Transit Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility	434,720
NE	E2008-BUSP-0378	586	Nebraska Department of Roads-Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility for RYDE in Kearney, NE	517,000
NE	E2008-BUSP-0379	587	Nebraska Department of Roads-Statewide Vehicles, Facilities, and Related Equipment Purchases	1,035,000
NE	E2008-BUSP-0380	240	Nebraska-statewide transit vehicles, facilities, and related equipment	869,440
NH	E2008-BUSP-0382	418	Windham, New HampshireConstruction of Park and Ride Bus facility at Exit 3	804,232 217,360
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0385	28	Camden, NJ Construction of the Camden County Intermodal Facility in Cramer Hill	
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0388	389	Lakewood, NJ-Ocean County Bus service and parking facilities	652,080
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0389	138	Long Branch, NJ Determine scope, engineering, design and construct facilities for ferry service from Long Branch, NJ to New York City and ot	869,440
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0394	328	New Jersey Transit Community Shuttle Buses	108,680
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0398	618	South Brunswick, NJ Transit System	1,000,000
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0401	181	Trenton, NJ Development of Trenton Trolley System	217,360
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0844	101	Bus Shuttle Project for Seniors, Irvington	392,000
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0845		Hudson County Intermodal Station Pedestrian Bridge	294,000
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0846		Lakewood Multimodal Facility, Phase I	1,313,200
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0847		Morris County Intermodel Park and Ride	490,000
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0850		Northwest NJ Intermodal Transit Improvements	588,000
NJ	E2008-BUSP-0853		West Orange Township Senior Citizen & Handicap Shuttle Bus	196,000
NM	E2008-BUSP-0404	562	Las Cruces, NM, Road Runner Bus and Bus Facilities	300,000
NM	E2008-BUSP-0856		Bus and Bus Facilities, Grant County	984,900
NM	E2008-BUSP-0859		Para-Transit Van Replacement, Las Cruces	146,700
			Mid-Region Council of Governments 0, Mexico, public transportation buses, bus-related equipment and facilities,	
NM	E2008-BUSP-1000	460	and intermodal terminals in Albuquergu	500,000
NV	E2008-BUSP-0866		Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	735,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0414	271	Bronx, NY Botanical Garden metro North Rail station Intermodal Facility	217,360
NY	E2008-BUSP-0415	20	Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo	217,360
		279	Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo	217,360
NY	E2008-BUSP-0416	338	Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx 200 Bronx, NY Intermodal Facility near Exit 6. of the Bronx River Parkway	54,340
NY	E2008-BUSP-0418 E2008-BUSP-0420	10	Bronx, NY Wildlife Conservation Society intermodal transportation facility at the Bronx Zoo	95,095
NY NY	E2008-BUSP-0420	197	Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility	304,304
NY	E2008-BUSP-0421 E2008-BUSP-0422	408	Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility in the vicinity of Downstate Medical Center	217,360
NY	E2008-BUSP-0422 E2008-BUSP-0423	408	Brooklyn, NY Kew Urban Center-Broadway Junction Intermodal Center	208,666
NY	E2008-BUSP-0423	192	Buffalo, NY Inter-modal Center Parking Facility	217,360
NY	E2008-BUSP-0428	245	Bus to provide York-town, New York internal circulator to provide transportation throughout the Town	40,212
NY	E2008-BUSP-0427	363	Corning, New York-Transportation Center	1,086,800
NY	E2008-BUSP-0430	512	Transportation Center Enhancements, Corning, NY	550,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0431 E2008-BUSP-0432	284	Cornwall, NY-Purchase Bus	7
NY	E2008-BUSP-0432 E2008-BUSP-0433	284 300	Geneva, New York-Multimodal facility-Construct passenger rail center	, 108,680
	L2000-D00F-0400			217,360
NY	E2008-BUSP-0435	343	Kings County, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility	217.000

TABLE 10-A

page 5 of 16

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
NY	E2008-BUSP-0440	590	New York City, NY, Bronx Zoo Intermodal Facility	550,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0443	593	New York, Improvements to Moynihan Station	1,300,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0446	322	Oneonta, New York-bus replacement	14
NY	E2008-BUSP-0447	379	Ramapo, NY Transportation Safety Field Bus	54,340
NY NY	E2008-BUSP-0448	252 430	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square transit center	978,120
NY	E2008-BUSP-0449 E2008-BUSP-0450	607	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square Transit Center Rochester, NY, Renaissance Square Intermodal Facility, Design and Construction	489,060 1,600,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0451	609	Rockland County, NY Express Bus	800,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0452	386	Suffolk County, NY Design and construction of intermodal transit facility in Wyandanch	999,856
NY	E2008-BUSP-0454	635	Syracuse, New York, Syracuse University Connective Corridor Transit Project	1,100,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0456	289	Town of Warwick, NY Bus Facility Warwick Transit System	119,548
NY	E2008-BUSP-0457	451	Utica, New York Transit Multimodal Facilities	1,300,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0868		Bronx Zoo Intermodal Transportation Facility	588,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0871		City of Poughkeepsie Transit Hub	764,400
NY	E2008-BUSP-0872		CNYRTA Transit Garage - Oneida County, Utica	392,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0873		Intermodal Transit Center, Port Chester	686,000
NY	E2008-BUSP-0875		Lincoln Center Corridor Redevelopment Project	490,000 1,528,800
NY NY	E2008-BUSP-0877 E2008-BUSP-0880		Nassau County Hub Replacement Buses for the Westchester County Bee-Line Bus Systems	764,400
	L2000-D031-0000		New York City, NY rehabilitation of subway stations to include passenger access improvements including	704,400
NY	E2008-BUSP-1001	666	escalators or installation of infrastructure for security and surveillance purposes	50,000
он	E2008-BUSP-0467	89	Cincinnati, Ohio-Metro Regional Transit Hub Network Eastern Neighborhoods	201,058
OH	E2008-BUSP-0470	179	Cleveland, OH Construct passenger inter-modal center near Dock 32	186,930
он	E2008-BUSP-0483	349	Kent, OH Construct Kent State University Intermodal Facility serving students and the general public	217,360
ОН	E2008-BUSP-0486	87	Niles, OH Acquisition of bus operational and service equipment of Niles Trumbull Transit	43,472
ОН	E2008-BUSP-0487	385	Springfield, OH-City of Springfield Bus Transfer Station and Associated Parking	54,340
ОН	E2008-BUSP-0884		Kent State Geauga, Regional Transit Shelter	441,000
он	E2008-BUSP-0885		Kent State Multimodal Transportation Facility	196,000
ОН	E2008-BUSP-0886		Senior Transportation Connection	1,197,560
OH	E2008-BUSP-0888		West Price Hill Park and Ride	196,000
OR	D2008-BUSP-018	010	Lane Transit District (Eugene)	1,000,000 54,340
OR PA	E2008-BUSP-0506 E2008-BUSP-0512	216 471	Wilsonville, OR South Metro Area Rapid Transit, bus and bus facilities Beaver County, PA Transit Authority Bus Replacement/ Related Equipment Replacement	259,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0512	481	Butler Township, PA-Cranbury Area Transit Service	899,980
PA	E2008-BUSP-0515	482	Cambria County, PA Transit Authority-Bus Replacements	776,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0518	513	County of Lackawanna Transit System-Scranton Intermodal Transportation Center	259,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0520	81	Easton, Pennsylvania-Design and construct Intermodal Transportation Center	434,720
PA	E2008-BUSP-0530	583	Monroe Township, PA-Clarion County Buses	136,654
PA	E2008-BUSP-0532	201	Philadelphia, PA Cruise Terminal Transportation Ctr. Phila. Naval Shipyard	760,760
PA	E2008-BUSP-0533	137	Philadelphia, PA Improvements to the existing Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	869,440
			Philadelphia, PA Philadelphia Zoo Intermodal Transportation project w/parking consolidation, pedestrian	
PA	E2008-BUSP-0535	22	walkways, public transportation complements &	1,086,800
			Philadelphia, PA SEPTAs Market St. Elevated Rail project in conjunction with Philadelphia Commercial	204 204
PA	E2008-BUSP-0536	274	Development Corporation for improvements and assi	304,304 869,440
PA PA	E2008-BUSP-0537 E2008-BUSP-0542	316 424	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-SEPTA Market Street Elevated Line parking facility Sharon, PA-Bus Facility Construction	108,680
PA	E2008-BUSP-0548	660	Westmoreland County Transit Authority, PA-Bus Replacement	259,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0550	662	Williamsport, PA Bureau of Transportation-Williamsport Trade and Transit Centre Expansion	776,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0652		Philadelphia Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	1,000,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0893		69th Street Terminal Parking Facility, Upper Darby Township	490,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0896		Bethlehem Transit Transfer Center	490,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0898		Church Street Transportation Center	2,352,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0899		Expansion of the Scranton Electric Trolley System	196,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0900		Franklin Street Station Intermodal, Reading	1,225,000
PA	E2008-BUSP-0906		SEPTA Interoperability Communications Initiative	656,600
PA	E2008-BUSP-0907	150	Vehicle Replacement - DuFAST	588,000 652,080
PR	E2008-BUSP-0556	152	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authoritybus security equipment	9,029
PR	E2008-BUSP-0557	71	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authority Rhode Island Statewide Bus Fleet	1,304,160
RI RI	E2008-BUSP-0560 E2008-BUSP-0909	115	Rhode Island Public Transit Authority Intelligent Transportation Systems	1,341,522
SC	E2008-BUSP-0562	533	Greensville, SC Transit Authority-City of Greenville Multimodal Transportation Center Improvements	39,790
SC	E2008-BUSP-0563	619	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Transit Facilities Construction Program	517,000
SC	E2008-BUSP-0564	620	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Vehicle Acquisition Program	2,069,000
SC	E2008-BUSP-0910		Columbia Transit Facility	735,000
TN	E2008-BUSP-0566	237	Knoxville, Tennessee-Central Station Transit Center	2,217,072
TN	E2008-BUSP-0567	554	Knoxville, TN-Central Station	647,000
ΤN	E2008-BUSP-0572	30	Sevier County, Tennessee-U.S. 441 bus rapid transit	54,340
ΤN	E2008-BUSP-0573	636	Tennessee Department of Transportation-Statewide Tennessee Transit ITS and Bus Replacement Project	2,833,484
ΤN	E2008-BUSP-0913		MTSU Intermodal Transportation Hub	196,000
ΤN	E2008-BUSP-0914		Tennessee DOT, Bus and Bus Facilities Replacement	1,130,259
ΤХ	E2008-BUSP-0575	426	Abilene, TX Vehicle replacement and facility improvements for transit system	86,944
тх	E2008-BUSP-0578	153	Bryan, TX The District-Bryan Intermodal Transit Terminal and Parking Facility	652,080
тх	E2008-BUSP-0579	485	Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, TX-Bus Replacements	2,587.000

TABLE 10-A

page 6 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

state	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
X	E2008-BUSP-0580	455	Carrollton, Texas Downtown Regional Multimodal Transit Hub	260.00
x	E2008-BUSP-0581	506	City of Round Rock, TX-Downtown Intermodal Transportation Terminal	259,00
x	E2008-BUSP-0582	111	Construct West Houston and Fort Bend County, Texas-bus transit corridor	434,72
x	E2008-BUSP-0586	196	Design Downtown Carrollton, Texas Regional Multi-Modal Transit Hub Station	434,7
Ì.	E2008-BUSP-0588	536	Harris County-West Houston-Fort Bend Bus Transit Corridor: Uptown Westpark Terminal	259.0
(E2008-BUSP-0589	561	Laredo-North Laredo Transit Hub-Bus Maintenance Facility	776,0
		501	Abilene Paratransit Vehicle Replacement	431,2
< ,	E2008-BUSP-0915			254.8
(E2008-BUSP-0917		Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Austin	254,8 490,0
(E2008-BUSP-0918		City of El Paso Paratransit Van Replacement	
< ,	E2008-BUSP-0923		Fort Bend County Sienna Plantation Park and Ride	294,0
(E2008-BUSP-0925		Greater Southeast District Transit Facility	196,0
(E2008-BUSP-0926		Houston Downtown Clean Fuel Transit Initiative	1,470,0
(E2008-BUSP-0928		Rio Metro Intercity Transit, Hidalgo County	490,0
(E2008-BUSP-0929		The Woodlands Capital Cost of Contracting	294,0
(E2008-BUSP-0930		Urban Commuter Rail Circulator Vehicles	245,0
Г	E2008-BUSP-0596	651	Utah Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	595,0
			Alexandria, VA Eisenhower Avenue Inter-modal Station improvements, including purchase of buses and	
Ą	E2008-BUSP-0597	409	construction of bus shelters	543,4
۹.	E2008-BUSP-0598	232	Alexandria, VA Royal Street Bus Garage Replacement	108,6
4	E2008-BUSP-0601	359	Arlington County, VA Pentagon City Multimodal Improvements	434,7
4	E2008-BUSP-0602	157	Bealeton, Virginia-Intermodal Station Depot Refurbishment	59,7
٩	E2008-BUSP-0603	492	City of Alexandria, VA-City-Wide Transit Improvements	259,0
۱.	E2008-BUSP-0604	493	City of Alexandria, VA-Potomac Yard Transit Improvements	259,0
Ň	E2008-BUSP-0605	494	City of Alexandria, VA-Replace Royal Street Bus Garage	776,
À	E2008-BUSP-0606	495	City of Alexandria, VA-Valley Pedestrian & Transit	259,0
, ,	E2008-BUSP-0607	511	Commonwealth of Virginia-Statewide Bus Capital Program	2,069,0
À	E2008-BUSP-0608	15	Fairfax County, VA Richmond Highway (U.S. Route1) Public Transportation Improvements	434,7
À	E2008-BUSP-0609	525	Fairfax County, Virginia-Richmond Highway Initiative	517.0
A	E2008-BUSP-0610	281	Falls Church, VA Falls Church Intermodal Transportation Center	434.7
٦ ٩	E2008-BUSP-0616	68	Northern Neck and Middle Peninsula, Virginia-Bay Transit Multimodal Facilities	706.4
٦ ٩	E2008-BUSP-0621	312	Roanoke, Virginia-Improve Virginian Railway Station	54.
Ą	E2008-BUSP-0621	305	Roanoke, Virginia-Intermodal Facility	43,
	E2008-BUSP-0622	361	Roanoke, Virginia-Roanoke Railway and Link Passenger facility	108,
٩.		301		196,
4	E2008-BUSP-0938		WMATA Bus Safety Initiative	328,
F	E2008-BUSP-0940		Bennington Multi-Modal Facility	
Г	E2008-BUSP-0941		Bus Replacement for Rural Community Transportation of St. Johnsbury	58.
Г	E2008-BUSP-0943		Vans for Vermont Senior Centers	1,000,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0633	613	Seattle, WA Multimodal Terminal Redevelopment & Expansion	652,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0634	113	Snohomish County, WA Community Transit bus purchases and facility enhancement	
Α	E2008-BUSP-0636	654	Washington Southworth Terminal Redevelopment	1,350,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0637	655	Washington, King Street Transportation Center-Intercity Bus Terminal Component	70,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0950		Everett Transit Vehicle Replacement	588,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0952		Hybrid Bus Program	294,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0955		Intercity Transit Multimodal Facility Olympia	343,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0961		Pierce Transit Peninsula Park & Ride	1,029,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0963		Pullman Transit Maintenance Facility Expansion	784,
Α	E2008-BUSP-0966		University Place Intermodal Transit Facility Milwaukee, WI Rehabilitate Intermodal transportation facility at downtown Milwaukees Amtrak Station, increase	735,
L	E2008-BUSP-0638	350	parking for bus passengers	978
i	E2008-BUSP-0639	100	State of Wisconsin buses and bus facilities	76
4	E2008-BUSP-0968		Janesville City Transit System	735
/Y	E2008-BUSP-0644	665	Wyoming Department of Transportation-Wyoming Statewide Bus and Bus Related Facilities	776,
			Subtotal FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations	\$242,43

FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

	of onoungated intocations			
AK	E2009-BUSP-001	427	Alaska Native Medical Center intermodal parking facility	1,350,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-002	466	Anchorage-Transit Needs	274,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-003		Bus Acquisition, Fairbanks North Star Borough Transit	798,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-004	422	C Street Expanded bus facility and inter-modal parking garage, Anchorage, AK	1,350,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-005	425	CITC Non-profit Services Center inter-modal parking facility, Anchorage, AK	810,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-009	236	Juneau, Aaska-transit bus acquisition and transit center	405,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-010	550	Juneau-Transit Bus Acquisition and Transit Center	411,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-011	553	Ketchikan, Alaska-Transit Needs	68,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-012		MASCOT Bus Bay Expansion, Mat-Su Borough	665,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-013	574	Matsu, Alaska-Transit Needs	137,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-015	596	North Slope Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	549,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-016	597	North Star Borough, AK-Transit Purposes	274,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-017	616	Sitka, Alaska-Transit Needs	68,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-018		Statewide Bus and Bus Facility Enhancements	570,000
AK	E2009-BUSP-019	664	Wrangell, AK-Ferry Infrastructure	274,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-021		Alabama Senior Transportation Program	950,000

