title 40 of the CFR. CROMERR establishes electronic reporting as an acceptable regulatory alternative to paper reporting and establishes requirements to assure that electronic documents are as legally dependable as their paper counterparts. Under Subpart D of CROMERR, state, tribe or local government agencies that receive, or wish to begin receiving, electronic reports under their EPA-authorized programs must apply to EPA for a revision or modification of those programs and get EPA approval. Subpart D also provides standards for such approvals based on consideration of the electronic document receiving systems that the state, tribe, or local government will use to implement the electronic reporting. Additionally, in § 3.1000(b) through (e) of 40 CFR Part 3, Subpart D provides special procedures for program revisions and modifications to allow electronic reporting, to be used at the option of the state, tribe or local government in place of procedures available under existing programspecific authorization regulations. An application submitted under the Subpart D procedures must show that the state, tribe or local government has sufficient legal authority to implement the electronic reporting components of the programs covered by the application and will use electronic document receiving systems that meet the applicable Subpart D requirements.

Òn July 22, 2009, the State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CTDEP) submitted an application for its Net Discharge Monitoring Report (NetDMR) electronic document receiving system for revision or modification of EPAauthorized programs under title 40 CFR. EPA reviewed CTDEP's request to revise/modify its EPA-authorized programs and, based on this review, ÈPĂ determined that the application met the standards for approval of authorized program revisions/ modifications set out in 40 CFR part 3, subpart D. In accordance with 40 CFR 3.1000(d), this notice of EPA's decision to approve Connecticut's request for revision/modification to certain of its authorized programs is being published in the Federal Register.

Specifically, EPA has approved CTDEP's request for revision/ modification to the following authorized programs to allow electronic reporting for the specified reports: 40 CFR Part 123–NPDES State Program Requirements and Part 403—General Pretreatment Regulations For Existing And New Sources Of Pollution programs for electronic reporting of discharge monitoring report information submitted under 40 CFR Parts 122 and 403.

CTDEP was notified of EPA's determination to approve its application with respect to the authorized programs listed above.

Dated: December 22, 2009.

### Lisa Schlosser,

Director, Office of Information Collection. [FR Doc. 2010–14 Filed 1–6–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907; FRL-9100-8]

RIN 2050-ZA05

# Draft Recommended Interim Preliminary Remediation Goals for Dioxin in Soil at CERCLA and RCRA Sites

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and announcement of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) is announcing a 50-day public comment period for draft recommended interim preliminary remediation goals (PRGs) developed in the Draft Recommended Interim Preliminary Remediation Goals for Dioxin in Soil at Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Sites. EPA's Office of Solid Waste and Emergency and Emergency Response (OSWER) has developed the draft recommended interim PRGs for dioxin in soil. These draft recommended interim PRGs were calculated using existing, peer-reviewed toxicity values and current EPA equations and default exposure assumptions.

This **Federal Register** notice is intended to provide an opportunity for public comment on the draft recommended interim PRGs. EPA will consider any public comments submitted in accordance with this notice and may revise the draft recommended interim PRGs thereafter.

**DATES:** Comments may be submitted in writing by February 26, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–SFUND–2009–0907, by one of the following methods:

• *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

E-mail: OSWER.Docket@epa.gov.
Mail: EPA Docket Center,
Environmental Protection Agency, Mail

Code: 5305T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. • *Hand Delivery:* EPA Docket Center

(EPA/DC), Room 3334, EPA West Building, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907. Deliveries are only accepted from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

*Instructions:* Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected by statute through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA, without going through http:// www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: This **Federal Register** notice and supporting documentation are available in a docket EPA has established under Docket ID No. EPA– HQ–SFUND–2009–0907. All documents in the docket are listed in the *http:// www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *http:// www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the OSWER Docket, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue,. NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OSWER docket is (202) 566–0270.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlene Berg, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Mail Code: 5204P, Washington, DC 20460; by telephone/voicemail at (703) 603–8701; Fax: (703) 603–9112; or via e-mail at *berg.marlene@epa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Preliminary remediation goals (PRGs)

generally are chemical-specific concentration goals for specific media (e.g., soil, sediment, water and air) and land use combinations at CERCLA sites. They are intended to serve as a point of departure in the remedy selection process and generally are used as a target in conjunction with site-specific information (*e.g.*, exposure frequency) during the initial development, analysis, and selection of cleanup alternatives. As discussed in the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) (40 CFR 300.430(e)(2)(i)) preliminary remediation goals are typically developed from readily available information. Preliminary remediation goals should be modified, as necessary, when more site-specific information becomes available (e.g., exposure frequency).

