

include manual removal. Once nonnative plants are removed by the Applicant, the Service will be responsible for restoration of endangered and native plants to the Enrolled Parcels. The Service will enhance areas located away from the transmission towers by planting or seeding appropriate native plants, including Contra Costa wallflower, Antioch Dunes evening primrose, and host plants for the Lange's metalmark butterfly. Other natives may be planted or seeded into the sites as well. The majority of native plant restoration activities will occur in areas away from the two transmission towers such that when it is necessary for the Applicant to conduct maintenance on the towers, the overall damage to the habitat and probable take of endangered species will be minimized.

The Service expects that the proposed restoration activities will result in an increase in host plants for the Lange's metalmark butterfly throughout the Enrolled Property thus resulting in a net conservation benefit for this species. Additionally, the restoration activities will decrease threats to the Contra Costa wallflower and the Antioch Dunes evening primrose by reducing the amount of invasive, nonnative plants that outcompete the federally endangered plants.

The proposed duration of the Enhancement of Survival permit would be for 5 years, and would authorize the incidental taking of the Covered Species associated with: The restoration, enhancement, and maintenance of suitable habitat for the Covered Species; routine activities associated with maintenance and operation of the two transmission towers; and the potential future return of the Enrolled Property to baseline conditions. The Agreement also contains a monitoring component that will provide information on the success of weed eradication and will also assist the Refuge in early detection of new invasive plant species. Results of these monitoring efforts will be provided to the Service by the Applicant in an annual report.

Upon approval of this Agreement, and consistent with the Service's Safe Harbor Policy (64 FR 32717), the Service would issue an Enhancement of Survival permit to the Applicant. This permit will authorize the Applicant to take the Covered Species incidental to the implementation of the management activities specified in the Agreement, incidental to other lawful uses of the property including normal, routine land management activities, and incidental to return to baseline conditions if desired. Although take of listed plant species is

not prohibited under the Act, and therefore cannot be authorized under an enhancement of survival permit, plant species may be included on a permit in recognition of the net conservation benefit provided to them under a safe harbor agreement. An applicant would receive assurances under our "No Surprises" regulations (50 CFR 17.22(c)(5) and 17.32(c)(5)) for all species included in the Enhancement of Survival permit. In addition to meeting other criteria, actions to be performed under an Enhancement of Survival permit must not jeopardize the existence of federally listed fish, wildlife, or plants.

#### Public Review and Comments

The Service has made a preliminary determination that the proposed Agreement and permit application are eligible for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). We explain the basis for this determination in an Environmental Action Statement that is also available for public review.

Individuals wishing copies of our Environmental Action Statement, and/or copies of the full text of the Agreement, including a map of the proposed permit area, should contact the office and personnel listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

The Service will evaluate this permit application, associated documents, and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the permit application meets the requirements of section 10(a) of the Act and NEPA regulations. If the Service determines that the requirements are met, we will sign the proposed Agreement and issue an enhancement of survival permit under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act to the Applicant for take of the Covered Species incidental to otherwise lawful activities in accordance with the terms of the Agreement. The Service will not make our final decision until after the end of the 30-day comment period and will fully consider all comments received during the comment period.

The Service provides this notice pursuant to section 10(c) of the Act and

pursuant to implementing regulations for NEPA (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: December 3, 2009.

**Susan K. Moore,**

*Field Supervisor, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Sacramento, California.*

[FR Doc. E9-29434 Filed 12-9-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-459 and 731-TA-1155 (Final)]**

### Commodity Matchbooks From India

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from India of commodity matchbooks, provided for in subheading 3605.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to be subsidized by the Government of India and to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

#### Background

The Commission instituted these investigations effective October 29, 2008, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by D.D. Bean & Sons, Co., Jaffrey, NH. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of commodity matchbooks from India were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and that imports of commodity matchbooks from India were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of July 17, 2009 (74 FR 34783). The hearing was

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

held in Washington, DC, on October 20, 2009, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on December 4, 2009. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4117 (December 2009), entitled *Commodity Matchbooks from India: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-459 and 731-TA-1155 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 4, 2009.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E9-29404 Filed 12-9-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Pursuant to Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that on December 3, 2009, a proposed Consent Decree in *U.S. v. Ameron International Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-8719, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, twelve parties will pay the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") a combined total of \$3,868,902 to resolve liability arising under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), with respect to the Operating Industries, Inc. Superfund Site in Monterey Park, California. These parties are: Ameron International Corporation; B & C Plating Company; California Dairies, Inc.; Casex Co.; Energy Production & Sales Co.; Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.; International Extrusion Corporation; Jaybee Manufacturing Corporation; Luxfer, Inc.; Princess Cruises Limited; Thompson Drilling Company; and YRC, Inc.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed agreement for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

20044-7611, and should refer to *U.S. v. Ameron International Corp. et al.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-11-2-156/12.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Region 9 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. During the public comment period, the proposed agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. A copy of the proposed agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$22.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. E9-29368 Filed 12-9-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Federal Bureau of Investigation

[OMB Number 1110-0001]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Revision of a currently approved collection. Return A-Monthly Return of Offenses Known to the Police; Supplement to Return A-Monthly Return of Offenses Known to the Police

The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Volume 74, Number 191, Pages 51171-51172, on October 5, 2009, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until January 11, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to Gregory E. Scarbro, Unit Chief, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division (CJIS), Module E-3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306; facsimile (304) 625-3566.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques of other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of information collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Return A-Monthly Return of Offenses Known to the Police and Supplement to Return A-Monthly Return of Offenses Known to the Police.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection:* Forms 1-720, 1-720a, 1-720b, 1-720c, 1-720d, 1-720e, and 1-706; Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: City, county, state, federal and tribal law enforcement agencies. This collection is needed to collect information on Part I offense,