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
AL	E2009-BUSP-023	437	American Village/Montevallo, Alabama construction of closed loop Access Road, bus lanes and parking facility	90,288
AL	E2009-BUSP-024		Baldwin County Bus and Bus Facilities Project	950,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-025	98	Birmingham, AL Expansion of Downtown Intermodal Facility, Phase II	451,440
AL	E2009-BUSP-026	496	City of Birmingham, AL-Birmingham Downtown Intermodal Terminal, Phase II	1,372,000
۹L	E2009-BUSP-027	501	City of Huntsville, AL- Cummings Park Intermodal Center	40,004
AL	E2009-BUSP-028	503	City of Montgomery, AL-ITS Acquisition and Implementation	1,097,000
۹L	E2009-BUSP-032	534	Gulf Shores, AL Bus and Bus facilities	274,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-033		Marshall County Vehicle Replacement for Seniors and for the Mentally Disabled	285,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-034	582	Mobile County, AL Commission-Bus project	137,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-035		Replacement of Buses and Vans, Birmingham-Jefferson County Transit Authority	1,425,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-036		University of Alabama Bus and Bus Facility Project	475,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-037	644	University of Alabama in Birmingham Intermodal Facility	1,919,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-038	645	University of Alabama in Huntsville Intermodal Facility	1,646,000
AL	E2009-BUSP-039	646	University of Alabama Intermodal Facility South	2,468,000
	E2009-BUSP-040	647	University of Alabama Transit System	411,000
	E2009-BUSP-042	487	Central Arkansas Transit Authority, Bus Acquisition	1,000,000 950,000
AR	E2009-BUSP-046 E2009-BUSP-048	304	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Coconino County buses and bus facilities for Flagstaff, AZ	282,150
AZ AZ	E2009-BUSP-048	229	Coconino County Arizona-Bus and bus facilities for the Sedona Transit System	214,434
AZ	E2009-BUSP-049	47	Phoenix, AZ Construct City of Phoenix para-transit facility (Dial-A-Ride)	225,720
AZ	E2009-BUSP-050	346	Phoenix, AZ Construct metro bus facility in Phoenixs West Valley	1,128,600
AZ	E2009-BUSP-052	150	Phoenix, AZ Construct regional heavy bus maintenance facility	225,720
AZ	E2009-BUSP-053	26	Scottsdale, Arizona-Plan, design, and construct intermodal center	564,300
AZ	E2009-BUSP-054	20	South Mountain Circulator Bus, Phoenix	950,000
AZ	E2009-BUSP-055	203	Tempe, Arizona-Construct East Valley Metro Bus Facility	1,467,180
CA	E2009-BUSP-056	75	Alameda County, CA AC Transit Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Project	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-057	288	Alameda County, CA AC Transit Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Project	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-058	398	Amador County, California-Regional Transit Center	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-060	76	Baldwin Park, CA Construct vehicle and bicycle parking lot and pedestrian rest area at transit center	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-062	119	Burbank, CA CNG Transit Vehicles Purchase for Local Transit Network Expansion	101,574
CA	E2009-BUSP-063	396	Burbank, CA Construction of Empire Area Transit Center near Burbank Airport	56,430
CA	E2009-BUSP-064		Bus Replacement, Culver City	142,500
CA	E2009-BUSP-065		Bus Replacement/Expansion (Alternative Fuel), Solano County	760,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-067	190	Calexico, CA Purchase new buses for the Calexico Transit System	67,716
CA	E2009-BUSP-068	132	Carson, CA Purchase one bus	56,430
CA	E2009-BUSP-069	407	Carson, CA Purchase one trolley-bus vehicle	56,430
CA	E2009-BUSP-070	108	Carson, CA Purchase two transfer facility	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-072	155	City of Livermore, CA Construct Bus Facility for Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority City of Los Angeles California, for the purchase of transit vehicles in Watts and enhancement of paratransit and	507,870
CA	E2009-BUSP-073	669	senior transportation services.	150,480
CA	E2009-BUSP-075		Clean Air Buses, City of Cerritos	190,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-076	158	Covina, El Monte, Baldwin Park, Upland, CA Parking and Electronic Signage Improvements	395,010 835,164
CA	E2009-BUSP-077	207 17	Culver City, CA Purchase compressed natural gas buses and expand natural gas fueling facility Davis, CA Davis Multi-Modal Station to improve entrance to Amtrak Depot and parking lot, provide additional parking and improve service	225,720
CA CA	E2009-BUSP-078 E2009-BUSP-079	11	Development of Gold Country Stage Transit Transfer Center, Nevada County, CA	209,992
CA	E2009-BUSP-079	339	East San Diego County, California-Bus Maintenance Facility Expansion	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-083	101	Emeryville, CA Expand & Improve Inter-modal Transit Center at Amtrak Station	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-085	101	Fairfield Transportation Center	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-085	387	Fresno, CA-Develop program of low-emission transit vehicles	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-088	001	Glassell park Transit Pavilion, Los Angeles	190,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-089	212	Glendale, CA Construction of Downtown Streetcar Project	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-090	1	Glendale, CA Purchase of CNG Buses for Glendale Beeline Transit System	104,283
CA	E2009-BUSP-091	·	Gold Coast Transit Maintenance and Operations Facility, Oxnard	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-092	414	Hercules, CA Inter-modal Rail Station Improvements	338,580
CA	E2009-BUSP-093		Historic Filipinotown Bus Security Lights, Los Angeles	62,700
CA	E2009-BUSP-094		Intermodal Station, Vacaville	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-095		La Cienega Intermodal Center, Los Angeles	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-097	332	Long Beach, CA Park and Ride Facility Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority, CA capital funds for facility improvements to support the Cal	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-100	443	State Northridge tram system	73,359
CA	E2009-BUSP-101		Los Angeles Southwest College Western Transit Center, Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA Design and construct improved transit and pedestrian linkages between Los Angeles	712,500
CA	E2009-BUSP-103	223	Community College and nearby MTA rail stop and bus I Los Angeles, CA Improve safety, mobility and access between LATTC, Metro line and nearby bus stops on	338,58
CA	E2009-BUSP-104	307	Grand Ave between Washington and 23rd Los Angeles, CA Improve transit shelters, sidewalks lighting and landscaping around Cedars-Sinai Medical	112,86
CA	E2009-BUSP-105	121	Center	338,58
	E2009-BUSP-107	6	Los Angeles, CA, Construction of Intermodal Transit Center at California State University Los Angeles	178,31
CA	E2009-D03F-10/	•	200, algerer, et al	850,00

TABLE 10-A

page 8 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CA	E2009-BUSP-109	566	Los Angeles, CA, LAX Intermodal Transportation Center Rail and Bus System Expansion	850,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-111	311	Mammoth Lakes, California-Regional Transit Maintenance Facility	112,860
A	E2009-BUSP-112	112	Mariposa, CA-Yosemite National Park CNG-Hydrogen transit buses and facilities	564,300
CA	E2009-BUSP-113	266	Martinez, CA Inter-modal Facility Restoration	338,580
A	E2009-BUSP-114	285	Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Light Rail Transit Project from Pasadena, CA to Montclair, CA	3,385,800
A	E2009-BUSP-115		Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Zero Emissions Bus Demonstration Project, Los Angeles County	475,000
A	E2009-BUSP-116		Monrovia Transit Village Improvements	237,50
A	E2009-BUSP-117	39	Monrovia, California-Transit Village Project	677,160
A	E2009-BUSP-118	200	Montebello, CA Bus Lines Bus Fleet Replacement Project	158,004
CA	E2009-BUSP-120	321	Monterey Park, CA Catch Basins at Transit Stop Installation Monterey Park, CA Safety improvements at a bus stop including creation of bus loading areas and street	72,230
CA	E2009-BUSP-121	191	improvements	361,152
CA	E2009-BUSP-124		MTOC Clean Fuel Bus Purchases and Facility Enhancements	475,000
A	E2009-BUSP-125		Multi-modal Transit Centers, Riverside and Corona	950,000
A	E2009-BUSP-126	43	Needles, California-El Garces Intermodal Facility	451,44
A	E2009-BUSP-128		Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Transportation Center Improvements, Santa Fe Springs	475,00
A	E2009-BUSP-131	173	Ontario, CA Construct Omnitrans Transcenter	225,720
	E2009-BUSP-135	45	Palm Springs, California-Sunline Transit bus purchase	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-136	70	Palm Springs, California-Sunline Transit: CalStrat-Weststart fuel cell bus program	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-137		Palmdale Transportation Center Metrolink Platform Extension	380,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-140		Purchase Clean Fuel Buses for Long Beach Transit	950,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-141		Purchase CNG Buses for Foothill Transit	1,187,500
~•		054	Redondo Beach, CA Capital Equipment procurement of 12. Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Transit Vehicles for	180.576
CA	E2009-BUSP-142	251	Coastal Shuttle Services by Beach Cities Tran	
CA	E2009-BUSP-145	171	Riverside, California-RTA Advanced Traveler Information System Sacramento, CA Bus enhancement and improvements-construct maintenance facility and purchase clean-fuel	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-146	189	buses to improve transit service	451,440
CA	E2009-BUSP-147	84	Sacramento, improvements to the existing Sacramento Intermodal Facility (Sacramento Valley Station)	1,580,040
	E2009-BUSP-148	253	San Bernardino, CA Implement Santa Fe Depot improvements in San Bernardino San Diego, CA Widen sidewalks and bus stop entrance, and provide diagonal parking, in the Skyline Paradise	112,860 67,710
CA	E2009-BUSP-150	314	Hills neighborhood (Reo Drive)	135,432
CA	E2009-BUSP-151	183	San Fernando Valley, CA Reseda Blvd. Bus Rapid Transit Route	686,189
CA	E2009-BUSP-152	127	San Fernando, CA Purchase CNG buses and related equipment and construct facilities San Francisco, CA Construct San Francisco Muni Islais Creek Maintenance Facility	1,354,320
CA	E2009-BUSP-153	377		677,160
CA	E2009-BUSP-154	287 381	San Francisco, CA Implement ITS on Muni Transit System San Francisco, CA Redesign and renovate intermodal facility at Glen Park Community	931,09
CA	E2009-BUSP-156	341	San Gabriel Valley, CA-Foothill Transit Park and Rides	2,144,340
	E2009-BUSP-157	145	Santa Ana, CA Improve Santa Ana transit terminal	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-160	145	Santa Ana, CA Implove Santa Ana transit terriman Santa Barbara, CA-Expansion of Regional Intermodal Transit Center	67,71
CA CA	E2009-BUSP-161 E2009-BUSP-162	147	Santa Balbara, OA-Expansion of negional internotation of the state of	475,000
04	E2003-D031-102		Santa Monica, CA Construct intermodal park-and-ride facility at Santa Monica College campus on South Bundy	
CA	E2009-BUSP-164	364	Drive near Airport Avenue	225,720
CA	E2009-BUSP-166		Senior Center Clean Fuel/Hybrid Transit Bus, City of South El Monte	380,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-167	313	Solana Beach, CA-Construct Intermodal Facility	338,580
CA	E2009-BUSP-168	27	Sonoma County, CA Purchase of CNG buses	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-169	401	South Pasadena, CA Silent Night Grade Crossing Project	203,148
CA	E2009-BUSP-171		Sunline Bus and Bus Facilities, Thousand Palms	475,000
CA	E2009-BUSP-172	388	Sylmar, CA Los Angeles Mission College Transit Center construction	56,430
CA	E2009-BUSP-173	315	Temecula, California-Intermodal Transit Facility	112,860
CA	E2009-BUSP-174	668	The City of Compton California, for replacement of buses and paratransit vehicles	150,480
CA	E2009-BUSP-177		Transit Station Expansion Project (Metrolink Parking Lot), Rialto	285,00
CA	E2009-BUSP-178		Tri-Delta Transit Park and Ride Lots, Eastern Contra Costa County	641,25
CA	E2009-BUSP-179		Union City Intermodal Phase II - Commuter Rail Connection, Union City, Alameda County	475,00
CA	E2009-BUSP-180	35	Union City, CA Inter-modal Station, Phase 1: Modify BART station	959,31
CA	E2009-BUSP-181	195	Woodland Hills, CA Los Angeles Pierce College Bus Rapid Transit Station Extension Woodland, CA Yolobus operations, maintenance, administration facility expansion and improvements to increase	225,72
CA	E2009-BUSP-182	83	bus service with alternative fuel buses	451,44
CA	E2009-BUSP-948		San Francisco Water Transit Authority	2,500,00
со	E2009-BUSP-183	449	City of Aspen, CO Bus and Bus Facilities Colorado Association of Transit Agencies/Colorado Transit Coalition-Colorado Statewide Buses and Bus	158,00
со	E2009-BUSP-185	509	Facilities	5,087,97
со	E2009-BUSP-186		Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	67,71
co	E2009-BUSP-188	520	Denver Regional Transit District-Denver Union Station Multimodal Renovations	549,00
co	E2009-BUSP-189	521	Denver Regional Transit District-US 36 Corridor BRT	1,919,00
co	E2009-BUSP-190	167	Denver, CO Denver Union Station Inter-modal Center	1,241,46
co	E2009-BUSP-192	441	Grand Valley Transit, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	112,86
	E2009-BUSP-194	444	Pueblo Transit, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	56,43
CO				169,29
	E2009-BUSP-196	446	Steamboat Springs, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	
CO CO CO	E2009-BUSP-196 E2009-BUSP-197	446 450	Steamboat Springs, CO Bus and Bus Facilities Town of Snowmass Village, CO Bus and Bus Facilities	67,71