In May 2009, EPA Administrator Lisa P. Jackson committed to accelerating the Agency's work currently underway to reassess the human health risks from exposures to dioxin. EPA's Science Plan for Activities Related to Dioxins in the Environment (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ ncea/cfm/

*recordisplay.cfm?deid=209690*) details a plan, with interim milestones, for completion of the Agency's dioxin reassessment. By the end of 2010, EPA expects to complete the dioxin reassessment and release it to the public, subject to further consideration of the science and the scope and complexity of the revisions.

Several site-specific investigations and decisions involving dioxin may need to be made before the dioxin reassessment is finalized. Therefore, Administrator Jackson directed the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency

Response (OSWER) to develop draft recommended interim PRGs by the end of 2009 that are informed by the best available peer-reviewed science. The recommended interim PRGs, when finalized, will allow EPA to continue to make progress on key site-specific investigations and decisions while the dioxin reassessment is on-going. Also, development of the recommended interim PRGs at this juncture allows EPA to include the dermal absorption pathway of dioxin. The information to estimate the dermal pathway was not available when EPA last recommended PRGs for dioxin in soil in 1998.

OSWER reviewed the Agency's current dioxin cleanup guidance and related work by other entities, including the states, other countries, and other federal agencies with the goal of developing guidance containing recommended interim PRGs informed by the best available peer-reviewed science. Once the recommended interim PRGs are finalized, they are intended to be considered by EPA regions until the Agency issues its final dioxin reassessment; at that time, OSWER may issue updated recommended PRGs based on the final dioxin reassessment. After publication of the final dioxin reassessment and any subsequent updated PRG guidance, EPA regions would then re-evaluate, as appropriate, cleanup decisions at CERCLA sites to ensure that cleanups are still protective. States which apply the final recommended interim PRGs to RCRA sites may choose to re-evaluate, as appropriate, cleanup decisions based on the recommended interim PRGs as well.

The draft guidance presents current OSWER technical and policy recommendations regarding PRGs for soil contaminated with dioxin. While OSWER developed the draft guidance for facility response actions under CERCLA and RCRA corrective action, other regulators, including the States, may find it useful in their programs, although they may choose to use alternative assessments consistent with their own programs and policies. In addition, EPA may use and accept other technically sound approaches after appropriate review, either at its own initiative or at the suggestion of other interested parties. The draft guidance does not impose any requirements or obligations on EPA, the States, other Federal agencies, or the regulated community. It is important to understand that the draft guidance does not substitute for statutes that EPA administers or their implementing regulations, nor is it a regulation itself. Thus, the draft guidance does not impose legally binding requirements on

EPA, the States, or the regulated community, and may not apply to a particular situation based upon the specific circumstances. Rather, the draft guidance suggests approaches that may be used at particular sites as appropriate, given site-specific circumstances.

In developing these draft recommended interim PRGs, OSWER, EPA's Office of Research and Development and other EPA offices reviewed current soil cleanup levels and dioxin toxicity values used by the states, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and other countries (see docket #EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0907 for State Soil Cleanup Levels for Dioxin and Review of International Soil Levels for Dioxin). Based on this evaluation, OSWER considered EPA's currently recommended PRGs for CERCLA and RCRA sites (http://www.epa.gov/ superfund/resources/remedy/pdf/92-00426-s.pdf), which are 1 ppb (parts per billion) (or 1,000 ppt (parts per trillion)) for dioxin toxicity equivalents (TEQs)<sup>1</sup> in residential soil, and a level within the range of 5 ppb (or 5,000 ppt) and 20 ppb (or 20,000 ppt) in commercial/industrial soil, where exposure is due to direct contact. Three key components of EPA's current recommended PRGs were reevaluated: available toxicity values, generic exposure assumptions and the cancer risk level.

These draft recommended interim PRGs are informed by the best available peer-reviewed science, as well as the work of states and other agencies. Based on a consideration of oral and dermal exposures to dioxin, EPA has developed the following draft recommended interim PRGs for dioxin in soil: 72 ppt for residential soil and 950 ppt for commercial/industrial soil. EPA believes that these draft recommended interim PRGs would generally provide adequate protection against non-cancer effects, and generally should protect against cancer effects at approximately the 1E-05 risk level (1 in 100,000). These recommended interim PRGs are within EPA's protective risk range of 1E-04 to 1E-06 (see 40 CFR 300.430(e)(2)(i)(A)), and are more protective than the 1998 PRGs.

