comments by any of the following methods:

1. *Mail comments to:* Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Mail Stop: TWB–05– B01M, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

2. Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for documents filed under Docket ID [NRC–2010–0031]. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher, (301) 492–3668; e-mail Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.

3. Fax comments to: Rulemaking and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 492–3446.

Requests for technical information about DG-4017 may be directed to the NRC contact, Gregory Chapman at (301) 492–3106 or e-mail to *Gregory.Chapman@nrc.gov.* 

Comments would be most helpful if received by April 5, 2010. Comments received after that date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Although a time limit is given, comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time.

Electronic copies of DG-4017 are available through the NRC's public Web site under Draft Regulatory Guides in the "Regulatory Guides" collection of the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doccollections/*. Electronic copies are also available in ADAMS (*http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html*), under Accession No. ML091810092.

In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555– 0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415–4737 or (800) 397–4205, by fax at (301) 415–3548, and by e-mail to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov*.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of January, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John N. Ridgely,

Acting Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2010–2285 Filed 2–2–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-482; NRC-2010-0032]

# Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation, Wolf Creek Generating Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption, pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) § 73.5, "Specific exemptions," from the implementation date for certain new requirements of 10 CFR Part 73, "Physical protection of plants and materials," for Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-42, issued to Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC, the licensee), for operation of the Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS), located in Coffey County, Kansas. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC prepared an environmental assessment documenting its finding. The NRC concluded that the proposed actions will have no significant environmental impact.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt WCGS from the required implementation date of March 31, 2010, for several new requirements of 10 CFR Part 73. Specifically, WCGS would be granted an exemption from being in full compliance with certain new requirements contained in 10 CFR 73.55 by the March 31, 2010, deadline. WCNOC has proposed an alternate full compliance implementation date of December 31, 2010, approximately 9 months beyond the date required by 10 CFR Part 73. The proposed action, an extension of the schedule for completion of certain actions required by the revised 10 CFR Part 73, does not involve any physical changes to the reactor, fuel, plant structures, support structures, water, or land at the WCGS site.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated December 15, 2009.

## The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to provide the licensee with additional time to perform the required upgrades to the WCGS security system due to long lead time for procuring the materials, unfavorable weather months affecting construction, and resource limitations due to concurrent project activity. Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its environmental assessment of the proposed exemption. The staff has concluded that the proposed action to extend the implementation deadline would not significantly affect plant safety and would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring.

The proposed action would not result in an increased radiological hazard beyond those previously analyzed in the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact made by the Commission in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR Part 73 as discussed in a Federal Register notice dated March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13967). There will be no change to radioactive effluents that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. Therefore, no changes or different types of radiological impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

The proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Steven's Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality.

There are no impacts to historical and cultural resources. There would be no impact to socioeconomic resources. Therefore, no changes to or different types of non-radiological environmental impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. In addition, in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR Part 73, the Commission prepared an environmental assessment and published a finding of no significant impact [Part 73, Power Reactor Security Requirements, 74 FR 13926, 13967 (March 27, 2009)].

Therefore, the extension of the implementation date of the new requirements of 10 CFR Part 73 to December 31, 2010, would not have any significant environmental impacts.

The NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation, if granted.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "noaction" alternative). Denial of the exemption request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. If the proposed action was denied, the licensee would have to comply with the March 31, 2010, implementation deadline. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and the "no-action" alternative are similar.

### Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the WCGS, NUREG–0878, dated June 1982, as supplemented through the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: Wolf Creek Generating Station—Final Report (NUREG–1437, Supplement 32)," dated May 2008.

#### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 12, 2010, the NRC staff consulted with the Kansas State official, Mr. Tom Conley of the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 15, 2009. Portions of the December 15, 2009, submittal contain security-related and safeguards information and, accordingly, is being withheld from the public. The redacted version of the December 15, 2009, letter was submitted by the licensee on January 12, 2010, and may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O-1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Marvland 20852. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http://

www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800– 397–4209 or 301–415–4737, or send an e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of January 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Balwant K. Singal,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010–2288 Filed 2–2–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2010-0033]

### Office of New Reactors; Interim Staff Guidance on the Review of Nuclear Power Plant Designs Using a Gas Turbine Driven Standby Emergency Alternating Current Power System

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). **ACTION:** Solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC staff is soliciting public comment on its proposed Interim Staff Guidance (ISG) DC/COL-ISG-021 titled "Interim Staff Guidance on the **Review of Nuclear Power Plant Designs** Using a Gas Turbine Driven Standby Emergency Alternating Current Power System," (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML092640035). This ISG provides new guidance information for applicants submitting a combined license (COL) or design certification (DC) for construction and operation of new reactors submitted under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 52. In addition, it supplements the guidance provided to the NRC staff in other sections of NUREG–0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," March 2007, Standard Review Plan (SRP), Section 8.3.1 and Sections 9.5.4 through 9.5.8. The NRC staff issues DC/COL–ISGs to facilitate timely implementation of current staff guidance and to facilitate activities associated with review of applications for DCs and COLs by the Office of New Reactors (NRO). The NRC staff intends to incorporate the final approved DC/ COL-ISG-021 into the next revision of SRP Section 8.3.1 and Sections 9.5.4

through 9.5.8 and Regulatory Guide 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (LWR Edition)," June 2007.

Background: Emergency diesel generators are widely used as the standby emergency power sources for the onsite alternating current (AC) power system. It is anticipated that new reactor designs will incorporate gas turbines to supply the standby emergency alternating current power system. This ISG document provides guidance on the implementation of emergency gas turbine generators (EGTGs) used as AC power sources to supply power to safety-related equipment or equipment important to safety for all operational events and during accident conditions. Only EGTG systems that are air cooled and diesel oil fueled are considered in this interim guidance.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed no later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include Docket ID NRC–2010– 0033 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC website and on the Federal rulemaking Web site Regulations.gov. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

*Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and search for documents filed under Docket ID NRC–2010–0033. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher 301–492–3668; e-mail *Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov.* 

Mail comments to: Michael T. Lesar, Chief, Rulemaking and Directives Branch (RDB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWB–05–