TABLE 10-A

page 9 of 16

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
CT	E2009-BUSP-202	90	Buses and bus related facilities throughout the State of Connecticut	1,354,320
СТ	E2009-BUSP-203	523	Downtown Middletown, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project	2,850,000
СТ	E2009-BUSP-206	267	Middletown, CT Construct intermodal center	338,580
СТ	E2009-BUSP-208	269	New London, Connecticut-Intermodal Transportation Center and Streetscapes	112,860
СТ	E2009-BUSP-210	131	Stonington and Mystic, Connecticut-Intermodal Center parking facility and Streetscape	550,757
СТ	E2009-BUSP-211	32	Torrington, CT Construct bus-related facility (Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit District)	451,440
СТ	E2009-BUSP-212	270	Vernon, Connecticut-Intermodal Center, Parking and Streetscapes	1,715,472
СТ	E2009-BUSP-213	657	Waterbury, CT Bus Maintenance Facility	3,400,000
DC	E2009-BUSP-214		Union Station Intermodal Transportation Center, Washington	475,000
DE	E2009-BUSP-215		Automotive-Based Fuel Cell Hybrid Bus Program	475,000
DE	E2009-BUSP-216	169	Delaware-University of Delaware Fuel Cell Bus Deployment	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-217		Amtrak Station Construction and Improvements, Winter Park	950,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-220	297	Broward County, FL - Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities	451,440
FL	E2009-BUSP-221	69	Broward County, FL Buses & Bus Facilities	1,467,180
FL	E2009-BUSP-222	479	Broward County-Bus and Bus Facilities	549,000
			Broward, FL Purchase new articulated buses and bus stop improvements on State Road 7. (SR 7) between	
FL	E2009-BUSP-223	117	Golden Glades Interchange and Glades Road	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-224		Bus Facility, North Bay Village	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-226		Central Avenue BRT Corridor Station Development and Enhancements	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-227	453	Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal Facilities	810,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-228	488	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority-LYNX Bus Fleet Expansion Program	1,372,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-230	508	Collier County Transit-Transit Facility	274,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-231		Construction of Bus Stations in Altamonte, Lake Mary, Longwood, and Sanford	1,425,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-233		Design, Acquisition of ROW, and Construction of the Regional Intermodal Terminal Center, Jacksonville	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-234	23	Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction intermodal transportation & parking facility, City of Winter Park	112,860
51	E2000 BUSD 225	439	Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction Central Florida Commuter Rail intermodal facilities	1,128,600
FL FL	E2009-BUSP-235 E2009-BUSP-236	435	Doral Transit Circulator Program, City of Doral	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-239		Gainesville RTS Buses, Gainesville	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-241	213	Gainesville, FL Bus Rapid Transit Study	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-241	95	Gainesville, FL Bus Replacement	902,880
FL	E2009-BUSP-242	538	Hillsborough Area Regional Transit-Bus Rapid Transit Improvements	549,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-245	548	Jacksonville Transportation Authority-Bus Fleet Replacement and Equipment	1,372,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-245	306	Jacksonville, FL Bus Replacement	1,580,040
		107	Jacksonville, FL Paratransit Vehicles	1,015,740
FL FL	E2009-BUSP-247 E2009-BUSP-248	107	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District Bus Replacement and Facility Maintenance	285,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-248	558	Lakeland Area Mass Transit District/Citrus Connection-Capital Funding Needs	549,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-250	238	Levy County, Florida-Purchase 2. wheel chair equipped passenger buses and related equipment	67,716
FL	E2009-BUSP-251	103	Longwood, Florida-Construct Intermodal Transportation Facility	112,860
FL	E2009-BUSP-252	105	Lower Keys Shuttle Bus Facilities, Key West	950,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-253		LYNX Buses, Orange County	237,500
FL	E2009-BUSP-254	308	Miami Dade, FL N.W. 7th Avenue Transit Hub	677,160
FL	E2009-BUSP-255	300	Miami Lakes Hybrid Electric Vehicles and Trolleybus Procurement	570,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-256	211	Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities	1,354,320
FL	E2009-BUSP-250	432	Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities	902,880
FL	E2009-BUSP-258	133	Miami-Dade County, Florida-Transit Security System	674,903
FL	E2009-BUSP-259	580	Miami-Dade County, Fionda Transit Gecany System Miami-Dade Transit 7th Avenue NW Transit Hub	274,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-260	560	Miami-Dade Transit Bus Procurement Plan	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-260	454	Miami-Dade Transit Dadeland South Intermodal Center	540,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-262	454	Miramar Town Center Transit Hub	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-262	136	Ocala and Marion County, Florida-replacement buses	677,160
FL	E2009-BUSP-264	294	Orlando, FL Bus Replacement	902,880
FL	E2009-BUSP-265	14	Orlando, Florida-LYNX Bus Fleet Expansion Program	203,148
FL	E2009-BUSP-203	14	Pembroke Pines Senior Center Bus Procurement	475,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-271	600	Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization-Pinellas Mobility Initiative: BRT and Guide way	274,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-272	000	Polk County Transit System	285,000
		415	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	507,870
FL	E2009-BUSP-274 E2009-BUSP-275	415	Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL	451,440
FL FL	E2009-BUSP-275 E2009-BUSP-276	420	South FL Region, FL Regional Universal Automated Fare Collection System (UAFC) (for bus system)	451,440
FL	E2009-BUSP-276 E2009-BUSP-277	623	South Florida Regional Transportation Authority-West Palm Beach Intermodal Facility	549,000
		622	South Florida Regional Transportation Authority-West Palm Improvements, for any activity eligible under section 5309	4,114,000
FL	E2009-BUSP-278			
FL	E2009-BUSP-279	31	St. Augustine, Florida-Intermodal Transportation Center and related pedestrian and landscape improvements	225,720
FL	E2009-BUSP-281	390	St. Lucie County, FL Purchase Buses	225,720
FL	E2009-BUSP-282	402	Tampa, FL Establish Transit Emphasis Corridor Project	169,290
FL	E2009-BUSP-285		LYNX Buses, Orlando	2,850,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-288	357	Athens, GA Buses and Bus Facilities	320,522
GA	E2009-BUSP-289		Athens-Clarke County Transit, Bus Procurement	1,330,000

TABLE 10-A

page 10 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

		SAFETEA-LU		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
GA	E2009-BUSP-290	247	Atlanta, GA Inter-modal Passenger Facility Improvements	451,440
GA	E2009-BUSP-293	144	Augusta, GA Buses and Bus Facilities	90,288
GA	E2009-BUSP-294		Bus and Related Facilities Replacement, Albany	475,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-295		Chatham Area Transit (CAT) Bus Replacement	665,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-297	91	Columbus, GA Bus replacement	67,716
GA	E2009-BUSP-299	49	Columbus, Georgia-Buses & Bus Facilities	218,723
GA	E2009-BUSP-300	530	Georgia Department of Transportation-Georgia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	2,468,000
GA	E2009-BUSP-301	60	Georgia Statewide Bus Program	45,144
GA GA	E2009-BUSP-302 E2009-BUSP-304	275	GRTA Park and Ride Facility, Rockdale County Jesup, Georgia-Train Depot intermodal center	190,000 225,720
GA	E2009-BUSP-309	256	Savannah, GA Bus and Bus Facilities-Chatham Area Transit	1,128,600
GA	E2009-BUSP-310	348	Savannah, Georgia-Water Ferry River walk intermodal facilities	451,440
GA	E2009-BUSP-311	206	Sylvester, GA Inter-modal Facility	45,144
GU	E2009-BUSP-313		Guam Mass Transit Bus Maintenance Facility	237,500
GU	E2009-BUSP-314		Guam Mass Transit Hybrid/CNG New Bus Procurement	475,000
HI	E2009-BUSP-315		County of Hawaii Vehicle Enhancement Project	380,000
HI	E2009-BUSP-316	540	Honolulu, HI, Bus Facilities	1,300,000
HI	E2009-BUSP-317		Rural Bus Program for Hawaii, Maui, and Kauai	1,900,000
IA	E2009-BUSP-318	440	Ames, Iowa-Expansion of CyRide Bus Maintenance Facility	451,440
IA	E2009-BUSP-319	475	Black Hawk County, IA UNI Multimodal Project	823,000
IA	E2009-BUSP-321		Dubuque Downtown Transportation Center Intermodal Facility, Dubuque	237,500
IA	E2009-BUSP-324	170	Transit Maintenance Facility, Davenport	380,000
ID ID	E2009-BUSP-325 E2009-BUSP-327	176	Boise, ID-Multimodal facility Idaho Transit Coalition Buses and Bus Facilities	993,797 4,845,000
ID	E2009-BUSP-327		Treasure Valley Transit Facilities	4,845,000
ID	E2009-BUSP-328	652	Valley Regional Transit, ID-Downtown Boise Multimodal	1,590,000
IL	E2009-BUSP-330	433	Centralia, Illinois-South Central Mass Transit District Improvements	90,288
IL.	E2009-BUSP-331	226	Champaign, IL-Construct park and ride lot with attached daycare facility	338,580
IL	E2009-BUSP-119	186	Mattoon Historic Railroad Depot Restoration/Intermodal Center	361,152
IL	E2009-BUSP-341		Multimodal Center, Normal	237,500
IL.	E2009-BUSP-342	429	Normal, Illinois-Multimodal Transportation Center	451,440
IL.	E2009-BUSP-343	163	Normal, Illinois-Multimodal Transportation Center, including facilities for adjacent public and nonprofit uses	1,128,600
IL .	E2009-BUSP-347		Paratransit Vehicles, West Central Mass Transit District	104,500
IL.	E2009-BUSP-348		Replacement Heavy Duty Transit Buses, Madison County Mass Transit District	475,000 380,000
IL II	E2009-BUSP-349 E2009-BUSP-350	404	Replacement of Paratransit Vehicles, Greater Peoria Mass Transit District, Peoria Rock Island, IL Improve Rock Island Mass Transit District Bus Facility	112,860
IL IL	E2009-BUSP-350	608	Rock Island, Illinois, Metrolink Transit Maintenance Facility	500,000
IL	E2009-BUSP-352	632	Springfield, IL, Multimodal Transit Terminal	1,800,000
IL.	E2009-BUSP-354	002	State of Illinois Downstate Bus and Bus Facilities	4,750,000
IL.	E2009-BUSP-355		Toyota Park Pace Transit Center	475,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-358		CityBus Hybrid Bus Procurement, Lafayette	2,945,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-359	529	Gary, Indiana, Gary Airport Station Modernization and Shuttle Service Project	500,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-360	544	Indianapolis Downtown Transit Center	1,200,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-362	5	Indianapolis, IN Downtown Transit Center	3,160,080
IN	E2009-BUSP-363	220	Indianapolis, IN IndySMART program to relieve congestion, improve safety and air quality	451,440
IN	E2009-BUSP-369		Park and Ride Facility, Indiana University	475,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-370	617	South Bend, Indiana, TRANSPO Bus Operations Center	1,200,000
IN	E2009-BUSP-371	141	South Bend, Indiana-Construct South Bend Bus Operations Center	225,720
KS	E2009-BUSP-373	FF 4	Bus and Bus Facilities, City of Lawrence	950,000
KS	E2009-BUSP-375	551	Kansas City Area Transportation Authority-Bus Project	2,742,000 475,000
KS	E2009-BUSP-377		Replacement of Bus Fleet in Topeka Unified Government Transit, Bus Replacements, Bus Expansions and Bus Facilities	475,000
KS KY	E2009-BUSP-378 E2009-BUSP-379		Bus Facilities, Fulton County Transit Authority	237,500
KY	E2009-BUSP-379		Bus Replacement Program, TANK, Fort Wright	1,900,000
KY	E2009-BUSP-381		Frankfort Transit	950,000
KY	E2009-BUSP-382		Intermodal Transit Facility for LKLP Community Action Council, Hazard	237,500
KY	E2009-BUSP-383		Non-Emergency Medical and Independent Living Activities Transportation for Older Adults, La Grange	76,950
KY	E2009-BUSP-384		Paducah Area Transit System	2,375,000
KY	E2009-BUSP-385	372	Richmond, KY Purchase buses, bus equipment and facilities	162,518
KY	E2009-BUSP-386		Route System Project, Murray Calloway Transit Authority, Murray	1,496,250
KY	E2009-BUSP-387		TARC Clean Bus Program	475,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-390	484	Capital Area Transit System-Baton Rouge BRT	823,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-396	568	Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development-Statewide Vehicles and Equipment	274,000 380,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-397		Louisiana Statewide Bus and Bus Facility	360,000
LA	E2009-BUSP-398	170	Louisiana-Construct pedestrian walkways between Caddo St. and Milam St. along Edwards St. in Shreveport, LA	228,720
	E2009-BUSP-399	55	New Orleans, LA Inter-modal Riveríront Center	112,860
LA				005 700
LA LA	E2009-BUSP-400	67	New Orleans, LA Plan and construct New Orleans Union Passenger Terminal intermodal facilities	225,720

TABLE 10-A Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

page 11 of 16

		SAFETEA-LU		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
LA	E2009-BUSP-404	277	Shreveport, LA-intermodal Transit Facility	756,162
LA	E2009-BUSP-406		Southern University Intermodal Transit Facility System	475,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-408	118	Attleboro, MA Construction, engineering and site improvements at the Attleboro Intermodal Center	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-412	174	Brockton, MA Bus replacement for the Brockton Area Transit Authority	338,580
MA	E2009-BUSP-413		Bus Terminal, Fall River	950,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-414		Chelsea Intermodal Parking Garage, Chelsea	855,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-415	330	Framingham, MA Local Intra-Framingham Transit System enhancements	406,296
MA	E2009-BUSP-416	000	FRTA and FRCOD Transit Center, Greenfield	1,900,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-417	124	Haverhill, MA Design and Construct Inter-modal Transit Parking Improvements	1,264,032
NIC	L2009-D031 -417	124	Hingham, MA Higham Marine Intermodal Center Improvements: Enhance public transportation	1,204,002
МА	E2009-BUSP-418	21	infrastructure/parking	2,031,480
MA	E2009-BUSP-419	21	Holyoke Intermodal Center	142,500
			•	391,875
MA	E2009-BUSP-420		Intermodal Station Improvements, Cities of Salem and Beverly	
MA	E2009-BUSP-421		Intermodal Transit Parking Facility, Haverhill	475,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-423	280	Lowell, MA Implementation of LRTA bus replacement plan	225,720
MA	E2009-BUSP-424	569	Lowell, MA, Lowell Regional Transit	1,150,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-425	42	Medford, MA Downtown revitalization featuring construction of a 200 space Park and Ride Facility	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-426	257	Newburyport, MA Design and Construct Intermodal Facility	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-427		North Leominster Commuter Station Parking, Leominster	1,900,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-428	139	Quincy, MA MBTA Purchase high speed catamaran ferry for Quincy Harbor Express Service	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-429		Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility, Newton	380,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-430	161	Revere, MA Inter-modal transit improvements in the Wonderland station (MBTA) area	406,296
MA	E2009-BUSP-431	88	Rockport, MA Rockport Commuter Rail Station Improvements	620,730
MA	E2009-BUSP-432	370	Salem, MA Design and Construct Salem Intermodal Transportation Center	451,440
MA	E2009-BUSP-433		Salem, Saugus, Topsfield Vans	212,800
MA	E2009-BUSP-434		Southeastern Regional Transit Authority (SRTA) Bus Fleet Replacement	665,000
			Woburn, MA Construction of an 89 space park and rude facility to be located on Magazine Hill, in the Heart of	,
MA	E2009-BUSP-435	205	Woburn Square	406,296
MA	E2009-BUSP-436		Wonderland Station Intermodal Transit Improvements, City of Revere	950,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-949		Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Ferry System	2,500,000
MA	E2009-BUSP-956		Fuel Cell Bus Program (Earmark designated for MA, CA and GA)	11,846,880
	E2009-BUSP-437	122	Baltimore, MD Construct Intercity Bus Intermodal Terminal	1,128,600
MD		122	Central Maryland Transit Operations Facility	475,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-438		· · · ·	475,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-439		Howard County Hybrid Electric Buses	
MD	E2009-BUSP-440	303	Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility	1,128,600
MD	E2009-BUSP-441	542	Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility	200,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-442	571	MARC Intermodal Odenton and Edgewood Station Improvements	418,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-443	573	Maryland Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses	7,250,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-445	214	Mount Rainier, MD Intermodal and Pedestrian Project	101,574
MD	E2009-BUSP-447		Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Initiative	950,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-448	629	Southern Maryland Commuter Initiative	3,500,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-449		Statewide Locally Operated Transit Systems (LOTS), Bus and Facility Improvements	1,900,000
MD	E2009-BUSP-450		WMATA Bus and Bus Facility Safety Initiative	475,000
ME	E2009-BUSP-452		Clean Fuel Buses, Portland	475,000
ME	E2009-BUSP-453	570	Maine DOT Acadia Intermodal Passenger and Maintenance Facility	823,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-457	204	Boysville of Michigan Transportation System	758,419
MI	E2009-BUSP-464		Caro Transit Authority Bus Replacement, Caro	72,574
MI	E2009-BUSP-487	572	Marquette County, Michigan Transit Authority Bus passenger facility	300,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-489	581	Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Bus Replacement	2,600,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-489	001	Muskegon Area Transit System	427,500
	E2009-BUSP-491	202	Muskegon, Michigan-Muskegon Area Transit Terminal and related improvements	451,440
Mi		293		228,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-493	~~ /	Niles Dial-a-Ride Bus Acquisition	2,250,000
MI	E2009-BUSP-496	634	Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART) Bus Maintenance Facility	2,250,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-499		Cedar Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	
MN	E2009-BUSP-500	40	Duluth, MN Downtown Duluth Area Transit facility improvements	451,440
MN	E2009-BUSP-502		Greater Minnesota Transit Capital	1,800,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-503	577	Metro Transit/Metropolitan Council, MN-Bus/Bus Capital	2,606,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-504		Red Rock Corridor Intermodal Bus and Bus Facilities, Newport	475,000
MN	E2009-BUSP-505	185	St. Paul to Hinckley, MN Construct bus amenities along Rush Line Corridor	338,580
MN	E2009-BUSP-506	342	St. Paul, MN Union Depot Multi Modal Transit Facility	451,440
MO	E2009-BUSP-510	345	Kansas City, MO Bus Transit Infrastructure	225,720
MO	E2009-BUSP-511		KCATA Bus Replacement, Kansas City	285,000
MO	E2009-BUSP-512	598	OATS, Incorporated, Bus and Bus Facilities	4,663,000
MO	E2009-BUSP-513	624	Southeast Missouri Transportation Service-Bus Project	549,000
MO	E2009-BUSP-514		Springdale Metrolink Station, St. Louis County	380,000
MO	E2009-BUSP-516		Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	1,900,000
WU	2003-0007-010		Coahoma County, Mississippi Purchase buses for the Aaron E. Henry Community Health Services Center,	
No	E2000 BUSD 517	130	Inc./DARTS transit service	33,858
MS	E2009-BUSP-517	130	Harrison County Multi-Modal Facilities	2,850,000
MS MS	E2009-BUSP-518		JATRAN Light Rail Feasibility Study	475,000
	E2009-BUSP-520		UN THAN LIGHT HAILT CASIDING OLOGY	