In addition, consistent with the NCP (40 CFR 300.430(e)(2)(i)(A)), EPA is considering (and requesting comment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Toxicity equivalents consider the toxicity of the less toxic dioxin-like compounds as fractions of the toxicity of the most toxic compound (2,3,7,8-TCDD). Each compound is attributed a specific "Toxic Equivalency Factor" (TEF). This factor indicates the degree of toxicity compared to 2,3,7,8-TCDD, which is given a reference value of 1.

on) an alternative concentration of 3.7 ppt TEQ in residential soil and 17 ppt TEO in commercial/industrial soil as draft interim PRGs. These alternative draft interim PRGs would be protective for cancer and non-cancer effects and are consistent with the NCP provision for PRGs reflecting a 1E–06 risk level as a point of departure for determining remediation goals. OSWER notes that PRGs based on a 1E–06 cancer risk level would likely be within or possibly below the average concentration of dioxins in rural U.S. soils. Generally, it is OSWER's policy not to clean below background (for more information about this policy visit http://cfpub.epa.gov/ ncea/CFM/

recordisplay.cfm?deid=150944).

Dated: December 30, 2009. Mathy Stanislaus, Assistant Administrator. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. [FR Doc. 2010-16 Filed 1-6-10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

### FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

## Granting of Request for Early **Termination of the Waiting Period Under the Premerger Notification** Rules

Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, as added by Title II of the Hart-Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, requires persons contemplating certain mergers or acquisitions to give the Federal Trade

**TRANSACTION GRANTED EARLY TERMINATION** 

Commission and the Assistant Attorney General advance notice and to wait designated periods before consummation of such plans. Section 7A(b)(2) of the Act permits the agencies, in individual cases, to terminate this waiting period prior to its expiration and requires that notice of this action be published in the Federal Register.

The following transactions were granted early termination of the waiting period provided by law and the premerger notification rules. The grants were made by the Federal Trade Commission and the Assistant Attorney General for the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. Neither agency intends to take any action with respect to these proposed acquisitions during the applicable waiting period.

ET date	Trans No.	ET req status	Party name
02–NOV–09	20100028	G	Compuware Corporation.
		G	Gomez, Inc.
		G	Gomez, Inc.
03–NOV–09	20100047	G	J&F Participacoes S.A.
		G	Controlling Holding.
		G	Controlling Holding.
	20100052	G	H.I.G. Bayside Debt and LBO Fund II, L.P.
	LONGOOL	Ğ	Dr. Rodolfo Gari and Mrs. Laurie Gari.
		G	Business IT Solutions of Tampa, Inc.
		G	Medical Billing Solutions, LLC.
		G	Sarasota Anesthesia Services, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Coral Gables, LLC.
		G	Armenia Surgery Center, Inc.
		G	APS of Merritt Island, LLC.
		G	APS of Bradenton, LLC.
		G	Anesthesia Professional Services, Inc.
		G	Anesthesia Management Services, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Lake Mary, LLC.
		G	Weschase Anesthesiology Professional Services, Inc.
		G	Tampa Pain Relief Center, Inc.
		G	Surgery Partners, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Lake Worth, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Merritt Island, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Millenia, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of New Tampa, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Park Place, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Sarasota, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of Westchase, LLC.
		G	Surgery Partners of West Kendall, L.L.C.
	20100057	G	Berkshire Hathaway Inc.
	20100037	G	Capmark Financial Group Inc.
		G	Capmark Capital Inc.
		G	Capmark Finance Inc.
04–NOV–09	20100017	G	Rohm Co., Ltd.
	20100017	G	Kionix, Inc.
		G	Kionix, Inc.
	20100053	G	Occidental Petroleum Corporation.
	20100000	G	Citigroup Inc.
		G	Phibro, LLC.
05–NOV–09	20100058	G	Leucadia National Corporation.
	20100030	G	Capmark Financial Group Inc.
		G	Capmark Capital Inc.
		G	Capmark Finance Inc.
06–NOV–09	20100078	G	Kok Thay Lim.
	201000/8	G	Empire Resorts, Inc.
		G	Empire Resorts, Inc.
	20100091	G	Carlyle Partners V L.P.
	20100081	G	TA IX L.P.
	I	G	I A IA L.F.