

C. Need to Maintain and Improve Coldwater Fisheries (Forest Plan Goal 1.5): There is a need to reduce the amount of aspen adjacent to streams within the project area, particularly cold water streams. Beaver activity (primarily feeding or utilization of aspen close to these streams) results in lack of shade trees adjacent to the stream and potentially leads to increases in water temperature, making it unsuitable for cold water species.

D. Need to Maintain or Enhance the Quality of the Recreation Experience (Forest Plan Goal 2.1): The Fould's Creek spring ponds have long been utilized as a recreational fishery. Also, there are currently no designated non-motorized trails within the project area. Some of the project area is designated for non-motorized public access, so public access is limited to foot travel. There is a need to provide adequate foot travel access within the project area which would improve the quality of the recreational experience.

E. Need for Supplying Wood Products (Forest Plan Goal 2.5): The harvest activities being proposed to meet the needs for action would result in the availability of wood products, including pulpwood, sawtimber, and biomass products. Environmentally sound harvest through commercial timber sales would meet this need.

F. Need to Develop and Maintain Capital Infrastructure (Forest Plan Goal 3.1 Transportation Systems): Based on a roads analysis, there is a need to provide an adequate, safe, and efficient transportation system in the project area. More specifically, total road densities are slightly above the desired road density in portions of the project area, some roads are in areas susceptible to resource damage, other roads are located in areas where there are no foreseeable access needs, and some areas lack access.

Proposed Action

The proposed land management activities (proposed actions) to meet the needs of the area include the following:

A. The following tree harvest activities address the needs to maintain or improve forest health in the project area: (1) Selection harvest on about 14,500 acres; (2) Improvement harvest on about 1,400 acres; (3) Thinning harvest on about 150 acres; (4) Shelterwood harvest on about 380 acres; (5) Overstory removal harvest on about 160 acres; and (6) Clearcut harvest on about 450 acres. Selection, thinning, and improvement harvest are types of harvest activities that remove only a portion of the existing trees to encourage regeneration of an

understory, to encourage age-class development, or to encourage growth, health and vigor in the remaining trees. Other proposed projects related to forest health include restoration of about 2 acres of Canada yew by supplemental planting and fencing and restoration of desired tree species (conifer and oak for example) through supplemental planting within a portion of the proposed harvest areas.

B. The following project addresses the need to maintain or improve Regional Forester Sensitive Species habitat (spruce grouse habitat): Supplemental planting and retention of spruce on about 50 acres.

C. The following project addresses the need to maintain or improve coldwater fisheries: Retention of shade trees and discouragement of aspen adjacent to 12 miles of coldwater streams.

D. The following project addresses the need to provide and enhance recreation opportunities: Designation of about 6 miles of walking trails.

E. The following project addresses the need for supplying wood products: Proposed harvest activities will be conducted through commercial timber sales with an estimated 91 million board feet of pulpwood and sawtimber products, and potentially 14,000 dry tons of tree top material which could be utilized for biomass.

F. The following projects address transportation needs for timber harvest and for providing a safe and efficient transportation system to meet administrative and public access needs: (1) New permanent road construction of about 12 miles; (2) New temporary road construction of about 1 mile; (3) Road reconstruction of about 43 miles; and (4) Road decommissioning of about 29 miles. In addition, the proposal includes designation of about 16 miles of road that would be open to public highway vehicle use and another 14 miles that would also be open to OHV (off highway vehicle) use.

Possible Alternatives

Alternatives to the proposed action that are currently being considered for display in the draft EIS are as follows: The required No Action alternative. Other alternatives will be developed as the analysis progresses.

Responsible Official

Bob Heimes, Medford-Park Falls District Ranger, Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The primary decision will be whether or not to implement the proposed projects or alternatives of the projects

within the project area that respond to the purpose and need. The decision will also include resource protection measures as identified in the applicable Forest Plan standards and guidelines. The decision may also include monitoring requirements and whether Forest Plan amendments are needed to implement the decision.

Scoping Process

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments in response to this solicitation for information should focus on (1) the proposal; (2) issues or impacts from the proposal; and (3) possible alternatives for addressing issues associated with the proposal. We are especially interested in information that might identify a specific undesired result of implementing the proposed actions.

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered, however.

Dated: January 4, 2010.

Jeanne M. Higgins,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2010-214 Filed 1-11-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Redmond, Oregon. The purpose of the meeting is to review proposed projects and make recommendations under Title II (division C of Pub. L. 110-343 reauthorized and amended the Secure rural Schools and community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (SRS Act) as

originally enacted in Public Law 106–393.