TABLE 10-A

page 12 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

MT	Earmark ID E2009-BUSP-523	Project No. 584	Project Location and Description	
			Montana Department of Transportation-Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses	823,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-524		Montana Paratransit System Bus Replacement, Billings	247,000
110	E2009-BUSP-525		Bus Improvements, Durham	475,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-526		Bus Replacement for Asheville Transit System	237,500
NC	E2009-BUSP-528		Capital Area Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility, Raleigh	1,425,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-529	490	Charlotte Area Transit System/City of Charlotte-Charlotte Multimodal Station	2,742,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-530	217	Charlotte, NC Construct Charlotte Multimodal Station	1,760,616
NC	E2009-BUSP-532	228	Charlotte, North Carolina-Multimodal Station	902,880
NC	E2009-BUSP-533	154	City of Greenville, NC Expansion Buses and Greenville Intermodal Center	804,466
NC	E2009-BUSP-535		Goldsboro Union Depot Multimodal	855,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-536		Greensboro Maintenance/Operations Transit Facility, Greensboro	1,140,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-538	52	Greensboro, North Carolina-Replacement buses	1,304,662
NC	E2009-BUSP-541	594	North Carolina Department of Transportation-North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Raleigh, NC Purchase eighteen replacement buses to replace buses that have reached their useful life according	6,856,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-542	143	to Federal Transit Administration regul	451,440
NC	E2009-BUSP-543		Replacement and Expansion Buses, City of Raleigh	950,000
NC	E2009-BUSP-544	134	Town of Chapel Hill, NC Park and Ride Lot	338,580
ND	E2009-BUSP-546		ND Statewide Transit	1,900,000
ND	E2009-BUSP-547	595	North Dakota Department of Transportation/Statewide Bus	1,600,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-548	505	City of Omaha-Creighton University Intermodal Facility	823,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-549	160	Kearney, Nebraska-RYDE Transit Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility	451,440
NE	E2009-BUSP-550	586	Nebraska Department of Roads-Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility for RYDE in Kearney, NE	549,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-551	587	Nebraska Department of Roads-Statewide Vehicles, Facilities, and Related Equipment Purchases	1,097,000
NE	E2009-BUSP-552	240	Nebraska-statewide transit vehicles, facilities, and related equipment	902,880
NE	E2009-BUSP-553	599	Omaha, NE, Buses and Fare boxes	740,000
NH	E2009-BUSP-554	000	Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities, Concord	475,000
NH	E2009-BUSP-555		UNH Wildcat Transit Fleet, Durham	190,000
NH	E2009-BUSP-556	418	Windham, New HampshireConstruction of Park and Ride Bus facility at Exit 3	835,164
NJ	E2009-BUSP-557	468	Atlantic City, NJ Jitney	750,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-558	400	Bloomfield Intermodal Improvements	1,900,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-560	28	Camden, NJ Construction of the Camden County Intermodal Facility in Cramer Hill	225,720
NJ	E2009-BUSP-562	20	Intermodal Transit Improvements, Northwest	712,500
NJ	E2009-BUSP-563	102	Jersey City, NJ Construct West Entrance to Pavonia-Newport PATH Station	451,440
NJ	E2009-BUSP-564	102	Lakewood Multi-Modal Initiative	1,021,250
		200	Lakewood, NJ-Ocean County Bus service and parking facilities	677,160
NJ NJ	E2009-BUSP-565 E2009-BUSP-566	389 138	Long Branch, NJ betermine scope, engineering, design and construct facilities for ferry service from Long Branch, NJ to New York City and other destin	902,880
NJ	E2009-BUSP-569	100	Morristown/Montclair-Boonton Commuter Rail Intermodal Improvements, Northern	950,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-570	46	National Park Service Design and construct 2.1-mile segment to complete Sandy Hook multiuse pathway in Sandy Hook, NJ	225,720
NJ	E2009-BUSP-573	328	New Jersey Transit Community Shuttle Buses	112,860
NJ	E2009-BUSP-575	29	Sandy Hook, NJ National Park Service Construct year-round ferry dock at Sandy Hook Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area	225,720
NJ	E2009-BUSP-576	25	Senior Citizen Transportation Vehicle, North Arlington	95,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-579		South Brunswick Municipal Area Residential Transit	380,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-580	618	South Brunswick, NJ Transit System	1,000,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-581	010	The Arc of Mercer County Mobile Transportation Service Vehicle Procurement	95,000
NJ	E2009-BUSP-584	181	Trenton, NJ Development of Trenton Trolley System	225,720
NM	E2009-BUSP-586	101	Albuquerque Transit Facility Rehabilitation, City of Albuquerque	92,625
NM	E2009-BUSP-587	464	Albuquerque, NM, Ride Bus and Bus Facilities	2,100,000
NM	E2009-BUSP-588	TOT	Bus Purchases, Albuquerque	950,000
NM	E2009-BUSP-588		City of Rio Rancho Transit Program	313,500
NM	E2009-BUSP-590		Design and Construction of an Intermodal Transportation Center for Los Lunas	950,000
NM	E2009-BUSP-591	562	Las Cruces, NM, Road Runner Bus and Bus Facilities Mid-Region Council of Governments, New Mexico, public transportation buses, bus-related equipment and	350,000
NINA	E2009-BUSP-592	460	facilities, and intermodal terminals in Albuquer	500,000
NM		400	Navajo Transit Vehicles and Facilities	237,500
NM	E2009-BUSP-593		North Central Regional Transit Facilities	475,000
NM	E2009-BUSP-594		Transit Maintenance and Operations Facility, City of Las Cruces	617,500
NM	E2009-BUSP-595 E2009-BUSP-597		Lake Tahoe Bus Facilities	475,000
NV	E2009-BUSP-597 E2009-BUSP-600		Nevada Statewide Bus Facilities	1,425,000
NV NV	E2009-BUSP-600	74	Albany-Schenectady, NY Bus Rapid Transit Improvements in NY Route 5. Corridor.	225,720
NY		463	Albany-Schenectady, NY, Bus Rapid Transit Improvements in NY Route 5	1,500,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-609	403	Albany-Schenectady, NY, Bus Rapid Transit improvements in NY House 5	380,000
NY	E2009-BUSP-610		Alternative Fuel Bus, Village of East Hockaway Arverne East Transit Plaza	712,500
NY	E2009-BUSP-611		Bronx Zoo Intermodal Transportation Facility, Bronx	332,500
	E2009-BUSP-612			225,720
NY	E0000 BLICD 610	071		
NY NY	E2009-BUSP-613	271	Bronx, NY Botanical Garden metro North Rail station Intermodal Facility	
NY	E2009-BUSP-613 E2009-BUSP-614 E2009-BUSP-615	271 20 279	Bronx, NY Botanical Garden metro North Pail Station mermodal Facility Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo	225,720 225,720

TABLE 10-A

page 13 of 16

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
NY	E2009-BUSP-617	338	Bronx, NY Intermodal Facility near Exit 6. of the Bronx River Parkway	56,43
٩Y	E2009-BUSP-619	10	Bronx, NY Wildlife Conservation Society intermodal transportation facility at the Bronx Zoo	98,75
NΥ	E2009-BUSP-620	197	Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility	316,00
iΥ	E2009-BUSP-621	408	Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility in the vicinity of Downstate Medical Center	225,72
IY	E2009-BUSP-622	400		
			Brooklyn, NY New Urban Center-Broadway Junction Intermodal Center	216,69
Y	E2009-BUSP-625	192	Buffalo, NY Inter-modal Center Parking Facility	225,72
iY IV	E2009-BUSP-626	045	Bus Maintenance Facility Improvements Westchester County	712,50
IY	E2009-BUSP-627	245	Bus to provide York-town New York internal circulator to provide transportation throughout the Town	41,75
IY	E2009-BUSP-628		Capital District Transportation Authority Saratoga Bus Facility Saratoga Springs	712,50
IY	E2009-BUSP-629		CDTA Replacement Buses	712,50
IY	E2009-BUSP-633	363	Corning, New York-Transportation Center	1,128,60
Y	E2009-BUSP-634	284	Cornwall, NY-Purchase Bus	19,63
Y	E2009-BUSP-635	300	Geneva, New York-Multimodal facility-Construct passenger rail center	112,86
Y	E2009-BUSP-636		Glen Cove Connector Multi-Modal Parking Hub Design Engineering and Construction	950,00
IY	E2009-BUSP-638		Jewish Community Council of Rockland Transit Buses	380,00
Y	E2009-BUSP-639	343	Kings County, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility	225,72
Y	E2009-BUSP-643	25	New York City, NY First Phase Implementation of Bus Rapid Transit System	175,72
Y	E2009-BUSP-644	376	New York City, NY Purchase Handicapped-Accessible Livery Vehicles	225,72
			New York City, NY rehabilitation of subway stations to include passenger access improvements including	
Y	E2009-BUSP-645	666	escalators or installation of infrastructure fo	50,00
ΙY	E2009-BUSP-646	590	New York City NY Bronx Zoo Intermodal Facility	650,00
IY	E2009-BUSP-649	593	New York Improvements to Moynihan Station	1,500,00
IY	E2009-BUSP-650		NFTA Hybrid Buses Buffalo	475,00
IY	E2009-BUSP-654	322	Oneonta New York-bus replacement	33,85
IY	E2009-BUSP-655	379	Ramapo, NY Transportation Safety Field Bus	56,43
IY	E2009-BUSP-656		Rochester Genesee Regional Transportation Authority Satellite Transit Center Construction	237,50
IY	E2009-BUSP-657	252	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square transit center	1,015,74
IY	E2009-BUSP-658	430	Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square Transit Center	507,87
ΪΥ	E2009-BUSP-659	607	Rochester, NY Renaissance Square Intermodal Facility Design and Construction	2,000,00
Ŷ	E2009-BUSP-660	609	Rockland County, NY Express Bus	900,00
Y	E2009-BUSP-661	386	Suffolk County, NY Design and construction of intermodal transit facility in Wyandanch	1,038,3
Y	E2009-BUSP-662	353	Suffolk County, NY Purchase four handicapped accessible vans to transport veterans to and from the VA facility in Northport	63,20
IY	E2009-BUSP-663	635	Syracuse, New York Syracuse University Connective Corridor Transit Project	1,150,00
iY	E2009-BUSP-665	289	Town of Warwick, NY Bus Facility Warwick Transit System	124,14
IΥ	E2009-BUSP-667	512	Transportation Center Enhancements Corning NY	650,00
iY	E2009-BUSP-668	451	Utica, New York Transit Multimodal Facilities	1,350,00
IY	E2009-BUSP-671	264	Westchester County, NY Bus replacement program	846,4
	E2009-BUSP-672	149	Yonkers, NY Trolley Bus Acquisition	84,64
IY IV		145		1,000,0
IY .	E2009-BUSP-954	90	Staten Island Ferry Circinacti Obie Matra Bagional Transit Hub Natwork Eastern Najabbarbaga	208,7
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-678	89	Cincinnati, Ohio-Metro Regional Transit Hub Network Eastern Neighborhoods	
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-681	179	Cleveland, OH Construct passenger inter-modal center near Dock 32	194,1
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-691		Downtown Intermodal Facility and Associated Parking, Springfield	712,50
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-695		Greater Dayton RTA Bus Replacement	950,00
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-696	349	Kent, OH Construct Kent State University Intermodal Facility serving students and the general public	225,7
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-699	87	Niles OH Acquisition of bus operational and service equipment of Niles Trumbull Transit	45,1
ЭН	E2009-BUSP-701	385	Springfield, OH-City of Springfield Bus Transfer Station and Associated Parking	56,4
ЭK	E2009-BUSP-705		Bus Replacement Central Oklahoma Transportation and Parking Authority Oklahoma City	712,50
ЭK	E2009-BUSP-706		Oklahoma Automated Vehicle Location System Oklahoma City	237,5
Ж	E2009-BUSP-707		Oklahoma City Bus Replacement	1,330,0
Ж	E2009-BUSP-708		Sect. 5309 Capital Appropriation-Tulsa Transit	712,5
DR	E2009-BUSP-709	442	Albany, OR North Albany Park and Ride	214,9
DR	E2009-BUSP-710	165	Albany, OR Rehabilitate Building At Multimodal Transit Station	343,9
DR	E2009-BUSP-711	272	Bend Oregon-replacement vans	225,7
DR	E2009-BUSP-712	66	Canby, OR bus and bus facilities	33,8
DR	E2009-BUSP-714	299	Corvallis, OR Bus Replacement	333,2
DR	E2009-BUSP-715	159	Eugene, OR Lane Transit District, Vehicle Replacement	806,1
R	E2009-BUSP-716	325	Grants Pass OR Purchase Vehicles For Use By Josephine Community Transit	45,9
DR	E2009-BUSP-718	168	Lane Transit District Bus Rapid Transit Progressive Corridor Enhancements	668,9
DR	E2009-BUSP-719	323	Lincoln County, OR bus purchase	56,4
DR	E2009-BUSP-720	175	Molalla, OR South Clackamas Transportation District bus purchase	22,5
		16	Portland, OR Renovation of Union Station including structural reinforcement and public safety upgrades	22,5
R	E2009-BUSP-721	93	Salem, OR bus and bus facilities	451,4
DR	E2009-BUSP-722		Tillamook. OR construction of a transit facility	22,5
DR	E2009-BUSP-724	180		475,0
DR	E2009-BUSP-725	010	Transit Bus and Bus Facilities Salem-Keizer	475,0
DR	E2009-BUSP-726	216	Wilsonville, OR South Metro Area Rapid Transit bus and bus facilities	
			Yamhill County, OR For the construction of bus shelters park and ride facilities and a signage strategy to increase	24,8
DR	E2009-BUSP-727	82	ridership	1,852,5
DR	E2009-BUSP-728		Hillsboro Intermodal Transit Facility	
PA	E2009-BUSP-729		69th Street Terminal Parking Facility Upper Darby	380,

