Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, with respect to the Beede Waste Oil Superfund Site in Plaistow, New Hampshire. Pursuant to the Consent Decree, Davenport—a *de minimis* party at the Site—will pay \$120,000.00 toward financing the work at the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to United States v. Davenport Realty Trust, et al., Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-00010-PB, D.J. Ref. 90-11-3-07039/9. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6973(d).

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, District of New Hampshire, 53 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. If requesting a copy by mail from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (\$0.25 per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

#### Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–761 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

# Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

Pursuant to Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on January 8, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree in *U.S.* v. *The City and County of Denver*, Civil Action No. 1:97–cv–1611, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

The proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against the City and County of Denver, Colorado, in which the United States sought a declaratory judgment that a "disposal fee" established by ordinance by the City and County of Denver ("Denver") was void and unenforceable against the United States and other persons performing remedial actions at operable units of the Denver Radium Superfund Site ("Site") and a permanent injunction prohibiting Denver from enforcing the disposal fee against those entities. Denver counterclaimed against the United States pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, seeking its claimed response costs relating to the Site.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, the United States will pay Denver the sum of \$550,000 in settlement of Denver's counterclaims against the United States. In addition, among other provisions of the proposed Consent Decree, Denver releases the United States, its contractors, and potentially responsible parties acting under the direction of the United States, from any obligation to pay fees pursuant to Denver's ordinance; Denver agrees to implement certain institutional controls regarding the Site; and that under certain conditions, Denver is granted a covenant not to sue for future CERCLA liability at sites to which it sends wastes removed from the Site.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this Notice. Please address comments to Daniel Pinkston, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 1961 Stout Street, 8th Floor, Denver, Colorado 80294, *daniel.pinkston@usdoj.gov*, and refer to U.S. v. The City and County of Denver, DJ # 90–11–6–18417.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Colorado, Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse, Room A105, 901 19th Street, Denver, CO 80294–3589. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed at *http://www.usdoj.gov/ enrd/Consent\_Decrees.html*.

# Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environment & Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 2010–727 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121-0306]

# Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice of information collection under review: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired, State Court Processing Statistics 2009.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until March 22, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have additional comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact: Thomas H. Cohen, (202) 514–8344, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531 or

Thomas.H.Cohen@usdoj.gov.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- -Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- –Évaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the

- methodology and assumptions used; —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- -Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### **Overview of This Information**

 Type of information collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which OMB approval has expired, State Court Processing Statistics, 2009.
The title of the form/collection:

State Court Processing Statistics, 2009.

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form labels are SCPS—2009, SATCS—2009, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected Public Who Will be Asked or Required to Respond, as well as a Brief Abstract: State Trial Courts and Pretrial Agencies. Abstract: The State Court Processing Statistics (SCPS) project covers felony case processing in a sample of the nation's 75 most populous counties on a recurring basis. In the SCPS data collection program, felony defendants are tracked for up to 1 year with data collected on a variety of felony case processing characteristics. These include the types of arrest charges filed against felony defendants, conditions of pretrial release, and pretrial misconduct which includes the court appearance record, violations of release conditions, and re-arrests committed while on pretrial release. The adjudication outcomes encompassing the dismissal, diversion, guilty plea, and trial conviction rates for felony defendants are also recorded. For those defendants convicted, sentencing data are collected. The SCPS 2009 project also involves collecting aggregate information on the electronic data storage and transfer capacities of courts located in a sample of the nation's 900 most populous counties.

(5) An Estimate of the Total Number of Respondents and the Amount of Time Estimated for an Average Respondent to Respond: It is estimated that information will be collected on a total of 15,000 felony defendants from 40 responding counties. The estimated burden hours will be contingent upon

the counties electronic storage and transfer capabilities. Data collection will occur in a more timely and expeditious manner among counties with the capacities to electronically transfer all their case processing, pretrial, and criminal history information to the data collection agent. It is estimated that about 10 of the 40 counties have the capacity to transfer entire files of SCPS cases and that it should take these counties about 15 hours per county to produce programs capable of transferring the SCPS data to the data collection agent. For the remaining 30 counties that lack the capacity to engage in electronic transfers, data collection will involve manually coding the SCPS survey forms for an online or paper based submission. Prior SCPS data collection endeavors show an estimated one hour to manually code each SCPS case for online or paper based submission. In addition to collecting case processing information, courts located in 200 jurisdictions will be asked to complete a spreadsheet surveying their overall levels of case and pretrial automation. Pretests of the instrument found that the average time to complete the spreadsheet was about 2 hours per trial court.

(6) An Estimate of the Total Public Burden (in hours) Associated with the *Collection:* The estimated public burden associated for the SCPS data collection is 11,800 hours. In the 30 counties in which SCPS cases are manually coded for paper or online based submission, an estimated 11,250 data collection forms (375 forms per county) will be coded and it should take an estimated one hour to code each data collection form. Hence, the estimated public burden associated with the manual based collection of SCPS data forms should be about 11,250 hours. In the 10 counties in which SCPS cases can be transferred through computerized case management systems, it should take an estimated 150 hours (15 hours per county) to generate the programs capable of transferring information for these SCPS cases. Lastly, about 400 hours will be required to complete the spreadsheets surveying the overall levels of case and pretrial automation for courts located in 200 counties (200 counties multiplied by 2 hours per spreadsheet). Therefore, the total burden time for the SCPS 2009 project should be about 11,800 hours (11,250 hours for manual based data collection + 150 hours for computerized transfer of automated SCPS data + 400 hours for the survey of court automation capacities).

Îf additional information is required contact: Ms. Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 12, 2010.

#### Ms. Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 2010–768 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Antitrust Division

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on December 15, 2009, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative ("iNEMI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Elec & Eltek, Kowloon, HONG KONG-CHINA; Guangdong Shengyi Sci. Tech Co., Guangdong, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Ibiden, Toshiba-cho, JAPAN; Pacific Insulating Material Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Lenovo, Quarry Bay, HONG KONG-CHINA; and Quanta Computer Inc., Tao Yuan Shien, TAIWAN, have been added as parties to this venture.

Âlso, Agile Software Corporation, San Jose, CA; NanoDyamics, Inc., Buffalo, NY; Ciba, Tarrytown, NY; 3M, St. Paul, MN; Ministère du Developpement economique, de l'Innovation et de l'Exportation (Gourvernement du Quebec) Montreal, Quebec, CANADA; Motorola, Inc., Schaumburg, IL; Jabil Circuit, St. Petersburg, FL; and ERSA North America, Plymouth, WI have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and iNEMI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 6, 1996, iNEMI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of