DATES: The meeting will be held January 27, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and January 28, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the office of the Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council, 2363 SW Glacier Place, Redmond, Oregon 97756. Send written comments to Jeff Walter as Designated Federal Official, for the Deschutes and Ochoco National Forests Resource Advisory Committee, c/o Forest Service, USDA. Ochoco National Forest, 3160 NE., 3rd St., Prineville, OR 97754 or electronically to jwalter@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Walter, Designated Federal Official, Ochoco National Forest, 541–416–6625.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring Title II matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before the meeting. A public input session will be provided and individuals who made written requests by January 15, 2010 will have the opportunity to address the Committee at the session.

Dated: January 4, 2010.

Jeff Walter,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 2010–252 Filed 1–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Amendment of Limitation of Duty- and Quota-Free Imports of Apparel Articles Assembled in Beneficiary ATPDEA Countries From Regional Country Fabric

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Amending the 12-month cap on duty- and quota-free benefits.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 1, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Stetson, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–3400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Authority:* Section 3103 of the Trade Act of 2002, Public Law 107–210; Presidential Proclamation 7616 of October 31, 2002,

67 FR 67283 (November 5, 2002); Executive Order 13277, 67 FR 70305 (November 19, 2002); and the Office of the United States Trade Representative's Notice of Authority and Further Assignment of Functions, 67 FR 71606 (November 25, 2002).

Section 3103 of the Trade Act of 2002 amended the Andean Trade Preference Act (ATPA) to provide for duty- and quota-free treatment for certain textile and apparel articles imported from designated Andean Trade Promotion and Drug Eradication Act (ATPDEA) beneficiary countries. Section 204(b)(3)(B)(iii) of the amended ATPA provides duty- and quota-free treatment for certain apparel articles assembled in ATPDEA beneficiary countries from regional fabric and components, subject to quantitative limitation. More specifically, this provision applies to apparel articles sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more ATPDEA beneficiary countries from fabrics or from fabric components formed or from components knit-to-shape, in one or more ATPDEA beneficiary countries, from yarns wholly formed in the United States or one or more ATPDEA beneficiary countries (including fabrics not formed from yarns, if such fabrics are classifiable under heading 5602 and 5603 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) and are formed in one or more ATPDEA beneficiary countries). Such apparel articles may also contain certain other eligible fabrics, fabric components, or components knit-to-shape.

Title VII of the Tax Relief and Health Care Act (TRHCA) of 2006, Public Law 107–432, extended the expiration of the ATPA to June 30, 2007. *See* Section 7002(a) of the TRHCA 2006. H.R. 1830, 110th Cong. (2007), further extended the expiration of the ATPA to February 29, 2008. H.R. 5264, 110th Cong. (2008), further extended the expiration of the ATPA to December 31, 2008. H.R. 7222, 110th Cong. (2008), further extended the expiration of the ATPA to December 31, 2009. H.R. 4284, 111th Cong. (2009), further extended the expiration of the ATPA to December 31, 2010.

The purpose of this notice is to extend the period of the quantitative limitation for preferential tariff treatment under the regional fabric provision for imports of qualifying apparel articles for a full 12-month period, through September 30, 2010.

For the period beginning on October 1, 2009 and extending through September 30, 2010, the aggregate quantity of imports eligible for preferential treatment under the regional fabric provision is 1,163,423,598 square meters equivalent.

Apparel articles entered in excess of this quantity will be subject to otherwise applicable tariffs.

This quantity is calculated using the aggregate square meter equivalents of all apparel articles imported into the United States, derived from the set of Harmonized System lines listed in the Annex to the World Trade Organization Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC), and the conversion factors for units of measure into square meter equivalents used by the United States in implementing the ATC.

Janet E. Heinzen,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 2010–377 Filed 1–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will resubmit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). The clearance request was withdrawn and is now being resubmitted because the Census Bureau has made changes to the request. The sample size has been lowered, the reinterview rate has been increased and a new Census Coverage Measurement Recall Bias Panel Study has been added. Two previous notices were published in the **Federal Register** announcing plans to submit this request (June 19, 2009 on page 29166 and Nov. 24, 2009 on page 61329). Neither of the previous notices included information about these changes.

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: 2010 Census Coverage Measurement, Person Interview, Person Interview Reinterview, and Recall Bias Panel Study.

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number(s): All data will be collected using automated instruments on computers.

Type of Request: New collection.

Burden Hours: 68,938.

Number of Respondents: 275,750.

Average Hours per Response: 15 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The U.S. Census Bureau requests authorization from the Office of Management and Budget to conduct the Census Coverage Measurement (CCM) Person Interview (PI) and Person Interview Reinterview (PIRI) operations as part of the 2010