TABLE 10-A

page 14 of 16

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

	E	SAFETEA-LU	Project Leasting and Decembring	Unobligated
State		Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
PA	E2009-BUSP-732	456	Altoona Multimodal Transportation Facility Parking Garage	270,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-733	465	AMTRAN Altoona, PA-Buses and Transit System Improvements	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-734	467	Area Transportation Authority of North Central Pennsylvania-Vehicle Replacements	274,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-735	471	Beaver County, PA Transit Authority Bus Replacement/ Related Equipment Replacement	274,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-736		Bus and Bus Facilities Westmoreland County Transit Authority	950,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-737		Bus Facilities Cambria County Transit Authority	285,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-739		Bus Facility AMTRAN Transit System	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-741	401	Bus Replacement Mid Mon Valley Transit Authority	760,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-743	481	Butler Township PA-Cranbury Area Transit Service	960,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-745	482	Cambria County, PA Transit Authority-Bus Replacements Cheltenham, PA Glenside Rail Station Parking Garage project involving the construction of a 300-400 space	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-746	123	parking lot at Easton Road and Glenside Aven	225,720
PA	E2009-BUSP-747	500	City of Hazleton, PA-Hazleton Intermodal Center	384,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-748	513	County of Lackawanna Transit System-Scranton Intermodal Transportation Center	274,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-749	514	Cumberland-Dauphin-Harrisburg Transit Authority-Purchase of Buses and Spare Units	274,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-750	81	Easton, Pennsylvania-Design and construct Intermodal Transportation Center	451,440
PA	E2009-BUSP-751		EMTA Consolidated Transit Facility Erie	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-756		Hybrid Buses Allegheny	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-757	233	Intermodal Facilities in Bucks County (Croydon and Levittown Stations)	677,160
PA	E2009-BUSP-762	583	Monroe Township PA-Clarion County Buses	181,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-763	588	New Castle, PA Area Transit Authority-Bus Purchases/Park and Ride Facility	203,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-764		PATCO Transit Station Improvements, Locust St. Philadelphia	760,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-765	201	Philadelphia, PA Cruise Terminal Transportation Ctr. Phila. Naval Shipyard	790,020
PA	E2009-BUSP-766	137	Philadelphia, PA Improvements to the existing Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	902,880
PA	E2009-BUSP-768	22	Philadelphia, PA Philadelphia Zoo Intermodal Transportation project w/parking consolidation, pedestrian walkways, public transportation complements &	1,128,600
PA	E2009-BUSP-769	274	Philadelphia PA SEPTAs Market St. Elevated Rail project in conjunction with Philadelphia Commercial Development Corporation for improvements and assis	316,008
PA	E2009-BUSP-770	316	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-SEPTA Market Street Elevated Line parking facility	902,880
PA	E2009-BUSP-771	126	Pittsburgh, PA Clean Fuel Bus Procurement	112,860
PA	E2009-BUSP-772	397	Pottsville, PA Union Street Trade and Transfer Center Intermodal Facility	451,440
PA	E2009-BUSP-773	48	Project provides for the engineering and construction of a transportation center in Paoli Chester County	225,720
PA	E2009-BUSP-775		River Valley Transit Bus and Bus Facility Enhancements	1,425,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-776	96	SEPTA Montgomery County Intermodal Improvements at Glenside and Jenkintown Station Parking Garages	1,128,600
PA	E2009-BUSP-777	101	Septa R7 Station Improvements Croydon and Levittown	380,000 11,860
PA	E2009-BUSP-778	424	Sharon, PA-Bus Facility Construction	
PA	E2009-BUSP-779	626	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Bucks County Intermodal (Croydon and Levittown)	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-780	627	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Paoli Transportation Center	823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-781	628	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Villanova-SEPTA Intermodal	724,458
PA	E2009-BUSP-782		TMA Clean Buses Buck County	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-784		Union Station Intermodal Trade and Transit Center Pottsville	475,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-785		Van Pool Equipment Johnsonburg	285,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-787	660	Westmoreland County Transit Authority PA-Bus Replacement	274,000 823,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-789	662	Williamsport, PA Bureau of Transportation-Williamsport Trade and Transit Centre Expansion	625,357
PA	E2009-BUSP-790	65	York, Pennsylvania-Rabbit Transit facilities and communications equipment	1,000,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-955		Philadelphia Penns Landing Ferry Terminal	3,000,000
PA	E2009-BUSP-957	101	Bus Testing	677,160
PR	E2009-BUSP-794	164	Puerto Rico-Caribbean National Forest buses and nonprofit uses	225,720
PR	E2009-BUSP-795	71	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authority	677,160
PR	E2009-BUSP-796	152	San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authoritybus security equipment	190,000
PR	E2009-BUSP-797	040	Trolley Purchase Las Marias Providence, RI Expansion of Elmwood Paratransit Maintenance Facility	1,128,600
RI	E2009-BUSP-799	246		1,354,320
RI	E2009-BUSP-800	115	Rhode Island Statewide Bus Fleet Rhode Island RIPTA Elmwood Facility Expansion	1,850,000
RI	E2009-BUSP-801	604	• •	190,000
RI	E2009-BUSP-802		Senior Transportation	950,000
RI	E2009-BUSP-803	500	Statewide Bus Replacement Greensville, SC Transit Authority-City of Greenville Multimodal Transportation Center Improvements	274,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-804	533	North Charleston Regional Intermodal Transportation Center	475,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-805		Santee Wateree Regional Transit Authority Intermodal Transportation Center	950,000
SC	E2009-BUSP-806	610	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Transit Facilities Construction Program	549,000
SC SC	E2009-BUSP-807	619 620	South Carolina Department of Transportation-Vehicle Acquisition Program	2,194,000
	E2009-BUSP-808 E2009-BUSP-809	621	South Dakota Department of Transportation-Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities	1,093,485
SD TN	E2009-BUSP-809	021	ETHRA Replacement Handicapped Accessible Vehicles	1,425,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-810		Knoxville Transit Center Automated Vehicle Locator System	237,500
TN	E2009-BUSP-811 E2009-BUSP-812	237	Knoxville, Tennessee-Central Station Transit Center	2,302,344
TN	E2009-BUSP-812	554	Knoxville, TN-Central Station	685,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-814	565	Lipscomb University TN-Intermodal Parking Garage	411,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-816	2.00	MTSU Intermodal Transportation HUB	380,000
TN	E2009-BUSP-817	268	Nashville, TN Construct a parking garage on the campus of Lipscomb University, Nashville	451,440

TABLE 10-A

page 15 of 16

		SAFETEA-LU		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation
N	E2009-BUSP-819	30	Sevier County Tennessee-U.S. 441 bus rapid transit	56,43
N	E2009-BUSP-820	636	Tennessee Department of Transportation-Statewide Tennessee Transit ITS and Bus Replacement Project	3,291,00
N	E2009-BUSP-821		Townsend Great Smoky Mountain Heritage Bus Station	950,00
N	E2009-BUSP-822	649	University of Memphis-Pedestrian Bridge	823,00
4	E2009-BUSP-823		Upper Cumberland Area Rural Transit System (UNCARTS) Bus and Transit Improvement	570,00
ć	E2009-BUSP-824	426	Abilene, TX Vehicle replacement and facility improvements for transit system	90,28
ć	E2009-BUSP-825		Advanced Transit Program/METRO Solutions Bus Expansion	475,00
ć	E2009-BUSP-826		Alternative Fuel Buses City of Lubbock	712,50
Č.	E2009-BUSP-827		Brownsville Urban System City Wide Transit Improvement Brownsville	237,50
ĸ	E2009-BUSP-828	480	Brownsville Urban System, TXCity-Wide Transit Improvement Project	1,097,00
ć	E2009-BUSP-829	162	Brownsville, TX Brownsville Urban System City-Wide Transit Improvement Project	564,30
ć	E2009-BUSP-830		Bryan Multi-Modal Transit Terminal and Parking Facility Brazos County	950,00
ć	E2009-BUSP-831	153	Bryan, TX The District-Bryan Intermodal Transit Terminal and Parking Facility	677,10
Ċ	E2009-BUSP-832		Bus and Bus Facilities Denton County Transportation Authority	475,00
ċ	E2009-BUSP-833		Capital Metro Bus and Bus Facilities Improvements	475,00
č	E2009-BUSP-834		Capital Metro Paratransit Vehicle Replacement	2,612,50
,	E2009-BUSP-835	485	Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, TX-Bus Replacements	2,742,00
< <	E2009-BUSP-836	455	Carrollton, Texas Downtown Regional Multimodal Transit Hub	270,00
````	E2009-BUSP-837	506	City of Round Rock, TX-Downtown Intermodal Transportation Terminal	274,00
		500	CNG Bus Replacement Fort Worth Transportation Authority	1,425,00
< ,	E2009-BUSP-838	111	Construct West Houston and Fort Bend County, Texas-bus transit corridor	451,44
Ś	E2009-BUSP-840	111	Corpus Christi, TX Corpus Regional Transit Authority for maintenance facility improvements	564,30
K,	E2009-BUSP-841	438		
X	E2009-BUSP-842	515	Dallas Area Rapid Transit-Bus passenger Facilities	274,00
X	E2009-BUSP-843	336	Dallas, TX Bus Passenger Facilities	2,889,2
X	E2009-BUSP-845	196	Design Downtown Carrollton Texas Regional Multi-Modal Transit Hub Station	451,44
X	E2009-BUSP-846		El Paso Rural County Transit	712,50
ĸ	E2009-BUSP-847	290	Galveston, Texas-Intermodal center and parking facility, The Strand	1,015,74
X	E2009-BUSP-848		Golden Crescent Bus Replacement in and around Victoria	237,50
K	E2009-BUSP-849	536	Harris County-West Houston-Fort Bend Bus Transit Corridor: Uptown Westpark Terminal	274,00
X	E2009-BUSP-850		Hill Country Transit Administration Facility San Saba	190,00
X	E2009-BUSP-851		Internal Shuttle System Texas Medical Center	950,00
Х	E2009-BUSP-852		Laredo Bus Maintenance Facility and Refueling Depot	950,00
Х	E2009-BUSP-853	561	Laredo-North Laredo Transit Hub-Bus Maintenance Facility	823,00
Х	E2009-BUSP-854		Lufkin, VA Clinic Shuttle	285,0
Х	E2009-BUSP-855		Metro Intermodal Transit Garage Texas Medical Center	237,5
Х	E2009-BUSP-857		Paratransit Vehicle Replacement City of Abilene	456,00
х	E2009-BUSP-858	24	Roma, TX Bus Facility	118,50
х	E2009-BUSP-859	610	San Angelo, TX Street Railroad Company-Transit Fleet Replacement	274,00
X	E2009-BUSP-860	210	San Antonio, TX Improve VIA bus facility and purchase new buses	1,580,04
Х	E2009-BUSP-861		Sun Metro Fuel Facility Improvements El Paso	712,50
х	E2009-BUSP-862		Texas Bus Acquisition City of El Paso	712,50
х	E2009-BUSP-863		Transit Maintenance Facility Renovations Galveston	237,5
х	E2009-BUSP-864	653	VIA Metropolitan Transit Authority TX-Bus & Bus Facility Improvements	1,372,0
х	E2009-BUSP-865		VIA Metropolitan Transit Bus Facility Improvements and Bus Fleet Modernization San Antonio	475,0
x	E2009-BUSP-866	63	Zapata, Texas Purchase Bus vehicles	70,5
т	E2009-BUSP-867		Cache Valley Transit District Facility Expansion	475,0
т	E2009-BUSP-868		Cache Valley Transit District Hybrid Bus Fleet Expansion	475,0
т	E2009-BUSP-870	178	Sandy City, UT Construct transit hub station and TRAX station at 9400 South	451,4
т	E2009-BUSP-871	651	Utah Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	7,906,8
· ·	E2000 D001 0/1	001	Alexandria, VA Eisenhower Avenue Inter-modal Station improvements, including purchase of buses and	
'A	E2009-BUSP-872	409	construction of bus shelters	564,3
'A	E2009-BUSP-873	232	Alexandria, VA Royal Street Bus Garage Replacement	112,8
'A	E2009-BUSP-874	278	Arlington County, VA Columbia Pike Bus Improvements	790,0
A	E2009-BUSP-875	142	Arlington County, VA Crystal City-Potomac Yard Busway, including construction of bus shelters	677,1
Ϋ́Α	E2009-BUSP-876	359	Arlington County, VA Pentagon City Multimodal Improvements	451,4
'A	E2009-BUSP-877	157	Bealeton Virginia-Intermodal Station Depot Refurbishment	62,0
A	E2009-BUSP-878	107	Bus and Bus Facilities Danville	475,0
			Bus and Bus Facilities Farmville	712,5
A	E2009-BUSP-879		Bus and Bus Facilities Martinsville	712,5
A	E2009-BUSP-880	400	City of Alexandria, VA-City-Wide Transit Improvements	274,0
'A	E2009-BUSP-881	492	City of Alexandria, VA-City-wide Transit Improvements	274,0
Ά	E2009-BUSP-882	493	City of Alexandria, VA-Potornac Yard Transit improvements City of Alexandria, VA-Replace Royal Street Bus Garage	823,0
'A	E2009-BUSP-883	494		274,0
Ά	E2009-BUSP-884	495	City of Alexandria, VA-Valley Pedestrian & Transit	4,114,0
/A	E2009-BUSP-885	511	Commonwealth of Virginia-Statewide Bus Capital Program	4,114,0
/A	E2009-BUSP-886	15	Fairfax County, VA Richmond Highway (U.S. Route1) Public Transportation Improvements	431,4 549,0
/A	E2009-BUSP-887	525	Fairfax County, Virginia-Richmond Highway Initiative	451,4
/A	E2009-BUSP-888	281	Falls Church, VA Falls Church Intermodal Transportation Center	451,4 564,3
A/	E2009-BUSP-889	97	Fredericksburg, Virginia-Improve and repair Fredericksburg Station	712,

### TABLE 10-A

page 16 of 16

#### Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Allocations

State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU Project No.	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
VA	E2009-BUSP-896	68	Northern Neck and Middle Peninsula, Virginia-Bay Transit Multimodal Facilities	733,590
/A	E2009-BUSP-899	184	Richmond, VA Renovation and construction for Main Street Station	248,292
/A	E2009-BUSP-901	312	Roanoke, Virginia-Improve Virginian Railway Station	56,430
/A	E2009-BUSP-902	305	Roanoke, Virginia-Intermodal Facility	45,144
/A	E2009-BUSP-903	361	Roanoke, Virginia-Roanoke Railway and Link Passenger facility	112,860
/т	E2009-BUSP-906	001	Addison County Transit Resources Facilities Buses and Equipment	2,850,000
vт	E2009-BUSP-907	486	CCTA- VT- Bus- Facilities and Equipment	800,000
/T	E2009-BUSP-908	633	State of Vermont Buses Facilities and Equipment	520,000
/т	E2009-BUSP-909	000	Statewide Buses Facilities and Equipment	475,000
NA	E2009-BUSP-909		Ben Franklin Transit Maintenance Facility Construction	1,757,500
NA	E2009-BUSP-910		Bus Rapid Transit Aurora Corridor Improvement Project (SR-99) Phase III	475,000
NA	E2009-BUSP-911		Clallam Transit Vehicle Replacement	286,900
WA	E2009-BUSP-912		Community Transit Bus and Bus Facilities Everett	237,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-913		Downtown Tacoma Intermodal Center Tacoma	1,235,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-914 E2009-BUSP-915		Enumclaw Welcome Center Intermodal Transit Facility	1,425,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-915		Everett Transit Vehicle Replacement	712,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-910		Grant Transit Authority Operations and Maintenance Facility Moses Lake	475,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-917		Grant Transit Vehicle Replacement	425,600
WA	E2009-BUSP-918	395	liwaco, WA Construct park and ride	22,572
NA	E2009-BUSP-919	395	Intercity Transit Intermodal Facility Project	2,232,500
	E2009-BUSP-920	337	Island Transit WA Operations Base Facilities Project	541,728
WA WA	E2009-BUSP-921	337	King County Hybrid Bus Program	237,500
	E2009-BUSP-922 E2009-BUSP-923	193	Mukilteo, WA Multi-Modal Terminal	1,309,176
WA		334	North Bend, Washington-Park and Ride	180,576
WA	E2009-BUSP-924 E2009-BUSP-926		Pacific Transit, WA Vehicle Replacement	22,572
WA		94	Pacific Transit, WA Vehicle Replacement	456,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-927		Pierce Transit Peninsula Park and Ride	2,351,250
WA	E2009-BUSP-928		Pullman Transit Vehicle Replacement	1,288,200
WA	E2009-BUSP-929	613	Seattle, WA Multimodal Terminal Redevelopment & Expansion	1,100,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-930	113	Solution Share and Solution Solution Solution and Solution Solutio	677,160
WA	E2009-BUSP-931		Thurston County, WA Replace Thurston County Buses	203,148
WA	E2009-BUSP-932	151		579,500
WA	E2009-BUSP-933		Twin Transit Vehicle Replacement Valley Transit Vehicle Replacement	368,600
WA	E2009-BUSP-934	CE A	Washington Southworth Terminal Redevelopment	1,500,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-935	654		70,000
WA	E2009-BUSP-936	655	Washington King Street Transportation Center-Intercity Bus Terminal Component	712,500
WI	E2009-BUSP-939		Milwaukee County Bus Capital Milwaukee Milwaukee, WI Rehabilitate Intermodal transportation facility at downtown Milwaukees Amtrak Station, increase	712,500
wı	E2009-BUSP-940	350	parking for bus passengers	1,015,740
WI	E2009-BUSP-940	330	Oshkosh Bus and Bus Facilities Winnebago County	269,800
WI	E2009-BUSP-941 E2009-BUSP-942	100	State of Wisconsin buses and bus facilities	3,690,522
WI	E2009-BUSP-942 E2009-BUSP-943	100	Wisconsin Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	3,800,000
WI	E2009-BUSP-943 E2009-BUSP-944	663	Wisconsin Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities	700,000
WV	E2009-BUSP-944 E2009-BUSP-946	658	West Virginia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities	2,679,294
WY	E2009-BUSP-946 E2009-BUSP-947	665	West Virginia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Wyoming Department of Transportation-Wyoming Statewide Bus and Bus Related Facilities	823,000
VV Y 	E2009-B05P-947		Unallocated	114,095,77
			Subtotal FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations	\$610,088,19

 Total FY 2008 and FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations
 \$852,519,278

 a/ SEC166 (c) of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act. 2010 states that funds made available for the `Phoenix/Regional Heavy

a/ SEC166.(c) of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that funds made available for the `Phoenix/Regional Heavy Maintenance Facility, AZ', `Dial-a-Ride facility, Phoenix, AZ' and the `Phoenix Regional Heavy Bus Maintenance Facility, Arizona' through the Department of Transportation Appropriations Acts for Fiscal Years 2004, 2005 and 2008 that remain unobligated or unexpended shall be made available to the East Baseline Park-and-Ride Facility in Phoenix, Arizona.

b/ Project was incorrectly identified in the April 30, 2009 Federal Register, Vol. 74, No. 82 as the Monterey Park, CA bus enhancement and improvementsconstruct maintenance facility and purchase clean-fuel buses to improve transit project.

page 1 of 1

			TABLE 10-B			
	Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Reprogrammed Earmarks					
State	Earmark ID	SAFETEA-LU No.	Project Location and Description	Unexpended Allocation		
FY 2002 Re	programmed Earmarks					
NV	E2002-BUSP-2000		Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Bus and Bus-Related Projects and Bus Rapid Transit Projects (No previous earmark id)	\$2,334,443 a		
FY 2003 Re	eprogrammed Earmarks					
NV	E2003-BUSP-2000		Hegional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Bus and Bus-Helated Projects and Bus Rapid Transit Projects (Previous earmark ids: E2003-BUSP-802 for \$4,918,394; E2003-BUSP-250 for \$2,213,277 and E2003-BUSP-251for \$319,696)	\$7,451,366 a		
FY 2004 Re	eprogrammed Earmarks					
NV	E2004-BUSP-2000		Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Bus and Bus-Related Projects and Bus Rapid Transit Projects (Previous earmark id: E2004-BUSP-310)	\$485,437 a		
FY 2005 Re	eprogrammed Earmarks					
AZ	E2005-BUSP-2000		East Baseline Park-and-Ride Facility in Phoenix (Previous earmark id: E2005-BUSP-028)	\$340,123 b		
			Total Reprogrammed Earmarks	\$10,611,369		

a/ Sec. 196 of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds made available under section 330 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act (Public Law 107-87) for the Las Vegas, Nevada Monorail Project, funds made available under section 115 of the Fiscal Year 2004 Transportation, Treasury and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-199) for the North Las Vegas Intermodal Transit Hub, and funds made available for the CATRAIL RTC Rail Project, Nevada in the Fiscal Year 2005 Transportation, Treasury, Independent Agencies and General Government Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-447), as well as any unexpended funds in the Federal Transit Administration grant numbers NV-03-0024 and NV-03-0027, shall be made available until expended to the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for bus and bus-related projects and bus rapid transit projects. *Provided*, That the funds made available for a project in accordance with this section shall be administered under the terms and conditions set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5307, to the extent applicable.

b/ Sec. 166.(c) of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that funds made available for the `Phoenix/Regional Heavy Maintenance Facility, AZ', `Dial-a-Ride facility, Phoenix, AZ' and the `Phoenix Regional Heavy Bus Maintenance Facility, Arizona' through the Department of Transportation Appropriations Acts for Fiscal Years 2004, 2005 and 2008 that remain unobligated or unexpended shall be made available to the East Baseline Park-and-Ride Facility in Phoenix, Arizona.

# FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

	Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Equipment and Facilities Extended Earmarks					
		SAFETEA-LU		Unexpended		
State	Earmark ID	No.	Project Location and Description	Allocation		
FY 2006 Ext	ended Funds					
			Stonington and Mystic, CT - Intermodal Center Parking Facility and Streetscape			
СТ	E2006-BUSP-2000	131	(Previous earmark id: E2006-BUSP-238)	\$464,471 a		
СТ	E2006-BUSP-2001	267	Middleton, CT - Construct Intermodal Center (Previous earmark id: E2006-BUSP-230)	285,536 a		
			Downtown Middleton, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project			
СТ	E2006-BUSP-2002	523	(Previous earmark id: E2006-BUSP-226)	1,980,000 a		
			Total FY 2006 Extended Earmarks	\$2,265,536		
FY 2007 Ext	ended Funds					
			Stonington and Mystic, CT - Intermodal Center Parking Facility and Streetscape			
CT	E2007-BUSP-2000	131	(Previous earmark id: E2007-BUSP-0162)	489,562 a		
CT	E2007-BUSP-2001	267	Middleton, CT - Construct Intermodal Center (Previous earmark id: E2007-BUSP-0158)	300,960 a		
			Downtown Middleton, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project	0.150.000		
СТ	E2007-BUSP-2002	523	(Previous earmark id: E2007-BUSP-0155)	2,150,000 a		
			Total FY 2007 Extended Earmarks	\$2,450,960		

a/ Sec. 170 of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Transportation shall not reallocate any funding made available for items 523, 267, and 131 of section 3044 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (Public Law 109-59). -

### FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### TABLE 11

### FY 2010 SECTION 5309 NEW STARTS ALLOCATIONS

tate	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
<	E2010-NWST-001	Denali Commission	\$5,000,00
/HI	E2010-NWST-002	Alaska/Hawaii	15,000,00
	E2010-NWST-003	Central Phoenix/East Valley Light Rail	61,249,90
	E2010-NWST-004	Modern Streetcar/Light Rail Transit System, Tuscon	4,000,00
	E2010-NWST-005	Mountain Links BRT, Flagstaff	681,94
	E2010-NWST-006	Berkley-Oakland-San Leandro Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Improvement Project, Alameda Cnty	1,000,00
	E2010-NWST-007	Livermore-Amador Route 10 BRT	79,90
	E2010-NWST-008	Los Angles-Wilshire Blvd Bus-Only Lane	13,558,47
	E2010-NWST-009	Metro Express-Airport Way Corridor BRT Project, San Joaquin	2,808,82
	E2010-NWST-010	Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension, Los Angeles	9,582,55
	E2010-NWST-011	Metro Rapid Bus System Gap Closure, Los Angeles	23,32
	E2010-NWST-012	Monterey Bay Rapid Transit	2,773,03
	E2010-NWST-013	Perris Valley Line	5,000,00
	E2010-NWST-014	Sacramento South Corridor Phase II	38,000,00
	E2010-NWST-015	San Bernardino, E Street Corridor sbX BRT	32,370,00
	E2010-NWST-016	San Diego-Mid-City Rapid	2,359,85
	E2010-NWST-017	Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART)	2,500,00
	E2010-NWST-018	Third Street Light Rail-Central Subway Project	6,000,00
	E2010-NWST-019	Mason Corridor BRT, Fort Collins	49,055,15
	E2010-NWST-020	Roaring Fork Valley, BRT Project	810,00
	E2010-NWST-021	RTD East Corridor Construction	2,500,00
)	E2010-NWST-022	RTD Gold Corridor	2,000,00
	E2010-NWST-023	RTD West Corridor LRT, Denver	90,000,00
	E2010-NWST-024	Southeast Corridor LRT, Denver	10,3
	E2010-NWST-025	Stamford Urban Transitway	2,000,00
	E2010-NWST-026	Dulles Corridor Metrorail Project Extension to Wiehle Avenue, Washington Largo Metrorail Extension	85,000,00 347,00
	E2010-NWST-027	5	3,000,00
	E2010-NWST-028	Wilmington to Newark Commuter Rail Improvement Program Central Florida Commuter Rail Transit, Orlando	40,000,00
	E2010-NWST-029		
	E2010-NWST-030	Fort Lauderdale-The Downtown, Transit Corridor Program, Downtown Transit Circulator	500,00 1,650,00
	E2010-NWST-031	HART Light Rail Preliminary Engineering	4,000,00
	E2010-NWST-032	Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	
	E2010-NWST-033	Honolulu High Capacity Transit Corridor Project	30,000,00
	E2010-NWST-034	Chicago Transit Hub (Circle Line-Ogden Streetcar)	1,500,00
	E2010-NWST-035	CTA Red Line North Station, Track, Viaduct and Station Rehabilitation	7,500,00
	E2010-NWST-036	Metra Commuter Rail (Union Pacific Northwest, STAR and UP-West)	8,000,00
	E2010-NWST-037	Ravenswood Line Extension, Chicago	304,74
	E2010-NWST-038	South Shore Commuter Rail Capital Reinvestment Plan, Northern Indiana Commtr Transp. District	2,000,00
•	E2010-NWST-039	Assembly Square Orange Line Station	1,000,00
۱.	E2010-NWST-040	Commuter Rail Improvements, Fitchburg	37,452,00
)	E2010-NWST-041	Baltimore Red Line	3,000,00
)	E2010-NWST-042	Purple Line	3,000,00
	E2010-NWST-043	Ann Arbor-Detroit Regional Rail Project	3,500,00
	E2010-NWST-044	Central Corridor Light Rail Transit Project	2,000,0
1	E2010-NWST-045	Northstar Corridor Rail, Minneapolis-Big Lake	711,6
	E2010-NWST-046	Northstar Phase II-Extension of Northstar Commuter Rail to the St. Cloud Area	3,000,00
)	E2010-NWST-047	Troost Corridor BRT, Kansas City	6,02
	E2010-NWST-048	Charlotte Streetcar Project	500,00
	E2010-NWST-049	City of Charlotte, Charlotte Area Transit System's Blue Line Extension-Northeast Corridor	14,700,0
	E2010-NWST-050	Hudson-Bergen MOS-2, Northern NJ	11,0
	E2010-NWST-051	Northern NJ Access to the Region's Core	200,000,0
	E2010-NWST-052	Long Island Rail Road East Side Access	202,522,8
	E2010-NWST-053	Second Avenue Subway Phase I	197,182,0 74,229,0
1	E2010-NWST-054	South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall LRT	, ,
	E2010-NWST-055	Lackawanna Cut-Off Restoration Project, PA/NJ	1,000,0 6,1
	E2010-NWST-056	North Shore LRT Connector, Pittsburgh	4,000,0
	E2010-NWST-057	Fort Worth Transportation Authority Southwest-to-Northeast Rail Corridor	2,000,0
	E2010-NWST-058	Galveston-Houston Commuter Rail Houston North Corridor LRT	75,000,0
	E2010-NWST-059	Houston North Corridor LRT Houston Southeast Corridor LRT	75,000,0
	E2010-NWST-060		13,370,2
	E2010-NWST-061	Metro Rapid BRT, Austin	84,124,7
	E2010-NWST-062	Northwest/Southeast LRT MOS, Dallas	10,000,0
	E2010-NWST-063	Draper Light Rail	98,000,0
	FOOTO NUMOT OC 1		
r r r	E2010-NWST-064 E2010-NWST-065	Salt Lake City-Mid Jordan LRT Weber County-Salt Lake City Commuter Rail	80,000,0

	Total FY 2010 Allocations					
		Unallocated	135,000,001			
WA	E2010-NWST-072	Sound TransitUniversity Link LRT Extension, Seattle	110,000,000			
WA	E2010-NWST-071	Pacific Highway South BRT, King County	6,815			
WA	E2010-NWST-070	Central Link Initial Segment	3,144,294			
WA	E2010-NWST-069	Bellevue-Redmond BRT, King County	9,368,193			
VA	E2010-NWST-068	Virginia Railway Express Rolling Stock	3,000,000			
VA	E2010-NWST-067	Route 1 Bus Rapid Transit, Potomac Yard High Capacity Transit	1,000,000			

Sec. 167 of the Department of Transportation Appropriations Act, 2010 states that funds made available for Alaska or Hawaii ferry boats or ferry terminal facilities pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used to construct new vessels and facilities, or to improve existing vessels and facilities, including both the passenger and vehicle-related elements of such vessels and facilities, and for repair facilities: *Provided*, That not more than \$4,000,000 of the funds made available pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5309(m)(2)(B) may be used by the City and County of Honolulu to operate a passenger ferry boat service demonstration project to test the viability of different intra-island ferry boat routes and technologies.

### TABLE 12

## Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Program Allocations

FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations				
State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation	
AK	E2008-NWST-001	Denali Commission	\$5,000,000	
AK, HI	E2008-NWST-002	Alaska and Hawaii ferry projects	1,807,806	
CA	E2008-NWST-006	Metro Rapid Bus System Gap Closure	16,347,380	
CA	E2008-NWST-010	Smart EIS and PE	1,960,000	
CA	E2008-NWST-011	South Sacramento Corridor, Phase 2	4,410,000	
CT	E2008-NWST-016	New Britain-Hartford Busway	3,271,632	
FL	E2008-NWST-018	JTA Bus Rapid Transit	9,329,600	
FL	E2008-NWST-019	Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	1,960,000	
HI	E2008-NWST-020	Honolulu High Capacity Transit Corridor	15,190,000	
IL	E2008-NWST-021	METRA Connects Southeast Service	7,227,500	
IL	E2008-NWST-022	METRA Star Line	7,227,500	
IL	E2008-NWST-023	Metra Union Pacific Northwest Line	7,227,500	
IL	E2008-NWST-024	Metra Union Pacific West Line	7,227,500	
KS	E2008-NWST-027	State Avenue BRT Corridor, Wyandotte County	1,470,000	
MA	E2008-NWST-029	MBTA Fitchburg to Boston Rail Corridor Project	5,880,000	
MA	E2008-NWST-030	North Shore Corridor and Blue Line Extension	1,960,000	
MS	E2008-NWST-033	I-69 Mississippi HOV/BRT	7,546,000	
NJ	E2008-NWST-036	Monmouth-Ocean-Middlesex County Passenger Rail	980,000	
PA	E2008-NWST-044	Bus Rapid Transit, Cumberland County	294,000	
PA	E2008-NWST-045	CORRIDORone Regional Rail Project	10,976,000	
RI	E2008-NWST-047	Pawtucket/Central Falls Commuter Rail Station	1,960,000	
RI	E2008-NWST-048	South County Commuter Rail Wickford Junction Station	12,269,449	
ТΧ	E2008-NWST-050	Galveston Rail Trolley	1,960,000	
UT	E2008-NWST-055	Provo Orem BRT	4,018,000	
VA	E2008-NWST-059	Route 1 BRT, Potomac Yard - Crystal City, Alexandria and Arlington	980,000	
VA	E2008-NWST-060	Virginia Railway Express Extension - Gainesville/Haymarket, VA	490,000	
	Total FY 2008 Uno	bligated Allocations	\$138,969,867	

### FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

	Je on on official states of the second states of th		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
AK	E2009-NWST-016	Denali Commission	\$4,950,000
AK/HI	E2009-NWST-017	Alaska and Hawaii Ferry Projects	14,850,000
AZ	E2009-NWST-018	Commuter Rail Study - Phoenix of Tucson	3,465,000
AZ	E2009-NWST-019	Mountain Links BRT, Flagstaff	5,558,058
CA	E2009-NWST-021	AC Transit BRT Corridor	3,960,000
CA	E2009-NWST-023	Metro Rapid Bus System Gap Closure, Los Angeles	329,294
CA	E2009-NWST-024	Mid-City Rapid, San Diego	19,290,150
CA	E2009-NWST-025	Perris Valley Line, Riverside	44,550,000
CA	E2009-NWST-027	South Sacramento Light Rail Extension	6,930,000
CA	E2009-NWST-028	Van Ness BRT Project, San Francisco	396,000
CA	E2009-NWST-029	Wilshire Blvd Bus-Only Lane, Los Angeles	9,758,526
со	E2009-NWST-003	Denver- Southeast Corridor LRT	1,020,898
co	E2009-NWST-031	West Corridor LRT	59,400,000
СТ	E2009-NWST-032	Stamford Urban Transitway	3,613,500
FL	E2009-NWST-033	Central Florida Commuter Rail	12,870,000
FL	E2009-NWST-034	Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System	7,920,000
FL	E2009-NWST-035	JTA BRT System, Jacksonville	1,267,200
FL	E2009-NWST-036	Metrorail Orange Line Extension Project	19,800,000
н	E2009-NWST-037	Honolulu High Capacity Transit Corridor Project	19,800,000
IL	E2009-NWST-038	CTA Circle Line	5,940,000
		METRA (Southeast Service; Suburban Transit Access Route Line (Star); Union	
ίL	E2009-NWST-039	Pacific Northwest Line; and Union Pacific West Line)	23,760,000
KS	E2009-NWST-041	BRT- State Avenue Corridor, Wyandotte County	1,485,000
MA	E2009-NWST-042	Commuter Rail Improvement, Fitchburg	29,700,000
MD	E2009-NWST-043	MARC Capacity Improvements	12,870,000
мо	E2009-NWST-046	Troost Corridor BRT, Kansas City	123,948
MS	E2009-NWST-047	I-69 HOV/BRT	7,573,500
NJ	E2009-NWST-049	Trans-Hudson Midtown Corridor	47,520,000
NY	E2009-NWST-008	Long Island Rail Road East Side Access	207,527,659
NY	E2009-NWST-009	Second Avenue Subway Phase I	274,920,030

1 of 2

2 of 2

### FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### **TABLE 12**

#### Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Program Allocations FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations Unobligated State Earmark ID **Project Location and Description** Allocation OR E2009-NWST-051 44,550,000 Streetcar Loop, Portland E2009-NWST-011 PA Pittsburgh-North Shore LRT 664,176 RI E2009-NWST-052 South County Commuter Rail, Wickford Junction Station 1,332,045 VA E2009-NWST-014 Norfolk LRT 814,244 E2009-NWST-055 BRT, Potomac Yard-Crystal City, City of Alexandria and Arlington County 990,000 VA E2009-NWST-056 28,809,000 VA **Dulles Corridor Metrorail** VA E2009-NWST-057 Improvements to the Rosslyn Metro Station 1,980,000 WA Bellevue-Redmond BRT, King County E2009-NWST-059 10,842,807 WA E2009-NWST-060 Pacific Highway South BRT, King County 278,705 WA E2009-NWST-061 University Link LRT Extension 99,000,000 Unallocated 12,207 Total FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations..... \$1,040,421,947

Grand Total FY 2008 and FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations	\$1,179,391,814
---------------------------------------------------------	-----------------

TABLE 13

### FY 2010 SECTION 5310 SPECIAL NEEDS FOR ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$961,849
Alaska	191,184
American Samoa	55,791
Arizona	1,001,985
Arkansas	644,397
California	5,499,895
Colorado	719,096
Connecticut	701,092
Delaware	255,868
District of Columbia	230,640
Florida	3,534,495
Georgia	1,370,947
Guam	143,498
Hawaii	326,559
Idaho	314,861
Illinois	2,077,323
Indiana	1,127,502
lowa	616,266
Kansas	559,893
Kentucky	892,346
Louisiana	888,738
Maine	359,241
Maryland	940,355
Massachusetts	1,225,023
Michigan	1,740,150
Minnesota	837,338
Mississippi	646,032
Missouri	1,080,027
Montana	273,944
N. Mariana Islands	56,313
Nebraska	395,647
Nevada	467,644
New Hampshire	316,057
New Jersey	1,538,633
New Mexico	429,339
New York	3,549,556
North Carolina	1,524,828
North Dakota	231,606
Ohio	2,022,758
Oklahoma	746,871
Oregon	697,572
Pennsylvania	2,374,757
Puerto Rico	856,683
Rhode Island	319,014
South Carolina	847,243
South Dakota	248,011
Tennessee	1,152,363
Texas	3,293,224
Utah	393,242
Vermont	222,251
Virgin Islands	139,793
Virginia	1,211,410
Washington	1,041,065
West Virginia	503,456
Wisconsin	956,959
Wyoming	200,225
, .	

### TABLE 14

FY 2010 SECTION 5311 AND SECTION 5340 NONURBANIZED APPORTIONMENTS AND SECTION 5311(b)(3) RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the

Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report apportionments for Section 5311 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. The State's apportionment under the column heading "Section 5311 and 5340 Apportionment" includes Section 5311 and Growing States funds.)

STATE	SECTIONS 5311 AND 5340 APPORTIONMENT	SECTION 5311(b)(3) APPORTIONMENT
Alabama	\$5,486,444	\$62,899
Alaska	2,501,934	64,707
American Samoa	93,785	9,952
Arizona	3,918,815	63,975
Arkansas	4,184,011	63,480
California	9,385,162	61,771
Colorado	3,449,359	64,088
Connecticut	1,116,851	64,533
Delaware	521,988	64,788
Florida	5,624,272	62,894
Georgia	7,097,272	62,337
Guam	253,496	9,875
Hawaii	810,968	64,685
Idaho	2,413,428	64,421
Illinois	5,854,837	62,752
Indiana	5,616,570	62,762
lowa	4,187,239	63,481
Kansas	3,881,695	63,759
Kentucky	5,308,104	62,925
Louisiana	4,219,345	63,379
Maine	2,240,416	64,194
Maryland	2,053,335	64,163
Massachusetts	1,442,900	64,401
Michigan	7,125,946	62,183
Minnesota	5,258,071	63,149
Mississippi	4,767,774	63,185
Missouri	5,720,131	62,900
Montana	3,109,240	64,440
N. Mariana Islands	14,438	9,994
Nebraska	2,707,831	64,240
Nevada	2,026,245	64,730
New Hampshire	1,442,565	64,427
New Jersey	1,337,701	64,446
New Mexico	3,381,451	64,198
New York	7,255,879	62,090
North Carolina	9,146,058	61,405
North Dakota	1,641,989	64,655
Ohio	8,247,197	61,612
Oklahoma	4,675,911	63,351
Oregon	4,040,009	63,788
Pennsylvania	8,349,922	61,588
Puerto Rico	580,301	64,722
Rhode Island	239,094	64,899
South Carolina	4,596,457	63,208
South Dakota	2,033,771	64,530
Tennessee	5,853,978	62,716
Texas	13,992,628	59,923
Utah	2,001,590	64,593
Vermont	1,085,206	64,578
Virginia	5,131,176	63,017
Washington	3,951,305	63,667
West Virginia	2,777,278	63,916
Wisconsin	5,563,741	62,887
Wyoming	1,923,404	64,692
TOTAL	\$211,640,513	\$3,269,950

	Prior V	TABLE 15 ear Unobligated Section 5311 Tribal Transit Program Allocat	ions
State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
FY 20	08 Unobligated Alloc	cations	
AK	D2008-TRTR-9023	Georgetown Tribal Council	\$25,000
AK	D2008-TRTR-9041	Orutsararmiut Native Council	175,000
AK	D2008-TRTR-9056	Sitka Tribe of Alaska	172,900
CA	D2008-TRTR-9005	Cher-Ae heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria	25,000
со	D2008-TRTR-9057	Southern Ute Indian Tribe	157,000
KS	D2008-TRTR-9044	Prairie Band Potawatomi Nation	225,000
KS	D2008-TRTR-9067	The Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas	25,000
MN	D2008-TRTR-9003	Bois Forte Band of Minnesota Chippewa	20,000
MN	D2008-TRTR-9021	Fond du Lac Bank of Lake Superior Chippewa	225,000
MN	D2008-TRTR-9032	Lower Sioux Indian Community	25,000
MS	D2008-TRTR-9035	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	192,000
мт	D2008-TRTR-9038	Northern Cheyenne Reservation	157,500
ND	D2008-TRTR-9061	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	225,000
ND	D2008-TRTR-9068	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa	225,000
NE	D2008-TRTR-9042	Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	216,500
NE	D2008-TRTR-9053	Santee Sioux Nation	195,800
NM	D2008-TRTR-9045	Pueblo of San Idefonso	25,000
NM	D2008-TRTR-9046	Pueblo of Santa Ana	150,000
NM	D2008-TRTR-9047	Pueblos of Tesuque-North Central Regional Transit District	250,000
NM	D2008-TRTR-9052	Santa Clara pueblo	125,000
ок	D2008-TRTR-9007	Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	25,000
ок	D2008-TRTR-9001	Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town	25,000
ОК	D2008-TRTR-9043	Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma	208,000
OR	D2008-TRTR-9016	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde	198,110
OR	D2008-TRTR-9028	Klamath Tribe	150,000
SD	D2008-TRTR-9030	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	150,000
WA	D2008-TRTR-9015	Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation	155,000
WA	D2008-TRTR-9031	Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe	25,000
WA	D2008-TRTR-9049	Quinalut Indian Nation	200,000
WA	D2008-TRTR-9063	Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	225,000
wı	D2008-TRTR-9029	Lac Courte Oreilles (LCO)	109,068
WI	D2008-TRTR-9034	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin	25,000
	Total FY 2008 Unol	bligated Allocations	\$4,331,878

-

### TABLE 16

FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMU (Apportionment amount is based funding made available	under the
Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 11	1-117)
URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
200,000 or more in Population	\$40,832,400
50,000-199,999 in Population	13,610,800
Nonurbanized	13,610,800
National Total	\$68,054,000
Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:	
AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR	\$264,449
Akron, OH	123,962
Albany, NY	114,978
Albuquerque, NM	162,541
AllentownBethlehem, PANJ	107,804
Anchorage, AK	41,826
Ann Arbor, MI	60,398
Antioch, CA	42,211
Asheville, NC	56,813
Atlanta, GA	669,047
Atlantic City, NJ	48,378
Augusta-Richmond County, GASC	95,382
Austin, TX	202,298
Bakersfield, CA	158,550
Baltimore, MD	433,911 37,420
Barnstable Town, MA Baton Rouge, LA	146,802
Baton Houge, LA Birmingham, AL	177,401
Boise City, ID	48,449
Bonita SpringsNaples, FL	36,523
Boston, MANHRI	684,434
BridgeportStamford, CTNY	129,776
Buffalo, NY	241,420
Canton, OH	56,239
Cape Coral, FL	73,486
CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC	109,452
Charlotte, NCSC	138,469
Chattanooga, TNGA	84,132
Chicago, ILIN	1,762,489
Cincinnati, OHKYIN	288,528
Cleveland, OH	387,285
Colorado Springs, CO	84,418
Columbia, SC	95,485
Columbus, GAAL	74,311 243.034
Columbus, OH	243,034 50,128
Concord, CA	99,888
Corpus Christi, TX DallasFort WorthArlington, TX	990,098
Davenport, IAIL	62,72
Davenpoil, IX-IL Dayton, OH	151,20

Page 1 of 6

Page 2 of 6

### TABLE 16

### FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL	68,019
DentonLewisville, TX	41,498
DenverAurora, CO	347,958
Des Moines, IA	63,477
Detroit, MI	839,361
Durham, NC	75,947
El Paso, TXNM	319,199
Eugene, OR	66,258
Evansville, INKY	49,487
Fayetteville, NC	75,761
Flint, MI	103,221
Fort Collins, CO	42,726
Fort Wayne, IN	59,881
Fresno, CA	239,005
Grand Rapids, MI	103,251
Greensboro, NC	57,653
Greenville, SC	77,118
GulfportBiloxi, MS	58,145
Harrisburg, PA	58,959
Hartford, CT	156,749
Honolulu, HI	147,485
Houston, TX	1,108,878
Huntsville, AL	45,385
Indianapolis, IN	230,610
IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA	83,528
Jackson, MS	93,746
Jacksonville, FL	197,092
Kansas City, MOKS	259,313
Knoxville, TN	104,840
Lancaster, PA	54,386
LancasterPalmdale, CA	81,574
Lansing, MI	75,093
Las Vegas, NV	304,412
Lexington-Fayette, KY	62,311
Lincoln, NE	46,798
Little Rock, AR	96,440
Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA	3,989,757
Louisville, KYIN	200,741
Lubbock, TX	71,309
Madison, WI	66,851
McAllen, TX	332,507
Memphis, TNMSAR	290,155
Miami, FL	1,394,201
Milwaukee, WI	292,102
MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN	355,610
Mission Viejo, CA	55,177
Mobile, AL	114,771
Modesto, CA	102,854
Nashville-Davidson, TN	166,101
New Haven, CT	99,778

Page 3 of 6

### TABLE 16

### FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
New Orleans, LA	372,179
New YorkNewark, NYNJCT	4,509,712
OgdenLayton, UT	70,071
Oklahoma City, OK	211,738
Omaha, NEIA	128,540
Orlando, FL	273,677
Oxnard, CA	92,700
Palm BayMelbourne, FL	80,998
Pensacola, FLAL	88,713
Peoria, IL	59,109
Philadelphia, PANJDEMD	1,084,653
PhoenixMesa, AZ	716,039
Pittsburgh, PA	376,174
Port St. Lucie, FL	66,806
Portland, ORWA	324,743
PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY	68,868
Providence, RIMA	274,164
ProvoOrem, UT	82,536
Raleigh, NC	83,540
Reading, PA	54,061
Reno, NV	67,450
Richmond, VA	161,936
RiversideSan Bernardino, CA	510,886
Rochester, NY	150,618
Rockford, IL	55,508
Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI	22,997
Sacramento, CA	366,481
Salem, OR	101,993
Salt Lake City, UT	161,200
San Antonio, TX	428,825
San Diego, CA	697,959
San FranciscoOakland, CA	622,962
San Jose, CA	229,972
San Juan, PR	1,582,037
Santa Rosa, CA	52,403
SarasotaBradenton, FL	111,685
Savannah, GA	67,027
Scranton, PA	96,057
Seattle, WA	479,111
Shreveport, LA	99,564
South Bend, INMI	60,777
Spokane, WAID	89,024
Springfield, MACT	137,539
Springfield, MO	59,099
St. Louis, MOIL	425,144
Stockton, CA	131,116
Syracuse, NY	101,796
Tallahassee, FL	66,049
TampaSt. Petersburg, FL	487,222
TemeculaMurrieta, CA	43,404
	•

Page 4 of 6

#### TABLE 16

### FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE APPORTION	
Thousand Oaks, CA	23,461
Toledo, OHMI	125,633
Trenton, NJ	49,337
Tucson, AZ	219,895
Tulsa, OK	142,118
VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA	65,152
Virginia Beach, VA	307,594
Washington, DCVAMD	593,833
Wichita, KS	90,619
Winston-Salem, NC	65,873
Worcester, MACT	89,331
Youngstown, OHPA	109,073
TOTAL	\$40,832,400

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

	<b>****</b>
ALABAMA	\$380,868
ALASKA	17,163
ARIZONA	137,287
ARKANSAS	244,812
CALIFORNIA	1,417,832
COLORADO	228,279
CONNECTICUT	139,192
DELAWARE	23,426
FLORIDA	793,433
GEORGIA	434,666
HAWAII	25,730
IDAHO	147,313
ILLINOIS	312,977
INDIANA	334,984
IOWA	201,384
KANSAS	92,118
KENTUCKY	125,229
LOUISIANA	395,384
MAINE	120,242
MARYLAND	149,532
MASSACHUSETTS	127,802
MICHIGAN	424,077
MINNESOTA	115,075
MISSISSIPPI	70,949
MISSOURI	141,870
MONTANA	108,722
N. MARIANA ISLANDS	39,451
NEBRASKA	7,254
NEVADA	18,784
NEW HAMPSHIRE	109,008
NEW JERSEY	69,803
NEW MEXICO	134,777
NEW YORK	255,710

Page 5 of 6

#### TABLE 16

### FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

JRBANIZED AREA/STATE APPORTIONME	
NORTH CAROLINA	434,327
NORTH DAKOTA	82,467
OHIO	319,200
OKLAHOMA	86,444
OREGON	110,441
PENNSYLVANIA	418,204
PUERTO RICO	1,280,934
SOUTH CAROLINA	244,262
SOUTH DAKOTA	61,739
TENNESSEE	283,878
TEXAS	1,526,931
UTAH	62,843
VERMONT	32,591
VIRGINIA	290,200
WASHINGTON	377,684
WEST VIRGINIA	258,665
WISCONSIN	346,282
WYOMING	48,575
TOTAL	\$13,610,800

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

	\$455,561
ALABAMA	
ALASKA	44,371
AMERICAN SAMOA	40,939
ARIZONA	244,929
ARKANSAS	343,499
CALIFORNIA	693,315
COLORADO	128,831
CONNECTICUT	33,196
DELAWARE	30,252
FLORIDA	393,225
GEORGIA	539,543
GUAM	40,994
HAWAII	54,155
IDAHO	117,577
ILLINOIS	306,786
INDIANA	274,517
IOWA	195,849
KANSAS	195,088
KENTUCKY	496,444
LOUISIANA	425,000
MAINE	131,295
MARYLAND	84,706
MASSACHUSETTS	52,924
MICHIGAN	365,276
MINNESOTA	. 233,788
MISSISSIPPI	492,416
MISSOURI	400,573

Page 6 of 6

### TABLE 16

## FY 2010 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE APPORTION	
MONTANA	118,550
N. MARIANA ISLANDS	23,291
NEBRASKA	121,637
NEVADA	36,491
NEW HAMPSHIRE	56,866
NEW JERSEY	45,199
NEW MEXICO	229,417
NEW YORK	436,502
NORTH CAROLINA	686,235
NORTH DAKOTA	62,680
OHIO	467,119
OKLAHOMA	369,622
OREGON	187,138
PENNSYLVANIA	496,099
PUERTO RICO	176,443
RHODE ISLAND	7,765
SOUTH CAROLINA	380,375
SOUTH DAKOTA	94,035
TENNESSEE	443,428
TEXAS	1,085,924
UTAH	66,894
VERMONT	60,493
VIRGIN ISLANDS	41,158
VIRGINIA	319,139
WASHINGTON	230,045
WEST VIRGINIA	268,861
WISCONSIN	232,126
WYOMING	52,219
TOTAL	\$13,610,800

1 of 1

### FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### TABLE 17

	Prior Year Unobligated Section 5316 JARC Allocations			
FY 200	FY 2002 Unobligated Allocations			
State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation	
CA	E2002-JARC-008	Del Norte County, California	\$73,400.00	
NY	E2002-JARC-054	Columbia County, New York	\$100,000.00	
VA	E2002-JARC-082	Winchester, Virginia	\$1,000,000.00	
	Total FY 2002 Uno	bligated Allocations	\$1,173,400	
EV 200	03 Unobligated Alloc	ations		
F1 200	S UNUDINGALEU ANUC		Unobligated	
State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Allocation	
ОН	E2003-JARC-078	STEP-UP Job Access Project Dayton	\$123,834.00	
NY	E2003-JARC-065	Chemung County Transit	\$74,300.00	
NY	E2003-JARC-066	Columbia County	\$99,067.00	
	Total FY 2003 Uno	bligated Allocations	\$1,470,601	

### FY 2004 Unobligated Allocations

	<b>J</b>		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Allocation
AK	E2004-JARC-000	Craig Transit Service JARC Program	\$49,563.00
NY	E2004-JARC-070	Ulster County Area Transit Rural Feeder Service	\$49,563.00
VA	E2004-JARC-101	Virginia Beach Paratransit Services	\$198,252.00
SD	E2004-JARC-083	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Public Bus System New Jersey Community Development Corporation	\$247,815.00
NY	E2004-JARC-050	Transportation Opportunity Center	\$297,378.00
CA	E2004-JARC-013	City of Irwindale Senior Transportation Services	\$64,432.00
CA	E2004-JARC-014	Guaranteed Ride Home Santal Clarita	\$396,504.00
MD	E2004-JARC-040	VoxLinx Voice-Enabled Transit Trip Planner	\$1,288,638.00
TN	E2204-JARC-087	Monroe County Job Access and Reverse Commute Program	\$99,126.00
	Total FY 2004 Uno	bligated Allocations	\$1,817,365

### FY 2005 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Description	Unobligated Allocation
ОН	E2005-JARC-066	Western Reserve Transit Job Access Program, Ohio	\$79,734.00
GA	E2005-JARC-026	Dooly-Crisp Unified Transportation System, Georgia	\$198,236.00
МІ	E2005-JARC-042	DCC Community Health & Safety Transport Project, Michigan	\$297,354.00
PA	E2005-JARC-071	Philadelphia Unemployment Project (PUP), Pennsylvania	\$306,772.00
Wi	E2005-JARC-095	Wisconsin Statewide JARC	\$2,747,662.00
	Total FY 2005 Uno	bligated Allocations	\$3,054,434
	Grand Total FY 20	02 - 2005 Unobligated Allocations\$	7,515,800

Page 1 of 6

### TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
UZAs 200,000 or more in Population	\$22,960,200
UZAs 50,000-199,999 in Population	7,653,400
Nonurbanized	7,653,400
National Total	\$38,267,000

Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population:

r opmanon.	
AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR	59,573
Akron, OH	76,853
Albany, NY	73,871
Albuquerque, NM	86,328
AllentownBethlehem, PANJ	73,708
Anchorage, AK	24,631
Ann Arbor, MI	29,008
Antioch, CA	28,630
Asheville, NC	36,621
Atlanta, GA	419,978
Atlantic City, NJ	34,879
Augusta-Richmond County, GASC	51,098
Austin, TX	93,937
Bakersfield, CA	61,926
Baltimore, MD	300,202
Barnstable Town, MA	37,288
Baton Rouge, LA	66,290
Birmingham, AL	102,488
Boise City, ID	30,211
Bonita SpringsNaples, FL	34,577
Boston, MANHRI	530,847
BridgeportStamford, CTNY	112,280
Buffalo, NY	142,697
Canton, OH	35,143
Cape Coral, FL	55,420
CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC	61,333
Charlotte, NCSC	91,220
Chattanooga, TNGA	54,075
Chicago, ILIN	1,077,928
Cincinnati, OHKYIN	190,223
Cleveland, OH	243,990
Colorado Springs, CO	51,358
Columbia, SC	54,764
Columbus, GAAL	37,668
Columbus, OH	135,784
Concord, CA	57,532
Corpus Christi, TX	43,877
DallasFort WorthArlington, TX	535,676
Davenport, IAIL	34,825

#### Pa

### TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Dayton, OH	95,490
Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL	45,657
DentonLewisville, TX	24,647
DenverAurora, CO	240,085
Des Moines, IA	43,756
Detroit, MI	563,136
Durham, NC	33,925
El Paso, TXNM	95,704
Eugene, OR	29,853
Evansville, INKY	32,393
Fayetteville, NC	36,893
Flint, MI	57,298
Fort Collins, CO	20,359
Fort Wayne, IN	35,823
Fresno, CA	86,332
Grand Rapids, MI	63,383
Greensboro, NC	35,649
Greenville, SC	46,426
GulfportBiloxi, MS	34,566
Harrisburg, PA	43,567
Hartford, CT	116,667
Honolulu, HI	94,163
Houston, TX	500,059
Huntsville, AL	26,448
Indianapolis, IN	162,908
IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA	42,225
Jackson, MS	41,699
Jacksonville, FL	129,018
Kansas City, MOKS	176,162
Knoxville, TN	62,951
Lancaster, PA	40,017
LancasterPalmdale, CA	35,602
Lansing, MI	36,999
Las Vegas, NV	201,750
Lexington-Fayette, KY	32,740
Lincoln, NE	24,317
Little Rock, AR	54,815
Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA	1,709,729
Louisville, KYIN	127,786
Lubbock, TX	28,117
Madison, WI	32,338
McAllen, TX	77,352
Memphis, TNMSAR	144,615
Miami, FL	792,584
Milwaukee, WI	167,329 247 752
MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN	247,752
Mission Viejo, CA	51,150 55,056
Mobile, AL	55,056

Page 2 of 6

Page 3 of 6

### TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Modesto, CA	49,672
Nashville-Davidson, TN	102,261
New Haven, CT	71,103
New Orleans, LA	163,484
New YorkNewark, NYNJCT	2,700,271
OgdenLayton, UT	43,513
Oklahoma City, OK	111,484
Omaha, NEIA	71,444
Orlando, FL	165,968
Oxnard, CA	48,376
Palm BayMelbourne, FL	63,297
Pensacola, FLAL	49,163
Peoria, IL	32,750
Philadelphia, PANJDEMD	709,261
PhoenixMesa, AZ	386,122
Pittsburgh, PA	235,179
Port St. Lucie, FL	48,393
Portland, ORWA	199,393
PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY	43,069
Providence, RIMA	180,079
ProvoOrem, UT	24,505
Raleigh, NC	51,499
Reading, PA	33,141
Reno, NV	43,172
Richmond, VA	107,871
RiversideSan Bernardino, CA	213,537
Rochester, NY	90,795
Rockford, IL	36,696
Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI	21,889
Sacramento, CA	199,840
Salem, OR	29,004
Salt Lake City, UT	103,691
San Antonio, TX	198,063
San Diego, CA	342,191
San FranciscoOakland, CA	448,909
San Jose, CA	188,708
San Juan, PR	428,596
Santa Rosa, CA	37,837
SarasotaBradenton, FL	95,177
Savannah, GA	33,393
Scranton, PA	64,706
Seattle, WA	339,687
Shreveport, LA	42,144
South Bend, INMI	38,361
Spokane, WAID	48,255
Springfield, MACT	90,052
Springfield, MO	29,182
St. Louis, MOIL	269,161

Page 4 of 6

### TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Stockton, CA	51,343
Syracuse, NY	54,315
Tallahassee, FL	20,202
TampaSt. Petersburg, FL	354,569
TemeculaMurrieta, CA	27,975
Thousand Oaks, CA	21,717
Toledo, OHMI	72,455
Trenton, NJ	37,692
Tucson, AZ	105,513
Tulsa, OK	80,005
VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA	29,907
Virginia Beach, VA	177,046
Washington, DCVAMD	435,222
Wichita, KS	55,881
Winston-Salem, NC	39,573
Worcester, MACT	63,325
Youngstown, OHPA	63,089
TOTAL	22,960,200

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

Alabama	201,747
Alaska	9,444
Arizona	65,373
Arkansas	134,745
California	783,476
Colorado	129,933
Connecticut	124,410
Delaware	15,457
Florida	587,588
Georgia	212,760
Hawaii	22,028
Idaho	76,560
Illinois	173,311
Indiana	196,715
Iowa	114,607
Kansas	54,013
Kentucky	73,797
Louisiana	207,533
Maine	84,354
Maryland	133,986
Massachusetts	91,167
Michigan	283,855
Minnesota	67,352
Mississippi	32,699
Missouri	80,021
Montana	55,686
N. Mariana Islands	11,997

Page 5 of 6

### TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Nebraska	3,340
Nevada	15,222
New Hampshire	104,576
New Jersey	55,724
New Mexico	59,551
New York	155,690
North Carolina	315,601
North Dakota	47,871
Ohio	216,246
Oklahoma	36,933
Oregon	56,558
Pennsylvania	254,424
Puerto Rico	342,793
South Carolina	174,733
South Dakota	42,148
Tennessee	178,333
Texas	673,026
Utah	23,956
Vermont	20,048
Virginia	175,229
Washington	242,648
West Virginia	152,904
Wisconsin	250,688
Wyoming	30,546
TOTAL	\$7,653,400

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

Alabama	\$259,423
Alaska	21,050
American Samoa	3,692
Arizona	110,538
Arkansas	187,027
California	321,779
Colorado	72,525
Connecticut	34,665
Delaware	22,307
Florida	249,938
Georgia	295,538
Guam	10,772
Hawaii	30,564
Idaho	50,401
Illinois	197,287
Indiana	216,276
lowa	128,418
Kansas	111,838
Kentucky	271,349
Louisiana	181,794

Page 6 of 6

## TABLE 18

### FY 2010 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 111-117)

URBANIZED AREA/STATE	APPORTIONMENT
Maine	82,535
Maryland	72,877
Massachusetts	45,882
Michigan	258,944
Minnesota	147,973
Mississippi	220,378
Missouri	214,395
Montana	49,282
N. Mariana Islands	355
Nebraska	64,601
Nevada	26,767
New Hampshire	55,881
New Jersey	34,911
New Mexico	84,253
New York	269,605
North Carolina	421,822
North Dakota	29,744
Ohio	310,682
Oklahoma	188,622
Oregon	127,174
Pennsylvania	312,640
Puerto Rico	39,291
Rhode Island	8,169
South Carolina	214,333
South Dakota	39,284
Tennessee	276,133
Texas	525,136
Utah	30,697
Vermont	38,286
Virgin Islands	7,444
Virginia	215,859
Washington	128,859
West Virginia	140,202
Wisconsin	167,455
Wyoming	25,748
TOTAL	\$7,653,400

## **TABLE** 19

# FY 2010 SECTION 5339 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS ALLOCATIONS

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
AZ	E2010-ALTA-001	I-10 West Corridor Light Rail Extension, Phoenix	\$413,700
AZ	E2010-ALTA-002	South Central Avenue Light Rail Feasibility Study, Phoenix	165,480
CA	E2010-ALTA-003	Downtown L.A. Streetcar Environmental Review	103,425
СТ	E2010-ALTA-004	New Haven-Hartford-Springfield Rail Line Improvements	1,611,775
СТ	E2010-ALTA-005	Route 8 Corridor Transit Oriented Development & Alternate Modes Study	124,110
GA	E2010-ALTA-006	Interstate 20 - East Transit Corridor Alternatives/Environmental Analysis, Atlanta	124,110
IL.	E2010-ALTA-007	Pace J-Route Bus Rapid Transit	148,932
KY	E2010-ALTA-008	Central Kentucky Mass Transit Alternatives Analysis	124,110
MA	E2010-ALTA-009	Green Line Extension	124,110
MI	E2010-ALTA-010	The Rapid Streetcar Alternatives Analysis Study	148,932
MN	E2010-ALTA-011	Bottineau Transitway	103,425
MN	E2010-ALTA-012	I-94 Transit Corridor-St. Paul to Eau Claire, AAand EA, Ramsey County	103,425
NJ	E2010-ALTA-013	Hudson-Bergen MOS-2, Northern NJ	165,480
NJ/PA	E2010-ALTA-014	Northwest New Jersey - Northeast Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Project	402,944
PA	E2010-ALTA-015	Lehigh Valley Bus Rapid Transit Analysis	148,932
ТХ	E2010-ALTA-016	Transportation Study for the Texas Medical Center, Houston	413,700
UT	E2010-ALTA-017	South Davis Streetcar, Salt Lake City	148,932
VA	E2010-ALTA-018	Enhanced Transit Service - Route 7 Corridor	144,795
VA	E2010-ALTA-019	Naval Station/Virginia Beach Light Rail Study	405,095
WA	E2010-ALTA-020	C-Tran High Capacity Transit Alternatives Analysis	705,152
WA	E2010-ALTA-021	Puyallup Bus Rapid Transit Project - Alternatives Analysis	604,416
WA	E2010-ALTA-022	SE King County Commuter Rail and Transit Centers Feasibility Study	148,932
		Unallocated	3,759,089
	Total FY 2010 Allo	cations	10,343,000

-

1 of 1

### FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### TABLE 20

### Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Alternatives Analysis Program Allocations

### FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations

	<b>..</b>		Unobligated
State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Allocation
CA	E2008-ALTA-005	Red Car Trolley Engineering Study	98,000
СТ	E2008-ALTA-012	Illinois Valley Commuter Rail, Ottawa	245,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-013	Southeastern Connecticut Bus Rapid Transit System	1,313,200
FL	E2008-ALTA-014	Bus Rapid Transit Improvements, Broward County	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-015	Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System, Orlando	686,000
FL	E2008-ALTA-017	Downtown Transit Circulator, Fort Lauderdale	656,600
IA	E2008-ALTA-019	Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion	1,372,000
IL	E2008-ALTA-011	DART Alternative Analysis Design, Des Moines	245,000
OH	E2008-ALTA-024	West Shore Corridor Alternative Analysis	343,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-026	East West Corridor Rapid Transit, Allegheny County	980,000
PA	E2008-ALTA-028	Northwest New Jersey/Northeast Pennsylvania Commuter Rail Service	1,313,200
PA/NJ	E2008-ALTA-027	Philadelphia Navy Yard Transit Extension Study	392,000
VA	E2008-ALTA-029	Commuter Rail Station at Carmel Church	490,000
VA	E2008-ALTA-030	I-66 Bus Rapid Transit Study	980,000
WA	E2008-ALTA-031	Spokane Streetcar Study, Spokane	294,000
Total FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations			

### FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations

State	Earmark ID	Project Location and Description	Unobligated Allocation
AZ	E2009-ALTA-001	Central Mesa Corridor Alternative Analysis Mesa	\$237,500
AZ	E2009-ALTA-002	I-10 West Corridor Alternative Analysis	475,000
AZ	E2009-ALTA-003	Tempe South Corridor Alternatives Analysis, Tempe	237,500
CA	E2009-ALTA-004	Smart Preliminary Engineering	427,500
FL	E2009-ALTA-006	Downtown Transit Circulator	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-008	Atlanta BeltLine, Atlanta	475,000
GA	E2009-ALTA-009	MARTA I-20 East Transit Corridor	950,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-010	Alternative Analysis Study for the J-Route Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project	237,500
IL	E2009-ALTA-011	CTA Red Line Extension	285,000
IL	E2009-ALTA-012	Yellow Line Extension	237,500
IN	E2009-ALTA-013	GYY Alternatives Analysis	237,500
KS	E2009-ALTA-014	Overland Park/Metcalf Transit Study	665,000
MA	E2009-ALTA-015	MBTA/MART Belmont Station Consolidation and Development Study	142,500
MD	E2009-ALTA-016	Aberdeen MARC Rail Storage Yard	475,000
MS	E2009-ALTA-019	Coast Transit Alternative Analysis	1,140,000
NH	E2009-ALTA-021	Lowell-Nashua Manchester Rail Corridor	1,900,000
NJ	E2009-ALTA-022	Final EIS for Monmouth-Ocean-Middlesex Cnty Passenger Rail Line	534,375
NJ	E2009-ALTA-023	Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City	237,500 a/
NY	E2009-ALTA-024	West of Hudson Regional Transit Access Project	1,900,000
OR	E2009-ALTA-025	West Eugene EmX Extension Environmental Analysis	475,000
PA	E2009-ALTA-026	Northwest New Jersey-Northwest Pennsylvania Passenger Rail Project	950,000
		Unallocated	9,811,875
	Total FY 2009 Und	bligated Allocations	\$22,506,250

Grand Total FY 2008 and FY 2009 Unobligated Allocations..... \$32,600,250

a/ SEC 166 (b) The explanatory statement referenced in section 186 of title I of division I of Public Law 111-8 for `Alternatives analysis' under `Federal Transit Administration-Formula and Bus Grants' is deemed to be amended by striking `Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, North Bergen, NJ' and inserting `Hudson-Bergen Light Rail Extension Route 440, Jersey City, NJ'.

[FR Doc. 2010–2996 Filed 2–12–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE C